Panel for Educational Policy
Contract Agenda
May 22, 2013

Item: 1 **Description:** Contract extension for student transportation in grades K-12.

Term: 2 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Bobby's Bus Co Inc	$4,893,970
Grandpa's Bus Co., Inc.	$14,636,644
Jofaz Transportation Inc	$18,507,233
Little Linda Bus Co Inc	$1,050,822
Little Linda Matron	$433,506
Little Lisa Bus Company Inc	$4,486,279
Little Lisa Bus Escorts	$1,693,638
Little Richie Bus Service	$46,711,735
Little Richie Matron Co.	$17,923,411
Logan Bus Co., Inc.	$31,425,999
Logan Matron Co.	$9,517,755
Lorinda Ent Ltd	$3,056,223
Lorinda Matron	$1,197,428
Lorissa Bus Service Inc	$4,761,968

Item: 2 **Description:** Contract extension for summer transportation and attendant service for special education students.

Term: 2 months **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
B Alert	$382,500
Boro Wide Buses Inc	$27,836
Canal Escorts	$602,292
Cifra Escorts	$1,327,503
Citywide Transit, Inc.	$294,671
Hoyt Transportation	$1,314,708
Jofaz Transportation Inc	$988,237
Little Richie Bus Service	$1,563,295
Little Richie Matron Co.	$659,060
Logan Bus Co., Inc.	$4,716,144
Logan Matron Co.	$1,579,398
Lorinda Ent Ltd	$3,662,407
Lorinda Matron	$1,214,164
Mar-Can Transportation	$354,153
Prestige Development	$43,291
Rainbow Transit, Inc.	$1,185,946
Twenty First Avenue Trans. Co., inc	$69,657

Item: 3 **Description:** Contract extension for computer adaptive assessment services.

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of Academics, Performance and Support **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Scantron Corporation	$1,061,875

Item: 4 **Description:** Contract extension for promotional and incentive items where schools can measure student's success.

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Vanguard Direct	$417,000

Item: 5 WITHDRAWN

Item: 6 **Description:** Extension of food services distribution contracts.

Term: 180 days **Options:** None **Funding:** Reimbursable

Division: Office of School Food **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Chef's Choice Food Dist.	$3,000,000
Metropolitan Food Services Inc DBA Driscoll Foods	$31,800,000
Teri Nichols Institutional Food Merchants	$19,200,000
The Maramont Corporation	$12,100,000

Item: 7 WITHDRAWN

Item: 8 **Description:** Electronic system for the reimbursement of services to medicaid-eligible students who require school bus transportation.

Term: 3 years **Options:** 1 two year option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Navman Wireless North America, LP	$3,954,000

Item: 9 **Description:** Early childhood educational services for four year olds in the Universal Pre-kindergarten (UPK) program.

Term: 3 years **Options:** 2 one year options or 1 two year option **Funding:** State Funded

Division: Division of Portfolio Planning **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
ABC Therapies SLP,OT,Psych & Chiro/Kids Time	$63,630

Afro American Parents Educational Center, Inc.	$188,730
All My Children Day Care Nursery School	$63,900
Apple Tree Day Care, Inc.	$88,680
B' Above Worldwide Institute	$126,000
B' Above Worldwide Institute	$438,480
B' Above Worldwide Institute	$943,220
Bambi Early Childhood Educational Center	$156,000
Bank Street College Education	$148,000
Bedford Stuyvesant Early Childhood Development Center Head Start	$749,281
Belmont community Day Care Center, Inc. - WITHDRAWN	$437,400
Beth Jacob of Boro Park	$153,964
Bnos Menachem, Inc.	$115,646
Borough of Manhattan Community College Early Childhood Center, Inc.	$62,307
Boulevard Nursery School	$126,060
Boys & Girls Harbor, Inc.	$284,400
Brighter Futures Learning Center	$53,175
Brooklyn Chinese-American Association	$117,000
Brooklyn Kindergarten Society - WITHDRAWN	$273,816
Brooklyn Kindergarten Society - WITHDRAWN	$821,391
Bubblebee Day Care LLC	$74,018
Bumblebees-R-Us Inc	$70,724
Castle Day Care	$149,400
Castle day Care II, Inc.	$150,084
Catholic Charities Neighborhood Service, Inc. - Add on Item	$433,686
Catholic Charities Neighborhood Services, Inc.	$176,000
Catholic Charities Neighborhood Services, Inc.	$395,442
Catholic School Region of Staten Island - Our Lady Queen of Peace	$139,955
Chinese American Planning Council	$144,769
Christ the King Elementary School	$63,000
Cinderella Day Care Center	$46,800
Colony South Brooklyn Houses	$1,511,141
Committee for Early Childhood Development Day Care Center Inc.	$252,000
Congregation Darchei Torah	$143,920
Congregation Machna Shalva	$152,000
Educational Alliance	$55,760
Ft. George Community Enrichment Center, Inc.	$273,600
Generation 21 NY, Inc.	$136,802
Habitot, ES.LLC	$129,600
Henry Cooper Westendarp d/b/a Pave Pre Kindergarten - Add on Item	$453,521
Hudson Guild- WITHDRAWN	$144,000
Imagine Early Learning Center DUMBO	$115,263
Ira's Parkway Daycare and Preschool, Inc.	$63,468
Islander's Kids Inc.	$140,400
Jasmin Enterprises, Inc.d/b/a Riverdale Montessori School	$129,600
Just 4 Kids Soundview Center-Kiddie Kollege	$63,900
Kennedy Child Study Center	$270,468
KOG Industries, Inc.	$63,810
Kon Gen Corp.	$63,720

Kreative Kare Day Care Center, Inc.	$63,450
Labor & Industry For Education, Inc.	$324,000
Labor & Industry For Education, Inc.	$307,800
Leake & Watts Services, Inc.	$70,000
lehman College Student Child Care	$64,800
Lenox Hill Neighborhood House	$390,000
Lighthouse International Child Development Center	$64,800
Little Friends at Emelia's Kids	$63,450
Little L.a.m.b. Pre-School, Inc	$62,649
Little Scholars Early Development - Add on Item	$142,200
Long Xing Day Care Center, Inc.	$435,000
Mi Nuevo Mundo Corp.	$63,000
Montclare Children's School	$144,000
Montessori Progressive Learning Center	$326,970
Moving Up Children Center, Inc.	$111,040
Northside Center for Child Development	$63,000
Pave Pre Kindergaten - WITHDRAWN	$453,521
Phyl's Day Care Center , Inc.	$417,941
Police Atheletic League, Inc.	$256,320
Positive Beginnings, Inc.	$77,660
Project Social Care Head Start Inc	$67,714
Puerto Rican Family Institute, Inc	$83,684
Quick Start Day Care Center Inc.	$106,500
Rainbow Child Development Center, Inc.	$63,450
Red Hat Day Care	$60,000
Renanim Manhattan Inc.	$49,574
Richmond Hill Children's Center	$370,350
Sharon Baptist Board of Directors	$126,000
Star Paradise Inc.	$142,200
Staten Island Mental Health Society, Inc.	$148,553
Sts. Philip & James School	$378,000
Sunflower Kidz Daycare LLC.	$56,320
Sunnyside Community Services, Inc.	$64,170
Sunshine Center of Coney Island	$64,761
Talmud Torah of Crown Heights Yeshiva	$143,291
Tender Tots Decatur LLC	$61,200
The Children's Center at SUNY Brooklyn	$125,085
The Lutheran School of Flushing & Bayside	$126,720
The Research Foundation of SUNY Downstate - Add on Item	$59,200
The United Methodist City Society	$122,324
Tiny Footsteps Inc.	$63,450
United Community Day Care Center	$307,294
Yeled V'Yelda Early Childhood Center Inc	$828,000
Yeshiva jesode Hatorah V'ez Chaim	$116,684
Yeshivat Darche Eres Inc	$135,000
YM & YWHA of Williamsburg Inc. - Add on Item	$396,615
YM&YWCA of Williamsburg, Inc. - WITHDRAWN	$396,615

Item: 10 **Description:** Sports uniforms for schools.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Vanguard Direct	$73,560

Item: 11 WITHDRAWN

Item: 12 **Description:** Amendment of award for Universal Pre-Kindergarten contracts to provide full day services.

Term: Remaining Term of Underlying Contract **Options:** None **Funding:** State Funded

Division: Division of Portfolio Planning **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
1199 SEUI Child Care Corporation	$631,800
3467 Ready Set Learn	$568,800
4 Angels Day Care, Inc	$78,650
Amalgamated Nursery School	$241,000
B'Above Worldwide Institute - Add on Item	$148,141
Bedford Stuyvesant Early Childhood Development Center Head Start	$973,086
Bessie & Nora's Place	$142,200
Birch Family Services	$112,000
Brenda's Playschool - Add on Item	$98,400
BronxWorks, Inc.	$432,000
Child Development Center of the Mosholu Montefiore Community Center	$820,481
Episcopal Social Services - WITHDRAWN	$126,000
Gateway City Church, Inc	$688,400
Happy Dragon of New York	$710,000
Highlights Academy	$330,872
Jackson Children's Services	$175,527
Laurelton Day Care	$198,360
Little Stars School, Inc.	$519,205
Little Stars School, Inc.	$444,960
Little Stars Three, Inc.	$444,586
Long Xing Day Care Center, Inc.	$210,000
Mary Mcleod Bethune Child Development Center	$288,013
Northeast Bronx Day Care Center, Inc	$923,100
On Our Way Learning Center	$154,200
Phipps Community Development Corporation	$576,000
Sheltering Arms Day Care Services, Inc. - Add on Item	$126,000
St. Francis of Assisi School	$810,000
St. Peter's Lutheran Church	$579,887
Star America	$619,150

SteppingStone Day School, Inc.	$440,100
The Family and Life Center of Mt. Ararat	$252,360
Urban Concepts of NY / Round the Clock Nursery Inc.	$446,545
Urban Concepts of NY / Round the Clock Nursery Inc.	$624,198
Volunteers of America-Greater New York, Inc.	$132,000
Washington Heights Hellenic Orthodox Church	$638,100

Item: 13 **Description:** Arts education services in the areas of dance, music, theatre, visual arts and the moving image. (RA #6)

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Office of Curriculum & Professional Development **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Learning Through an Expanded Arts (LEAP)	$500,000

Item: 14 **Description:** Professional development for teachers and administrators who provide services to English Language Learners. (RA #6)

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Office of English Language Learners **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
American Institute for Research	$30,000

Item: 15 **Description:** Whole school reform services for transitional plans that ultimately help schools continue reform efforts on their own. (RA #6)

Term: 5 Years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Division of Portfolio Planning **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Teachscape	$120,000

Item: 16 **Description:** Student support services including academic enrichment, activities, leadership development, tutoring and homework assistance, parent development, individual, group, and family counseling. (RA#6)

Term: 5 Years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Office of Safety and Youth Development **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
College Summit	$370,000
Early Intervention LLC	$30,000
Phipps Community Development	$30,000
St John's University, School of Education	$200,000

Item: 17 WITHDRAWN

Item: 18 **Description:** Transportation services for students with disabilities and their non-disabled peers.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
B & F Skilled	$1,818,612

Careful Bus	$1,186,146
First Steps Transportation Inc.	$5,636,635
GVC, LTD	$10,755,360
Happy Child Transportation	$6,502,482
L & M Bus Corp	$6,900,300
Leesel Transportation Corp.	$25,496,899
Mar-Can Transportation	$21,677,760
Montauk Student Transport LLC	$130,140
Mutual Bus Corp.	$1,028,412
Philip Bus Service	$2,934,000
Selby Transportation Corporation	$223,920
Thomas Buses, Inc.	$2,157,480
Twenty First Avenue Trans. Co., inc	$13,017,600
VAN TRANS	$16,669,440
Y&M Transit Corp.	$26,273,700

Item: 19 **Description:** Conflict resolution and peer mediation training.

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** City Council

Division: Community School District 19 **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
Man Up! Inc.,	$48,200

Item: 20 **Description:** Upgrade to the web-based tool "iPlan", a program used by schools to develop Comprehensive Educational Plans (CEPs).

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** NYSED

Division: Division of Academics, Performance and Support **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
Infusiondev. LLC	$348,850

Item: 21 **Description:** Comprehensive software program for students to develop reading in key areas.

Term: 7 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Award Publishing	$110,000

Item: 22 **Description:** Periodic progress-monitoring technology to enhance core curriculum instruction.

Term: 7 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Renaissance Learning Inc.	$135,000

Item: 23 **Description:** Add on Item: Support, coaching and guidance in school program management, planning and enhancement in schools to promote student achievement.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 5 one year options **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable Funds

Division: School Support Operations **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Urban Assembly, The	$1,400,000

Item: 1

Description:

Contract extension for student transportation in grades K-12.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND SCHOOL-YEAR CONTRACTS FOR K-12 PUPIL TRANSPORTATION AND ATTENDANTS

Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
LOGAN BUS **Special Education (vehicle + attendant)** FY14 $110,523,597 FY15 $113,839,305 Total $224,362,902 **General Education** FY14 $27,135,994 FY15 $27,950,073 Total $55,086,067 **Total $279,448,969**	Tax Levy	Two Years	None	Extension	No	Requirement
JOFAZ TRANSPORTATION **Total** $36,475,420						

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Lisa D'Amato Director, Contracts Management Unit Office of School Support Services	Eric Goldstein Chief Executive Office of School Support Services	David Ross Executive Director Division of Contracts & Purchasing

Vendor Names & Address	**Bus Company** Bobby's Bus Granpa's Bus Little Linda Bus Little Lisa Bus Little Richie Bus Logan Bus Lorinda Enterprises Lorissa Bus	**Attendant Company** Little Richie Matron Company Logan Matron for Logan Bus Lorinda Matron Company Little Linda Matron Company Little Lisa Bus Escorts	97-14 Atlantic Avenue Ozone Park, NY 11416
	Jofaz Transportation		1 Coffey Street Brooklyn, NY 11231

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to extend contracts with the vendors listed above to provide pupil transportation and attendants for Special and General Education students in grades K-12 attending schools throughout the city. The extension term will be from July 1, 2013 through August 31, 2015.

DISCUSSION

The Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) is responsible for providing and coordinating transportation services to and from school for eligible students in both public and non-public schools. Transportation services include stop-to-stop and door-to-door busing. Alternatively, the DOE provides MetroCards for use on public transportation.

Daily school bus transportation services are provided to approximately 160,000 students on almost 8,700 distinct daily bus routes for the regular school year and 2,000 routes during the summer. The fleet used to provide these services consists of approximately 7,800 buses (including spares) operated by 60 bus companies.

Most of the current contracts for the transportation of school-age pupils were originally bid in 1979, 1982, and 1984; a subsequent group of school-year contracts for transporting non-disabled riders was bid in 1986. Smaller groups of summer contracts for students with disabilities, a specialized contract for non-ambulatory students with disabilities, and school-year special contracts for all students were bid in 1988, 1989, 1994, and 1995. More recently, the DOE issued a Request for Bids (RFB 2192) in December 2012 for approximately 20 percent of its special education school bus routes, with the pursuant contracts to commence on September 1, 2013.

The DOE considered a number of factors in determining which routes to include in this RFB. First, the RFB only included routes expiring under the Special Education contracts because the geographic system to be used under the RFB corresponds with the current geographic areas under Special Education contracts that will continue beyond 2013. The DOE did not include routes expiring under the General Education contracts because the geographic system to be used under the RFB does not correspond with the geographic areas under General Education contracts that will continue beyond 2013. Second, the DOE also chose to bid out only a portion of the Special Education contracts, necessitating an extension of some of the expiring Special Education routes, for reasons of administrative convenience and efficiency. To address the need to extend certain routes, the DOE is proposing to extend approximately 874 Special Education routes under contracts with Logan Bus Co., Inc. ("Logan") and 182 Jofaz Transportation General Education routes as they are two of the DOE's largest and lowest priced school bus transportation providers with a proven track record in meeting the DOE's operational needs. Logan had all of its Special Education routes expiring in June 2013 (approximately 1,100 routes), and the DOE believed it should extend Logan's cheapest routes to ensure both sufficient and cost effective service after June 2013.

New York State Education Law permits extensions of transportation contracts originally subject to the competitive bidding requirement: "...The requirement for competitive bidding shall not apply to annual, biennial, or triennial extensions of a contract nor shall the requirement for competitive bidding apply to quadrennial or quinquennial year extensions of a contract involving transportation of pupils...." As such, the OPT has requested and been granted authorization by New York State to periodically extend these contracts.

The value of these contract extensions are based on current prices for two years. As the routes extended are the least expensive routes allowing for the overall lowest cost to the DOE, prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

DCP's Vendor Research and Analysis Unit has completed background checks on all of the vendors listed above and has identified the following noteworthy information on affiliates Bobby's Bus Company, Lorissa Bus Service, and Logan Bus Company:

• VENDEX contains a caution related to the investigation of DOE bus inspectors conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and resulted in the 2009 conviction of several former bus inspectors on bribery, extortion, and conspiracy charges for taking cash payments from a

number of bus companies. As a result of this investigation, Logan and several of its affiliated entities, though not charged with an offense, were required to sign a Monitoring Agreement that included retaining an Independent Private Sector Inspector General (IPSIG) to oversee its operations and compliance with City contracts.

• There are news reports of a number of instances in which Logan Bus or its affiliates failed to properly safeguard children and did not comply with all rules regarding the hiring of drivers and attendants. In light of the facts that the Logan family of companies consists of 40 entities, that these matters occurred over five years ago, and that Logan has a history of satisfactory performance with the DOE, the DOE does not believe these matters preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible.

Noteworthy information has also been identified for Jofaz Transportation:

• VENDEX lists two cautions. The first concerns an investigation of DOE bus inspectors conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and resulted in the 2009 conviction of several former bus inspectors on bribery, extortion, and conspiracy charges for taking cash payments from a number of bus companies. As a result of this investigation, Jofaz signed a monitoring agreement that included retaining an Independent Private Sector Inspector General (IPSIG) to oversee its operations and compliance with City contracts. The second caution relates to the criminal complaint in this same matter filed against Joseph Fazzia, Sr., a principal at Jofaz.

• A 2012 investigation conducted by SCI substantiated that a school bus driver and bus escort employed by Boro Wide committed misconduct, including allowing students to exit the bus at a small grocery store, purchase items for the driver and attempting to get the email address of an eleven-year-old. The driver was subsequently terminated and the attendant was suspended from duty in accordance with his collective bargaining agreement and never returned to his position with Boro Wide. DOE has found Boro Wide's corrective action plan, which included providing ethics training and enhanced internal memoranda on these matters to drivers and attendants, to be satisfactory.

All vendors included here have provided satisfactory service in the past and have been determined to be responsible.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EXTENSION

Vendor	Attendant	FY14 Vehicle Service	FY15 Vehicle Service	FY14 Attendant	FY15 Attendant	Total FY14 & FY15
Logan Bus	Logan Matron	$26,959,715	$27,768,507	$9,240,539	$9,517,755	**$73,486,516**
Lorinda Ent.	Lorinda Matron	$2,967,207	$3,056,223	$1,162,552	$1,197,428	**$8,383,410**
Little Lisa Bus	Little Lisa Bus Escorts	$4,355,611	$4,486,279	$1,644,309	$1,693,638	**$12,179,837**
Little Richie	Little Richie Matron	$45,351,199	$46,711,735	$17,401,370	$17,923,411	**$127,387,714**
Little Linda	Little Linda Matron	$1,020,216	$1,050,822	$420,880	$433,506	**$2,925,423**

GENERAL EDUCATION EXTENSION

Vendor	FY14	FY15	Total FY14 & FY15
Bobby's	$4,751,427	$4,893,970	**$9,645,396**
Granpa's	$14,210,334	$14,636,644	**$28,846,978**
Logan Bus	$3,550,963	$3,657,492	**$7,208,455**
Lorissa Bus	$4,623,270	$4,761,968	**$ 9,385,238**
Jofaz Transportation	$17,968,187	$18,507,233	**$36,475,420**

Item: 2

Description:

Contract extension for summer transportation and attendant service for special education students.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND CONTRACTS FOR SUMMER SCHOOL K-12 PUPIL TRANSPORTATION AND ATTENDANTS

Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$19,985,263	Tax Levy	Two Months	None	Extension	No	Requirement

Vendor Names & Addresses	See table below.

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Lisa D'Amato Director, Contracts Management Unit Office of School Support Services	Eric Goldstein Chief Executive Office of School Support Services	Olga Nieves Chief Administrator

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to extend contracts with the vendors listed below to provide pupil transportation and attendants for Special Education students in grades K-12 attending summer school throughout the city. The extension term will be from July 1, 2013 through August 31, 2013.

DISCUSSION

The Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) is responsible for providing and coordinating transportation services to and from school for eligible students in both public and non-public schools. Transportation services include stop-to-stop and door-to-door busing. Alternatively, the DOE provides MetroCards for use on public transportation.

Daily school bus transportation services are provided to approximately 160,000 students on almost 8,700 distinct daily bus routes for the regular school year and 2,000 routes during the summer. The fleet used to provide these services consists of approximately 7,800 buses (including spares) operated by 60 bus companies.

Most of the current contracts for the transportation of school-age pupils were originally bid in 1979, 1982, and 1984; a subsequent group of school-year contracts for transporting non-disabled riders was bid in 1986. Smaller groups of summer contracts for students with disabilities, a specialized contract for non-ambulatory students with disabilities, and school-year special contracts for all students were bid in 1988, 1989, 1994, and 1995. More recently, the DOE issued a Request for Bids (RFB 2192) in December 2012 for approximately 20 percent of its special education school bus routes, with the pursuant contracts to commence on September 1, 2013.

The current contracts providing summer service expire June 30, 2013. Therefore, a two-month extension of the current contracts is needed for uninterrupted transportation services during the summer months. An RFB was issued on April 30, 2013 to cover summer transportation services beginning July 1, 2014.

New York State Education Law permits extensions of transportation contracts originally subject to the competitive bidding requirement: "...The requirement for competitive bidding shall not apply to annual, biennial, or triennial extensions of a contract nor shall the requirement for competitive bidding apply to quadrennial or quinquennial year extensions of a contract involving transportation of pupils...." As such, the OPT has requested and been granted authorization by New York State to periodically extend these contracts.

The value of these contract extensions are based on current prices for two months. Prices were originally competitively determined through a bid process; they have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

DCP's Vendor Research and Analysis Unit has completed background checks on all of the vendors listed below and has identified the following noteworthy information:

- VENDEX lists two cautions for Boro Wide Buses and its affiliate Jofaz Transportation. The first concerns an investigation of DOE bus inspectors conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and resulted in the 2009 conviction of several former bus inspectors on bribery, extortion, and conspiracy charges for taking cash payments from a number of bus companies. As a result of this investigation, Boro Wide (and its affiliate Jofaz) signed a monitoring agreement that included retaining an Independent Private Sector Inspector General (IPSIG) to oversee its operations and compliance with City contracts. The second caution relates to the criminal complaint in this same matter filed against Joseph Fazzia, Sr., who is not currently a principal at Boro Wide.

- A 2012 investigation conducted by SCI substantiated that a school bus driver and bus escort employed by Boro Wide committed misconduct, including allowing students to exit the bus at a small grocery store, purchase items for the driver and attempting to get the email address of an eleven-year-old. The driver was subsequently terminated and the attendant was suspended from duty in accordance with his collective bargaining agreement and never returned to his position with Boro Wide. DOE has found Boro Wide's corrective action plan, which included providing ethics training and enhanced internal memoranda to drivers and attendants, to be satisfactory.

All vendors have provided satisfactory service in the past and have been determined to be responsible.

VENDORS PROVIDING PUPIL TRANSPORTATION AND ATTENDANTS FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION STUDENTS IN GRADES K-12 ATTENDING SUMMER SCHOOL

BUS VENDOR	ESCORT COMPANY	ESTIMATED TRANSPORTATION AMOUNT	ESTIMATED ESCORT AMOUNT	ESTIMATED TOTAL
HOYT TRANSPORTATION 2516 Neptune Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11224	HOYT	$968,676	$346,033	$1,314,708
BORO WIDE BUSES, INC. 1 Coffey Street Brooklyn, NY 11231	CANAL	$27,836	$207,810	$235,646
CITYWIDE TRANSIT INC. P.O. Box 2009 Long Island City, NY 11102	CIFRA ESCORTS	$294,671	$154,598	$449,269
JOFAZ TRANSPORTATION 1 Coffey Street New York, NY 11231	CANAL ESCORTS	$988,237	$394,482	$1,382,719
LITTLE RICHIE BUS 97-14 Atlantic Avenue Ozone Park, NY 11416	LITTLE RICHIE MATRON CO.	$1,563,295	$659,060	$2,222,356
LOGAN BUS COMPANY INC. 97-14 Atlantic Avenue Ozone Park, NY 11416	LOGAN MATRON	$4,716,144	$1,579,398	$6,295,542
LORINDA ENTERPRISES LTD. 97-14 Atlantic Avenue Ozone Park, NY 11416	LORINDA MATRON CO.	$3,662,407	$1,214,164	$4,876,571
MAR-CAN TRANSPORTATION 318 East Third Street Mount Vernon, NY 10553	B-ALERT	$354,153	$382,500	$736,653
RAINBOW TRANSIT INC. P.O. Box 2099 Long Island City, NY 11102	CIFRA ESCORTS	$1,185,946	$1,172,905	$2,358,851
TWENTY FIRST AVENUE 145-165 Wolcott Street Brooklyn, NY 11231	PRESTIGE DEVELOPMENT	$69,657	$43,291	$112,949
	TOTAL	**$13,831,021**	**$6,154,242**	**$19,985,263**

Item: 3

Description:

Contract extension for computer adaptive assessment services.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND A CONTRACT TO PROVIDE COMPUTER ADAPTIVE ASSESSMENT SERVICES (RFP# R0039)

Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Extension Term	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,061,875	Tax Levy	One Year (9/1/13- 8/31/14)	Extension per DOE Procurement Policy and Procedures Section 4-07 (b)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Scantron Corporation/Global Scholar 1313 Lone Oak Road Eagan, MN 55121

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Lisa Karlich Director, Periodic Assessments Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Rachel Feinberg Chief Operating Officer Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Paul Simms Procurement Analyst Central Office Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to extend a contract with Scantron Corporation to provide computer adaptive assessments and supports for the periodic assessment program. The contract would otherwise expire on August 31, 2013.

DISCUSSION

Computer adaptive assessments utilize test items that uniquely change the difficulty of each successive question in a test, according to the student response. The reports generated by the assessment highlight the proficiency of each student relative to his or her grade level or Individualized Educational Program, thereby enabling teachers to discern the range of student abilities in their classrooms and identify the individualized instructional supports a student might need to improve or accelerate learning.

The original RFP (R0039) for this work, issued in 2007, consisted of six components. CTB was awarded contracts for three components that totalled $19 million annually: "Predictive Assessments," "Instructionally Targeted Assessments," and "Customized Assessments." Scantron was awarded "Computer Adaptive Assessments" with an annual amount of $4 million.

Scantron was selected for this component over the other two proposers because its proposal demonstrated capacity and a clear understanding of how services would be implemented at scale. The committee found that the quality of the research, alignment of test items to standards, technological infrastructure, user-friendly system and reports, and the relevance of the professional development and other support services differentiated Scantron from its competitors.

During the contract extension period Scantron will provide:

- Approximately 115,000 student access licenses and supporting software components for all required subject areas and grade levels;
- Data Reporting tools which provide educators with access to a variety of reports that can allow them to make instructional decisions for their students;
- Access to fully customized computer adaptive assessments multiple times throughout the year for the following subjects and grade levels:
  - Reading Comprehension for Grades 2-11;
  - Language Arts for Grades 2-11;
  - Mathematics for Grades K-8, and Algebra and Geometry for High School Students;
  - Spanish Mathematics for Grades 2-8;
- Implementation support for assessment administration (help desk support, professional development);
- A program manager to coordinate work and resolve issues with educators in the field.

Due to the complexity of the algorithm used to determine the optimal number and the variety of test questions needed for computer adaptive assessments customized for each K-12 grade, the DOE does not have the expertise necessary to develop these assessments in-house and must therefore contract for these services.

The Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS) was still analyzing the use of CAT assessments and the relationship with Common Core Standards when the Periodic Assessment (PA) RFP was being drafted. This analysis was not completed, nor were the CAT specifications drafted, prior to the completion of the PA RFP. Not wanting to delay the release of the PA RFP as administration of the non-CAT assessments was a priority, DAPS decided to release a separate RFP to address the CAT assessments at a later time. Moreover, there was a concern that inclusion of the CAT assessments in the PA RFP would inflate costs. DAPS is currently drafting a CAT RFP and anticipates entering into a contract for new CAT assessments by September 2014. This extension is necessary to prevent a gap in services because the current contract will expire before a successor contract is in place.

On February 14, 2013, the Chancellor's Committee on Contracts approved a request to extend this contract for one year, effective September 1, 2013, on the basis of the need for continuity of service.

The extension will have the same terms, conditions, and unit prices as the originally competitively awarded contract. The estimated contract amount is based on program expenditures for FY2013. Since the original contract's unit prices have not changed, pricing has been determined to be fair and reasonable.

A review by the Division of Contracts and Purchasing (DCP) of its records and public records identified no significant adverse information for Scantron. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 4

Description:

Contract extension for promotional and incentive items where schools can measure student's success.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND VANGUARD DIRECT'S OFFICE OF CITYWIDE PURCHASING (OCP) CONTRACT FOR PROMOTIONAL AND INCENTIVE ITEMS

Estimated Extension Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$417,000	Tax Levy	One Year	None	None	Government to Government via Office of Citywide Purchasing (OCP) Extension	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Vanguard Direct 519 8th Avenue, 23rd Floor New York, NY 10018

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Andrea Black Director School Based Procurement	Ray Burke Chief Administrator Innovation & Vendor Resources	Steve Ladolcetta Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to extend a contract with Vanguard Direct for promotional and incentive items. The contract would otherwise expire on April 30, 2013.

DISCUSSION

The DOE has utilized the Office of Citywide Purchasing (OCP) contract with Vanguard Direct for the past five years. Through this contract, Vanguard Direct supplies school and offices with promotional and incentive items such as awards, silk-screened T-shirts, magnets, etc. These items are used by schools to recognize individual achievements such as a student's successful completion of an academic-based after-school program or participation in school-based activities, and by DOE offices to publicize new programs or events.

OCP has extended the contract for one year until April 30, 2014. A one-year extension is requested to ensure that promotional and incentive items will be available without interruption for the various programs that utilize this contract. The extension amount is based on the average usage over the past five years.

The original contract was awarded via a competitive sealed bid to which 12 vendors responded. Vanguard Direct was determined to be the lowest responsive, responsible bidder and was thus awarded the contract.

Pricing and terms and conditions for this contract extension will remain unchanged. Thus the pricing for this extension has been determined to be fair and reasonable.

Vanguard Direct has performed satisfactorily during their initial contract period; they have therefore been determined to be a responsible vendor.

Item: 5

Description:

WITHDRAWN

Item: 6

Description:

Extension of food services distribution contracts.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND FOOD SERVICES DISTRIBUTION EMERGENCY CONTRACTS

Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$66,100,000	Reimbursable	180-Day Extension	None	Emergency	No	Requirements

Vendor Names & Addresses	Chef's Choice Food Distributor Inc. 1051 Utica Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11203
	The Maramont Corporation 5600 First Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11220
	Metropolitan Foods DBA Driscoll Foods 174 Delawanna Ave. Clifton, NJ 07014
	Teri Nichols Institutional Food Merchants LLC 10101-C Avenue D Brooklyn, NY 11236

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Janice Zapinsky Office of School Support Services (OSSS)	Fior Castellon Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Office of School Food (OSF) to extend the Department's four food services distribution contracts in accordance with the Department's Procurement Policy and Procedures, Section 3-09 – Emergency Purchases. These are emergency extensions lasting 180 days, providing continuity of food distribution services for approximately 1,215 OSF-operated kitchens citywide.

DISCUSSION

These contracts were competitively bid and awarded in August 2004 and May 2006. They were to have expired February 28, 2013, but the award of new contracts has been delayed due to complex qualification and responsibility determination issues. They were originally extended for 120 days until June 30, 2013, but continued complexities regarding qualification of vendors under the new RFB makes it is necessary to extent the existing emergency contracts for an additional 180 days, until December 31, 2013. The additional extension of these contracts will enable the Department to continue receiving food distribution without interruption until the new contracts are in place.

Vendor Research and Price Analysis conducted comprehensive background checks on the four food distributors. No significant adverse information was uncovered for Driscoll Foods and Teri Nichols.

In 2001, Frank Russo, who owned Chef's Choice at that time, and affiliated company FHR, pled guilty to antitrust conspiracy and mail fraud charges in connection with a bid-rigging scheme involving DOE food contracts and contracts with Odyssey House, a substance abuse treatment organization that received significant funding from New York State. Mr. Russo, along with his company FHR, were ordered to pay at least $2.12 million to the DOE as part of a $12,327,500 total restitution order against all the defendants. According to the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to the case, Mr. Russo and his company paid an additional 166K in 2006 (unpaid costs spread among all defendants in the aftermath of the case). Mr. Russo also was sentenced to 21 months in prison and 36 months of supervised release. Frank Russo's son, Pasquale Russo, took over Chef's Choice in August 2000. The Special Commissioner of Investigation for the New York City School District thanked the vendor for their "substantial assistance" during the investigation. The company's more recent record of satisfactory performance and the passing of Frank Russo in 2008 lead the DOE to conclude these matters should not preclude a finding that Chef's Choice is a responsible vendor.

Prior to the renewal of this contract in 2009, the DOE learned about an investigation by the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) and United States Department of Justice involving Maramont's current CFO who, while working as a manager for another company, American Italian Pasta Company (AIPC), pled guilty to conspiring to engage in various fraudulent accounting practices which overstated earnings and concealed AIPC's actual financial condition from stockholders from 2002 to 2004. AIPC paid a $7.5 million penalty and the current CFO of Maramont pled guilty to conspiring to engage in various fraudulent accounting practices that concealed the entity's true financial condition in order to deprive holders of the stock their right to honest services. (The SEC also filed a civil suit against the entity resulting in an approximately $100k penalty). The DOE advised Maramont to self-report this matter in its VENDEX Vendor Questionnaire, which it did. Moreover, the CEO of Maramont has attested that the company was aware of the pending investigation when the CFO was hired in 2005 and has not found any inaccuracies related to the CFO's work at Maramont. Maramont currently has a contract of over $20 million per annum with the City of Philadelphia servicing 250 schools. It also has smaller contracts with Jersey City and the NYC Housing Authority. In 2013, a report by an inspector general for Chicago's public school system (CPS) found that Preferred Meals Systems, an affiliate of Maramont, provided perks to government employees for preferential treatment. Reports indicate that CPS continues to work with Preferred Meal Systems and the company took action regarding the employees implicated in the matter. In light of the size of the company, which generated more than $80 million in revenue in 2012, and Maramont's history of satisfactory performance on contracts with the DOE and the Department of Homeless Services, the DOE does not believe these matters preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible.

OSF has confirmed that all these vendors have been providing satisfactory service; they have therefore been determined to be responsible.

Pricing will remain the same during the extension period and has therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable. Estimated costs are based on past usage.

Vendors – RFB 1C373	Area	Est. Total Contract Value / 180 Days
Chef's Choice Food Dist	Staten Island	$3,000,000
The Maramont Corporation	Brooklyn 2	$12,100,000
Metropolitan Foods DBA Driscoll Foods	Queens	$16,100,000
Teri Nichols Institutional	Manhattan/Brooklyn 1	$19,200,000
RFB 1C373 Totals		**$50,400,000**

Vendor – RFB 1C168	Area	Est. Total Contract Value / 180 Days
Metropolitan Foods DBA Driscoll Foods	Bronx	$15,700,000

Grand Totals		**$66,100,000**

Item: 7

Description:

WITHDRAWN

Item: 8

Description:

Electronic system for the reimbursement of services to medicaid-eligible students who require school bus transportation.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH NAVMAN WIRELESS NORTH AMERICA TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO SUPPORT CLAIMS FOR MEDICAID REIMBURSEMENT FOR PUPIL TRANSPORTATION (R0961)

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
Year 1: $2,658,000 Year 2: $3,954,000 Year 3: $2,592,000 Total: $9,204,000	Tax Levy	Three Years	One 2-Year Extension	$5,184,000	Expedited Competitive Solicitation (ECS)	No	Requirement

Vendor Name & Address	Navman Wireless North America, LP 2701 Patriot Blvd., Room 125 Glenview, Il 60026

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Lisa D'Amato Director, Contracts Management Unit Office of School Support Services	Sande Pisik Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Navman Wireless North America to provide an electronic system that will collect and disseminate the information necessary to claim reimbursement for Medicaid-eligible students with IEPs who receive specialized school bus transportation as recommended on the students' IEPs.

DISCUSSION

Approximately 150,000 students ride 6,000 buses to and from school throughout New York City. The DOE can receive reimbursement from Medicaid for some of the cost of providing IEP recommended transportation services to students with IEPs. The DOE currently uses a manual system to track Medicaid-eligible transportation services; electronic collection of this data will more effectively provide the information necessary for reimbursement. The data necessary for Medicaid reimbursement includes student, driver, and attendant identification, and the time, date, and location of student pick-ups and drop-offs.

This procurement sought the services of a vendor to capture the data necessary for the DOE to comply with Medicaid reimbursement rules while interfacing with current DOE data systems. Route management services are an integral component of the Medicaid reimbursement process. The scope of services for this procurement included two components:

Component 1

- Installation, maintenance, and replacement of electronic equipment that captures identities of students transported on school buses that currently provide transportation to students with IEPs whose IEPs recommend transportation or that potentially will in the future.
- Route integration services, including turn-by-turn navigation software, and vehicle tracking and routing software integrated with an on-board terminal. This GPS technology identifies pupil pick-up and drop-off locations required for Medicaid claiming.
- Reports that provide Medicaid-required data points.

- Training and technical support following the installation of this equipment.

Component 2

- Providing Medicaid claiming services, including data extraction and preparation and claim submission.

The Department does not intend to award Component 2 at this time as there is an in-house system in place for performing these services. However, if the in-house system proves insufficient, the Department will engage the services of Navman to perform the services of Component 2. A new Request for Authorization will be issued before acting on Component 2.

Three proposals were received. All met minimum qualifications and were evaluated by a committee drawn from the Offices of School Support Services (OSSS), Pupil Transportation (OPT), and Financial Systems and Business Operations (FSBO), who used the following criteria: organizational capacity (25 points), price (25 points), program plan (20 points), technical requirements (10 points), system integration (15 points), and demonstrated effectiveness (5 points). The committee invited the two highest scoring companies, Navman Wireless and Education Logistics, to advance to the next round and make presentations. The third proposer was eliminated because its proposal was oriented more toward consulting and did not include the provision and installation of the necessary hardware, which resulted in a score outside the competitive range.

The committee selected Navman based on its view that they offered superior hardware and integration software, they had more experience in the field, and lower pricing.

Negotiations with Navman resulted in a savings of eight percent, or about $1.25 million, over the five-year term of the contract compared to their original proposal. Navman agreed to the DOE paying for the hardware, maintenance, and software licensing on a monthly basis after the units are installed (i.e., as opposed to upfront charges for all 6,000 buses). This revised payment plan lowered costs for maintenance and product integration, account management, and training. Navman has also agreed not to charge $199 per bus for de-installing or re-installing equipment on buses during the first 24 months of the contract; this negotiated provision represents a significant cost savings to the DOE, as current bus vendors are changing due to new bus contract awards. Finally, Navman agreed to extend its pricing from the contracted 6,000 buses to 7,500 during the initial three-year contract term.

The DOE will purchase software (via an enterprise license), software integration, hardware (Qube and MNav), with installation and maintenance services for 3,000 buses for each of Years 1 and 2 of the contract, and will pay for maintenance and support of the hardware units for a minimum of 36 months once installed. The optional two-year extension period's estimated cost of $5,184,000 will pay for the maintenance and support of the 6,000 installed units.

PRICING: 3 YEARS + 2-YEAR OPTION TO EXTEND (5 YEARS TOTAL)

Vendor	Original Proposal (Software Only)	Original Proposal (Hardware and Software)	BAFO (Hardware and Software)	Savings
Navman	**$12,772,400**	**$15,644,000**	**$14,388,000**	**$1,256,000 (8%)**
Edulog	$12,792,000	$27,720,075	NA **	
PCG	$ 6,855,000	*	NA	

* PCG did not offer hardware in their proposal.

** The committee did not request a BAFO from Edulog because it preferred Navman's proposal and Navman's price was lower by margins so wide that a BAFO from Edulog would not change the selection for award.

In that this was a competitive procurement and Navman offered the lowest price, there is no need for a cost price analysis in order to determine that pricing is fair and reasonable.

The Vendor Research & Pricing Analysis (VRPA) unit's background check on Navman found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 9

Description:

Early childhood educational services for four year olds in the Universal Pre-kindergarten (UPK) program.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH PROVIDERS OF EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION SERVICES FOR FOUR-YEAR-OLDS IN THE UNIVERSAL PREKINDERGARTEN (UPK) PROGRAM (RFP R0968)

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amounts	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$16,488,868 / $82,444,342	New York State Education Department (NYSED), Tax Levy	Three Years	Options to Extend for Two 1-Year Periods or One 2-Year Period	$32,977,736	Request for Proposals (RFP)	No	Requirement

Vendor Name & Address	See attached table.

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Chris McKay Director of Operations Office of Early Childhood Education	Maxine Needle UPK Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the 90 vendors listed in the attached table to provide high-quality instructional programming as part of the Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) program.

DISCUSSION

In 1997, the New York State Education Department (NYSED) established the Universal Prekindergarten program (UPK), which entitles eligible four-year-olds to receive 2.5 hours of instructional programming for 180 days at no charge to families. The UPK program operates citywide in public and non-public school settings. Achieving universal access to prekindergarten services depends on the partnerships that link community-based organizations with the public schools. By law, ten percent of funding for the UPK program must be allotted to community-based organizations to achieve universal access to prekindergarten services.

Previous UPK solicitations were for only half-day seats. However, in September 2012 the Mayor and the Chancellor announced that tax levy funding would be made available for 4,000 additional full-day seats in high-needs areas.

A Request for Proposals (RFP) was released in December 2012, seeking to award eligible high-quality early childhood providers who are willing to collaborate with the DOE's Office of Early Childhood (OECE) Education to implement the UPK Program in New York City districts with a need for more seats than the DOE is able to offer within public schools. The RFP contained two components, each to be evaluated and awarded separately:

- Component A – Half-day
- Component B – Full-day

The vendors were expected to propose a consistent weekly schedule for half-day programs (2.5 hours per day) and/or full-day programs (6 hours and 20 minutes), between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm, 5 days per week for 180 days of the school year.

The Office of Early Childhood Education performed a needs analysis to determine areas to target for full-day seats and a separate analysis to determine high-needs half-day areas. Areas were determined based on the following criteria:

- Economic Needs Index (based on student population in Temporary Housing, with HRA eligibility, and with Free Lunch Eligibility);
- Low student achievement in elementary school (based on proficiency on State Exams);
- The percentage of children not in any prekindergarten programs (DOE public school, DOE CBO, Administration for Children's Services CBO, or private) the year before Kindergarten;
- UPK-seat need gap (i.e., areas where fewer UPK seats are available than Kindergarten seats assigned). While the full-day analysis looked at overall seats, it emphasized full-day seats in order to determine where full-day seats were needed, whereas the half-day analysis simply emphasized overall seats.

Sites located within a New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) buildings were considered high-needs areas and some vendors outside the prioritized high-needs areas were nevertheless awarded full-day seats based on their recruitment of students from high-needs areas.

Some minimum qualifications from previous solicitations were revised to enable additional vendors to qualify. Changes to the minimum qualifications include:

- Instead of requiring 12 months' experience, the on-site educational director must have at least 24 months' experience ending within the past two years or must have NYSED certification as an educational director, a principal at a public or private school, or a teacher.
- Vendors were given an extended period of time (until August 1, 2013) to submit DOHMH permits and insurance certificates for their proposed sites if they demonstrated intent to rent by submitting letter of intent or lease agreement. Contract award is contingent upon the vendors' timely submission of these documents.

Of 471 proposals submitted, 181 were for Component A and 290 for Component B, of which 99 were in targeted high needs areas. One vendor did not meet the minimum qualifications and four proposals were submitted past the deadline. These vendors were notified that their proposals were found to be non-responsive; none of these vendors protested. Five vendors withdrew their proposals. Sixty-eight (68) vendors were recommended for award for Component A and 51 for Component B (33 of which are vendors with current UPK contracts whose contracts will be amended through a separate RA). Five vendors were recommended for award for both components.

To evaluate proposals, each Early Childhood Field Office convened a three-member evaluation committee that included two instructional professionals and one operations professional. Proposals were scored using the following evaluation criteria:

Response Category	Maximum Points
Program Plan	15
Organizational Capacity	15
Demonstrated Effectiveness	15
Site Visit	55
Total	**100**

All vendors who met the minimum qualifications, scored at or above the 80 points, and presented fair and reasonable prices are recommended for award. Of these vendors, Nine (9) are recommended for half-day seats and are currently contracted for Early Learn and were awarded Direct Federal Head Start. Half-day awards to these vendors are contingent upon them not continuing with their Early Learn contracts. In addition, fourteen vendors who proposed for Component B in high needs areas and scored between 70-79 on at least one of the sites they proposed for were given the opportunity to improve portions of their proposals. This resulted in six additional vendors recommended for award.

The RFP selection process was used because the qualitative evaluation of program, staff, and site environment are the most important criteria for award.

The New York State Education Department (NYSED) provides half day funding that averages $3,571 per child per year. The average half day cost per child through these proposed contracts is expected to be $3,599. In FY12, the average cost per child in DOE-contracted full day programs was $7,755 and the average for FY13 is $7,759. The average full day funding is $7,777.

For vendors with contracts whose amounts are estimated to be under $1 million, DCP's review of the City's VENDEX files, its own procurement files, and federal debarment databases found the vendors listed below have performed unsatisfactorily on previous City contracts.

- Volunteers of America
- Phipps Community Development Corp.
- Birch Family Services
- Catholic Charities Neighborhood Services
- Sunnyside Community Neighborhood Services

Given the length of time that has passed since the ratings, the circumstances under which the ratings were assigned, and the vendor's good standing with the City agencies with whom they are still contracting, the vendors were determined to be responsible.

Other vendors with Adverse Findings

- Leake & Watts: Leake and Watts's VENDEX reported the death of a 16-year-old resident of their Residential Treatment Center who was placed in a therapeutic hold so he would not hurt himself or others during a disturbance. Police and SED investigations found no negligence on the part of the vendor.

For vendors with contracts whose amounts are estimated to be over $1 million, the above databases were searched, as well as any others deemed appropriate and necessary.

BOROUGH	CSD	COMPONENT A VENDOR LEGAL NAME	ESTIMATED SEATS AWARDED	ESTIMATED AWARDED PRICE PER SEAT	ESTIMATED ANNUAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	ESTIMATED 3 YEAR + 2 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION AMOUNT
X	9	Christ the King Elementary School	18	$3,500.00	$63,000.00	$315,000.00
M	1	Bank Street College of Education	40	$3,700.00	$148,000.00	$740,000.00
X	10	Lehman College Student Child Care Center	18	$3,600.00	$64,800.00	$324,000.00
X	10	Jasmine Educational Enterprise Riverdale Montessori	36	$3,600.00	$129,600.00	$648,000.00
X	9	Sharon Baptist Board of Directors	36	$3,500.00	$126,000.00	$630,000.00
X	8	Leake and Watts Services, Inc.	20	$3,500.00	$70,000.00	$350,000.00
X	7	B' Above Worldwide	36	$3,500.00	$126,000.00	$630,000.00
M	2	Lenox Hill Neighborhood House, Inc.	100	$3,900.00	$390,000.00	$1,950,000.00
M	2	Chinese American Planning Council, Inc.	36	$2,680.91	$96,512.76	$482,563.80
M	2	BMCC Early Childhood Center	20	$3,115.35	$62,307.00	$311,535.00
M	1	Educational Alliance, Inc.	17	$3,280.00	$55,760.00	$278,800.00
X	8	Just 4 Kids Soundview Center, Inc	18	$3,550.00	$63,900.00	$319,500.00
M	3	Montclare Children's School	40	$3,600.00	$144,000.00	$720,000.00
M	4	Northside Center for Child Development, INC	18	$3,500.00	$63,000.00	$315,000.00
x	10	Habitot, ES.LLC	36	$3,600.00	$129,600.00	$648,000.00
M	2	Lighthouse International Child Dev. Ctr.	18	$3,600.00	$64,800.00	$324,000.00
X	11	Tender Tots Decatur LLC	18	$3,400.00	$61,200.00	$306,000.00
K	22	Bambi Early Childhood Educational Center	40	$3,900.00	$156,000.00	$780,000.00
K	21	Yeshivat Darche Eres Inc	36	$3,750.00	$135,000.00	$675,000.00
K	22	Talmud Torah Crown Heights Yeshivah	36	$3,980.31	$143,291.16	$716,455.80
R	31	Islander's Kids, Inc	36	$3,900.00	$140,400.00	$702,000.00
K	20	Generation 21	36	$3,800.06	$136,802.16	$684,010.80
K	13	Imagine Early Learning Center DUMBO	30	$3,842.10	$115,263.00	$576,315.00
R	31	Catholic School Region of Staten Island	38	$3,683.02	$139,954.76	$699,773.80

BOROUGH	CSD	COMPONENT A VENDOR LEGAL NAME	ESTIMATED SEATS AWARDED	ESTIMATED AWARDED PRICE PER SEAT	ESTIMATED ANNUAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	ESTIMATED 3 YEAR + 2 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION AMOUNT
K	21	Cinderella Day Care Center	13	$3,600.00	$46,800.00	$234,000.00
R	31	Staten Island mental Health Society Inc.	40	$3,713.83	$148,553.20	$742,766.00
K	20	Congregation Machna Shalva	40	$3,800.00	$152,000.00	$760,000.00
K	17	Bnos Menachem Inc	36	$3,212.38	$115,645.68	$578,228.40
K	14	yeshiva jesode Hatorah Vez Chaim	31	$3,763.99	$116,683.69	$583,418.45
K	21	Red Hat Day Care Center, Inc	15	$4,000.00	$60,000.00	$300,000.00
K	31	Bumblebees-R-Us Inc	18	$3,929.13	$70,724.34	$353,621.70
K	31	Richmond Hill Children's Center	18	$4,125.00	$74,250.00	$371,250.00
K	15	Bubblebee Day Care LLC	20	$3,700.92	$74,018.40	$370,092.00
K	16	Bedford-Stuyvesant Early Childhood Development Center	187	$4,006.85	$749,280.95	$3,746,404.75
K	14	Puerto Rican Family Institute, Inc	25	$3,347.36	$83,684.00	$418,420.00
K	20	Project Social Care Head Start Inc	18	$3,761.88	$67,713.84	$338,569.20
K	15	The United Methodist City Society	36	$3,397.88	$122,323.68	$611,618.40
K	15	The Research Foundation of SUNY/Stanley Lamm Institute Preschool	16	$3,700.00	$59,200.00	$296,000.00
K	31	Little LAMB PreSchool	16	$3,915.54	$62,648.64	$313,243.20
K	21	Sunshine Center of Coney Island	18	$3,597.83	$64,760.94	$323,804.70
k	14	Yeled V'Yalda Early Childhood Center, INC	230	$3,600.00	$828,000.00	$4,140,000.00
K	15	Brooklyn Chinese-American Association	36	$3,250.00	$117,000.00	$585,000.00
K	20	Beth Jacob of Boro Park	40	$3,849.11	$153,964.40	$769,822.00
Q	24	Catholic Charities Neighborhood Services, Inc.	50	$3,520.00	$176,000.00	$880,000.00
Q	24	Moving Up Children Center, Inc.	32	$3,470.00	$111,040.00	$555,200.00
Q	24	Star Paradise Inc.	40	$3,555.00	$142,200.00	$711,000.00
Q	24	Little Friends at Emelia's Kids	18	$3,525.00	$63,450.00	$317,250.00
Q	25	Kon Gen Corp.	18	$3,540.00	$63,720.00	$318,600.00
Q	25	Positive Beginnings Inc.	22	$3,530.00	$77,660.00	$388,300.00

BOROUGH	CSD	COMPONENT A VENDOR LEGAL NAME	ESTIMATED SEATS AWARDED	ESTIMATED AWARDED PRICE PER SEAT	ESTIMATED ANNUAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	ESTIMATED 3 YEAR + 2 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION AMOUNT
Q	25	Rainbow Child Development Center Inc.	18	$3,525.00	$63,450.00	$317,250.00
Q	25	Tiny Footsteps Inc.	18	$3,525.00	$63,450.00	$317,250.00
Q	26	Appletree Day Care Center Inc.	24	$3,695.00	$88,680.00	$443,400.00
Q	26	KOG Industries, Inc.	18	$3,545.00	$63,810.00	$319,050.00
Q	26	The Lutheran Schools of Flushing and Bayside	36	$3,520.00	$126,720.00	$633,600.00
Q	27	Congregation Darchei Torah	40	$3,598.00	$143,920.00	$719,600.00
Q	28	Ira's Parkway Daycare & Preschool, Inc.	18	$3,526.00	$63,468.00	$317,340.00
Q	28	All My Children Day Care & Nursery School	18	$3,550.00	$63,900.00	$319,500.00
Q	28	Renanim Manhattan, Inc. dba Renanim Daycare Corp.	14	$3,541.00	$49,574.00	$247,870.00
Q	28	Afro American Parents Educational Center Inc.	54	$3,495.00	$188,730.00	$943,650.00
Q	28	Brighter Futures Learning Center	15	$3,545.00	$53,175.00	$265,875.00
Q	29	Committee for Early Childhood Development Day Care Center, Inc.	72	$3,500.00	$252,000.00	$1,260,000.00
Q	29	Quick Start Day Care Center Inc.	30	$3,550.00	$106,500.00	$532,500.00
Q	30	ABC Therapies SLP,OT,PSYCH & Chiro / Kids Time Daycare	18	$3,535.00	$63,630.00	$318,150.00
Q	30	Sunflower Kidz Daycare LLC.	16	$3,520.00	$56,320.00	$281,600.00
Q	30	Sunnyside Community Services	18	$3,565.00	$64,170.00	$320,850.00
Q	30	Mi Nuevo Mundo I	18	$3,500.00	$63,000.00	$315,000.00
Q	30	Police Athletic League Inc.	72	$3,560.00	$256,320.00	$1,281,600.00
K	32	Kreative Kare Day Care Center, Inc.	18	$3,525.00	$63,450.00	$317,250.00
			Total	Average	Total	Total
			2345	$3,599	$8,520,780	$42,603,898

BOROUGH	CSD	COMPONENT B VENDOR LEGAL NAME	ESTIMATED SEATS AWARDED	ESTIMATED AWARDED PRICE PER SEAT	ESTIMATED ANNUAL CONTRACT AMOUNT	ESTIMATED 3 YEAR + 2 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION AMOUNT
K	17	The Children's Center at SUNY Brooklyn	16	$7,818	$125,085	$625,426
X	12	Little Scholars Early Development	18	$7,900	$142,200	$711,000
K	19	Boulevard Nursery School Inc	20	$6,303	$126,060	$630,298
K	14	Labor and Industry for Education, Inc	38	$8,100	$307,800	$1,539,000
K	20	Long Xing Day Care Center	58	$7,500	$435,000	$2,175,000
K	14	Catholic Charities Neighborhood Services, Inc.	60	$7,228	$433,686	$2,168,430
K	15	Henry Cooper Westendarp dba PAVE PreKindergarten	54	$8,399	$453,521	$2,267,603
R	31	Castle Day Care	18	$8,300	$149,400	$747,000
R	31	Castle Day Care II	18	$8,338	$150,084	$750,420
K	19	United Community Day Care Center	36	$8,261	$297,400	$1,487,002
M	6	Ft. George Community Enrichment Center, Inc.	36	$7,600	$273,600	$1,368,000
M	4	Boys and Girls Harbor, Inc.	36	$7,900	$284,400	$1,422,000
X	12	Kennedy Child Study Center	36	$7,513	$270,468	$1,352,340
X	11	Sts. Philip & James School	54	$7,000	$378,000	$1,890,000
K	32	Labor & Industry For Education, Inc.	40	$8,100	$324,000	$1,620,000
Q	27	B'Above World wide Institute	54	$8,120	$438,480	$2,192,400
Q	30	Catholic Charities (at Ravenswood)	54	$7,323	$395,442	$1,977,210
			Total	Average	Total	Total
			592	$7,774	$4,589,184	$22,945,918

BOROUGH	CSD	COMPONENTS A & B VENDOR LEGAL NAME	Estimated HD Seats Awarded	Estimated HD Price Per Seat	Estimated HD Annual Contract Amount	Half Day ESTIMATED 3 YEAR + 2 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION AMOUNT	Estimated FD Seats Awarded	Estimated FD Price Per Seat	Estimated FD Annual Contract Amount	Full Day ESTIMATED 3 YEAR + 2 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION AMOUNT
K	19	Colony South Brooklyn Houses	80	$3,299	$263,880	$1,319,400	180	$6,929	$1,247,261	$6,236,307
K	17	Phyl's Day Care Center , Inc.	18	$3,825	$68,841	$344,207	36	$7,785	$280,259	$1,401,293
K	14	YM&YWHA of Williamsburg, Inc.	40	$3,510	$140,410	$702,052	36	$7,117	$256,204	$1,281,022
Q	29	Montesori Progressive	18	$3,515	$63,270	$316,350	36	$7,325	$263,700	$1,318,500
K	19	B'Above Worldwide Institute, inc.	54	$3,750	$202,515	$1,012,573	72	$8,230	$592,564	$2,962,822
			Total	Average	Total	Total	Total	Average	Total	Total
			210	$3,580	$738,916	$3,694,582	360	$7,477	$2,639,989	$13,199,944

Item: 10

Description:

Sports uniforms for schools.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT FOR IMPRINTED SPORTS UNIFORMS

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$915,675 / $4,578,375	Tax Levy	Five Years	None	Competitive Sealed Bid	No	Requirements

Vendor Names & Addresses	See Table A Below for Awardees and Contract Data.

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Andrea Black Director School Based Procurement	Ray Burke Chief Administrator Innovation & Vendor Resources	Beverly Hamilton Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the vendors named below to provide imprinted sport uniforms. Schools will be allowed to select from a wide variety of uniforms, which may include customization. These contracts will be utilized on an as-needed basis by schools and central offices. Funding will come from individual school/office budgets.

DISCUSSION

A Request for Bids (RFB) was released consisting of 15 manufacturers' catalog lines for imprinted sport uniforms. These lines were selected based on past usage; the combined total of these lines' products represents approximately 70 percent of DOE expenditures for these commodities. Additionally, the bid included line items for customization such as school names, logos, numbers, etc. Bidders were encouraged to bid on multiple manufacturers' catalogs.

Vendors bid a percentage discount off manufacturers' published price lists and were also required to give line item pricing for customization. Bid prices were determined by adding the cost for the line items along with the cost for customization.

Eight bids were received, with between three to eight vendors bidding on each item. An evaluation of the bids determined that Hat World, Port Jefferson Sporting Goods, Direct Promotions, Vanguard Direct, and Royale Sporting Goods Co. were the lowest responsive, responsible bidders for the proposed catalog lines. The totals for each catalog line are shown in Table B at the end of this RA.

An evaluation of bids for manufacturers' catalog lines 1 (ANVIL), 7 (FLEXFIT / YPOONG), 8 (GILDAN), and 10 (JERZEES) revealed that, due to an ambiguity in the language of the solicitation, the low bidder for each of these lines bid an increase over wholesale cost, rather than offering a discount off the suggested retail price; moreover, those vendors bidding an increase over wholesale cost used differing wholesale prices as the bases for their bids. These four catalog lines will therefore be re-bid, specifying that bidders must provide a discount off the retail price list, so award recommendations for these lines will be covered in a separate RA.

This RA covers the remaining 11 manufacturer lines. The bids for manufacturer's catalogs 2-6, 9, and 11-15 were evaluated and the recommendations for awards are shown on the table at the end of this RA.

DCP's review of the offered prices determined that they are on average 15 percent lower than previous contract prices for the analogous product lines. The vendors recommended for award submitted bids reflecting a discount off list price. To confirm that the awarded vendors are offering the lowest prices, DCP used the discounts that each vendor offered to compare pricing of commonly used items.

Lines 6, 9, 13, and 14 are new to the DOE; thus, no price comparison could be established, but the awarded vendors have guaranteed in writing that no customer receives better pricing. The prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The contracts will allow for price adjustments upward or downward, to be calculated annually starting January 1, 2014, and each year of the contract thereafter. The percentage discounts offered in the bid will remain the same for the life of each contract.

Comprehensive background reviews conducted by DCP's Vendor Research & Price Analysis (VRPA) unit for Pete & Dick Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Port Jefferson Sporting Goods and DRN'S Corp. Carousel Ads New York, Direct Promotions revealed no significant adverse information. Cursory reviews conducted for Hat Word, Inc. d/b/a Anaconda Sports, Vanguard Direct, Inc., and Royale Sporting Goods Co., Inc. using VENDEX, Vendor CIP reports, the EPLS–Fed Debar List, and the Uniform Commercial Code Lien Search (UCC) also revealed no significant adverse information.

All vendors recommended for award have held previous contracts with the DOE under which they performed satisfactorily. They have therefore been determined to be responsible with respect to performing according to the terms and conditions for these contracts.

Table A. Awardee Names, Addresses, and Contract Amounts.

Vendor Name & Address	Estimated Annual Amount	Total Contract Amount
Hat World, Inc. d/b/a Anaconda Sports 85 Katrine Lane Lake Katrine, NY 12449	$159,090	$795,450
Pete & Dick Enterprises, Inc. DBA Port Jefferson Sporting Goods 1395 Route 112 Port Jefferson Station, NY 11776	$229,550	$1,147,750
DRN's Corp. Carousel Ads New York, Direct Promotions 140 58th Street, Unit 3F Brooklyn NY 11220	$352,000	$1,760,000
Vanguard Direct, Inc. 519 8th Avenue, 23rd Floor New York, NY 10018	$73,560	$367,800
Royale Sporting Goods Co., Inc. 4201 First Ave., 3rd Floor Brooklyn, NY 11232	$101,475	$507,375
Totals	**$915,675**	**$4,578,375**

Table B. Bids Received by Manufacturer Catalog Line for Imprinted Sports Uniforms.

Item No.	Manufacturer's Catalog Line	Bidders	% Discount Off List Price	% Increase Over Cost	Total Bid Amount
2	BADGER	**Port Jefferson Sporting Goods**	**41%**		**$70,850**
		Vanguard Direct	33%		$73,560
		Royale Sporting Goods	28%		$73,975
		Fleetwood Student Sales	25%		$80,025
		Kenmar Shirts	20%		$85,500
		Hat World dba Anaconda Sports	41%		$114,090
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645
		DRN's Corp.		18%	$118,800
3	BRUTE	**DRN'S Corp.**	**42%**		**$58,800**
		Port Jefferson Sporting Goods	31%		$80,850
		Vanguard Direct	23%		$83,560
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645
		Hat World dba Anaconda Sports	23%		$132,090
		Royale Sporting Goods		30%	$132,850
4	CLIFF KEEN	**Port Jefferson Sporting Goods**	**31%**		**$80,850**
		Royale Sporting Goods	20%		$82,100
		Vanguard Direct	23%		$83,560
		DRN's Corp.		18%	$118,800
		Hat World dba Anaconda Sports	30%		$125,090
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645
5	CHAMPION	**DRN's Corp.**	**42%**		**$116,800**
		Vanguard Direct	33%		$140,560
		Fleetwood Student Sales	30%		$145,025
		Port Jefferson Sporting Goods	31%		$149,850
		Kenmar Shirts	20%		$165,500
		A Stitch Above		15%	$231,645

Table B. Bids Received by Manufacturer Catalog Line for Imprinted Sports Uniforms (continued).

Item No.	Manufacturer's Catalog Line	Bidders	% Discount Off List Price	% Increase Over Cost	Total Bid Amount
6	CHAMPRO (UNIFORMS ONLY)	**DRN's Corp.**	**42%**		**$58,800**
		Royale Sporting Goods	32%		$69,850
		Vanguard Direct	33%		$73,560
		Port Jefferson Sporting Goods	34%		$77,850
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645
		Hat World dba Anaconda Sports	49%		$106,090
9	HIGH FIVE	**Vanguard Direct**	**33%**		**$73,560**
		Royale Sporting Goods	24%		$78,475
		Port Jefferson Sporting Goods	31%		$80,850
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645
		DRN's Corp.		18%	$118,800
11	NIKE TEAM	Hat World dba Anaconda Sports	**48%**		**$159,090**
		Vanguard Direct	12%		$182,560
		A Stitch Above		15%	$231,645
		DRN's Corp.		18%	$236,800
12	ROYALE SPORTING GOODS	**Royale Sporting Goods**	**50%**		**$101,475**
		Vanguard Direct	23%		$160,560
		DRN'S Corp.		18%	$236,800
13	TEAMWORK	**DRN's Corp.**	**42%**		**$58,800**
		Vanguard Direct	33%		$73,560
		Royale Sporting Goods	23%		$79,100
		Port Jefferson Sporting Goods	31%		$80,850
		Fleetwood Student Sales	25%		$80,275
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645

Table B. Bids Received by Manufacturer Catalog Line for Imprinted Sports Uniforms (continued).

Item No.	Manufacturer's Catalog Line	Bidders	% Discount Off List Price	% Increase Over Cost	Total Bid Amount
14	ULTRA CLUB	**DRN's Corp.**	**42%**		**$58,800**
		Fleetwood Student Sales	25%		$79,525
		Vanguard Direct	23%		$83,560
		Kenmar Shirts	20%		$85,500
		Port Jefferson Sporting Goods	22%		$89,850
		A Stitch Above		15%	$116,645
		Royale Sporting Goods		35%	$137,100
15	UNDER ARMOUR	**Port Jefferson Sporting Goods**	**34%**		**$77,850**
		Kenmar Shirts	20%		$85,500
		Vanguard Direct	12%		$94,560
		DRN's Corp.		18%	$118,800
		A Stitch Above		20%	$121,645

Item: 11

Description:

WITHDRAWN

Item: 12

Description:

Amendment of award for Universal Pre-Kindergarten contracts to provide full day services.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO AMEND CONTRACTS WITH SELECTED PROVIDERS IN THE UNIVERSAL PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

Estimated Annual Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$14,372,472	New York State Education Department (NYSED), Tax Levy	Remainder of the Contract (See Table below)	None	Contract Amendment via RFP per Section 4-08 (b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	No	Requirement

Vendor Name & Address	See Table below.

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Chris McKay Director of Operations Office of Early Childhood Education	Maxine Needle UPK Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to amend half-day (HD) Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) contracts with the 34 vendors listed below so as to provide that they can offer full-day (FD) services for four-year-olds for the remaining term of their contracts. The overall term of the contracts remains unchanged.

DISCUSSION

In 1997, the New York State Education Department (NYSED) established the Universal Prekindergarten program (UPK), which entitles eligible four-year-olds to receive 2.5 hours of instructional programming for 180 days at no charge to families. The UPK program operates citywide in public and non-public school settings. Achieving universal access to prekindergarten services depends on the partnerships that link community-based organizations with the public schools. By law, ten percent of funding for the UPK program must be allotted to community-based organizations to achieve universal access to prekindergarten services.

Previous UPK solicitations were for only half-day seats. However, in September 2012 the Mayor and the Chancellor announced that tax levy funding would be made available for 4,000 additional full-day seats in high-needs areas.

A Request for Proposals (RFP) was released in December 2012, seeking to award eligible high-quality early childhood providers who are willing to collaborate with the DOE's Office of Early Childhood (OECE) Education to implement the UPK Program in New York City districts with a need for more seats than the DOE is able to offer within public schools. The RFP contained two components, each to be evaluated and awarded separately:

- Component A – Half-day
- Component B – Full-day

The vendors were expected to provide propose a consistent weekly schedule for half-day programs (2.5 hours per day) and/or full-day programs (6 hours and 20 minutes), in high-needs districts between 8:00 AM and 4:30 PM, five days per week for 180 days of the school year.

The Office of Early Childhood Education (OECE) performed a needs analysis to determine areas to target for full-day seats and a separate analysis to determine high-needs half-day areas. Areas were determined based on the following criteria:

- Economic Needs Index (based on student population in Temporary Housing, with HRA eligibility, and with Free Lunch Eligibility);
- Low student achievement in elementary school (based on proficiency on State Exams);
- The percentage of children not in any prekindergarten programs (DOE public school, DOE CBO, Administration for Children's Services CBO, or private) the year before Kindergarten;
- UPK-seat need gap (i.e., areas where fewer UPK seats are available than Kindergarten seats assigned). While the full-day analysis looked at overall seats, it emphasized full-day seats in order to determine where full-day seats were needed, whereas the half-day analysis simply emphasized overall seats.

Sites located within New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) buildings were considered high-needs areas and some vendors outside the prioritized high-needs areas were nevertheless awarded full-day seats based on their recruitment of students from high-needs areas.

Some minimum qualifications from previous solicitations were revised to enable additional vendors to qualify. Changes to the minimum qualifications include:

- Instead of requiring 12 months' experience, the on-site educational director must have at least 24 months' experience ending within the past two years or a NYSED certification as an educational director, a principal at a public or private school, or a teacher.
- Vendors were given an extended period of time (until August 1, 2013) to submit DOHMH permits and insurance certificates for their proposed sites if they demonstrated intent to rent by submitting letter of intent or lease agreement. Contract award is contingent upon the vendors' timely submission of these documents.

Vendors with continuing UPK contracts were given the opportunity to apply if they wished to add full-day seats. Two hundred ninety (290) proposals were submitted for Component B, of which 99 were in high-needs areas. One-hundred and forty-seven (147) of the proposals were continuing vendors and 34 were recommended for full-day seats.

To evaluate proposals, each Early Childhood Field Office convened a three-member evaluation committee that included two instructional professionals and one operations professional: Program Manager, Instructional Project Manager, Operations Analyst, Administrative Assistant, and/or Instructional Coordinator. Proposals were scored using the following evaluation criteria:

Response Category	Maximum Points
Program Plan	15

Organizational Capacity	15
Demonstrated Effectiveness	15
Site Visit	55
Total	**100**

All vendors who met the minimum qualifications, scored at or above the 80 points, and presented fair and reasonable prices are recommended for award. Fourteen (14) vendors who proposed for Component B in high-needs areas and scored between 70 and 79 were given the option to improve portions of their proposals. Three (3) were vendors who had continuing contracts were offered this option resulting in all three being recommended for award.

For vendors whose contract amount is estimated to be under $1 million, DCP's review of the City's VENDEX files, its own procurement files, and federal debarment databases found that Volunteers of America and Bronxworks performed unsatisfactorily on previous City contracts. Given the length of time that has passed since the ratings, the circumstances under which the ratings were assigned, and the vendor's good standing with the City agencies with whom they are still contracting, they have been determined to be responsible.

The RFP selection process was used because the qualitative evaluation of program, staff, and site environment are the most important criteria for award.

In FY12, the average cost per child in DOE-contracted full day programs was $7,755 and the average for FY13 is $7,759. The average full-day funding is expected to be $7,777.

The list of vendors below is being recommended for an amendment to their current half-day contracts.

BOROUGH	CSD	VENDOR LEGAL NAME	Contract Term / Remaining Years on HD Contract	HD Seats Registered for Original Contract	Estimated HD Price	Remaining Balance on HD Contract	R0968 Estimated HD Seats	Estimated Annual HD Cost	Estimated FD Seats	Estimated FD Price	Estimated Annual FD Cost	Total HD/FD Cost for Remainder of Contract	Amended Total Needed (Amount Requested)
K	19	St. Peter's Lutheran Church	2012-2015 2	72	$4,028	$580,000	0	$0	72	$8,054	$579,887	$1,159,775	$579,774
R	31	4 Angels Day Care, Inc	2012-2014(R0736) 1	13	$3,350	$43,550	0	$0	13	$6,050	$78,650	$78,650	$35,100
K	20	Star America Inc.	2012-2015 2	161	$3,650	$1,175,300	146	$532,900	15	$8,200	$123,000	$1,311,800	$136,500
K	16	Mary Mcleod Bethune Child Development Center	2012-2015 2	20	$3,620	$144,794	0	$0	36	$8,000	$288,013	$576,027	$431,232
K	20	Gateway City Church, Inc	2012-2015 2	136	$3,900	$1,060,800	136	$530,400	20	$7,900	$158,000	$1,376,800	$316,000
K	17	Highlights Academy, Inc	2012-2015 2	20	$4,000	$160,000	0	$0	38	$8,707	$330,872	$661,744	$501,744
K	20	Happy Dragon of New York	2013-2014 1 (1 year Renewal Contract)	58	$3,350	$194,300	40	$134,000	72	$8,000	$576,000	$710,000	$515,700
K	16	Bedford-Stuyvesant Early Childhood Development Center	2012-2015 2	63	$4,091	$515,477	0	$0	115	$8,462	$973,086	$1,946,173	$1,430,695
K	20	Long Xing Day Care	2012-2015 2	56	$3,750	$420,000	0	$0	28	$7,500	$210,000	$420,000	$0

BOROUGH	CSD	VENDOR LEGAL NAME	Contract Term / Remaining Years on HD Contract	HD Seats Registered for Original Contract	Estimated HD Price	Remaining Balance on HD Contract	R0968 Estimated HD Seats	Estimated Annual HD Cost	Estimated FD Seats	Estimated FD Price	Estimated Annual FD Cost	Total HD/FD Cost for Remainder of Contract	Amended Total Needed (Amount Requested)
K	19	B' Above Worldwide Institute	2012-2015 2	18	$3,693	$132,962	0	$0	18	$8,230	$148,141	$296,282	$163,320
K	14	Sheltering Arms/DBA Episcopal Social Services	2013-2015 2 (2 year Renewal Contract)	18	$6,841	$246,292	0	$0	18	$7,000	$126,000	$252,000	$5,708
X	11	Little Stars School, Inc.	2012-2015 2	76	$3,950	$600,452	16	$63,205	60	$7,600	$456,000	$1,038,411	$437,959
X	11	Little Stars School, Inc.	2012-2015 2	72	$3,916	$563,841	36	$140,960	40	$7,600	$304,000	$889,920	$326,080
X	11	Little Stars School, Inc.	2012-2015 2	72	$3,905	$562,344	36	$140,586	40	$7,600	$304,000	$889,172	$326,828
X	9	Volunteers of America-Greater N.Y., Inc.	2012-2015 2	16	$4,005	$128,160	0	$0	16	$8,250	$132,000	$264,000	$135,840
X	11	1199SEIU Childcare Corp.	2013-2015 2 (2 year Renewal Contract)	60	$3,536	$424,320	0	$0	78	$8,100	$631,800	$1,263,600	$839,280
X	9	BronxWorks	2012-2015 2	54	$3,504	$378,473	0	$0	36	$8,000	$288,000	$576,000	$197,527
Q	27	On Our Way Learning Center	2012-2015 2	20	$3,855	$154,200	0	$0	20	$7,710	$154,200	$308,400	$154,200
Q	28	Bessie & Nora	2012-2014(R0736) 1	18	$3,310	$59,580	0	$0	18	$7,900	$142,200	$142,200	$82,620

BOROUGH	CSD	VENDOR LEGAL NAME	Contract Term / Remaining Years on HD Contract	HD Seats Registered for Original Contract	Estimated HD Price	Remaining Balance on HD Contract	R0968 Estimated HD Seats	Estimated Annual HD Cost	Estimated FD Seats	Estimated FD Price	Estimated Annual FD Cost	Total HD/FD Cost for Remainder of Contract	Amended Total Needed (Amount Requested)
Q	29	Laurelton Day Care	2012-2015 2	36	$3,535	$254,520	18	$63,630	18	$7,485	$134,730	$396,720	$142,200
Q	30	Jackson Children's Services	2012-2014(R0736) 1	30	$3,361	$100,827	30	$100,827	9	$8,300	$74,700	$175,527	$74,700
Q	29	Stepping Stone of SE Queens	2013-2014 1 (1 year Renewal Contract)	54	$3,450	$372,600	0	$0	54	$8,150	$440,100	$440,100	$67,500
K	23	The Family and Life Center of Mt. Ararat	2012-2015 2	36	$3,500	$252,000	0	$0	36	$7,010	$252,360	$504,720	$252,720
M	6	Birch Family Services	2012-2015 2	14	$3,668	$102,708	0	$0	14	$8,000	$112,000	$224,000	$121,292
X	12	Phipps Community Development Corp	2012-2015 2	34	$3,475	$236,332	0	$0	72	$8,000	$576,000	$1,152,000	$915,668
X	10	Amalgamated Nursery School	2011-2014 1	40	$3,800	$152,000	20	$76,000	20	$8,250	$165,000	$241,000	$89,000
X	10	Child Development Center Mosholu Montefiore Community	2012-2015 2	54	$3,541	$382,444	36	$127,481	90	$7,700	$693,000	$1,640,963	$1,258,519
X	11	St. Francis of Assisi	2012-2014(R0736) 1	36	$3,467	$124,801	0	$0	108	$7,500	$810,000	$810,000	$685,199

BOROUGH	CSD	VENDOR LEGAL NAME	Contract Term / Remaining Years on HD Contract	HD Seats Registered for Original Contract	Estimated HD Price	Remaining Balance on HD Contract	R0968 Estimated HD Seats	Estimated Annual HD Cost	Estimated FD Seats	Estimated FD Price	Estimated Annual FD Cost	Total HD/FD Cost for Remainder of Contract	Amended Total Needed (Amount Requested)
M	6	Washington Heights Hellenic Orthodox Community St. Spyridon	2012-2015 2	90	$3,450	$620,998	18	$62,100	72	$8,000	$576,000	$1,276,200	$655,201
X	11	Northeast Bronx Day Care Center	2012-2015 2	182	$4,350	$1,583,400	146	$635,100	36	$8,000	$288,000	$1,846,200	$262,800
X	5	Urban Concepts of NY-Round The Clock Nursery	2012-2015 2	80	$3,802	$608,262	40	$152,066	40	$7,362	$294,479	$893,090	$284,827
X	10	Urban Concepts of NY-Round The Clock Nursery	2012-2015 2	108	$3,802	$821,154	48	$182,479	60	$7,362	$441,719	$1,248,395	$427,241
X	10	3467 Ready, Set, Learn LLC	2013-2015 2 (2 year Renewal Contract)	54	$3,400	$367,200	0	$0	72	$7,900	$568,800	$1,137,600	$770,400
X	10	Brenda's Playschool	2012-2015 2	12	$4,037	$96,897	0	$0	12	$8,200	$98,400	$196,800	$99,903
				Total	Average	Total	Total	Total	Total	Average	Total	Total	Total
				1871	**$3,784**	**$13,524,093**	**766**	**$2,941,734**	**1454**	**$7,815**	**$11,430,738**	**$26,147,467**	**$12,623,374**

Item: 13

Description:

Arts education services in the areas of dance, music, theatre, visual arts and the moving image. (RA #6)

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION (RA #6) FOR ARTS EDUCATION SERVICES (MTAC R0891)

Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$500,000 / $2,500,000	Various	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirements

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Paul King Executive Director, Office of Arts & Special Projects	Aldrina Hazell Procurement Analyst, Instructional Service Procurement

Vendor's Name and Address	Components	
Learning Through An Expanded Arts Program (LEAP) 441 West End Avenue, #2G New York, NY 10024	1 - Dance 2 - Music 3 - Theatre	4 - Visual Arts 5 - Moving Image 6 - Parent Engagement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Office of Arts and Special Projects (OASP) to contract with the above-named vendor to provide Arts Education Services. The awarded vendor will offer direct services to students with optional related professional development and parent engagement in the areas of dance, music, theater, visual arts, and the moving image. These services will be provided at the discretion of each participating school or central office operating on behalf of a school or consortium of schools. Arts services contracts are used by nearly all public and non-public schools.

DISCUSSION

LEAP will deliver high-quality arts services that support and advance teaching and learning by building on the *Blueprint for the Arts* and the New York State Learning Standards (NYSLS) for the Arts. The *Blueprint* forms the basis for instructional programs in dance, music, theater, visual arts, and the moving image and is built upon five strands of Arts Learning: Arts Making; Literacy in the Arts; Making Connections; Community and Cultural Resources; and Careers and Life-long Learning. Exemplary services will incorporate the guidelines established in the *Blueprint* while enhancing studies in other areas.

To date, 107 proposals have been received and 82 have been approved for contract award.

Proposals were distributed to an evaluation committee that includes current and retired school principals, assistant principals, Arts Coordinators, and Directors from OASP, all of whom are knowledgeable about the *Blueprint* and the NYSLS for the Arts. They have participated in developing the *Blueprint*, are currently implementing quality arts programming, and possess years of experience within the NYC public schools or DOE central offices. To ensure scoring consistency, a training session was conducted for all committee members, in which they received an overview of the process and a scoring rubric. Proposals were evaluated using the following criteria: program plan (25 points), organizational capacity (25 points), demonstrated effectiveness (25 points) and price (25 points). Successful vendors were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points.

LEAP provides services in all six component areas. In a one-hour moving image workshop, for example, students create a video documentary using Chinese Shadow Puppets to relate the story of the Silk Route and its role in the development of civilization. During a music residency, students learn to read music, play instruments, compose simple pieces of music, and perform with other students. They learn ballet basics during dance, study Shakespeare in theatre workshops, and discuss Andy Warhol's techniques and materials during visual arts presentations.

Table A shows LEAP's proposed and negotiated average hourly rate. LEAP was able to increase the number of session hours and participants, resulting in a 12 percent improvement in savings to the DOE.

TABLE A		
Awarded Vendor	Original Average Hourly Rate	Negotiated Average Hourly Rate
Learning Through an Expanded Arts Program	$162	$142

Hourly rates were analyzed and compared with rates charged by similar providers under previous contracts and were determined to be fair and reasonable based on those comparisons.

The estimated annual contract amount was derived from the average expenditures of LEAP's previous contracts.

The vendor performed services satisfactorily under previous contracts. A comprehensive background check performed by DCP's Vendor Research & Price Analysis (VRPA), including VENDEX, the Excluded Parties List, and the Uniform Commercial Code, found no liens, warrants or other tax matters, but disclosed that a bookkeeper used some of the organization's funds for her personal expenses. The bookkeeper was immediately terminated and LEAP initiated a system of internal controls to ensure this never recurred. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

It is necessary to contract for these services because the DOE does not possess the expertise necessary to meet the objectives of this program.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be able to secure services via a purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors, who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

Item: 14

Description:

Professional development for teachers and administrators who provide services to English Language Learners. (RA #6)

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (MTAC#1C617) RA #6

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$30,000 / $150,000	Various, including Tax Levy & Reimbursable Funds	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Awarded Components
American Institutes of Research 1000 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Washington, DC 20007	1, 3, 4

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Angelica Infante Executive Director, Office of English Language Learners Division of Students with Disabilities & ELLs	Joy Gentolia Director, Instructional Service Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with American Institutes of Research (AIR) to provide professional development services for teachers and administrators working with English Language Learners in the development of skills in language appropriate to the classroom context. These services will be provided at the discretion of each participating school.

DISCUSSION

This is the sixth request for authorization (RA) for comprehensive professional development services for ELL programs.

Vendors were asked submit proposals for one or more of the following components:

- Component 1 - Professional development services in Spanish;
- Component 2 - Professional development services in one or more languages such as Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, Haitian Creole, Korean, Russian, or Urdu;
- Component 3 - Professional development services in academic language development;
- Component 4 - Professional development services in mathematics, science, social studies, English, and/or foreign language instruction.

An evaluation committee consisting of three former teachers who are now school administrators within the Office of English Language Learners was established to ensure that the selected vendor will meet schools' needs. Proposals were scored using the following criteria: program plan (25 points), demonstrated effectiveness (25 points), organizational capacity (25 points), and price (25 points).

Eight vendors have been awarded contracts for these services pursuant to five previous RAs.

A vendor can offer a single workshop for a component or offer an à la carte menu of services covering the four components listed above.

AIR offers professional development focused on the integration of English language learning skills across content areas, research and best practices for teaching ELLs, and providing technical assistance to support such practice.

The workshops are delivered in a multiphase approach for up to 50 participants at $348 per hour. In phase one, participants learn to use and develop exemplary lessons and instructional practices in language arts, mathematics, and science that align with Common Core standards. AIR introduces teachers to various strategies that engage their students in sustained reading and writing activities. The second phase focuses on developing instruments with which participants learn to assess ELLs on an ongoing basis and adjust instruction to ensure that ELLs are meeting standards. Coaching, classroom observations, and follow-up are provided to support implementation of strategies, classroom organization, and management.

Negotiations resulted in a 75 percent drop in AIR's price, from an average of $1,400 per hour to an average of $348 per hour. AIR opted to reduce the materials, indirect staff hours, and administrative costs of their original offering. The Cost Price Analysis (CPA) unit has determined pricing to be fair and reasonable based on a comparison with hourly rates for like services by vendors contracted under this solicitation, which average $630 per hour.

The estimated annual contract amount for AIR was based on the estimated minimum amount for new vendors with English language learners professional development requirements contracts of $30,000.

Background checks, including VENDEX, the Federal Excluded Parties List, the Uniform Commercial Code Lien Search, and the Vendor Watch List, found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Contracts for these services are necessary because the DOE does not possess the personnel required to provide them.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be able to secure services via a purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

Item: 15

Description:

Whole school reform services for transitional plans that ultimately help schools continue reform efforts on their own. (RA #6)

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION (RA #6) FOR WHOLE SCHOOL REFORM SERVICES (MTAC R0895)

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$120,000 / $600,000	Various	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirements

Vendor's Name & Address	Component
Teachscape, Inc. 71 Stevenson Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105	C

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Eduardo Contreras Chief Operating Officer Division of Portfolio and Planning	Xavier Cerda Procurement Analyst, Instructional Service Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Division of Portfolio and Planning (DPP) to contract with Teachscape, Inc. for Whole School Reform (WSR) services. WSR services are necessary to support the New York State Education Department (NYSED) initiative to provide services in schools it has identified as in need of improvement (i.e., SINI, corrective action, restructuring, PLA, or SURR). These programs will be provided at the discretion of each participating school or central office operating on behalf of a school or consortium of schools.

DISCUSSION

The awarded vendors will provide services to support central offices, cluster/network teams, and school staff in the planning and development of comprehensive whole school restructuring and sustainability programs. The ultimate goal is for program designs to function as transitional plans that ultimately lead schools to continue reform efforts on their own.

Vendors proposed for one or more of the following: Component A – Elementary School (Prek-Grade 5); Component B – Middle School (Grades 6-8); Component C – High School (Grades 9-12).

Twenty-eight proposals have been received and evaluated by a minimum of three members drawn from a pool of nine evaluators. These proposal were evaluated by a former math teacher, now Chief of Staff of New School Development, the Director of School Improvement Grant Fiscal Management, and the Director of Title I Program Reporting and Fiscal Management. Proposals were scored based on the following criteria: program plan (30 points), organizational capacity (15 points), pricing (25 points), and demonstrated effectiveness (30 points). Successful vendors were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points.

The committee has recommended a total of 13 proposals for award, 10 were named in the prior five requests for authorization and one is named in this request. Two have opted not to continue with the contracting process.

Teachscape offers instructional programs, student support, and job-embedded professional development, including teacher and leader effectiveness training geared toward whole school reform for high school (Component C). These services help schools improve classroom instruction through focused conversations, and data-driven inquiry and decision making. Program delivery methods include à la carte service packages, workshops, and coaching.

Depending on the phase of reform services implementation, support may include classroom observation, walk-through school reform needs assessment, and on-site one-on-one or small-group instruction. Data is then used to create a customized action plan for improving student scores and graduation and college enrollment rates. Teachscape also provides follow-up on-site support for principals and teacher leaders to facilitate implementation, monitor progress, and measure results.

Negotiations resulted in a 33 percent price drop in program hourly rates, from $583 per hour to $393 per hour, yielding an estimated $215,000 savings to the DOE over the contract's five-year term. The Vendor Cost Price Analysis (VCPA) unit determined Teachscape's pricing to be fair and reasonable based on a comparison with hourly rates for like services by vendors contracted under this solicitation.

The estimated annual contract amount for Teachscape, Inc. was derived from an average of expenditures under their previous contracts.

Background checks included VENDEX, the Uniform Commercial Code, the Federal Tax Lien, and the Federal Excluded Parties List System, which revealed no significant adverse information. Teachscape, Inc. has therefore been determined to be responsible.

It is necessary to contract for these services because the DOE does not possess the expertise necessary to meet the objectives of this program.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be able to secure services via purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

Item: 16

Description:

Student support services including academic enrichment, activities, leadership development, tutoring and homework assistance, parent development, individual, group, and family counseling. (RA#6)

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION (RA #6) FOR STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES (MTAC R0898)

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$630,000 / $3,150,000	Various	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirements

Vendor Names & Addresses	See Table B.

Service Requestor	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Robert Weiner Chief Operating Officer Office of Safety & Youth Development	Joy Gentolia Director Instructional Services Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Office of Safety and Youth Development (OSYD) to contract with the vendors named in Table B below to provide direct student support services and to increase opportunities for educational enrichment. These programs will be provided at the discretion of each participating school or central office operating on behalf of a school or consortium of schools.

DISCUSSION

Student support services sought through this MTAC fall within 19 components as follows:

1) leadership development and civic engagement
2) individual counseling
3) group counseling
4) mentoring
5) recreation/sports
6) college/post-secondary planning
7) career awareness and the world of work
8) conflict resolution/peer mediation
9) violence prevention/student safety
10) bullying prevention
11) substance abuse and problem gambling prevention and intervention
12) Internet safety
13) school attendance improvement
14) family support services
15) tutoring/homework assistance
16) study/test-taking skills
17) academic skills enhancement
18) thematic projects
19) family literacy

These services may take place inside or outside of school during the school day, after school, or on non-school days.

Proposals were distributed to an evaluation committee that included former principals, assistant principals, teachers, guidance counselors, instructional specialists, operations analysts, grant managers, and directors from OSYD. To ensure scoring consistency, a training session was conducted for all committee members in which they received an overview of the process and a scoring rubric. Proposals were scored based on the following criteria: program plan (35 points), organizational capacity (20 points), pricing (25 points), and demonstrated effectiveness (20 points). Successful vendors were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points.

One hundred sixteen (116) proposals have been received in response to this solicitation. Eighty-two (82) vendors were recommended for contract awards under previous RAs and four are recommended in this RA.

A vendor can offer a single workshop for a component or offer an à la carte menu of services covering the 19 components listed above. Table A shows the proposed and negotiated average hourly rates for each vendor. The negotiated average hourly rates range from $38 (St. John's University) for academic and social-emotional counseling to $158 (College Summit) for college/postsecondary planning. College Summit provides a comprehensive system for high schools that embeds a college planning course in the school schedule, using a curriculum and online resource center. The program is designed to equip students to successfully navigate the college application process and develop leadership and life skills. Variations in the hourly rates resulted from differing participant capacity, numbers of instructors, levels of instructors, and numbers of components/programs offered.

Negotiated rates resulted in an average cost savings of approximately 41 percent to the DOE.

TABLE A			
Awarded Vendor		Proposed Average Hourly Rate	Negotiated Average Hourly Rate
1	College Summit, Inc.	$250	$158
2	Early Intervention LLC	$105	$80
3	Phipps Community Development Corporation	$175	$87
4	St. John's University	$90	$38

Hourly rates were found to be comparable to those charged by similar providers under previous contracts and were therefore determined to be fair and reasonable.

The estimated annual contract amounts were based on previous contracts' average expenditures. Background checks included VENDEX, the Uniform Commercial Code, the Federal Tax Lien, and the Federal Excluded Parties List System. As required, comprehensive background checks were performed by DCP's Vendor Research & Price Analysis (VRPA) unit on two vendors (College Summit and St. John's University). No significant adverse findings were noted.

All vendors in this RA have performed services satisfactorily for the past five years under previous contracts and therefore have been determined to be responsible to provide approved services.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be able to secure services via purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

TABLE B			
	Vendor's Name & Address	**Component**	**Estimated Annual / Total Amount**
1	**College Summit, Inc.** 1763 Columbia Road, NW - 2nd Floor Washington, DC 20009	6	$370,000 / $1,850,000
2	**Early Intervention LLC** 153-01 Jamaica Avenue, Room 511 Jamaica, NY 11432	15, 16, 17	$30,000 / $150,000
3	**Phipps Community Development Corporation** 902 Broadway, 13th Floor New York, NY 10010	6, 17	$30,000 / $150,000
4	**St. John's University** 8000 Utopia Parkway, Room 430 Queens, NY 11439	2, 3, 6, 13	$200,000 / $1,000,000

Item: 17

Description:

WITHDRAWN

Item: 18

Description:

Transportation services for students with disabilities and their non-disabled peers.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION

B2192 Transportation Services for Students with Disabilities and Their Non-Disabled Peers

Estimated Annual/ Total Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive	Contract Type
$142,408,886/ Annual $712,044,430/ Total	Tax Levy	Five Years	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirement

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing
Eric Goldstein, Chief Executive	David Ross, Executive Director
Office of School Support Services	Division of Contracts & Purchasing

Vendor Name	Address	Awarded Class	Annual Amount
21st Ave Trans. Co.	145-165 Wolcott Street Brooklyn, NY 11231	1,12,17	$13,017,600
B&F Skilled	2542 West 13 Street Brooklyn, NY 11223	3	1,818,612
Careful Bus Service	5015 Bay Parkway Brooklyn, NY 11230	6	1,186,146
First Steps Trans	2859 West 37th Street Brooklyn, NY 11224	8,18,23,29	5,636,635
GVC	450 Zerega Avenue Bronx, NY 10473	19,22,	10,755,360
Happy Child	195 Bush Street Brooklyn, NY 11231	2,11,13	6,502,482
L&M Bus Corp	3167 Atlantic Avenue Brooklyn, NY 10208	4,15	6,900,300
Leesel	935 Garrison Avenue Bronx, NY 10474	7,10	25,496,899
Mar-can	318 East Third Street Mt. Vernon, NY 10553	26	21,677,760
Montauk Student Transport	3601 Horseblock Road Medford, NY 11763	34	130,140
Mutual Bus Corp	129-02 23rd Avenue College Point, NY 11356	14,16	1,028,412
Phillip Bus Corp	1467 Wolcott Street Brooklyn, NY 11231	9	2,934,000
Selby Transportation Corp	935 Garrison Avenue Bronx, NY 10474	20	223,920
Thomas Buses Inc.	2859 West 37th Street Brooklyn, NY 11224	27,33	2,157,480
Van Trans	75 Commercial Street Plainview, NY 11803	21,24,25,28,30,31,32	16,669,440
Y&M Transit Corp.	76-11 169th Street Fresh Meadows, NY 11366	5	26,273,700

PURPOSE

Approval is sought on behalf of the Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) to contract with the above referenced vendors for bus transportation between the homes and schools of city residents with disabilities attending educational programs located within the greater metropolitan area (i.e., the five boroughs of New York City; Westchester, Rockland, Nassau and Suffolk Counties in New York; Bergen County in New Jersey; and, sites in Connecticut so long as they are within a 50 mile radius of the City's boundaries). The term of these contracts will be five years. The contracts allow for annual price adjustments based upon a composite index that accounts for changes in fuel, labor, and consumer prices.

DISCUSSION

Daily school bus transportation services are provided to approximately 160,000 students on almost 8,700 distinct daily bus routes for the regular school year and 2,000 routes during the summer. The fleet used to provide these services is composed of approximately 7,800 buses (including spares) operated by 60 bus companies. This Request for Bid (RFB) for approximately 1,150 vehicles is the first in a series of competitive bids to replace the current school age transportation contracts bid between 1979 –1995. Since that time the contracts have been extended for varying lengths of time, as authorized by Education Law Section 305, Subdivision 14(a).

The RFB divided the City and other coverage areas into 34 individual classes defined by vehicle type and geographic location of riders and schools. Bidders were provided with a description of the type of bus being bid, the estimated service days and the estimated number of vehicles required to service each class. It is anticipated that service will begin September 1, 2013. The contracts are for five year terms for service through June 30, 2018 with the possibility of extensions pursuant to Section 305.14(a).

The RFB was downloaded by 99 bidders and we received 65 bids from a broad range of vendors including 7 current Pre-Kindergarten contractors, 3 current K-12 contractors and 24 vendors new to the DOE. Prices are approximately 21% lower than under previous contracts. The lower prices and robust competition lead us to conclude that the prices are fair and reasonable.

Prior to the DOE evaluation of vendor capacity, three vendors were determined to be non-responsive. American Logistics and Dorothy Barone, dba Our 5 Gems, submitted bids with qualifications to the bid's terms and conditions. The bid submitted by R.S. Transportation was not signed by an individual or corporation as required in paragraph 2.14 "Bidder Information Certification. R.S. protested the non-responsive determination which was denied. (See Appendix 1)

The DOE then assessed the capacity of vendors with pricing in the competitive range through operational and financial assessments. The operational assessments included onsite inspections to determine capacity of a bidder to provide service by September 1, 2013 based upon infrastructure, operational experience, equipment and safety records. For financial assessments, the DOE engaged consultant services to determine if financial resources are available to fulfill all contractual requirements and to assure that awards were made so as to achieve the lowest overall cost to the Department within the capacity of the vendors.

Bidders in the competitive range were then notified of the Department's determination regarding their operational capacity (number of vehicles, employees, yard space, maintenance plans, etc.) and their financial capacity. Theywere provided an opportunity to submit a formal protest pursuant to Department of Education (DOE) Procurement Policy and Procedures, Section 2.06. Eight vendors submitted protests. Seven of the protests were denied, one was upheld. (See Appendix1)

An algorithm developed under the auspices of the Chairman of NYU's Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences assured that awards were made so as to achieve the lowest overall cost to the Department within the capacity of the vendors.

Those vendors who met the operational and financial requirements underwent background checks.
All have been determined to be responsible vendors, notwithstanding noteworthy information in Appendix 2.

A summary of the responses received for each class, highlighting the awarded vendors and indicating reasons for no-award as needed is in Appendix 3.

Appendix 1 - Protests

The following vendors submitted protests:

R.S. protested a non-responsive determination that was based on the vendor's failure to sign its bid. R.S. claimed they submitted the signature page and that the DOE's PPP, Section 1.9 Minor Informalities clause allowed for such an omission to be curable. The protest officer found that the vendor's claim that properly signed documents were submitted was not sufficient and that this failure to sign the bid is a material defect because the Department had not received a bid that binds the bidder. As recommended by the protest officer the protest was not upheld.

Don Thomas protested that the DOE's determination that their maximum capacity was 46 buses was understated. The company originally indicated that they could service an additional 240 buses above the 37 buses they run for Pre-K Transportation, but their protest sought a revised capacity of up to 60 buses. The DOE's operational assessment resulted in an assessed maximum of 60 additional vehicles; however, a review of the company's financials did not support that revised number. The protest officer found that two vital pieces of information were erroneously omitted prior to the making of the financial determination. After this missing information was supplied and considered, the vendor's capacity was identified as 60 vehicles. This protest was upheld accordingly.

Golden Ring protested the Department's determination that their company had no additional capacity. The DOE's Financial Consultants determined that the accountant who prepared the profit and loss statements for the company was not a licensed CPA in New York and, therefore, did not meet the requirements of the bid. Golden Ring was also cited for an incomplete business plan. Specifically, they failed to submit information on their safety, educational and recruitment plans. Golden Ring was also cited for lack of facilities to support its proposed busing operation. While the company provided a lease through 2011 with a one year renewal option through April 2012 followed by a month-to-month lease agreement, the protest officer found that this month-to-month agreement is not a secure base from which to support an operation because neither the space nor the cost of the agreement is guaranteed to the bidder. Although not mentioned in the non-responsiveness letter, the protest officer found that the vendor failed to provide a surety letter that would serve to protect the interests of the DOE. As recommended by the protest officer the protest was not upheld.

Royal protested that they did not receive a reasonable opportunity to obtain the requested financial documentation and proof to qualify for up to 14 buses. The protest officer determined that the financial consultants assessed the vendor's working capital capacity based on financial documentation provided by the RFB deadline. Based on those reviews it was determined that Royal had a capacity of additional servicing with no more than 2 buses unless they could provide additional documentation. The protest officer found that Royal had ample time to submit the additional documentation and failed to do so. Additionally, Royal protested the DOE's conclusion that the company did not demonstrate operational capacity to support qualification for 14 additional buses. The protest officer found the vendor did not have a finalized agreement for rights of first refusal for the proposed yard to accommodate the additional buses and current resources (garage, maintenance and office facilities) are limited. As recommended by the protest officer the protest was not upheld.[1]

First Steps protested the DOE's determination that the company could provide for a maximum of 60 buses, but only 80 in combination with Thomas Bus. First Steps claimed that it could provide service with up to 60 buses regardless of any award to Thomas Bus. The protest officer noted that a letter that First Steps presented for the lease of additional space contained no guarantee or right of first refusal. The protest officer also noted that First Steps and Thomas share employees for customer service, maintenance, and safety. As recommended by the protest officer the protest was not upheld.

Thomas Bus protested claiming the DOE's determination that the company could provide for a maximum of 60 buses but only 80 in combination with First Steps Bus. Thomas maintained that it could provide service with up to 60 buses regardless of any award to First Steps. The protest officer found that the operational assessment that

[1] Royal also claimed that there were inconsistencies in Department emails regarding their additional capacity. The correct determination was 2 buses.

the vendor's facilities were unable to accommodate more than 80 buses combined with First Steps was reasonable under the circumstances. Noting that a letter presented to lease additional space contained no guarantee or right of first refusal, and that Thomas and First Steps share employees for customer service, maintenance, and safety, the protest officer recommended that the protest not be upheld.

Hoyt, Careful, Ocean Avenue, 21st Avenue protested the DOE's decision to put out the Bid. The contractors asserted that the Bid is anti-competitive because it does not include the Employee Protection Provisions (EPPs) that are in their existing contacts. They presented four major claims. First, they claimed that the combination of the EPPs in the continuing contracts and the terms of the Local 1181 collective bargaining agreement subject the continuing contractors to inflated labor costs to which other bidders are not subject. The protest officer found that the current Bid was not designed to insure an award to a particular contractor (or group of contractors), noting that 65 bids were received with an average of 16 bids per class for the 34 classes, 25 bidders were incumbent companies with contracts containing the EPPs, thirteen of the 25 have continuing contracts with EPPs, and four of the thirteen are parties to the Local 1181 collective bargaining agreement. It was evident therefore, that the DOE's bid did not limit competition.

The second argument stated that the Bid's "co-mingled" classes interfere with the "vested contractual rights" of continuing contractors. They claimed that co-mingling the classes puts continuing contractors in jeopardy of losing their priority slots and their contractual rights of first pick. The protest officer found that the contractors ignored that the system in the Bid for the allocation of vehicle runs is in all material respects, the same system that has been in place for over 35 years for school age Special Busing contracts. In addition, the routes included in the Bid correspond to contracts that expire in June 2013, so there would no longer be a "right of first pick" for those expiring routes. The contractors also claimed that co-mingling creates an additional uneven playing field by allowing prices to be known. The protest officer found that prices for public contracts are public information and would be available in any event. The protest also contended that co-mingling classes would result in a bidder losing the benefit of its own successful bid, given the DOE's discretion to determine which classes are awarded to each bidder based on the lowest aggregate cost to the DOE. The protest officer found that the Bid clearly described the manner in which classes would be awarded. The DOE approach was designed to increase competition and save money. Bidders were allowed to bid on as many classes as they wished so long as they had adequate capacity for each class they bid.

The contractors' third claim was that the Bid is arbitrary and capricious because the DOE has not explained why half of the routes that are due to expire in June 2013 were not included in the Bid. The protest officer found that it is for the DOE, not vendors, to determine how to cover transportation needs, so long as rationally based, in furtherance of the public bidding laws, and not illegally discriminatory. The routes in the Bid were chosen based on logical, competitive and cost-saving factors. The contractors' also claimed that the definition of a "Continuing Contractor" in the Bid was misleading and ambiguous. The protest officer found that the definition was clear and precise: "any existing DOE bus contract that expires in 2014 or 2015 is deemed a continuing contract. If a contract that expires in 2013 is subsequently extended to 2014 or 2015, then those contracts would fall with[in] the definition."

The contractors' fourth claim stated that Section 4.3 of the Bid is vague and grants too much discretion to the DOE to change contractors and avoid public bidding statutes. The protest officer found that Section 4.3 properly enables the DOE to offer work that is not being performed by a contractor to another vendor with a DOE contract in a manner that is most economically advantageous to the DOE.

As recommended by the protest officer the protest was not upheld..

Boyton Bus protested the DOE's decision to extend some of the current contracts or portions of contracts rather than bid them out in December 2012. The protest officer found that the DOE acted within its discretionary authority. The protest officer also found that the decisions were rational and not arbitrary. As recommended by the protest officer the protest was not upheld.

Appendix 2 - Background Information

Background checks identified noteworthy information for the following vendors, some of whom are included in this RA:

21st Avenue, Careful Bus, Mar-Can, Y&M, Boro-Wide, and Y&M's affiliate Jofaz were investigated by the US Attorney's office. That investigation resulted in the conviction of several former DOE bus inspectors on bribery, extortion and conspiracy charges based on the inspectors having taken cash from several bus companies. Except for Careful Bus, these companies and their affiliates entered into a Monitoring Agreement which includes the hiring of an Independent Private Sector Inspector General (IPSIG) to monitor the business integrity of the companies. These IPSIGs have operated under the auspices of the Special Commissioner of Investigation (SCI). Careful was deemed NOT to have been directly involved in the matters under investigation and was therefore not assigned an IPSIG. The DOE has been advised that these vendors have been cooperative with the monitors and have shown no signs of corruption, criminality, or unethical behavior.

21st Ave

One of the company's principals was convicted of menacing, harassment, and attempted assault in 2000. He was sentenced to 1 year conditional discharge and the court issued an order of protection. The DOE does not believe these matters continue to preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible. In addition, 21st Ave is a current DOE provider that provides satisfactory services.

GVC

In 2005, the DOT terminated a contract for Pre-K Transportation with GVC based on the vendor's "failure to provide pricing justification pursuant to the agency's request, as required under its contract...." GVC's claims surrounding lost profits during an Article 78 proceeding stemming from this matter was dismissed in 2006. DOT has two contracts with GVC since the termination, both beginning in 2006. Accordingly, the DOE does not believe this matter precludes a determination that the vendor is responsible. In addition, GVC is a current DOE provider that provides satisfactory services.

Thomas/First Steps

VENDEX indicates that RC Services, an affiliate of Thomas Buses, owed over $160,000 to the NYS Department of Labor (DOL), that the company is in the process of addressing the matter and that only $95,120 is currently outstanding. VENDEX also discloses $28k that is owed by Thomas' affiliate RLC Management Services which it is in the process of paying. The owner of Children's Transportation, also a principal officer for Thomas and First Steps, was imprisoned in the 1990's for attempted robbery; he served jail time/probation and received a certificate of relief from disability in 2006. The DOE does not believe these matters continue to preclude a determination that the vendors are responsible. In addition, Thomas and First Steps are current DOE providers that provide satisfactory services.

Van Trans

News reports indicate the vendor's parent company, We Transport, did not start the 2010 school year with enough drivers to perform under their contract with the city of Bridgeport Connecticut, necessitating seven routes to be subcontracted. During 2011, it's percentage of vehicles that failed a safety inspection increased from 4 percent to 11 percent and 22 of its 198 buses were off the road because of failed inspections during the year. According to the Director of Transportation for Bridgeport, however, the district is still using We Transportation and said they are "very satisfied" with them. Reports also indicate that in 2007, We Transport had its Levittown, Long Island school district contracts cancelled after a bus driver got lost and, as a result, students from Long Island School for the Gifted got home hours past their normal return time. In light of Van Tran's satisfactory record of performance under prior DOE contracts, the DOE does not believe any of this matter regarding the parent company precludes a determination that the vendor is responsible. Van Trans is a current DOE provider that provides satisfactory services.

Y&M

A 2012 investigation conducted by SCI substantiated that a school bus driver and bus escort employed by affiliate Boro Wide committed misconduct, including allowing students to exit the bus at a small grocery store, purchase items for the driver and attempting to get the email address of an eleven-year-old. The driver was decertified by the DOE and subsequently terminated. The attendant was suspended from duty in accordance with his collective bargaining agreement. He never returned to his position. DOE has found Boro Wide's corrective action plan, which included providing ethics training and enhanced internal memoranda on these matters to drivers and attendants, to be satisfactory.

Appendix 3

CLASS 1 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$3,413,520.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$3,715,200.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$3,775,824.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$4,003,200.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
21st Avenue Transportation Co	$4,338,000.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$4,370,400.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$4,795,200.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$4,903,200.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$4,960,800.00	
Vinny's Bus Service Inc	$5,144,400.00	
Little Richie Bus Service Inc	$5,294,160.00	
Boro Wide Buses Inc	$5,439,096.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$5,637,600.00	
Mountainside Transportation Co	$5,667,768.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$5,988,456.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$6,694,272.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$6,897,600.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$7,032,888.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$7,524,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$9,020,376.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$9,828,000.00	

CLASS 2 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$3,348,918.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$3,356,964.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$3,396,600.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$3,925,800.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$3,979,800.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$4,509,000.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$4,557,600.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$4,827,600.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$6,053,022.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$6,318,000.00	
N.Q.T. Bus Inc	$6,426,000.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$6,993,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$6,993,108.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$8,451,864.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$9,153,000.00	

CLASS 3 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,381,671.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,382,400.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Happy Child Transportation	$1,452,357.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,687,500.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
B & F Skilled Inc	$1,818,612.00	AWARD
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,827,900.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$1,833,300.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,846,800.00	
American Logistics Company	$1,863,000.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$1,919,700.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$2,011,500.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,245,671.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$2,677,212.00	
Able Bus Inc	$2,681,100.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$3,037,500.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$3,501,387.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$3,726,000.00	

CLASS 4 - BROOKLYN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$3,895,344.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$4,629,600.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$4,651,200.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$4,730,400.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
L & M Bus Corp	$5,018,400.00	AWARD
JoFaz Transportation Inc	$5,572,800.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$5,781,600.00	
Seth Transportation Inc	$5,580,000.00	
Logan Bus Company Inc	$5,610,960.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$5,680,800.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$5,669,280.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$5,905,368.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$6,599,016.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$6,696,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$6,774,840.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$6,840,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$9,707,904.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$9,900,000.00	

CLASS 5 - BROOKLYN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Dorothy Barone dba Our 5 Jems	$450,000.00	Non Responsive
Happy Child Transportation	$15,552,432.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$17,040,609.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$17,049,600.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$18,048,600.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$20,213,100.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Y & M Transit Corp	$26,273,700.00	AWARD
Selby Transportation Corp	$26,765,541.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$27,172,800.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$29,736,900.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$30,969,000.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$32,240,727.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$36,474,489.00	
Hoyt Tansportation Corp	$36,630,000.00	
Bobby's Bus Co Inc	$38,092,869.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$41,210,082.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$42,457,500.00	

CLASS 6 - BROOKLYN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$1,101,114.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,157,400.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,162,800.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Careful Bus Service Inc	$1,186,146.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,227,600.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$1,301,400.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$1,474,200.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$1,521,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,578,942.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$1,620,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,649,754.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$1,677,150.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,719,000.00	
Boyton Bus Inc	$1,900,800.00	
Able Bus Inc	$2,014,200.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$2,538,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$2,641,104.00	

CLASS 7 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$15,507,450.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$16,086,735.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$16,119,495.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$17,545,500.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$19,908,000.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Leesel Transportation Corp	$25,066,440.00	AWARD
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$26,199,495.00	
Quality Transportation	$29,137,500.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$30,768,885.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$32,180,085.00	
Grandpa's Bus Co Inc	$32,726,610.00	
Hoyt Tansportation Corp	$35,437,500.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$39,978,225.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$40,635,000.00	

CLASS 8 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,947,990.60	AWARD
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,961,640.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$2,101,942.80	
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,340,649.80	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,435,940.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$2,544,823.80	
L & M Bus Corp	$2,648,340.00	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$3,362,463.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$3,430,200.60	
Horizon Transportation Service	$3,739,500.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$3,973,411.80	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,910,423.40	
Merit Transportation Inc	$5,030,100.00	

CLASS 9 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
American Logistics Company	$2,025,000.00	Non Responsive
Children's Trans Inc	$2,172,285.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Thomas Buses Inc	$2,226,285.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$2,260,935.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Happy Child Transportation	$2,751,075.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,812,500.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,925,000.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Phillip Bus Corp	$2,934,000.00	AWARD
Selby Transportation Corp	$2,972,475.00	
JoFaz Transportation Inc	$3,401,865.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$3,694,500.00	
Lorissa Bus Service Inc	$4,107,825.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$4,141,710.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$4,275,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$5,686,200.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$5,782,500.00	

CLASS 10 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$368,445.60	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$368,640.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Leesel Transportation Corp	$430,459.20	AWARD
Happy Child Transportation	$440,172.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$468,000.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$478,800.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$484,898.40	
L & M Bus Corp	$494,640.00	
American Logistics Company	$496,800.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$503,128.80	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$580,284.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$627,789.60	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$664,113.60	
Citywide Transit Inc	$683,632.80	
Merit Transportation Inc	$939,600.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,007,373.60	

CLASS 11 - MANHATTAN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$1,489,617.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,744,200.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,790,100.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,841,400.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$1,881,900.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$1,957,743.00	
Rad Bus Service Inc	$2,036,475.00	
JoFaz Transportation Inc	$2,089,800.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$2,125,980.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,214,000.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$2,264,004.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$2,430,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,474,631.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$2,485,026.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$2,500,065.00	
Boyton Bus Inc	$2,600,100.00	
Grandpa's Bus Co Inc	$2,805,138.00	
Lorissa Bus Service Inc	$2,805,138.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$3,640,464.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$3,726,000.00	

CLASS 12 - MANHATTAN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$5,093,604.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$5,526,684.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$5,529,600.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$5,853,600.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
21st Avenue Transportation Co	$6,515,100.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$6,825,600.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$6,998,400.00	
Leesel Transportation Corp	$7,093,008.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$7,365,600.00	
Boro Wide Buses Inc	$8,158,644.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$8,271,720.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$8,982,684.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$9,492,552.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$10,000,260.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$10,044,000.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$10,435,500.00	
Lorissa Bus Service Inc	$10,542,528.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$11,829,564.00	
Hoyt Tansportation Corp	$11,880,000.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$13,014,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$13,419,756.00	

CLASS 13 - QUEENS - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,579,545.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,593,000.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Happy Child Transportation	$1,663,947.00	AWARD
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,693,800.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,962,900.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$2,079,000.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$2,092,500.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$2,168,379.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,693,385.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$3,001,500.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$3,150,000.00	
N.Q.T. Bus Inc	$3,222,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$3,415,797.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$3,980,349.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$4,279,500.00	

CLASS 14 - QUEENS - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
American Logistics Company	$405,000.00	Non Responsive
Children's Trans Inc	$434,457.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Thomas Buses Inc	$445,257.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Mutual Bus Corp	$447,381.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$452,187.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$553,500.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$562,500.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$578,304.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$597,600.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$609,300.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$647,487.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$651,600.00	
Little Lisa Bus Co Inc	$661,770.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$693,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$738,900.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$796,446.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$912,078.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,012,500.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$1,156,500.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,226,340.00	

CLASS 15 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$1,544,076.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,736,100.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,744,200.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,841,400.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
L & M Bus Corp	$1,881,900.00	AWARD
Selby Transportation Corp	$1,979,100.00	
Logan Bus Company Inc	$2,104,110.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$2,125,980.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$2,130,300.00	
Mountainside Transportation Co	$2,252,583.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,278,800.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$2,375,595.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$2,430,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,474,631.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$2,511,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$2,590,623.00	
Boyton Bus Inc	$2,600,100.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$3,640,464.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$3,726,000.00	

CLASS 16 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$535,914.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$578,700.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Mutual Bus Corp	$581,031.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$581,400.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$617,337.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$626,400.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$636,300.00	
Van Trans LLC	$715,500.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$741,807.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$754,488.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$760,500.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$824,877.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$837,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$859,500.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$859,500.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$934,641.00	
Boyton Bus Inc	$941,400.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$1,269,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,320,552.00	

CLASS 17 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$1,746,324.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,842,228.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,843,200.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,005,200.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
21st Avenue Transportation Co	$2,164,500.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,185,200.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$2,268,000.00	
Round Trip Transportation Inc	$2,372,292.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$2,408,400.00	
Seth Transportation Inc	$2,430,000.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$2,433,600.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$2,601,180.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$2,606,400.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$2,672,928.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$2,708,928.00	
Boro Wide Buses Inc	$2,719,548.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$2,762,640.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$2,866,464.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,994,228.00	
Boyton Bus Inc	$3,168,000.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$3,181,104.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$3,250,800.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$3,240,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$3,443,688.00	
Able Bus Inc	$3,484,800.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$3,485,484.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$3,943,188.00	
Bobby's Bus Co Inc	$4,116,240.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,473,252.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$4,590,000.00	

CLASS 18 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$2,936,044.80	AWARD
Thomas Buses Inc	$2,949,120.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$3,287,750.40	
Royal Express Line Corp	$3,340,800.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$3,784,320.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$3,893,760.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$4,118,400.00	
Van Trans LLC	$4,320,000.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$4,446,720.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$5,022,316.80	
JoFaz Transportation Inc	$5,122,828.80	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$5,500,800.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$5,500,800.00	
N.Q.T. Bus Inc	$5,592,960.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$5,837,184.00	

CLASS 19 - BRONX - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$908,152.20	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$908,640.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Happy Child Transportation	$977,027.40	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,101,079.80	Does not have capacity to service this class
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,180,440.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
GVC LTD	$1,132,560.00	AWARD
Selby Transportation Corp	$1,134,360.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$1,218,780.00	
All County Bus LLC	$1,224,900.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,526,488.20	
Boyton Bus Inc	$1,559,485.80	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$1,565,379.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$1,776,362.40	
Citywide Transit Inc	$1,906,241.40	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$2,454,627.60	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$2,478,600.00	

CLASS 20 - BRONX - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$184,222.80	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$184,320.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Happy Child Transportation	$215,035.20	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Selby Transportation Corp	$223,920.00	AWARD
GVC LTD	$237,600.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$237,600.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$243,000.00	
American Logistics Company	$244,800.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$247,320.00	
All County Bus LLC	$248,400.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$256,849.20	
Royal Express Line Corp	$259,200.00	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$290,142.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$313,894.80	
Citywide Transit Inc	$356,961.60	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$500,400.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$503,686.80	

CLASS 21 - BRONX - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$8,274,816.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$10,549,440.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$10,692,000.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$11,198,880.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Van Trans LLC	$11,959,200.00	AWARD
Leesel Transportation Corp	$12,464,971.20	
Royal Express Line Corp	$13,527,360.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$14,224,320.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$14,478,235.20	
Lorissa Bus Service Inc	$14,483,304.00	
Quality Transportation	$14,652,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$15,039,604.80	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$21,357,388.80	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$21,859,200.00	

CLASS 22 - BRONX - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Happy Child Transportation	$6,972,156.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$7,165,049.40	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$7,461,023.40	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Royal Express Line Corp	$8,091,900.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Leesel Transportation Corp	$9,144,576.00	Max capacity in areas 7, 10, yielding best cost to program
Van Trans LLC	$9,360,360.00	Max capacity in areas 21, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31, 32, yielding best cost to program
Phillip Bus Corp	$9,477,000.00	Max capacity in areas 9, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$9,579,060.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
GVC LTD	$9,622,800.00	AWARD
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$11,897,280.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$13,326,557.40	
Lorissa Bus Service Inc	$13,331,223.00	
Brothers Transportation	$13,486,500.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$13,843,272.60	
JFM Transportation LLC	$14,087,925.00	
Hoyt Tansportation Corp	$15,600,600.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$15,969,911.40	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$18,116,670.60	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$18,662,400.00	

CLASS 23 - STATEN ISLAND - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
R.S Transportation Service Inc	$395,280.00	Non Responsive
First Steps Trans Inc	$451,245.60	AWARD
Thomas Buses Inc	$463,680.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$514,800.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$543,600.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$591,120.00	
American Logistics Company	$597,600.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$668,304.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$684,230.40	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$712,800.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$807,069.60	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$899,215.20	
Citywide Transit Inc	$988,516.80	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,204,401.60	
Merit Transportation Inc	$1,216,800.00	

CLASS 24 - CONNECTICUT - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$393,840.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$400,320.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Van Trans LLC	$456,480.00	AWARD
Montauk Student Transport	$502,560.00	
All County Bus LLC	$504,000.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$523,274.40	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$524,880.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$527,040.00	
Rico's Bus Co Inc	$553,528.80	
Phillip Bus Corp	$567,360.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$627,789.60	
Happy Child Transportation	$648,000.00	
Jessie Bus Inc	$934,560.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$980,661.60	

CLASS 25 - CONNECTICUT - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Children's Trans Inc	$86,891.40	Does not have capacity to service this class
Thomas Buses Inc	$89,051.40	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$90,437.40	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
American Logistics Company	$107,100.00	Non Responsive
Van Trans LLC	$111,240.00	AWARD
Selby Transportation Corp	$117,847.80	
All County Bus LLC	$122,400.00	
Montauk Student Transport	$125,640.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$128,700.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$130,500.00	
Rico's Bus Co Inc	$138,139.20	
Phillip Bus Corp	$139,500.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$147,780.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$162,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$247,307.40	

CLASS 26 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$14,340,175.20	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$14,369,378.40	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Happy Child Transportation	$14,882,400.00	Max capacity in areas 2, 11, 13, yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$19,150,560.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Mar-Can Transportation Co Inc	$21,677,760.00	AWARD
Leesel Transportation Corp	$22,014,720.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$23,354,978.40	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$25,949,570.40	
Citywide Transit Inc	$26,605,519.20	
JFM Transportation LLC	$27,412,538.40	
Hoyt Tansportation Corp	$30,186,000.00	
Bobby's Bus Co Inc	$35,069,954.40	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$35,095,226.40	
Merit Transportation Inc	$40,575,600.00	

CLASS 27 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,959,588.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,969,200.00	AWARD
Selby Transportation Corp	$2,611,440.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,620,800.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$2,656,800.00	
Van Trans LLC	$2,790,000.00	
Montauk Student Transport	$2,975,400.00	
Bella Bus Corp	$2,990,880.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$3,011,040.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$3,173,313.60	
Citywide Transit Inc	$3,650,947.20	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,847,400.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$5,533,200.00	

CLASS 28 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Children's Trans Inc	$1,737,828.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,781,028.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,808,748.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
American Logistics Company	$2,142,000.00	Non Responsive
Van Trans LLC	$2,268,000.00	AWARD
All County Bus LLC	$2,340,000.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,430,000.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$2,462,400.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$2,502,000.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$2,574,000.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$2,574,000.00	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$2,661,264.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,955,600.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$3,335,040.00	
Merit Transportation Inc	$4,482,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,548,960.00	

CLASS 29 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
First Steps Trans Inc	$301,354.20	AWARD
Thomas Buses Inc	$304,020.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$396,900.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$400,860.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$406,800.00	
All County Bus LLC	$412,200.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$413,460.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$439,194.60	
Montauk Student Transport	$444,330.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$515,662.20	
American Logistics Company	$543,600.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$556,299.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$569,833.20	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$804,787.20	
Merit Transportation Inc	$854,100.00	

CLASS 30 - NASSAU - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$470,700.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$471,357.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Van Trans LLC	$602,100.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$613,800.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$626,400.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$626,580.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$695,700.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$701,100.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$748,557.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$765,000.00	
Boyton Bus Inc	$806,553.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$832,608.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$873,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$899,451.00	
Horizon Transportation Service	$918,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,254,222.00	

CLASS 31 - NASSAU - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$941,400.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$942,714.00	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Van Trans LLC	$1,152,000.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,215,000.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$1,252,800.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$1,253,160.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$1,285,200.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,391,400.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,467,000.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$1,530,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,569,474.00	
Any Time Bus Co	$1,578,600.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$1,665,216.00	
American Logistics Company	$1,737,000.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$1,841,238.00	
MR Transportation Inc dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,989,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$2,518,434.00	

CLASS 32 - SUFFOLK - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$94,140.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$94,271.40	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Van Trans LLC	$120,420.00	AWARD
L & M Bus Corp	$125,280.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$131,760.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$149,711.40	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$166,341.60	
Y & M Transit Corp	$166,500.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$188,728.20	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$250,844.40	

CLASS 33 - BERGEN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$188,280.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$188,542.80	
Bella Bus Corp	$254,520.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$263,520.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$265,993.20	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$299,422.80	
Y & M Transit Corp	$336,600.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$339,962.40	
Citywide Transit Inc	$377,456.40	
Horizon Transportation Service	$379,800.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$505,814.40	

CLASS 34 - ROCKLAND - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$94,140.00	Max capacity in areas 27, 33, yielding best cost to program
First Steps Trans Inc	$94,271.40	Max capacity in areas 8, 18, 23, 29, yielding best cost to program
Montauk Student Transport	$130,140.00	AWARD
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$130,500.00	
Selby Transportation Corp	$132,658.20	
All County Bus LLC	$133,200.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$144,180.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$151,920.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$156,947.40	
Phillip Bus Corp	$159,300.00	
Indigo Warranties dba Indigo Transit	$166,741.20	
American Logistics Company	$173,700.00	
Citywide Transit Inc	$186,670.80	
Van Trans LLC	$216,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$253,915.20	

Item: 19

Description:

Conflict resolution and peer mediation training.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH MAN UP! INC. TO PROVIDE CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND PEER MEDIATION TRAINING

Estimated Annual / Total Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$48,200	City Council	One Year	None	City Council Allocation, Per Section 1-03(c) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	Yes	Full Value

Vendor Name & Address	Man Up! Inc., 821B Van Siclen Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11207

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Zachary Ott Operations Coordinator Office of Safety & Youth Development	Robert Weiner Chief Operating Officer Office of Safety and Youth Development	Maxine Needle

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Man Up! Inc. to provide conflict resolution and peer mediation training at Junior High School 166 in District 19 in Brooklyn.

DISCUSSION

Man Up! Inc. will teach students in grades 6-8 how to resolve conflicts peacefully, how to accept and respect differences, and how to help their peers work through conflicts. Groups of 10-15 students will be trained in the peer mediation program as a tool to resolve conflicts peacefully instead of resorting to negative resolutions. The ultimate goal of this program is to reduce conflicts in the school and to create a positive environment for academic progress.

This contract is retroactive due to changes with the City Council budget allocation. Notification of the original budget allocation was released on June 28, 2012. The amended budget was released on November 13, 2012. Subsequently, additional time was required for schools and awarded vendors to plan for services based on the amended budget.

DCP reviewed the vendor's background in the VCIP and VENDEX systems and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

A competitive sealed bid was not done for this procurement and a contract for these services is necessary because the City Council discretionary allocation specifically names this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

Item: 20

Description:

Upgrade to the web-based tool "iPlan", a program used by schools to develop Comprehensive Educational Plans (CEPs).

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH INFUSIONDEV LLC TO UPGRADE THE iPLAN PORTAL

Estimated Annual / Total Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$348,850	New York State Education Department (NYSED)	One Year (9/1/2012-8/31/2013)	None	Vendor Named in Grant Per Section 1-03(b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	Yes	Full Value

Vendor Name & Address	Infusiondev LLC 599 Broadway, 5th Floor New York, NY 10012

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Shannon Lynch Director of School Improvement Division of Academics, Performance & Support	Morayo Tracey Oyemade Director of Contracts and Operations Division of Academics, Performance & Support	Sophia Hargraves

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the Infusiondev LLC to upgrade the web-based tool "iPlan."

DISCUSSION

iPlan is used by schools to develop Comprehensive Educational Plans (CEPs), which are required for all schools by NYSED and Chancellor's regulations. An upgrade is needed for the current school year to support two new and distinct CEP templates. Infusiondev will work with DOE personnel to improve the functionality of the application by providing schools with the ability to upload CEPs and other documents and store them in a central repository. Infusiondev's development team will also provide online help and instructions to schools as they complete the CEPs.

This contract is retroactive due to the late allocation and award of Title I School Improvement 1003(a) funding from NYSED.

DCP has reviewed the vendor's background in the VCIP and VENDEX systems and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

A competitive sealed bid was not done for this procurement and a contract for these services is necessary because the NYSED specifically names this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

Item: 21

Description:

Comprehensive software program for students to develop reading in key areas.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
TO PURCHASE AWARD PUBLISHING EDUCATIONAL SOFTWARE

Annual / Total Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$110,000 / $770,000	Tax Levy / Reimbursable	Seven Years	None	Listing Application	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Award Publishing PMB #153 331 West 57th Street New York, NY 10019-3101

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
James McBride Chief Administrator Central Procurements Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Rachel Feinberg Chief Operating Officer Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Kay Robbins Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Award Publishing, Ltd. for the entire Award Reading educational software product line on a requirements basis.

DISCUSSION

Award Reading educational software is a comprehensive program that students use to develop reading skills in key areas such as phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension. The program also teaches complementary skills in writing, oral language, and visual literacy.

A listing application is appropriate for this procurement because Award Publishing, Inc. is the sole provider of the software; therefore, a Request for Bids was not practical. Award Publishing is offering the DOE discounts on individual items that will range from 10 to 55 percent off the list price, and has guaranteed that no customer will receive better pricing than the DOE; the prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The contract amount is based on prior usage.

Background checks performed on Award Publishing included reviewing the vendor's VENDEX, CTS, NYS Department of Labor, and the Federal Debarment List. No significant adverse information was identified. Accordingly, the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

Item: 22

Description:

Periodic progress-monitoring technology to enhance core curriculum instruction.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
TO PURCHASE RENAISSANCE LEARNING EDUCATIONAL SOFTWARE

Annual / Total Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$135,000 / $945,000	Tax Levy / Reimbursable	Seven Years	None	Listing Application	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Renaissance Learning 925 Liberty Ave, 3rd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
James McBride Chief Administrator Central Procurements Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Rachel Feinberg Chief Operating Officer Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Kay Robbins Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Renaissance Learning, Inc. for the entire Renaissance Learning educational software product line on a requirements basis.

DISCUSSION

Renaissance Learning educational software provides daily formative assessments for K-12 schools and periodic progress-monitoring technology to enhance core curriculum instruction, support differentiated instruction, and provide personalized practice in reading, writing, and math. The software helps educators make the practice component of their existing curriculum more effective for students of all levels.

A listing application is appropriate for this procurement because Renaissance Learning, Inc. is the sole provider of the software; therefore, a Request for Bids was not practical. Renaissance Learning, Inc., is offering the DOE a minimum 10 percent discount off the list price of their software and has guaranteed that no other customer will receive better pricing than the DOE; the prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The contract amount is based on prior usage.

Background checks performed on Renaissance Learning, Inc. included the vendor's VENDEX, CTS, NYS Department of Labor, and the Federal Debarment List. No significant adverse information was identified. Accordingly, the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

Item: 23

Description:

Add on Item: Support, coaching and guidance in school program management, planning and enhancement in schools to promote student achievement.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR URBAN ASSEMBLY TO PROVIDE PARTNERSHIP SUPPORT ORGANIZATION SERVICES (PSO)

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,400,000 / $7,000,000	Tax Levy & Reimbursable Funds	Five Years	Five 1-Year Renewals	Negotiated Services	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	The Urban Assembly 90 Broad Street, Suite 2101 New York, NY 10004

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Debra Maldonado Cluster Leader Partnership Support Organizations	Shael Suransky Chief Academic Officer / Senior Deputy Chancellor Division of Academics, Performance and Support	Joy Gentolia Director Instructional Service Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Partnership Support Office to contract with Urban Assembly (UA) to provide support, coaching, and guidance in school program management, planning, and enhancement to schools. Contracts with UA and seven previously recommended providers will replace expiring contracts from a 2007 solicitation for similar services.

DISCUSSION

The Partnership Support model is designed to promote student achievement by providing schools access to alternative models for support and to empower school leaders by giving them a choice among models of support.

A Request for Proposals (RFP) was released asking proposers to present their program of support services in each of the following five service categories:

1) accountability and instruction;
2) special needs services coordination;
3) youth development;
4) organizational and staff development; and
5) additional support services that will improve school operation and student performance.

Providers will not perform direct instructional or educational services, nor will they be responsible for performing statutory duties that may not be delegated by the DOE. The DOE will retain ultimate decisional authority and control over all services called for in this RFP. Schools and school leaders will remain responsible for fulfilling the DOE's accountability goals and conforming school operations with both law and relevant DOE directives. School principals will direct educational and provider services as they deem necessary to improve student performance and achieve school-level accountability goals.

The DOE will facilitate the school selection of PSO providers, taking into account the schools' rankings of providers, the providers' capacity, and any other limiting parameters. It is anticipated that vendors

will be required to support approximately 25 schools or more. The number of schools is contingent upon how many are interested in receiving a provider's support model and vendor capacity.

It is intended that services will commence at the beginning of Fiscal Year 2014. The term of the contracts will be five years, with options to renew for up to an additional five years. The length of the individual agreements between providers and individual schools, however, may vary.

Twenty-three proposals were received and first-round evaluations were performed by four cross-functional committees of three people each, representing the following DOE offices: the Division of Academics, Performance and Support; the Office of the Chancellor; the Impartial Hearing Office (District 75); the Office of Research, Accountability, and Data; the Office of Partnership School Support; and the Office of Finance and Administration. The committee included individuals with school-based experience, such as former principals, former teachers, former math and reading coaches, as well as members with experience in DOE School Support Organizations and central school support. The Division of Contracts and Purchasing (DCP) held training for all members of the evaluation committee on the proposal evaluation criteria established in Section 5 of the RFP to ensure consistency among evaluations. Each committee member used a scoring rubric to guide the scoring and evaluation process for each proposal.

Proposals were scored based on the following criteria: program plan (40 points), organizational capacity (35 points), and demonstrated effectiveness (25 points). Successful vendors were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points before being invited to oral presentations.

Of the 23 proposals submitted, eight were selected for final award, seven of which were named in a previous RA.

UA was initially listed in a previous RA pursuant to an RFP; however, an inquiry by the Special Commissioner of Investigation (SCI) stemming from the possible involvement of a DOE employee in the UA proposal prompted withdrawal of UA from the RA pending the outcome of the SCI inquiry.[1] The SCI investigation has since been completed and a report was issued to DOE on May 2. Of particular note, the report stated that a DOE employee had been included as a "Key Employee" by UA in their proposal; that UA asked the DOE employee for and received input from the employee on the proposal; and that the DOE employee was present for a meeting between UA and principals in the UA network, where the potential employment of the DOE employee at UA was discussed. The report also stated that the founder and CEO of UA claimed he had first offered that same DOE employee a raise, purportedly thinking he worked for UA, and then, having learned that he did not already work for them, offered said employee a position with UA. The report also indicated that the DOE employee had once been employed by UA, and that the CEO of UA claimed that he was unaware that the employment offer and input from the DOE employee on the proposal represented a prohibited conflict.

While the aforementioned events are of concern to us and have been referred to the NYC Conflicts of Interest Board,[2] we do not believe they suggest a pattern of inappropriate behavior on the part of UA, nor do they detract from the good work UA has and is believed capable of offering to the Department through this contract.

The above matters notwithstanding, the DOE found UA to have offered clear, detailed, rational, and concise descriptions of the supports they will provide, to have shown evidence of adequate human, organizational, technical, and professional resources and abilities, and to have demonstrated prior successful experience providing comparable services in or to public schools in New York City and/or other large urban school districts. Beyond receiving UA support to meet the mandates of the DOE, all

[1] Please be advised the information contained herein focuses on the actions of UA in this matter, and information about the DOE employee's conduct is omitted, except as otherwise noted in relation to the actions of UA.
[2] Pursuant to the New York City Charter, the processes of the Conflicts Board are confidential.

UA schools receive additional assistance in developing rigorous college-ready instruction and expertise in college counseling. UA has successfully developed and applied innovative, scalable public school education programs as evidenced by the predominantly above average school survey reports in 2011-2012 for the 21 small Title I public secondary schools UA serves. It has therefore been determined that not awarding a contract to UA would be a disservice to schools for this schools' choice program.

While proposing to offer UA the aforementioned contract, we will require a corrective action plan that will both address the specific conflicts issues raised by the aforementioned events and, more generally, assure that all UA employees are informed about and comply with DOE rules, policies and procedures.

Table A shows sample PSO pricing for UA using 35 schools as a baseline.

Table A						
Urban Assembly Pricing Based on 35 Schools						
No. of Students	<500	501-1000	1001-1500	1501-2000	2001-2500	2500>
Price Per School	$59,190	$59,190	NA	NA	NA	NA

UA's labor rates for staff involved in the delivery of PSO services range from $28 an hour to $72 per hour, well within the range of hourly rates for similar titles and services charged by other vendors that were awarded PSO contracts, whose hourly labor rates range from $29 to $190. Moreover, UA indicated that full-time staff whose full salaries are charged to the PSO contract will be fully dedicated to the PSO contract and will work full-time in PSO schools. Pricing for UA has therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The estimated annual contract amount for UA was based on the combined average of current vendors, taking into account their expressed capacity. The total cost for PSO contracts may increase in the upcoming five years as more schools choose to affiliate with a PSO. Over the past five years for the number of schools affiliating with a PSO has increased 16 percent annually. Amendments to the contract amounts may therefore be requested by the program office if more schools opt to partner with a PSO.

A background check including VENDEX, the Uniform Commercial Code, the Federal Tax Lien, and the Federal Excluded Parties List System, and, as required, a comprehensive background check was performed by DCP's Vendor Research & Price Analysis (VRPA) unit on this vendor. Although there have been press reports critical of UA and other PSO vendors for a variety of reasons, none of these reports appear extraordinary or warrants a non-responsibility determination. Urban Assembly has therefore been determined to be responsible.