

# Receivership

## Quarterly Report *and* Continuation Plan (2016-17)

*3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter - January 18, 2016 to April 15, 2016*

School	School BEDS Code	District	Status (R/Y/G)	SIG/SIF/SCEP Cohort
32K291: J.H.S. 291 Roland Hayes	333200010291	NYC GEOG DIST #32 - BROOKLYN	Yellow	Cohort 4
Superintendent *(Chancellor)	School Principal	Additional District Personnel Responsible for Program Oversight and Report Validation	Grade Configuration	Number of Students *(Unaudited Register as of 1/15/16)
Carmen Fariña, Chancellor	Janice Bruce	Aimee Horowitz, Executive Superintendent for Renewal Schools Lillian Druck, Superintendent Sharon Rencher, Senior Advisor to the Chancellor	06,07,08	347

### Executive Summary

Please provide a *plain-language summary* of the current reporting quarter in terms of implementing key strategies, engaging the community, enacting Receivership, and assessing Level 1 and Level 2 indicator data. The summary should be written in terms easily understood by the community-at-large. Please avoid terms and acronyms that are unfamiliar to the public, and limit the summary to *no more than 500 words*.

The new State Receivership law requires that “Persistently Struggling Schools” be given an initial one-year period to improve student performance, and “Struggling Schools” be given an initial two-year period to improve student performance. The State Education Department designated 62 New York City schools as Struggling or Persistently Struggling, which requires them to be placed in receivership under the Chancellor’s direction.

As part of this Administration’s commitment to ensure that all of our students receive a high-quality education, Mayor Bill de Blasio and Chancellor Carmen Fariña launched the Renewal School program, which included a \$150 million commitment to provide unprecedented resources to turnaround 94 of our most challenged schools. Fifty of the 62 state-designated Struggling and Persistently Struggling Schools are in the City’s Renewal Program. The remaining 12 are receiving similar resources and all 62 benefit from State-mandated supports.

Renewal Schools are implementing significant interventions to accelerate student performance and help close achievement gaps. Those interventions include an additional five hours of expanded learning time; working with partner community-based organizations to provide rich after-school programming; and, increased professional development for school leaders, teachers and other school-based staff through coaches and partnerships with

institutions such as Teachers College at Columbia University. Additionally, each Renewal School is now a Community School, offering wraparound services to our students and their families.

The education reforms in the Renewal School Program have a strong record of driving improvement. First, strong, effective leadership is critical in initiating and sustaining turnaround efforts in struggling schools. Since the launch of the Renewal School Program, we have dispatched teams of experienced principals and assistant principals to strengthen leadership and to provide expertise these schools need to help change direction. Where it is needed, we have and will continue to replace school leadership to help transform a school and boost student achievement.

Second, increased high-quality professional development provides teachers and principals targeted support to develop their craft and improve classroom instruction practices. We are investing in deepening teachers' skills through professional development at every grade.

Third, expanded learning time extends the school day by one hour each day and enables struggling schools to create more time for core subject instruction, tailored academic support for students' unique needs, and enrichment activities provided in collaboration with community partners. Schools now have a more seamless school day that reinforces core subject material while providing students with helpful strategies and services that support active learning.

Finally, the Community School model, which incorporates academic and social services into the school environment, provides services to students and communities beyond the classroom needs, with the goal of helping students focus and stay on task during the school day.

To oversee these efforts we established the Office of Community Schools and the Office of Renewal Schools. We also hired a team of district-based Directors of School Renewal (DSR) to support Renewal schools. DSRs participate in monthly professional development sessions. These professional development sessions focus on building capacity and facility in the areas of continuous school improvement processes, instructional and leadership coaching, data driven progress monitoring, and establishing systems and structures for sharing best practices within and across their schools.

All Community Schools in the City have been matched with a lead community-based organization and have hired a community school director - a new leader in the school whose primary responsibility is to coordinate partnerships and interventions.

Through these partnerships, we are able to provide more time for learning, academic support, enrichment activities, health services and more. For example, some schools might have a food pantry so that hunger does not distract from learning. Others schools might have a physician's office on site to keep kids healthy so they do not miss school. Still others might offer English classes for families so parents can help kids with their homework. We are confident that these interventions and new programs will make this school year and those to come successful experiences, which will drive student achievement in our struggling schools.

We are closely tracking indicators that schools are moving in the right direction. Across Districts 1-32, attendance has increased from 91.5 percent in the 2013-14 school year to 92.1 percent in the 2014-15 school year and is at an all-time high. Citywide, we also saw a modest test score improvement over the past year, and while we are proud of this, we have much more work to do to ensure every child is reading on grade level and every student is graduating as a productive member of society.

Ensuring families are actively engaged in this work is critical. Last summer we knocked on the doors of 35,000 families of Renewal School students to tell them what it meant for their school to be a Community School. We held family nights in all Community Schools in September to welcome families back to school, and get suggestions and feedback, and we're offering a 3-day training on Dr. Karen Mapp's Dual Capacity framework for all community schools. The training will be offered to teams from each school that are comprised of administration, parents, teachers and CBO staff.

The State-mandated receivership hearings have played a critical part in our larger goal of involving families in their children's education. The DOE held public meetings at all 62 Struggling and Persistently Struggling schools to discuss receivership and its requirements, and the Renewal Schools Program. We were pleased to hear directly from parents, students, and community members about what their schools need to improve to be successful. We recognize that families are key partners in achieving academic excellence for their children, and family engagement will continue to be a key element in these efforts.

All stakeholders at Roland Hayes are committed to the continued improvement and the success of our students academically, socially and emotionally. Collectively, students, staff and families contribute to a school community that is safe and conducive to learning. We have aligned our resources to build systems that are informed by input and feedback from the School Leadership Team (SLT) and Community Engagement Team (CET), and implemented by school staff and leadership, which meet regularly to evaluate progress based on qualitative and quantitative data. Since November 16, 2015, Roland Hayes Junior High School 291 has a new principal and a community based organization with staff members that ensure the continuation of the successful implementation of the School Renewal Program strategy. Key strategies are being implemented in alignment with the school's approved SIG plan, and its updated Renewal School Comprehensive Education Plan (RSCEP). Roland Hayes' instructional focus intends to encourage teachers to create learning experiences containing multiple entry-points that will allow a diverse group of learners with various strengths and needs to access the core content and skills and subsequently, through questioning, generate ideas and discuss them in the classroom.

**Part I – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators**

**LEVEL 1 – Indicators**

Please list the school's Level 1 indicators below. Indicate the current status of each indicator in terms of the likelihood of meeting the established targets for realizing Demonstrable Improvement and the impact on student learning. Responses should be directly aligned with approved 2015-16 interventions plans (SIG, SIF or SCEP), and should include evidence and/or data used to make determinations.

Identify Indicator	Status (R/Y/G)	Base-line	Target	Analysis / Report Out	2016-17 School Year Continuation Plan
3-8 ELA Growth Percentile	Yellow	50.3	51.3	The school engages in a process of evaluating their formative and summative data sources throughout the	N/A

			<p>school year to identify growth towards this demonstrable improvement indicator. This work is articulated within each framework area of the renewal school comprehensive educational plan (RSCEP). Data is not yet available for this indicator. We are confident that we are seeing positive trends towards meeting the target. Data to evaluate this indicator will be available August 31, 2016</p> <p><b>ELA</b></p> <p>Argumentative Writing Unit Grades 6-8</p> <p>6<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table data-bbox="751 592 1318 779"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>56 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>17 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>14 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>37 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>8 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 70% decrease in level 1's and a 12% increase level 3.</p> <p>7<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table data-bbox="751 982 1318 1169"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>56 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>35 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>14 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>34 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>10 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>9 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>4 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 35% decrease in level 1's, 1% increase level 3 and 4.8% in level 4.</p> <p>8<sup>th</sup> Grade</p>	Pre:	Post:	<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>17 students in level 1.</b>	<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>37 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>0 students in level 3.</b>	<b>8 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	Pre:	Post:	<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>35 students in level 1.</b>	<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>34 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>10 students in level 3.</b>	<b>9 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>4 students in level 4.</b>	
Pre:	Post:																							
<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>17 students in level 1.</b>																							
<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>37 Students in level 2.</b>																							
<b>0 students in level 3.</b>	<b>8 students in level 3.</b>																							
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>																							
Pre:	Post:																							
<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>35 students in level 1.</b>																							
<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>34 Students in level 2.</b>																							
<b>10 students in level 3.</b>	<b>9 students in level 3.</b>																							
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>4 students in level 4.</b>																							

				<p>Pre: <b>60 students in level 1.</b>  <b>41 Students in level 2.</b>  <b>2 students in level 3.</b>  <b>0 students in level 4.</b></p> <p>Post: <b>30 students in level 1.</b>  <b>33 Students in level 2.</b>  <b>35 students in level 3.</b>  <b>1 students in level 4.</b></p> <p>There was a 50% decrease in level 1's, 94% increase level 3 and 1% in level 4.</p>	
3-8 Math Growth Percentile	Yellow	42.7	43.7	<p>The school engages in a process of evaluating their formative and summative data sources throughout the school year to identify growth towards this demonstrable improvement indicator. This work is articulated within each framework area of the renewal school comprehensive educational plan (RSCEP). Data is not yet available for this indicator. We are confident that we are seeing positive trends towards meeting the target. Data to evaluate this indicator will be available August 31, 2016</p> <p>Mathematics teachers use several assessment measures such as MOSL, NYC Schoolnet Baseline and Benchmark Assessments, "Cycle of Intervention" pre-/checkpoint/post-assessments, and common pre- and post-unit assessments. Benchmark assessment data show an overall increase of 2.6% in student performance. The principal will continue to work with mathematics teachers on identifying higher leverage skills in order to further increase the level of student achievement.</p> <p>Math</p> <p>Grade 7 – Mathematics Module 4 – April 2016</p> <p>Level 1 - 11% decrease          Level 2 - 46% decrease          Level 3 - 16 % decrease          Level 4 - 160% increase</p>	N/A





				<p>collaboration between urban public school systems and science-rich cultural institutions including zoos, botanical gardens, museums, and science centers to improve students' knowledge of science and engineering practices.</p> <p>The New York City UA program provides professional development for middle school science teachers and opportunities for both students and teachers to engage in authentic science-conducting investigations in which they pose scientifically oriented questions, prioritize evidence and develop logical explanations, which are essential for understanding science. UA aligns science standards and assessments in school including the NYC Department of Education's Performance Standards. The school leaders will ensure that new science teachers receive the support needed to strengthen their content and pedagogical knowledge.</p>	
<p>Make Priority School Progress</p>	<p>Yellow</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Meet progress criteria</p>	<p>The school engages in a process of evaluating their formative and summative data sources throughout the school year to identify growth towards this demonstrable improvement indicator. This work is articulated within each framework area of the renewal school comprehensive educational plan (RSCEP). Data is not yet available for this indicator. We are taking steps in order to make every effort to meet our target. Data to evaluate this indicator will be available pending SED release of information.</p> <p>As reported previously Roland Hayes JHS 291 is making progress toward meeting ELA and Mathematics benchmarks. Although it is on track to making Priority School Progress the principal will continue to receive support from the community superintendent and Borough Field Support Center in order to ensure success as a new leader.</p> <p><b>ELA</b></p>	<p>N/A</p>

			<p>Argumentative Writing Unit Grades 6-8</p> <p>6<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>56 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>17 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>14 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>37 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>8 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 70% decrease in level 1's and a 12% increase level 3.</p> <p>7<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>56 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>35 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>14 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>34 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>10 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>9 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>4 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 35% decrease in level 1's, 1% increase level 3 and 4.8% in level 4.</p> <p>8<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>60 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>30 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>41 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>33 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>2 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>35 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>1 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 50% decrease in level 1's, 94% increase level 3 and 1% in level 4.</p> <p><b>Math</b></p> <p>Grade 7 – Mathematics Module 4 – April 2016</p>	Pre:	Post:	<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>17 students in level 1.</b>	<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>37 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>0 students in level 3.</b>	<b>8 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	Pre:	Post:	<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>35 students in level 1.</b>	<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>34 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>10 students in level 3.</b>	<b>9 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>4 students in level 4.</b>	Pre:	Post:	<b>60 students in level 1.</b>	<b>30 students in level 1.</b>	<b>41 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>33 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>2 students in level 3.</b>	<b>35 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>1 students in level 4.</b>	
Pre:	Post:																																	
<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>17 students in level 1.</b>																																	
<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>37 Students in level 2.</b>																																	
<b>0 students in level 3.</b>	<b>8 students in level 3.</b>																																	
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>																																	
Pre:	Post:																																	
<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>35 students in level 1.</b>																																	
<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>34 Students in level 2.</b>																																	
<b>10 students in level 3.</b>	<b>9 students in level 3.</b>																																	
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>4 students in level 4.</b>																																	
Pre:	Post:																																	
<b>60 students in level 1.</b>	<b>30 students in level 1.</b>																																	
<b>41 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>33 Students in level 2.</b>																																	
<b>2 students in level 3.</b>	<b>35 students in level 3.</b>																																	
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>1 students in level 4.</b>																																	









			<p>the school year to identify growth towards this demonstrative improvement indicator. This work is articulated within each framework area of the renewal school comprehensive educational plan (RSCEP). Data is not yet available for this indicator. We are confident that we are seeing positive trends towards meeting the target. Data to evaluate this indicator will be available August 31, 2016</p> <p>As reported in Level 1 indicator for 3-8 ELA Growth Percentile and Average ELA Proficiency Rating, Roland Hayes JHS 291 is expected to meet the Performance Index target on the State ELA Exam.</p> <p><b>ELA</b></p> <p>Argumentative Writing Unit Grades 6-8</p> <p>6<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table data-bbox="785 755 1350 943"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>56 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>17 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>14 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>37 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>8 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 70% decrease in level 1's and a 12% increase level 3.</p> <p>7<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <table data-bbox="785 1144 1350 1333"> <tr> <td>Pre:</td> <td>Post:</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>56 students in level 1.</b></td> <td><b>35 students in level 1.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>14 Students in level 2.</b></td> <td><b>34 Students in level 2.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>10 students in level 3.</b></td> <td><b>9 students in level 3.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>0 students in level 4.</b></td> <td><b>4 students in level 4.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p>There was a 35% decrease in level 1's, 1% increase level 3 and 4.8% in level 4.</p>	Pre:	Post:	<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>17 students in level 1.</b>	<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>37 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>0 students in level 3.</b>	<b>8 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	Pre:	Post:	<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>35 students in level 1.</b>	<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>34 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>10 students in level 3.</b>	<b>9 students in level 3.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>4 students in level 4.</b>	
Pre:	Post:																							
<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>17 students in level 1.</b>																							
<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>37 Students in level 2.</b>																							
<b>0 students in level 3.</b>	<b>8 students in level 3.</b>																							
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>0 students in level 4.</b>																							
Pre:	Post:																							
<b>56 students in level 1.</b>	<b>35 students in level 1.</b>																							
<b>14 Students in level 2.</b>	<b>34 Students in level 2.</b>																							
<b>10 students in level 3.</b>	<b>9 students in level 3.</b>																							
<b>0 students in level 4.</b>	<b>4 students in level 4.</b>																							

				<p>8<sup>th</sup> Grade</p> <p>Pre: <b>60 students in level 1.</b>  <b>41 Students in level 2.</b>  <b>2 students in level 3.</b>  <b>0 students in level 4.</b></p> <p>Post: <b>30 students in level 1.</b>  <b>33 Students in level 2.</b>  <b>35 students in level 3.</b>  <b>1 students in level 4.</b></p> <p>There was a 50% decrease in level 1's, 94% increase level 3 and 1% in level 4.</p>	
Provide 200 Hours of Extended Learning Time	Green	N/A	Implement	<p>The school engages in a process of evaluating their formative and summative data sources throughout the school year to strategically support the ELT program. This work is articulated within each framework area of the renewal school comprehensive educational plan (RSCEP). The statements below show a trajectory of this work and progress towards meeting this indicator prior to summative data sources being readily available.</p> <p>The school is fully implementing Extended Learning Time.</p>	N/A

DRAFT

<b>Green</b>	Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <i>with impact</i> .	<b>Yellow</b>	Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.	<b>Red</b>	Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required.
--------------	--	---------------	---	------------	---

**Part II – Key Strategies**

<b>Key Strategies</b>				
<i>As applicable, identify any key strategies being implemented during the current reporting period that are <u>not described above</u>, but are embedded in the approved intervention plan/budget and instrumental in meeting projected school improvement outcomes. Identify the evidence that supports your assessment of implementation/impact of key strategies, the connection to goals, and the likelihood of meeting targets set forth in the Intervention Plan. Responses should be directly aligned with approved 2015-16 interventions plans (SIG, SIF or SCEP), and should include evidence and/or data used to make determinations. If the school has a SIF grant, or has selected the SIG 6 Innovation Framework model, please include as one of the key strategies the analysis of effectiveness of the lead partner working with the school.</i>				
List the Key Strategy from your approved Intervention Plan (SIG, SIF or SCEP).		Status (R/Y/G)	Analysis / Report Out	2016-17 School Year Plan
1.	<p><b>Rigorous Instruction</b></p> <p><b>Goals:</b></p> <p>By June 2016, SWDs and ELLs will demonstrate a 2% gain in ELA and Math as evidenced by the New York State Assessment. Teachers will use ongoing assessments, such as benchmark assessments to inform their instructional decisions, in order to provide students with timely and relevant feedback to monitor, assess, and ensure student achievement.</p> <p><b>Key Strategies:</b></p> <p>The Danielson Group will focus on lesson planning. Lesson planning will focus on developing multiple entry points, opportunities for student-to-student discussion and engaging activities. These sessions will begin during the first professional development session</p>	Yellow	<p>In the framework area of Rigorous instruction, the school has focused on the following work throughout SY 15-16.</p> <p>ELA and Math teachers are engaged in a “Cycle of Intervention” that identifies common weaknesses of students by grade using an items skills analysis. During the cycle teachers administer common pre- and post-assessments, and common weekly assessments serve as checkpoints in order to track the progress made by students. Mathematics and ELA teachers have both completed two (2) “Cycles of Intervention”. For example, in Math 7th grade teachers noticed that only 34% of students had fluency in solving multi-step problems involving fractions and mixed numbers. After the 2nd “Cycle of Intervention” the data showed that 78% of students achieved mastery in that particular standard. Teachers will continue to identify areas of weakness, engage students in targeted interventions, and continuously assess their progress.</p> <p>Similarly, 7th grade ELA teachers found that only 25% of students were able to cite text-based evidence.</p>	N/A

	<p>for teachers.</p> <p><b>Renewal School Priority Areas:</b>            Classroom Implementation of Curricula/Writing Strategies            Planning and Refinement of Written CCLS-aligned Curricula to Provide Access to All Students</p>	<p>After the 1st “cycle of Intervention” there was a 12% increase in mastery.</p> <p>In order to improve the implementation of instructional strategies that address these areas of weakness, Goldmansour &amp; Rutherford (G&amp;R) and The Danielson Group provide professional learning opportunities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and administrators. Goldmansour &amp; Rutherford (G&amp;R) provide professional development to the school that specifically supports them in building an inclusive environment. G&amp;R is also committed to helping teachers support students with significant disabilities in general education and inclusive settings on all grade levels. G&amp;R coaches have specific expertise in profound and severe disabilities including spectrum disorders and behavioral challenges. Professional development revolves around implementing Tier I and Tier II strategies, how to develop adaptive materials that is used in the classroom and supports in differentiating curriculum and instruction at different levels for a variety of students. G&amp; R supports paraprofessionals with specific ways they could better support students in the classroom. In addition, G&amp;R supports the speech teacher with shoulder-to-shoulder coaching and initiates case studies with specific students.</p> <p>As evidenced by the 2015 Quality Review, the area of focus was indicator 1.2 Developing teacher pedagogy. Therefore The Danielson Group has continued the work they started in 14-15 SY with a focus on teacher pedagogy. The Danielson Group continues to provide teachers with school-wide professional development as well as shoulder-to-shoulder coaching and support for novice and all teachers in general with instruction.</p>	
--	--	--	--

			<p>Advance data has demonstrated that the teachers require extra support in Engaging all students, Discussion and Questioning Techniques, as well as Assessment.</p> <p>School leadership and teachers will continue to strengthen these areas.</p>	
2.	<p><b>Supportive Environment</b>  <b>Goals:</b>                  By June 2016, there will be a 2% student attendance increase.</p> <p><b>Key Strategies:</b>                  Goldmansour &amp; Rutherford will begin work immediately with a focus on beginning of the year classroom management strategies and students with special needs in developing adaptive materials.</p> <p>Attendance Systems &amp; Structures</p>	Green	<p>In the framework area, Supportative Environment the school has focused on the following work throughout SY 15-16. The current operational structure in support of the Community School model is contributing largely to the success seen in student attendance, school climate, and school wide social-emotional support. Wediko (Lead CBO) works collaboratively with the entire school community to provide services in support of the needs of our students. Wediko is currently directly engaged in working with the Attendance Team, Extended Learning Time (ELT), and providing social-emotional support to students.</p> <p>The Attendance Team is currently using the Attendance Heat Map as a tool to track daily attendance and the different interventions used by the attendance team. The data currently shows that average student attendance to date is 92.4%. This is currently exceeding the benchmark of 90.8% for the 15-16 SY and an improvement of 3% since the 14-15 SY.</p> <p>Wediko offers direct social-emotional lessons around building community in the classroom. Lessons are centered on topics such as bullying, recognizing the positives in their classmates, perspective taking</p>	N/A

			<p>around particular scenarios of conflict, and sharing about themselves respectfully with one another, among others. Wediko is currently planning on a combination of facilitating Community Building Restorative Circles that directly supports academic classes, as well as providing targeted individual and small group academic and socio-emotional interventions during ELT. They have sub-contracted with Creative Connections that offers two Success Coaches to offer College and Career Connections programming to help students set goals and identify how their work in middle school fits into their future aspirations. Creative Connections will also offer their Teen Entrepreneurship Program to support math instruction with school based small business planning/implementation and financial literacy training, as well as their Spoken Word programming to support literacy through writing, analyzing and performing Spoken Word poetry. The Beacon program collaborates with Wediko in providing one staff member to do literacy based therapeutic art programming for three (3) classes. The instructor, a licensed art therapist, uses the arts to help students express themselves, manage their own emotions, and learn about one another.</p>	
<p>3.</p>	<p><b>Collaborative Teachers</b>  <b>Goals:</b>          By June 2016, there will be a 2% increase in ELA and Math scores in all grades as evidenced by State assessments.</p>	<p>Yellow</p>	<p>In the framework area, Collaborative Teachers the school has focused on the following work throughout SY 15-16. The school’s culture is one that fosters professional collaboration, promotes shared leadership, and strengthens teacher practice. Strategic programming supports content grade level common planning as well as interdisciplinary common</p>	<p>N/A</p>

	<p><b>Key Strategies:</b>          Frank Cunningham Inc. will support the school in creating a data-driven environment where teachers use data, have teacher team meetings, and create next steps for student achievement.</p> <p><b>Renewal School Priority Areas:</b>          Inquiry</p>	<p>planning across all grades and content areas every week.</p> <p>Teachers across the school participate in inquiry-based collaborative teams that use effective protocols to examine classroom practice, analyze assessment data, and assess student work to identify its impact on student learning and outcomes. The school uses Datacation (DDC) to create, scan, and examine assessments. Teachers engage in inquiry-based meetings to examine data reports from Datacation (DDC) to improve teacher practice and inform next steps. Curriculum maps reflect the use of assessments that measure student progress. Teachers administer pre- and post-assessments for all units in mathematics, English Language Arts, social studies and science in order to measure student progress. School leaders and teachers use Datacation (DDC) to monitor the successful implementation of the assessment cycle. Teachers use assessments to identify gaps that may exist in English Language Arts and mathematics. After data analysis, teachers modify curriculum maps and add scaffolds for ELL, SWD, and the highest achieving students to ensure all students are able to enter and exit lessons cohesively and at the level of their ability. In addition, at team meetings teachers share best practices and modify lessons and/or curriculum based on student data.</p> <p>The school also uses in-class assessments to improve student learning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timely and accurate checks for understanding before, during, and after the implementation of a unit</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Teachers are able to administer pre- and mid- unit assessments in order to make adjustments to lesson and/or unit plans</li> <li>• Teachers administer post unit assessments to measure students’ mastery of key skills, concepts and standards at the conclusion of each unit. They use this information to plan for additional supports in the next unit.</li> </ul> <p>Teachers use this data to provide targeted instruction during small group work within the classroom, during ELT and Academic Intervention Services (AIS).</p> <p>Teacher teams use Google Docs as a platform for archiving meeting agendas, minutes, curriculum maps, and current student data for the “Cycle of Intervention”, and current unit assessment data in ELA and Math. The Instructional and Administrative Cabinet monitor progress, as the work is evolving allowing for immediate adjustments to teacher practice.</p>	
4.	<p><b>Effective School Leadership</b></p> <p><b>Goals:</b></p> <p>By June 2016, 25% of the teachers will grow by one level on the Danielson scale in component 3b (Questioning and Discussion Techniques).</p> <p><b>Key Strategies:</b></p> <p>Make use of common assessments and assessment schedules which</p>	Yellow	<p>In the framework area, Effective School Leadership the school has focused on the following work throughout SY 15-16. The plan to monitor progress towards meeting benchmarks involves the entire administrative team. The new principal and her team will work together in order to ensure the systems are indeed improving student progress.</p> <p>Administrators review teacher team agendas and minutes in order to ensure that teams stay focused on the priorities set by the Instructional Cabinet. A culture has been established that requires deep communication and collaboration. This functional</p>	N/A

<p>will allow for gains in all grades and core subject areas.</p> <p><b>Renewal School Priority Areas:</b> Leadership Coaching</p>	<p>cycle is part of that culture and is an integral part of our teaching. The Data-Driven Inquiry instructional cycle is a process that helps teachers identify strategies on how to maximize student learning and involves assessment analysis and action planning.</p> <p>Teacher teams systemically analyze key elements in teacher practice as well as assessment data in Mathematics and ELA, and student work including portfolios, assessments, group work interactions, etc. which results in shared improvements in teacher practice (e.g. rigorous tasks, well-sequenced units, effective instructional techniques) and mastery of goals for groups of students; Mathematics/ELA Peer Collaborative Teachers and their teams exchange student data to create richer portraits of student learning needs and achievements.</p> <p>In the beginning of the school year all students will be given a Common Core Aligned baseline assessment. Once the baseline assessment is given, teachers score their individual class assessments and identify common trends and deficiencies to be shared with other teachers in the same grade level, during their teacher team meeting.</p> <p>The baseline assessment will give teachers valuable information on areas that need to be targeted to maximize student learning and targeting individual student needs. These targeted areas of instruction will be addressed during small group instruction, ELT, and Academic Intervention Services (AIS). The administrative team and Instructional Cabinet will regularly review assessment data. This information will also be used to identify the students who will populate the various learning opportunities during the school day, ELT, and Saturday Academy programs for</p>	
--	---	--

		<p>extended learning (enrichment and remedial classes). A benchmark assessment is administered in all grade levels and results will be used during teacher team meetings to further adjust the content curriculum and continue to target individual student learning goals. Assessment data will be submitted to administrators as well as placed in Google Docs for all to have access to.</p> <p>Instruction will be monitored by the administrative team and various types of data (student work, teacher team outcomes, assessment results, teacher observations, etc.) will be collected to determine if progress towards established goals is being made. If there is little or no progress being made, the plan of action will be revisited and revised as necessary.</p> <p>Work with each external partner will begin with a collaborative examination of relevant data, with the goals of setting mutually agreed-upon benchmarks that are both measurable and time-bound. Both parties will use the benchmarks (various types of data; student work, teacher team outcomes, assessment results, teacher observation, etc.) to determine their respective responsibilities, create deadlines for the completion of responsibilities, and specify the individuals who will complete the necessary actions. Benchmarks and responsibilities, along with related details such as deadlines and the resources necessary, will be added to an action plan. Action plans and progress toward benchmarks will be revisited on a bi-monthly basis. If services are not yielding expected outcomes, both parties will determine where the accountability lies, create a plan of action to ensure future benchmarks are met, and act accordingly. If there is little or no progress being made, the plan of</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>action will be revisited and revised as necessary, should there be no progress after adjustments are made barring extenuating circumstance that would impede progress towards the objective, the partnership would ultimately be dissolved.</p> <p>The administrative team will continue to conduct cycles of observations. A schedule of observations will be adhered to and actionable feedback will be given which will lead to improved pedagogy.</p> <p>To strengthen teaching practice the Principal continues to implement Charlotte Danielson’s “A Framework for Teaching” which is used to hold teachers to a common standard and provide teachers with timely and actionable feedback through which they can improve their pedagogy. Trends are noted as areas for improvement from observations of teachers and then used to inform cycles of professional learning opportunities for teachers. Administrators provide teachers with actionable feedback for all observations; informal as well as formal.</p> <p>Administrator’s work with teachers to create teacher goals and identify areas of growth in their pedagogy aligned with Danielson. The developed system has undergone several adjustments in order to maximize efficiency and ensure quality of the observation cycles and feedback to teachers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Supervisors engaged in cycles of observation</li><li>• Observations conducted through a specific lens using a specific focus</li><li>• Charted Observations and identifying trends</li><li>• The school leader and staff were engaged in a process that will improve teaching practice in order to</li></ul>	
--	--	--	--

			effectively implement rigorous curricula aligned to Common Core.  ADVANCE data was monitored throughout the school year.	
5.	<p><b>Strong Family-Community Ties</b></p> <p><b>Goals:</b> By June 2016, administrators, the Parent Coordinator and teachers from all grades will increase parental engagement, through multiple communication tools, such as PupilPath, letters, telephone conferences, and email to express high expectations and accountability on student progress and achievement. The school will continue to provide parent workshops on Common Core Standards, technology, anti-bully, etc. In addition, attendance at workshops will demonstrate an upward trend as measured by last year's attendance as well as the amount of parents logging into Pupil Path.</p> <p><b>Key Strategies:</b> Link parents to programs and resources within the community that provide support services to families.</p>	Yellow	<p>In the framework area, Strong Family-Community Ties the school has focused on the following work throughout SY 15-16. Parents will always feel welcomed in the school community by always having someone who can communicate with them in their native language. Parents can meet the parent coordinator in the parent coordinator's office where they can use computers to check students' data, receive information regarding academics, and receive information regarding social service supports.</p> <p>To continue the work that has been initiated, Roland Hayes JHS 291 will have specific actions and strategies in place to increase family and community involvement. These strategies are indicated below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Teachers continued to use the time allotted on Tuesdays to meet with parents either in person or by telephone to inform parents of their child's progress. Teachers will also give students actionable feedback on ways they can improve their work not only on the current task but also for the future tasks.</li> <li>• The school has a parent workshop calendar that will provide parents with a plethora of workshops to support them with their middle school child. Workshops range from Helping Your Child Succeed in School to Technology Workshops for parents.</li> <li>• The school has provided an accessible parent/family information and resource center to support parents and families with training, resources, and other</li> </ul>	N/A

		<p>services with resources for English Language Learners (ELL) and Students with Disabilities (SWD) and will continue to do so.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The school has instituted a parental involvement policy that supports and respects all families, recognizing cultural and religious diversity.</li><li>• The school has facilitated communication between school and home and provided parents easy access to their children’s progress through pupil path.</li><li>• The school has linked parents to programs and resources within the community that provide support services to families.</li><li>• The school has shared reports on school performance with parents and solicited input for future goals.</li><li>• The school has provided information on how parents can foster learning at home, give appropriate assistance, monitor homework and give feedback to teachers.</li><li>• Expand parents’ decision-making capacity regarding their child’s educational options and needs by providing professional development workshops for parents of all students including parents of ELLs, bilingual students and SWDs.</li><li>• Enabled parents to internalize the significance of student periodic common assessment results, report cards and skills analysis of their children’s performance on State standardized assessments.</li><li>• Communicated with parents continually through teacher contact, administrative and support staff</li></ul>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>contact, student progress reports, letters published in English and in languages spoken in the homes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Gauged parent and community satisfaction through the administration of a school specific parent survey and by administering the School Survey. Data from the formal and informal parent surveys will inform goal setting and planning that addresses parent and community needs.</li><li>• Family Worker provided attendance outreach, home visits, and translations, coordinate monthly attendance meetings, follow up on 407's, work with Long Term Absences, attend PPT meetings to support students and families who are at risk.</li></ul> <p>Formal and informal strategies will engage parent/family involvement and communication. The goal is to continuously build parent capacity and awareness so that parents and the school can work collaboratively to increase student achievement. Specific actions and strategies to increase family and community involvement are indicated below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provided parents with translated information regarding curriculum, student placement, school activities, student services, this SIG grant and other relevant programs.</li><li>• Provided an accessible parent/family information and resource center to support parents and families with training, resources, and other services with resources for English Language Learners (ELLs) and Students with Disabilities (SWDs)</li><li>• Conducted Parent-Teacher conferences with parents at least twice a year, with follow-up as needed.</li></ul>	
--	--	--	--

			<p>Conference times will be varied to accommodate parent schedules and language barriers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coordinate ESL classes for parents.</li> <li>• Provided staff development, for all school staff, regarding effective communication techniques and the importance of regular communication between the school and the family.</li> <li>• Continued to institute a parental involvement policy that supports and respects all families, recognizing cultural and religious diversity.</li> <li>• Established a way for immediate and regular contact between parents and teachers when concerns arise.</li> <li>• Linked parents to programs and resources within the community that provide support services to families.</li> <li>• Shared reports on school performance with parents and solicit input for future goals.</li> <li>• Provided information on how parents can foster learning at home, give appropriate assistance, monitor homework and give feedback to teachers.</li> <li>• Expanded parents’ decision-making capacity regarding their child’s educational options and needs by providing professional development workshops for parents of all students including parents of ELLs, bilingual students and SWDs.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Green</b></p>	<p>Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <i>with impact</i>.</p>	<p><b>Yellow</b></p>	<p>Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.</p>	<p><b>Red</b></p> <p>Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required.</p>

DRAFT

**Part III – Community Engagement Team and Receivership Powers**

<b>Community Engagement Team (CET)</b> Please provide information regarding the type, nature, frequency and outcomes of meetings held by the entire Community Engagement Team and/or sub-committees charged with addressing specific components of the Community Engagement Plan. Describe goals and outcomes of meetings and committee work in terms of Community Engagement Plan implementation, school support and dissemination of information. Please identify any changes in the community engagement plan and/or changes in the membership structure of the CET.		
Status (R/Y/G)	Analysis / Report Out	2016-17 School Year Plan
Green	<p>The Community Engagement Team (CET) makes recommendations for improving the school and solicits input regarding its recommendations through public engagement. Listed below are the Superintendent-approved CET recommendations incorporated into the revised improvement plan:</p> <p><b>Superintendent-Approved CET Recommendations:</b>                      Increase parental engagement and involvement.</p> <p><b>Goals/Outcome of CET meetings:</b></p> <p>CET reviews Quarterly Reports with SLT. Reviews data and discusses strategies to address student academic and socio-emotional progress.</p> <p>The CET continually assesses and reports on the implementation of the plan, informed by current data regarding school performance on selected Demonstrable Improvement Metrics and any other information necessary to assess the implementation of the plan, provided by the Superintendent and the Principal. CET meetings are held once a month a time that is convenient for parents – either weekday evenings or Saturday mornings. The monthly CET meetings are in addition to the monthly School Leadership Team (SLT) meetings conducted by the school.</p>	<p>During the first week of the 2016-17 school year, written notice will be sent to the parents of, or persons in parental relation to, students attending the school about its designation and receivership. The NYCDOE will conduct a public hearing for the purposes of discussing the performance of the school and the concept of receivership, and soliciting input through public engagement regarding recommendations for improving the school.</p> <p>The Superintendent will review and provide approved recommendations to the school which will be used to inform planning and adjustments needed to the Renewal School Comprehensive Educational Plan (RSCEP).</p> <p>The CET will continue to assess and report on the implementation of the plan, informed by current data regarding school performance on selected Demonstrable Improvement Metrics and any other information necessary to assess the implementation of the plan, provided by the Superintendent and the Principal. CET’s utilize the goals and benchmarks in the Renewal School Comprehensive Plan (RSCEP) as well as SIG/SIF improvement plans to track progress towards meeting their school specific goals and demonstrable improvement metrics. CET meetings are held once a month a time that is convenient for parents – either weekday evenings or Saturday mornings</p>

<p><b>Powers of the Receiver</b></p> <p>Please provide information regarding efforts on the part of the School Receiver to utilize powers pursuant to section 100.19 of Commissioner’s Regulations pertaining to School Receivership. Describe goals and outcomes related to Receivership powers currently being utilized (or in the developmental phase) in terms of their implementation/development status and their impact.</p>			
Status (R/Y/G)	Analysis / Report Out	2016-17 School Year Plan	
Green	<p>Beginning in July 2015, the NYCDOE engaged in regular consultation with the leadership of its collective bargaining units representing teachers – United Federation of Teachers (UFT) – and school supervisors – Council of School Supervisors and Administrators (CSA) – regarding the construct of receivership and related requirements. NYCDOE is considering any elements of the revised SCEP, SIG, or SIF plans that require changes to the collective bargaining agreements, for example mandatory participation of all school staff in summer professional development activities. The timeline for engagement with local collective bargaining units is the 2015-16 school year for implementation in the 2016-17 school year. Larry Becker, NYCDOE CEO of Human Resources and Labor, is planning and conducting the engagement activities with UFT and CSA. Following our engagement process, the NYCDOE will determine what changes may need to be made to collective bargaining agreements.</p>	<p>The NYCDOE will continue to engage in regular consultation with the leadership of its collective bargaining units regarding the construct of receivership and related requirements. NYCDOE is considering any elements of the revised SCEP, SIG, or SIF plans that require changes to the collective bargaining agreements, for example mandatory participation of all school staff in summer professional development activities. Larry Becker, NYCDOE CEO of Human Resources and Labor, is planning and conducting the engagement activities with UFT and CSA. Following our engagement process, the NYCDOE will determine what changes may need to be made to collective bargaining agreements.</p>	
<b>Green</b>	Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <i>with impact</i> .	<b>Yellow</b> Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.	<b>Red</b> Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required.

**Part IV – Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP) Plan Required Components (As applicable)**

<b>2016-17 School Year Plan</b>			
<i>As applicable</i> , please provide additional information to describe 2016-17 school year plans and rationale for required components of a Title I Schoolwide Program plan. If a required component has already been addressed in one or more section above, please use the “2016-17 School Year Plan” column to indicate which sections contain this information. A brief rationale should be included for <i>each</i> required component.			
Ten Required Components of SWP		2016-17 School Year Plan	Rationale
1.	Comprehensive Needs Assessment	Diagnostic Tool School and District Effectiveness (DTSDE), both state-led and district-led satisfy this requirement.	N/A
2.	Schoolwide Reform Strategies	N/A	N/A
3.	Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	N/A
4.	High Quality and On-going Professional Development	N/A	N/A
5.	Strategies to Attract High Quality Highly Qualified Teachers to High Needs Schools	N/A	N/A
6.	Strategies to Increase Parental Involvement	N/A	N/A
7.	Transition Plans to Assist Pre-school Children from Early Childhood Programs to the Elementary School Program	N/A	N/A
8.	Measures to Include Teachers in Decisions Regarding the Use of Academic Assessment Data to Inform Instruction	N/A	N/A
9.	Activities to Ensure the Students Who Experience Difficulty Attaining Proficiency Receive Effective and Timely Additional Assistance	N/A	N/A
10.	Coordination and Integration of Federal, State and Local Services and Programs -	N/A	N/A

**Part V – Best Practices (Optional)**

DRAFT

**Best Practices**

The New York State Education Department recognizes the importance of sharing best practices of schools and districts. Please take this opportunity to share one or more successful strategy currently being implemented in the school that has resulted in significant improvements in student performance, instructional practice, student/family engagement, and/or school climate. It is the intention of the Department to share these best practices with schools and districts in Receivership.

	List the best practice currently being implemented in the school.	Describe the best practice in terms of the impact it is having, the evidence being collected to determine its value, and the manner in which it might be replicated in other schools/districts.
1.		
2.		
3.		

**Part VI – Fiscal**

**Budget Analysis/Narrative and Budget Documents** – The LEA/school should propose expenditures that are reasonable and necessary to support the identified Receivership school’s initiatives and goals. The LEA/school should provide appropriate and complete required budget elements identified below. Please note, separate budget narratives and FS-10’s must be submitted for a SIG, SIF and/or Persistently Struggling Schools (PSS) grant.

Design Element	Status (R/Y/G)	Analysis of 2015-16 School Year
Provide an analysis of the current implementation period <u>expenditures in terms of desired outcomes, alignment to project plan/timeline, and impact on instructional practices/key strategies/student engagement.</u>		N/A
Additionally, <u>under separate attachment</u> , the LEA/school must provide a <b>Budget Narrative</b> and an <b>FS-10</b> for the upcoming 2016-17 implementation period. The budget narrative must identify and explain all proposed costs for district and school-level activities. For each activity, identify costs associated and provide an explanation/justification for the cost that connects to the project activity, goals, and outcomes previously identified throughout the 2016-17 Continuation Plan and/or Persistently Struggling Schools (PSS) grant. The budget items must be clear and obvious about how the proposed activities are directly impacting the school-level and district implementation of its intervention plan. The proposed expenditures must be reasonable and necessary to support the initiatives and goals of the LEA/school, and commensurate to size and need. Schools no longer receiving SIG or SIF funds need not submit budget narratives and FS-10’s.		

**Part VII – Attestation**

**RECEIVER:**

By signing below, I certify that the information in this quarterly report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Name of Receiver (Print): \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Receiver: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT TEAM:**

By signing below, I certify that the community engagement team (CET) was directly consulted in the preparation of this document.

Name and Position of CET Representative (Print): \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Receiver: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

The University of the State of New York - THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT - Albany, NY 12234

2016-17  
 School Improvement Grant 1003(g)  
 School Innovation Fund Grant  
 Persistently Struggling Schools Grant

Continuation Plan Cover Page

<b>District Name</b>	
<b>School Name</b>	
<b>Contact Person</b>	<b>Telephone (    )</b>
<b>E-Mail Address</b>	
<p>I hereby certify that I am the applicant’s chief school/administrative officer and that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, complete and accurate. I further certify, to the best of my knowledge, that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable Federal and State laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, Assurances, Certifications, the terms and conditions outlined in the Master Grant Contract and that the requested budget amounts are necessary for the implementation of this project. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the NYS Education Department or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement. It is also understood by the applicant that immediate written notice will be provided to the grant program office if at any time the applicant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.</p>	
Authorized Signature <b>(in blue ink)</b>	Title of Chief School/Administrative Officer
Typed Name:	Date: