Panel for Educational Policy
Contract Agenda
November 26, 2013

Item: 1 **Description:** Contract extension for maintenance and support for bus routing software.

Term: 2 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Education Logistics, Inc.	$33,333

Item: 2 **Description:** Leadership development services for teachers and school administrators.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 2 one year options **Funding:** Tax Levy and Private Funding

Division: Division of Academics, Performance and Support **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
NYC Leadership Academy	$9,000,000

Item: 3 **Description:** Audio and video equipment for schools and administrative offices.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
CDW Government LLC	$16,295,252
Troxell Communications, Inc.	$12,727,164

Item: 4 **Description:** Assemble, furnish, and deliver eyeglasses to selected students.

Term: 3 years **Options:** 2 one year options **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of School Health **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
CORCRAFT	$54,850
Express Lens Lab	$17,140
Optical Ventures/Reliable Optics	$16,775

Item: 5 **Description:** Labor, material, and supervision necessary for hazardous waste removal in schools and administrative buildings.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 day option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of School Facilities **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Triumvirate Environmental Inc	$1,253,040

Item: 6 **Description:** Transportation services for students with disabilities and their non-disabled peers.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Acme Bus Corporation	$4,528,440
All County Bus	$3,049,200
Anchor Bus	$1,506,960

Item: 6 **Description:** Transportation services for students with disabilities and their non-disabled peers.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
ARJ Transportation	$945,000
Children's Transportation	$737,100
Don Thomas Buses, Inc.	$9,768,600
E-Z Bus LLC	$442,800
Montauk Student Transport LLC	$1,425,330
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,470,600
Mutual Bus Corp.	$1,826,831
New Dawn Transit LLC	$26,448,289
R&C Transit Inc	$328,952
Reliant Transportation	$91,992,156
Safe Coach Inc	$4,787,100
Thomas Buses, Inc.	$3,319,020
Vinny's Bus Services	$3,225,600

Item: 7 **Description:** Purchase of broiled beef patties using donated or commercial products.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 day option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of School Food **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
AdvancePierre Foods, Inc	$1,786,142

Item: 8 **Description:** Purchase of whole wheat pizza (French bread), whole wheat pizza bagel, and whole wheat pizza slice (New York style).

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 day option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of School Food **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Schwan's Food Service, Inc.	$7,239,907

Item: 9 **Description:** Office furniture for schools and administrative offices.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Chase Office Supply	$2,960,444

Item: 10 **Description:** Repair and install ironwork and welding in schools and administrative buildings.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 day option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of School Facilities **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Lake Iron Works d/b/a Pooran Lakeram	$639,470

Item: 11 **Description:** Electrical installations and repair work in schools and administrative buildings.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 day option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of School Facilities **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
A & S Electric Inc	$325,850
Laser Electrical Contracting Inc	$968,605
W & B Electric Corp	$1,151,512

Item: 12 **Description:** Software, services, and related products unique to Apple devices.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 2 year option **Funding:** Various

Division: Div. of Instructional & Information Technology **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Apple, Inc.	$840,000

Item: 13 **Description:** Expanded Learning Time (ELT) for tutoring services citywide. (RA#3)

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Achievers Enrichment Services, Ltd.	$160,000
Catapult Learning, LLC	$50,000
Community Technical Assistance, Inc.	$50,000
Education Futures Corp.	$80,000

Item: 14 **Description:** Student support services including academic enrichment activities, leadership development, tutoring and homework assistance, parent development, individual, group, and family counseling (RA #9)

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Office of Safety and Youth Development **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Newtopia Enterprise, Inc. dba Korea Taekwondo	$30,000
Oasis Community Corporation d/b/a Roads to Success	$200,000

Item: 15 **Description:** Educational software supporting both the Common Core State Standards and the New York State Education Standards for grades Kindergarten through 12th grade.

Term: 3 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
BK Interactive LLc	$370,000

Item: 16 **Description:** Reading Plus educational software designed to improve word recognition, vocabulary, and reading comprehension for students.

Term: 7 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Taylor Associates Communications, Inc.	$75,000

Item: 17 **Description:** Instructional textbooks and ancillary materials for use in classrooms.

Term: 7 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Human Kinetics	$75,000

Item: 1

Description:

Contract extension for maintenance and support for bus routing software.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH EDUCATION LOGISTICS, INC. FOR MAINTENANCE OF ROUTING SOFTWARE FOR GENERAL AND SPECIAL EDUCATION STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$66,666	Tax Levy	1/1/14 – 12/31/15	None	Contract Extension per DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures Section 4-07(b)	No	Full Value

Vendor Name & Address	Education Logistics, Inc. 3000 Palmer Street Missoula, MT 59808

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Everett Parker Director Office of School Support Services Office of Pupil Transportation	Lisa D'Amato Office of Student Support Services Office of Pupil Transportation	Romayne Jagessar Managing Director Vendor Research & Price Analysis

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to extend a contract with Education Logistics to provide maintenance of the transportation routing software used by the Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) to route school buses servicing grades K-12. The current contract would otherwise expire on 12/31/13.

DISCUSSION

Edulog is proprietary software provided by Education Logistics to plan school bus stop locations and bus routes. No other companies are authorized to modify or support Edulog, which has been integrated with multiple OPT web services and database structures for route management and reporting applications. The software allows bus operators to create bus routes and helps OPT staff supervise those routes, including estimating student pick-up times from home bus stops and school.

The original contract for these services was awarded pursuant to a Request for Proposals (RFP) for a term of six years ending December, 2009. The contract was extended for one year through December 31, 2010 and followed by a negotiated service contract through December 31, 2013. This two year contract extension was approved by the Committee on Contracts (COC) on November 19, 2013, as required by the DOE's Procurement Policy and Procedures.

The requested extension will allow OPT to continue to use this program for two additional years, during which time a successor RFP for a new school bus routing system will be issued.

Education Logistics has agreed to continue to provide software maintenance services at its current fee over the next two years, with no escalation in cost. Service includes annual software support, program upgrades, project management, and hardware consulting for a total of 211 hours at a weighted average hourly rate of $158 per hour. As pricing for both years of this extension will remain the same as it was under the base contract (including CPI increases for some earlier extensions), it has been determined to be fair and reasonable.

DCP's review of the City's VENDEX files, its own procurement files, and the System for Award Management found no significant adverse information for Education Logistics. In addition, OPT personnel have confirmed that the vendor has provided satisfactory service. Education Logistics has therefore been determined to be responsible.

It is necessary to contract for these services because the DOE does not possess the expertise necessary to meet the objectives of this program.

Item: 2

Description:

Leadership development services for teachers and school administrators.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT SERVICES FOR SCHOOL LEADERS - R0987

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Annual / Total Option Amounts	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$9,000,000 / $45,000,000	Tax Levy and Private Funding	Five Years	Two 1-Year Options to Extend	$9,000,000 / $18,000,000	Request for Proposals (RFP)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	NYC Leadership Academy 34-18 Court Square, 2nd Floor Long Island City, NY 11101

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Maria Esponda Executive Director – Leadership Programs & Development Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Rachel Feinberg Chief Operating Officer Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Paul Simms Procurement Analyst Central Office Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with NYC Leadership Academy (NYCLA) to provide leadership development services for teachers and school administrators.

DISCUSSION

The DOE seeks to develop a strong and sustainable teacher and school leadership pipeline for the City's schools by identifying and nurturing talented educators early in their careers, strengthening existing principal preparation programs, and developing new partnerships with outside organizations. As part of the effort to provide expanded leadership development resources and services to its aspiring leaders, the DOE must utilize external expertise from the field of leadership development. A contract for these services is thus necessary because the DOE does not have the personnel to perform them.

An RFP was the preferred method of procurement because of the need to qualitatively weigh the services offered.

The RFP was advertised in the City Record and on the DOE web site. The solicitation also targeted educational institutions, accredited colleges, universities, and other organizations on the DOE bidders list via e-mail. Four proposals were received.

The evaluation committee included a former teacher, principal, staff developer, and facilitator, who is currently a graduate-level professor; the current Director of Collaborative Leadership and Director of Leadership in the DOE's Office of Leadership, both of whom are also former principals. The evaluation criteria consisted of program plan, organizational capacity, demonstrated effectiveness, and price, each weighted at 25 percent.

Of the four proposals received, the committee determined that the proposals from NYCLA and St. John's University showed evidence of adequate capacity to meet all of the RFP's requirements and provide alignment to the core requirements detailed in the RFP. While NYCLA's proposal is higher than that of St. John's, the committee and program office indicated that they differ qualitatively. The committee concluded that St. John's program would likely require significant effort on the part of the DOE to suitably customize it to meet the DOE's needs. By contrast, NYCLA's proposal closely aligns with the RFP requirements and meets the needs of the DOE in multiple ways. NYCLA employs a unique cadre of talent with experience working in the DOE and providing leadership services that is unmatched by its competitors. Its leadership preparation model and curriculum is closely aligned to key DOE initiatives such as the citywide instructional expectations as well as the expectations for principals set forth in the Quality Review rubric. Furthermore, NYCLA's program was considered more comprehensive than St. John's as evidenced by its higher allocation of labor hours (approximately 56,000 hours budgeted by NYCLA compared to approximately 25,000 hours budgeted by St. John's). Finally, NYCLA has a demonstrated track record of success in preparing graduates to assume leadership positions in the DOE. One in six DOE principals are graduates of NYCLA's Aspiring Principals Program (APP) and 77% of all APP graduates are currently serving in leadership positions in the DOE.

NYCLA will provide a core group of leadership development services and will engage an accredited institution to deliver the requisite tuition and earned credits to participants.

The estimated contract amount is based on past expenditures under the previous contract, adjusted to account for an increase in program scope, which adds a new pathway for School District Leaders. Costs may vary annually, depending on the number of participants in each cohort for the Leadership Development Program (LDP). Of the estimated $9 million annual budget, approximately $7 million will be managed centrally by DAPS, while the remaining $2 million will be funded directly by schools that choose to utilize coaching services according to their need. The costs are primarily based on tax levy funding; however, for the first three years of the contract, it is anticipated that approximately 12 percent of the DAPS budget will be for LDP and paid for through a grant from the Wallace Foundation.

The contract term will be five years, commencing on or about July 1, 2014, with two options to extend for one year each. Pricing for each annual extension period will be based on unit prices for year five of the base contract period.

While NYCLA's proposal is 32% higher than that of St. John's, the committee and program office indicated that there are significant qualitative differences. As part of its coaching service, NYCLA will examine school data to analyze and identify patterns and trends; liaise with network leaders and/or facilitators to identify areas of need; and consider any new DOE initiatives to ensure they are up-to-date on content. As a result, NYCLA's program was considered more comprehensive than St. John's as evidenced by its higher allocation of labor hours.

NYCLA's hourly rates range from $138.00 to $289.89, depending on the service, and compare favorably with labor rates in recently awarded MTACs. While NYCLA's hourly rate for Workshops and Training Programs of $1,850 an hour remains an outlier after negotiations, workshops constitutes only 3.6% of total cost. Accordingly, the pricing for this contract can be deemed to be fair and reasonable.

A comprehensive background review conducted by DCP's Vendor Research and Price Analysis Unit revealed no adverse information for NYC Leadership Academy. In addition, the vendor has performed satisfactorily under previous contracts with the DOE. They have therefore been determined to be responsible.

The following table lists the initial and BAFO contract prices (based on 20 LDP participants annually):

PROPOSAL / VENDOR	INITIAL PRICE	BAFO PRICE
NYC Leadership Academy	**$60,752,605**	**$38,838,835**
St. John's University	$29,799,840	$29,512,608
Stony Brook University	$19,552,432	N/A
Executive Leadership Institute	$22,147,450	N/A

Item: 3

Description:

Audio and video equipment for schools and administrative offices.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE AUDIO / VIDEO PRODUCTS

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$28,999,814 / $144,999,070	Tax Levy / Reimbursable	Five Years	None	Competitive Sealed Bid	No	Requirements

Vendor Names & Addresses	Estimated Annual Amount	Estimated Contract Amount
Troxell Communication, Inc. 4830 S. 38th Street Phoenix, AZ 85040	$12,726,161	$63,630,805
CDW Government LLC 230 N. Milwaukee Ave. Vernon Hills, IL 60061	$16,273,653	$81,368,265

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing
Andrea Black Director School Based Procurement	Ray Burke Chief Administrator Innovation & Vendor Resources	Nick Labetti Procurement Analyst School Based Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Troxell Communications and CDW-G to supply audio / video equipment. These contracts will be funded and utilized on an as-needed basis by schools and central offices.

DISCUSSION

A Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised the City Record, on the DCP web site, and to vendors on the DCP bidders list. The RFB consists of two Aggregate Classes: Class A – Interactive White Boards and Class B – Audio Video Products, which contains six categories (Accessories and Components, Audio / Visual Devices, Computer Peripherals, Digital Imaging, Displays/Monitors, and Projection Equipment). Three bids were received for each class.

Bidders were required to provide pricing for specific items that have historically accounted for 80 percent of the purchases in each class, including delivery, installation, training, and maintenance. In all cases, bidders were permitted to bid on the brands and models listed within the bid or offer alternative products that are equivalent to the items specified. Current market conditions for A/V products, including rapid technological development, product obsolescence, and daily price changes, have demonstrated that the DOE would benefit from a cost-plus model. Bidders were therefore required to submit their costs and mark-up percentages for the products in each class category.

In addition to evaluating the unit prices within each of the aggregate classes (which collectively represent 80 percent of the DOE's annual purchases), DCP compared the pricing of a predetermined list of other commonly used items. To ensure equity among the bidders, only items available from all vendors were used for this additional comparison. After the prices of this second group of items were tabulated, the vendors' mark-up percentages and individual items prices were complied to tabulate bids for each aggregate class.
As specified in the RFB, two vendors have been awarded in each class to ensure that the breadth of catalog will meet the needs of schools and offices. Troxell Communications and CDW-G have been recommended for awards as the lowest responsive, responsible bidders for each class. The bid tabulation is shown at the end of this RA

The two suppliers will be listed on the ShopDOE web site, which will be updated weekly. When schools search the e-catalog for an item, the system will automatically search all like items and flag the lowest priced item as the best value. Therefore, it is expected that the estimated contract values will actually be split between the vendors.

The prices offered under this contract are on average 34 percent below the nationally published manufacturers' suggested retailed price lists for Aggregate Class A, and are on average 17.5 percent lower for Class B. It is estimated that the DOE will save $3.5 million over the term of this contract when compared to the costs of the previous contract. In addition, CDW has offered a Voluntary Price Reduction for Aggregate Class A from 9.0 to 8.75 percent. On this basis, prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

Both vendors recommended here have undergone background checks using the Excluded Parties List, the Uniform Commercial Code Lien Search (UCC), VENDEX Advice of Caution, and the Federal Excluded Parties List System. As required comprehensive background checks were performed by DCP's Vendor Research & Price Analysis (VRPA) unit.

VRPA identified noteworthy findings only for CDW:

In 2013, CDW-G agreed to pay $5.66 million to settle allegations that it submitted false claims in connection with a US General Services Administration contract. The settlement resolves allegations that for more than a decade the company improperly charged government buyers for shipping, sold products manufactured in China and other countries prohibited by trade agreements and underreported sales. Following the settlement, the Justice Department issued a press release that indicated "The claims settled by this agreement are allegations only, and there has been no determination of liability." In light of how this matter was resolved, in which no liability was found on the part of CDW, the DOE does not believe it should preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible.

Troxell and CDW have held previous contracts with the DOE under which they performed satisfactorily; they have thus been determined to be responsible with respect to the terms of this contract.

AGGREGATE CLASS A - INTERACTIVE WHITE BOARDS

Vendor			
Troxell	Mark-Up	1.90%	
	*Aggregate Class Total	$5,408,487	
CDW-G	Mark-Up	Initial	With VPR
		9.00%	8.75%
	*Aggregate Class Total	$8,638,909	$8,617,312
TEQ	Mark-Up	34.00%	
	*Aggregate Class Total	$8,726,312	

AGGREGATE CLASS B – AUDIO / VISUAL PRODUCTS

Vendor		Categories						
		Accessories & Components	Audio / Video Devices	Computer Peripherals	Digital Imaging	Displays / Monitors	Projection Devices	Annual Contract Amount
Troxell	Mark-Up	2.70%	3.70%	3.60%	3.50%	4.90%	3.90%	$7,317,674
	*Aggregate Class Total	$196,512	$284,041	$146,754	$800,439	$1,365,119	$4,524,809	
CDW-G	Mark-Up	11.00%	10.50%	17.50%	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%	$7,656,341
	*Aggregate Class Total	$223,047	$320,997	$137,837	$803,055	$1,419,262	$4,752,143	
B&H Photo	Mark-Up	9.50%	9.50%	9.50%	9.50%	12.00%	7.75%	$7,759,596
	*Aggregate Class Total	$184,577	$308,401	$122,301	$781,534	$1,505,263	$4,857,520	

*Aggregate Class Totals are inclusive of the line item pricing.

Item: 4

Description:

Assemble, furnish, and deliver eyeglasses to selected students.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH MULTIPLE VENDORS TO PROVIDE EYEGLASS FABRICATION SERVICE – B2167

Estimated Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$88,765 $266,295	Tax Levy	Three Years	Two One Year Options	$177,530	Competitive Sealed Bid PPP 3-02(o)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Estimated Annual Amount
NYS Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, Division of Industries (CORCRAFT) 550 Broadway Albany, NY 12204	AC1: $32,090 AC2: $22,760 **Total: $54,850**
Express Lens Lab, Inc. 17150 Newhope Street Suite 305 Fountain Valley, CA 92708	AC3: $13,210 AC5: $ 3,930 **Total: $17,140**
Optical Ventures , Inc. D/B/A Reliable Optics 50 20th Street, 2nd Floor Brooklyn, NY 11232	AC4: **$ 16,775**

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing
Ava Mopper Director, Office of Health Services Office of School Health (OSH)	Roger Platt Chief Executive Officer, Division of Operations Office of School Health (OSH)	Paul Simms Procurement Analyst Central Office Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with CORCRAFT, Express Lens Lab, and Optical Ventures to assemble, furnish, and deliver eyeglasses for selected students.

DISCUSSION

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's (DOHMH) Vision and Hearing Screening Program provide vision screening, primarily for third grade children at risk of grade retention. Through this program, the DOE and DOHMH cooperatively appoint licensed optometrists to perform diagnostic vision evaluations of elementary school students with the objective of determining the prescription for eyeglasses, if needed. Approximately 6,500 students are examined by an optometrist each year, of which approximately 5,500 students may need to be supplied with eyeglasses.

Contracting for these services is necessary because the DOE does not have the personnel or expertise to fabricate eyeglasses. A Request for Bids (RFB) allowing for best value determinations was released on July 2, 2013, seeking qualified vendors who have the proven ability to meet identified requirements. The bid was advertised in the City Record and the NYCDOE's web site. The solicitation was also sent via electronic mail to potential bidders believed to have the capacity to meet the requirements of the bid. Bidder responses were publicly opened on August 8, 2013.

Bidders could address one or more of the five (5) aggregate classes. The aggregate classes are AC1 - Bronx, AC2 - Brooklyn, AC3 - Manhattan, AC4 - Queens and AC5 - Staten Island.

Four (4) responsive bids were received. A bid from Value Eyecare Network, Inc. was determined non-responsive due to the bidder's failure to submit a complete bid and the required product sample. The bidder was notified of this determination in writing and no protest was received.

Awards could have been determined based on price in combination with additional factors, such as the quality of bid samples, bidder capacity and evidence of past experience supplied by the bidder, but were ultimately determined based on the lowest price, after considering bidder responsiveness and responsibility. The lowest bidder in an aggregate class was designated Primary Vendor, while the second lowest price bid was designated Secondary Vendor.

The NYCDOE negotiated with the eligible awardees for AC3 (Express Lens Lab) and AC4 (Optical Ventures). Through voluntary price reductions, Express Lens Lab reduced its price by 7% and Optical Ventures by approximately 14%; both lower than CORCRAFT. This amounts to a potential savings of $3,245 annually or $9,735 over the three year contract term.

The table below lists the Primary and Secondary contracts:

	Primary Contractor			Secondary Contractor *	
Aggregate Class	Bid #	Bidder/Vendor Name	Annual Amount	Bid #	Bidder/Vendor Name
AC1 - Bronx	5	CORCRAFT	$32,090	3	Optical Ventures
AC2 - Brooklyn	5	CORCRAFT	$22,760	3	Optical Ventures
AC3 - Manhattan	1	Express Lens Lab	$13,210	5	CORCRAFT
AC4 - Queens	3	Optical Ventures	$16,775	5	CORCRAFT
AC5 - Staten Island	1	Express Lens Lab	$3,930	2	Korrect Optical
		ESTIMATED TOTAL:	$88,765		

* The secondary contractor will be used only after the primary contractor cannot supply the service or if the NYCDOE determined that the primary contractor failed to meet the terms of the contract or failed to deliver at least ten (10) percent of previous purchase orders. It is expected that usage for each secondary contract will be below $25,000 over the base term.

The table below lists the annual amount for the bids in each of the five Aggregate Classes:

BID #	VENDOR	AC1	AC2	AC3	AC4	AC5
5	CORCRAFT	**$32,090**	**$22,760**	$13,450	$18,105	$4,112
1	Express Lens Lab	$34,650	$24,390	**$13,210**	$19,310	**$3,930**
3	Optical Ventures	$33,450	$23,850	$14,250	**$16,775**	$4,710
2	Alan Baker/Korrect Optical	$39,918	$27,913	$15,907	$22,010	$4,024
4	Value Eyecare Network *(Non-responsive Bid)*	$31,024	$21,907	$12,863	$17,435	$3,883

Vendors' pricing for previous contracts for the same services were higher, since we believe that marketplace pricing has increased, the pricing for this bid can be determined to be fair and reasonable.

A review by Division of Contracts & Purchasing of the above named vendors' background revealed no significant adverse information. CORCRAFT is a designated preferred source vendor for the city, and OSH reported satisfactory past performance for Express Lens Lab and Optical Ventures. The vendors have therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 5

Description:

Labor, material, and supervision necessary for hazardous waste removal in schools and administrative buildings.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH TRIUMVIRATE ENVIRONMENTAL INC. REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIALS JOC - B2305

Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount(s)	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,253,040 / $6,265,200	Tax Levy	Five Years	270-Day Extension	$939,780	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Triumvirate Environmental Inc. 200 Inner Belt Road Somerville, MA 02143

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Volkert Braren Program Director Division of School Facilities	Shelley Epstein Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Triumvirate Environmental for labor, material, and supervision necessary for hazardous waste removal in schools and administrative buildings.

DISCUSSION

This award is pursuant to a rebid because of the receipt of unbalanced bids in response to a previous Request for Bids (RFB). This RFB was advertised for seven days in the City Record and on the DCP web site. It consisted of two aggregate classes: Aggregate Class 1 – Manhattan and the Bronx and Aggregate Class 2 – Queens, Brooklyn, and Staten Island. Three bids were received for each class.

The bid was structured as a job order contract, in which each task has a pre-set unit price listed in a construction task catalog. Technical specifications were included for all tasks. Bidders were required to submit two multipliers (adjustment factors), to calculate prices for work done as emergency responses and for work done as non-emergency responses, respectively. The DOE provided bidders with estimates for the percentage of work that is expected to be done during each of the two periods.

The low bidder for both classes, Triumvirate, met all the bid requirements and is recommended for award. Triumvirate was able to win the bid by a wide margin because the company has a legal transfer facility that allows it to aggregate waste volumes, thus substantially reducing the final cost of disposal and giving it a strong competitive advantage over those who must dispose of greater numbers of smaller product volumes.

A background check identified 2011 and 2013 VENDEX reports that disclose 19 violations, judgments, or liens, which include:

- Sixteen environment-related violations from the United States Department of Environmental Protection (2), Massachusetts (7), New York State (6), and Florida (1), resulting in 11 fines totaling $97,784, of which nine have been paid or, if no penalty was imposed, otherwise addressed. The remaining two matters total $20,034, date from 2013, and are in the process of being appropriately addressed by the company.
- Two other matters from the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and one from the U.S. Department of Transportation relate to equipment and truck operator oversight and employee training. All matters have been addressed, with fines totaling $2,115 having been paid.

We also identified three additional OSHA matters for which Triumvirate paid $6,637 in fines.

Triumvirate has had previous contracts with DSF and has provided satisfactory service. DSF is confident they will continue to provide satisfactory service. A review of financial statements did not reveal any risk associated with doing business with the vendor. Triumvirate generated $69.9 million in revenue from 1,236 clients in 2012 so, in that context, the DOE does not believe these matters preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible.

The contract estimate is based on previous expenditures and will be funded by DSF. On average, the contract costs for this contract are one percent lower than for previous contracts for similar items. Because of the competitive nature of the procurement and the lower prices than previous contracts, all prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

JOB ORDER CONTRACT FOR REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIALS – B2305

Aggregate Class 1 – Manhattan / Bronx

Contractor Name	Award Criteria Figure	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Triumvirate Environmental	**1.0896**	**$544,800**	**$2,724,000**
Radiac Research	2.5801	$1,290,030	
PAL Environmental	13.2670	$6,633,520	

Aggregate Class 2 – Queens / Brooklyn / Staten Island

Contractor Name	Award Criteria Figure	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Triumvirate Environmental	**1.0896**	**$708,240**	**$3,541,200**
Radiac Research	2.5801	$1,677,039	
PAL Environmental	13.9018	$9,036,183	

Item: 6

Description:

Transportation services for students with disabilities and their non-disabled peers.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
B2321 Transportation Services for Students with Disabilities and Their Non-Disabled Peers

Estimated Annual/ Total Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive	Contract Type
$155,801,978 Annual $779,009,890 Total	Tax Levy	58 Months	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirement

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing
Eric Goldstein, Chief Executive	David Ross, Executive Director
Office of School Support Services	Division of Contracts & Purchasing

Vendor Name	Address	Awarded Class	Annual Amount
Acme Bus Corp.	3355Veterans Memorial Hwy Ronkonkoma, NY 11179	15	$4,528,440
All County Bus LLC	70 Fembrook St. Yonkers, NY 10705	28,38	$3,049,200
Anchor Bus Co., Inc.	732 Fifth Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11232	25	$1,506,960
ARJ Transportation Inc.	4674 Maryann Lane Bethlehem, PA 18017	8,14	$945,000
Children's Transportation	960 Close Avenue Bronx, NY 10472	30	$737,100
Don Thomas Buses, Inc.	1095 Close Avenue Bronx, NY 10472	20	$9,768,600
E-Z Bus LLC	15 Worth Street Yonkers, NY 10701	21	$442,800
Montauk Student Transport, Inc.	3601 Horseblock Road Medford, NY 11763	22,29	$1,425,330
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette-	3 Hoover Street Inwood, NY 11096	2	$1,470,600
Mutual Bus Corp.	151-17 6th Road Whitestone, NY 11357	16	$1,826,831
New Dawn Transit LLC	36-21 Provost Avenue Bronx, NY 10466	6,32	$26,448,289
R&C Transit, Inc.	P.O. Box 280784 Queens Village, NY 11428	34	$328,952
Reliant Transportation, Inc.	5910 N. Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75206	1,3,5,7,10,11,12,18,19, 23,24,26,36	$91,992,156
Safe Coach, Inc.	1040 Rockaway Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11236	17	$4,787,100
Thomas Buses, Inc.	2859 West 37th Street Brooklyn, NY 11224	4,9,13,31,33,35,37,39	$3,319,020
Vinny's Bus Services	1040 Rockaway Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11236	27	$3,225,600

PURPOSE

Approval is sought on behalf of the Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) to contract with the above referenced vendors for school bus transportation to and from the schools of city residents with disabilities attending educational programs located within the greater metropolitan area (i.e., the five boroughs of New York City; Westchester, Rockland, Nassau and Suffolk Counties in New York; Bergen County in New Jersey; and, sites in Connecticut so long as they are within a 50 mile radius of the City's boundaries) as well as school bus transportation to and from the schools of non-disabled city resident pupils. The term of these contracts will be 58 months. The contracts allow for annual price adjustments based upon a composite index that accounts for changes in fuel, labor, and consumer prices.

DISCUSSION

Daily school bus transportation services are provided to approximately 152,000 students on almost 7,700 distinct daily bus routes for the regular school year and approximately 2,000 routes during the summer. The fleet used to provide these services is composed of approximately 7,800 buses (including spares) operated by an estimated 60 bus companies. This Request for Bid (RFB) for approximately 1,400 vehicles is the second in a series of competitive bids to replace the current school age transportation contracts bid between 1979 –1995. Since that time, the contracts have been extended for varying lengths of time, as authorized by Education Law Section 305, Subdivision 14(a).

The RFB divided the City and other coverage areas into 37 individual classes defined by vehicle type and geographic location of riders and schools. Bidders were provided with a description of the type of bus being bid, the estimated service days and the estimated number of vehicles required to service each class. It is anticipated that service will begin on or about September 1, 2014. The contracts are for 58-month terms for service through June 30, 2019 with the possibility of extensions pursuant to Education Law Section 305(14)(a).

The RFB was downloaded by 124 bidders and we received 92 bids from a broad range of vendors including 40 current or recent Pre-Kindergarten and K-12 contractors and 52 vendors new to the DOE. Due to increased competition and restructuring of the bid, the awarded prices are more than 20% lower than the previous contract costs and are determined to be fair and reasonable. Prior to the DOE evaluation of vendor capacity, two vendors were determined to be non-responsive as follows:

- Ride Right, LLC
  It was determined that this company did not have the ability to provide services at the rate they submitted for attendant services. The vendor acknowledged that the price was bid in error.

- 7 Ocean Express, Inc.
  This company did not include the rate for an Attendant nor did the "Total Annual Cost" include the rate for the attendant which is required. The vendor protested this determination of non-responsiveness which was denied. (See Appendix 1- Protests).

The DOE then assessed the capacity of vendors with pricing in the competitive range through operational and financial assessments. The operational assessments included onsite inspections to determine capacity of bidders to provide service by September 1, 2014, based upon infrastructure, operational experience, equipment, and safety records. The DOE additionally engaged consultant services to assess financial resources and capacity.

Following the aforementioned review, bidders in the competitive range were notified of the Department's determination of the number of buses they were qualified for and were provided an opportunity to submit a protest pursuant to Department of Education (DOE) Procurement Policy and Procedures, Section 2.06. Eight vendors submitted protests. Seven of the protests were denied and one was partially upheld. (See Appendix 1)

An algorithm developed under the auspices of the Chairman of NYU's Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences assured that awards were made so as to achieve the lowest overall cost to the Department within the capacity of the vendors.

Those vendors who met the operational and financial requirements underwent background checks.
All have been determined to be responsible vendors, notwithstanding noteworthy information in Appendix 2.

A summary of the responses received for each class, highlighting the awarded vendors and indicating reasons for no-award, as needed, is in Appendix 3.

Appendix 1 – Protests

The following vendors submitted protests:

7 Ocean Express

7 Ocean Express protested the DOE's determination that their bid submission was Non-Responsive. The DOE determined that 7 Ocean Express failed to meet the bidder's specifications, because the prices they submitted did not include the daily rate for the attendant nor include it in the Total Annual Cost. Since the DOE requires information provided by the bidder to be unambiguous and the RFB required the rates to be clearly stated in each bid, such a deficit of information in 7 Ocean Express' bid was not and could not be considered a "minor informality" per Procurement Policy and Procedures (PPP) Section 3-02(m)(3) as its effect on pricing was not negligible. Attendant Daily Rates must be clearly stated because the Total Annual Cost calculation for price comparison purposes by item uses the Total Base Daily Rate (vehicle and driver cost) and the Attendant Daily Rate to be multiplied by the number of vehicles and attendants needed by the estimated service days. This Annual Cost cannot be calculated without the Attendant Daily Rate. After reviewing information from the original bid and subsequent information provided by the bidder, the Protest Officer denied the protest and the bid was rejected for non-responsiveness.

MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette in joint venture with Premier Paratransit (Marquis/Premier)

MR Transportation Inc., DBA Marquis Ambulette, submitted a joint bid with Premier Paratransit for 150 buses. The DOE qualified the vendor for a total of 75 vehicles for the two companies. Marquis/Premier protested the partial denial of their bid saying they have the ability to provide services for 105 vehicles. Although OPT determined that Marquis/Premier had adequate organizational and financial structure for the number of buses claimed by this bidder, they had reduced the number to 75 because the vendor lacked school bus transportation experience. It was determined that, while the bidders have extensive experience in transporting disabled individuals (adults), they failed to demonstrate sufficient student transportation experience to operate the proposed volume of school bus transfers of young students. The Protest Officer found that OPT reasonably balanced the relevant experience of Marquis/Premier with their lack of school bus transportation experience, noting they were awarded more buses than several other companies with or without school bus experience.

Major Transportation

Major Transportation submitted a bid for 40 vehicles but did not qualify for any vehicles because of its insufficient operational experience and organizational capabilities, and therefore its bid was deemed non-responsive. In its protest, Major claimed that the company provided evidence of operational experience which "directly relates to and fulfills the requirements to the operational experience" detailed in the bid. OPT concluded that the vendor could not demonstrate a business plan to execute the bid requirements and failed to demonstrate sufficient operational experience, because the company did not supply résumés or qualifications of experience of those at executive levels, and they could not demonstrate that the principals had any depth of experience. Although the principals worked for an ambulette company and as a managing partner of an Access-A Ride company, neither had prior experience owning a bus company and neither had senior level experience in the school bus business. Major also failed to demonstrate the financial capability sufficient to warrant an award at the level sought. The Protest Officer agreed with OPT that Major failed to meet the operational experience, organizational capability and personnel requirements of the RFB (and also failed to demonstrate financial capability for the level sought). The protest, therefore, was denied.

Sinai I

Sinai I claimed capacity for 30 vehicles. OPT determined that it only demonstrated capacity for 10 vehicles. Sinai I did not state a specific capacity number that it sought in its protest. It did, however, assert that its experience in providing van and ambulette transportation for adults qualifies it for more capacity. OPT based its assessment on the fact that Sinai I lacks school bus transportation experience and although Sinai I's ambulette experience is relevant, school bus transportation involves significant differences with which Sinai I lacked experience. Specifically, regarding personnel, Sinai I's background checks, physical examinations and training for its current drivers and monitors do not meet city and state school bus requirements, and the student population presents

different issues than its current client base. The Protest Officer found that OPT reasonably balanced relevant experience of the vendor with its lack of school bus experience in awarding it up to 10 vehicles.

Golden Ring Transit

Golden Ring claimed capacity for 50 buses in its bid but the DOE qualified it for 5. In its protest, Golden Ring claimed that it had demonstrated the capacity for 11 buses based on prior experience with the DOT and the DOE. OPT found that the vendor's yard and office space were not currently operational and that the yard space was not sufficient to park the number of buses and spares required for their claimed capacity. Additionally, the yard space and the trailer used to house staff would have to be cleaned up and renovated in order to be operational and fit for employee use. Also, while Golden Ring's protest letter indicated that it had obtained two lease agreements with "Right of First Refusal" clauses that could accommodate 50 vehicles, these were not submitted within the evaluation period, as required by the RFB, and were not offered by the vendor for possible site visits during the evaluation process.

OPT also found that Golden Ring does not have an onsite maintenance facility or personnel to perform routine or emergency repairs as required by the RFB, and did not present a sufficient employee recruitment plan. The Protest Officer found that OPT's assessment was rational and recommended that their protest be denied.

ARJ

ARJ submitted a joint bid with At Ease Transportation and NBRM Transportation (NBRM) for 50 buses combined. DOE initially determined ARJ/At Ease/NBRM's combined operational capacity to be 8 buses, citing limited maintenance and repair facilities, a business plan that did not support additional vehicles, and the DOE's overall financial assessment of the bidders. ARJ's protest stated that they had additional parking facilities at another site, but did not produce this on a timely basis, nor did they submit documentation of ownership or a valid lease for the additional parking location. After the site visit, the DOE concluded that the combined companies lacked the organizational capacity to provide more than 10 vehicles. OPT's original financial assessment determined ARJ alone was capable of 6 (six) vehicles, At Ease capable of 2 (two) vehicles, and NBRM not capable of any vehicles as it didn't provide any financial documentation, for a total of 8 between the three companies. After this initial assessment, DOE gave ARJ an opportunity to submit additional financial documentation before the end of the assessment period to support the bidders' claimed joint capacity of 50 buses. The DOE's consultant conducted a second assessment, with the additional financial documentation, and determined that they supported an increased financial capacity of 11 (eleven) buses for ARJ and two (2) for At Ease, for a total of 13 (thirteen). The initial capacity notification was sent to ARJ before this revised assessment was completed.

The Protest Officer concluded that the revised financial assessment did support a capacity determination of up to thirteen (13) vehicles, but that the organizational experience and operational capability qualifications would also have to support the increased capacity in order to warrant such an increased assessment. In reviewing OPT's determination, the Protest Officer concluded that the OPT's determined capacity limit of ten (10) vehicles was based on organizational experience and operational capability, and therefore the financial capability to service more routes would be insufficient to warrant a greater capacity determination. Therefore, the Protest Officer recommended that the DOE partially uphold the company's protest and to increase their vehicle capacity from 8 to 10.

First Steps

First Steps bid on 150 vehicles. OPT determined the vendor had capacity for 70 vehicles or a maximum of 90 vehicles when combined with Thomas Buses, with which it shares facilities and staff. OPT's assessment was based on the following findings:

- Review of overall financial assessment, per the documentation submitted;
- Vendor's building assets and staff (as shared with Thomas Buses); and
- Prior performance.

First Steps protested the financial assessment by presenting additional potential resources but the DOE had not received this documentation during the evaluation process, and pursuant to the RFB, could not consider it.

OPT determined that the total capacity for the 37th Street facility was 220 buses. As First Step and Thomas Buses were providing 130 buses at the facility, OPT determined the facility could support an additional 90 buses under this RFB.

First Steps' performance in September 2013, was also a factor in OPT's determination. First Steps was very late in getting ready to provide contracted services because of insufficient staff, insufficient buses, and lack of timely OPT certification of staff. OPT concluded that First Steps has less than the claimed capacity to provide service.

Finally, First Steps protested that its capacity should not be combined with Thomas Buses. OPT's experience with these 2 vendors consistently demonstrated shared space, maintenance workers, yardmen, drivers and escorts. Further, the floor plans, bids, protest letters, and financing are virtually identical. OPT concluded that the combined capacity of the two vendors would be less than the sum of their individual capacities, because of the shared resources and facilities, requiring both individual and combined capacity findings.

The Protest Officer determined that OPT's basis for its assessment was reasonable and denied the protest.

Thomas Bus

Thomas bid on 150 vehicles. OPT determined the vendor had the capacity for 50 vehicles on its own, or a maximum of 90 vehicles combined with First Steps, with whom it shares facilities and staff. OPT's assessment is based on the following findings:

- Review of overall financial assessment, per the documentation submitted;
- Vendor's building assets and staff (as shared with First Steps); and
- The business plan presented (as shared with First Steps).

Thomas protested OPT's financial assessment by presenting additional potential resources but the DOE had not received this documentation during the evaluation process, and pursuant to the RFB, could not consider it.

Thomas also claimed that OPT had not properly considered its "Right of First Refusal" on space that would increase its capacity to 200 buses. OPT, however, found that the documentation submitted to demonstrate building assets and staffing, as well as issues with Thomas' past performance, did not support a capacity of 150 vehicles. In 2012, Thomas Bus was awarded 7 zones but had to scale back to 4 zones (a reduction of about 56 buses) due to its inability to perform in more than the four zones. Further, in 2013, Thomas was very late in getting ready to provide contracted services. OPT concluded that Thomas Bus has less than the claimed capacity to provide service.

Finally, Thomas protests that its capacity should not be combined with First Steps. OPT's experience with these 2 vendors consistently demonstrated shared space, maintenance workers, yardmen, drivers and escorts. Further the floor plans, bids, protest letters, and financing are virtually identical. OPT concluded that the combined capacity of the two vendors would be less than the sum of their individual capacities, because of the shared resources and facilities, requiring both individual and combined capacity findings.

The Protest Officer determined that OPT's basis for its assessment was reasonable and denied the protest.

Reliant Transportation submitted a protest, but then withdrew it.

Appendix 2 - Background Information

Background checks identified noteworthy information for the following vendors:

Reliant Transportation, Inc.

- In November 2011, a DOE school bus operated by Reliant Transportation, a subsidiary operated by MV with the permission of the DOE, caught fire near Penn Station. Nobody was harmed in the incident and, although the New York State Department of Transportation report has not been issued, MV advises that it has been informed by the DOT that its findings were inconclusive. The bus was one from the fleet of United Transportation, a former DOE vendor, whose buses were purchased by MV. Following the fire, MV conducted its own review of the fleet, suspecting a possible wiring problem with the heating/air system of models from the same year/make. MV found a loose wire by the fuel pump on one bus, which was immediately fixed. MV, however, did not identify any issues with the heating/air system wiring.
- From 2004 to 2007, five contracts were terminated early or not renewed due to performance issues, but it appears that none of the matters resulted in a finding of material breach of contract, nor were any of the contracts terminated for cause.
- We found some other litigation, accidents, citations and fines, but no more than ordinary for this industry and a company as large as MV.

In light of the industry and MV's volume of business, which includes 150 contracts valued at $973,921,000 in 2012, the DOE believes the number and nature of the matters cited is not unusual. Accordingly, MV's responsiveness in addressing such matters and their successful assumption of routes previously assigned to United Bus supports a determination that MV is responsible.

Thomas Bus, First Steps and Children's Transportation

VENDEX indicates that RC Services, an affiliate of Thomas Buses, owed over $160,000 to the NYS Department of Labor (DOL), that the company is in the process of addressing the matter and that only $95,120 is currently outstanding. VENDEX also discloses $28k that is owed by Thomas' affiliate RLC Management Services which it is in the process of paying. The owner of Children's Transportation, also a principal officer for Thomas and First Steps, was imprisoned in the 1990s for attempted robbery; he served jail time/probation and received a certificate of relief from disability in 2006. The DOE does not believe these matters continue to preclude a determination that the vendors are responsible. In addition, Thomas and First Steps are current DOE providers that provide satisfactory services.

Golden Ring Transit

Noteworthy information was identified for prime vendor Golden Ring Transit. VENDEX contains two unsatisfactory performance evaluations and previously had a caution indicating that Golden Ring Transit was declared in default of contract for failure to conform to the requirements of its DOT contract in 2002. The vendor provided the DOE with a 7/23/07 responsibility determination from DOT that addresses the 3/10/05 and 4/4/05 unsatisfactory ratings on previous contracts and states, "This is to certify that I have determined that the subject contractor has the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements and the business integrity to justify the award of public tax dollars, and is therefore a responsible contractor." The company received subsequent contracts from the Department of Transportation and VENDEX reports that the vendor has not received any subsequent unsatisfactory ratings. Accordingly, the DOE does not believe these matters should preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible.

APPENDIX 3

CLASS 1 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$14,154,750.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Ride Right LLC	$14,388,759.00	Non- responsive
L & L Bus Service Inc	$14,555,700.00	Does not have the capacity to service this class
Thomas Buses Inc	$14,871,600.00	Max. capacity in areas 4,8,13,31,33,35,37,39 yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$14,993,100.00	Doe not have capacity to service this class
First Steps Trans Inc	$15,138,900.00	Does not have the capacity to service this class
Reliant Transportation Inc	$15,621,984.00	AWARD
All Boroughs Transportation	$15,770,700.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$16,011,027.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$16,174,809.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$16,305,300.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$16,451,829.00	
Marquis Ambulette	$16,499,700.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$16,524,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$16,606,863.00	
Seth Transportation Inc	$16,607,835.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$16,806,609.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$17,471,700.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$17,787,600.00	
JoFaz Transportation Inc	$18,079,200.00	
Ocean Avenue Transportation	$18,443,700.00	
Legacy Bus Inc	$18,468,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$18,508,824.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$18,638,100.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$18,686,700.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$18,832,500.00	
Addie's Transportation Inc	$18,902,484.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$19,051,200.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$26,730,000.00	

CLASS 2 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$1,155,942.00	Non Responsive
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,452,600.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,470,600.00	AWARD
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,503,000.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$1,503,000.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$1,584,000.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$1,597,680.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,612,349.69	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,620,000.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$1,623,600.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$1,645,506.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$1,668,600.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,672,200.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,674,000.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,746,000.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$1,764,000.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,838,664.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,876,122.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$1,916,550.00	

Indigo Warranties LP	$1,933,560.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$2,457,000.00	

CLASS 3 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$1,097,424.00	Non-Responsive
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,151,472.60	AWARD
Premier Transit Corp	$1,153,872.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,155,600.00	
Sinai I Inc	$1,188,000.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$1,204,200.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,212,714.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,220,400.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,222,200.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$1,222,560.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$1,242,000.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$1,251,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,252,800.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,260,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,287,000.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$1,301,400.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$1,371,600.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,395,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,402,254.00	
BT Express Inc	$1,404,000.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,431,000.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,458,000.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$1,505,124.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$1,528,776.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$2,016,000.00	

CLASS 4 - BROOKLYN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$423,000.00	Max capacity in area 20 yielding best cost to DOE program
7 Ocean Express Inc	$436,500.00	Non Responsive
Thomas Buses Inc	$447,300.00	AWARD
New Dawn Transit LLC	$451,233.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$452,700.00	
R.A.D. Bus Service	$454,500.00	
Ride Right LLC	$476,802.00	
PS & M Bus Co Inc	$499,500.00	
R & C Transit Inc	$536,553.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$539,100.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$555,300.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$571,500.00	
Empire Charter Service Inc	$572,400.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$574,200.00	
Sinai I Inc	$582,300.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$585,000.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$585,000.00	
Sunrisebay Inc	$597,600.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$598,500.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$599,192.80	
Royal Express Line Corp	$600,300.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$611,100.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$619,407.00	
Seth Transportation Inc	$619,605.00	
Royalty Bus LLC	$621,891.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$670,878.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$704,358.00	
BT Express Inc	$718,200.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$741,600.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$752,562.00	

36th Street Transportation	$765,000.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$770,400.00	

CLASS 5 - BROOKLYN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$14,679,000.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Ride Right LLC	$14,962,248.00	Non-Responsive
L & L Bus Service Inc	$15,094,800.00	Does not have capacity to service this areas
Thomas Buses Inc	$15,674,400.00	Max. capacity in areas 4,8,13,31,33,35,37,39yielding best cost to program
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$15,800,400.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Happy Child Transportation	$15,985,368.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Reliant Transportation Inc	$16,089,696.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$16,203,600.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$16,354,800.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$16,354,800.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$16,808,400.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$16,827,048.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$17,110,800.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$17,228,736.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$17,438,400.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$17,917,200.00	
Y & M Transit Corp	$18,345,600.00	
Ocean Avenue Transportation	$18,522,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$19,179,468.00	
Legacy Bus Inc	$19,202,400.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$19,479,600.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$19,530,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$19,530,000.00	
Addie's Transportation Inc	$19,602,576.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$19,857,600.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$20,325,060.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$27,216,000.00	

CLASS 6 - BROOKLYN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$24,303,987.00	Non- Responsive
New Dawn Transit LLC	$24,561,342.00	AWARD
Reliant Transportation Inc	$24,734,013.52	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$24,768,000.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$25,170,867.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$25,812,900.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$28,715,400.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$28,725,462.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$29,007,972.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$29,141,100.00	
M & J Transportation Services	$30,302,100.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$31,888,800.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$31,927,500.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$32,004,900.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$32,004,900.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$32,247,162.00	
Empire State Transit Inc	$39,977,100.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$42,570,000.00	

CLASS 7 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$12,842,487.00	Non- Responsive
Reliant Transportation Inc	$13,049,853.86	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$13,103,100.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$13,144,500.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$13,289,400.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$13,336,182.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$13,434,300.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$13,697,811.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$13,889,700.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$14,076,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$14,260,230.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$14,324,400.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$14,717,700.00	
Pride Bus Corp	$15,048,900.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$15,359,400.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$15,376,374.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$15,587,100.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$15,607,800.00	
Legacy Bus Inc	$15,835,500.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$16,228,800.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$16,247,016.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$16,277,445.00	
Addie's Transportation Inc	$17,042,517.00	
Leesel Transportation Corp	$20,286,000.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$22,770,000.00	

CLASS 8 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
ARJ Transportation Inc	$495,000.00	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$559,890.00	
R & C Transit Inc	$567,153.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$568,038.89	
First Steps Trans Inc	$569,700.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$572,400.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$573,606.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$584,100.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$586,800.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$597,600.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$597,834.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$607,500.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$612,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$620,100.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$622,800.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$622,800.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$627,210.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$628,200.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$636,750.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$638,370.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$639,000.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$648,900.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$650,286.00	
BT Express Inc	$657,000.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$668,628.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$668,700.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$706,392.00	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$891,000.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$994,500.00	

CLASS 9 - MANHATTAN - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$253,800.00	Max capacity in area 20 yielding best cost to the program
Thomas Buses Inc	$268,380.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$271,620.00	
R.A.D. Bus Service	$281,880.00	
Ride Right LLC	$299,673.00	
PS & M Bus Co Inc	$304,560.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$323,994.60	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$337,004.67	
Royalty Bus LLC	$342,856.80	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$342,900.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$342,900.00	
Empire Charter Service Inc	$343,440.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$345,600.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$346,680.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$351,000.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$351,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$363,960.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$366,120.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$369,360.00	
BT Express Inc	$376,920.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$389,750.40	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$391,678.20	
Indigo Warranties LP	$400,183.20	
36th Street Transportation	$459,000.00	

CLASS 10 - MANHATTAN – WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$3,642,975.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Reliant Transportation Inc	$3,841,840.74	AWARD
L & L Bus Service Inc	$3,899,700.00	
Ride Right LLC	$3,908,583.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$3,978,765.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$3,984,750.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$4,022,613.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$4,044,600.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$4,055,499.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$4,063,500.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$4,069,800.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$4,107,600.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$4,120,200.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$4,154,724.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$4,158,000.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$4,183,200.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$4,195,800.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$4,202,100.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$4,219,236.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$4,221,000.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$4,221,000.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$4,279,590.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$4,308,570.00	
BT Express Inc	$4,309,200.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$4,440,933.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$4,473,000.00	
John Leckie Inc	$4,498,200.00	
Anchor Bus Company Inc	$4,536,000.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$4,542,300.00	
Major Buses Inc	$4,554,900.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$4,554,900.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$4,674,600.00	
Ocean Avenue Transportation	$4,693,500.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$4,819,500.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,822,083.00	
Hoyt Transportation Corp	$4,882,500.00	
Leesel Transportation Corp	$5,569,200.00	
Colonial Bus LLC	$5,763,492.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$6,804,000.00	

CLASS 11 - MANHATTAN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$3,330,720.00	Does not have capacity to service this class
Reliant Transportation Inc	$3,516,725.34	AWARD
L & L Bus Service Inc	$3,565,440.00	
Ride Right LLC	$3,583,296.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$3,643,200.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$3,695,328.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$3,715,200.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$3,720,960.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$3,738,240.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$3,755,520.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$3,802,176.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$3,836,160.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$3,841,920.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$3,841,920.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$3,853,440.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$3,859,200.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$3,870,374.40	
Safe Coach	$3,888,000.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$3,912,768.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$3,928,320.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$3,968,640.00	
BT Express Inc	$3,997,440.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$4,079,865.60	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$4,089,600.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$4,152,960.00	
Major Buses Inc	$4,164,480.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$4,164,480.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$4,277,606.40	
Ocean Avenue Transportation	$4,291,200.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$4,423,680.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,469,702.40	
Colonial Bus LLC	$5,344,761.60	
Leesel Transportation Corp	$5,356,800.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$6,249,600.00	

CLASS 12 - MANHATTAN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$934,212.60	Non-Responsive
Reliant Transportation Inc	$991,871.08	AWARD
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,013,400.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,022,580.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,025,069.40	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$1,038,600.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$1,045,080.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$1,050,026.40	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,060,560.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,068,480.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,069,651.80	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$1,071,360.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$1,076,500.80	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,084,500.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$1,116,000.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$1,120,320.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,134,000.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$1,153,800.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$1,183,158.00	
BT Express Inc	$1,201,320.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,225,800.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,243,333.80	
Indigo Warranties LP	$1,262,331.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$1,705,500.00	

CLASS 13 - MANHATTAN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$253,800.00	Max capacity in area 20 yielding best cost to the program
Thomas Buses Inc	$268,380.00	AWARD
New Dawn Transit LLC	$269,508.60	
First Steps Trans Inc	$271,620.00	
R.A.D. Bus Service	$281,880.00	
Ride Right LLC	$299,673.00	
7 Ocean Express Inc	$302,400.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$325,874.34	
R & C Transit Inc	$328,951.80	
Royalty Bus LLC	$329,454.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$336,960.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$342,900.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$342,900.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$342,900.00	
Empire Charter Service Inc	$343,440.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$345,600.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$345,600.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$346,680.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$351,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$353,160.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$358,020.00	
John Leckie Inc	$361,260.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$369,360.00	
BT Express Inc	$382,320.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$387,315.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$391,678.20	
36th Street Transportation	$459,000.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$594,000.00	

CLASS 14 - MANHATTAN - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
ARJ Transportation Inc	$450,000.00	AWARD
Seth Transportation II Inc	$523,125.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$569,250.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$578,412.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$579,479.03	
Ride Right LLC	$580,680.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$584,100.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$609,300.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$611,370.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$622,800.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$628,902.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$637,029.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$644,319.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$650,700.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$657,000.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$665,100.00	
JoFaz Transportation Inc	$669,600.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$675,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$679,500.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$679,770.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$693,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$709,200.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$729,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$753,300.00	
Sunrise B ay Inc	$756,000.00	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$785,700.00	
Colonial Bus LLC	$917,082.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$990,000.00	

CLASS 15 - QUEENS - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$4,394,250.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Ride Right LLC	$4,476,502.80	Non- Responsive
L & L Bus Service Inc	$4,528,440.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Acme Bus Corp	$4,528,440.00	AWARD
Thomas Buses Inc	$4,573,800.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$4,671,475.20	
First Steps Trans Inc	$4,709,880.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$4,717,062.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$4,847,094.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$4,853,520.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$4,895,931.60	
All Boroughs Transportation	$4,906,440.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$4,964,274.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$5,042,520.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$5,080,320.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$5,103,000.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$5,133,240.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$5,140,800.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$5,171,040.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$5,216,400.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$5,224,716.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$5,362,308.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$5,366,088.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$5,533,920.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$5,537,700.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$5,594,400.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$5,632,200.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$5,670,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$5,733,957.60	
Legacy Bus Inc	$5,753,160.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$6,100,012.80	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$6,485,497.20	
On Time Transit Co Inc	$6,872,040.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$8,051,400.00	

CLASS 16 - QUEENS - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$1,593,525.60	Non- Responsive
Mutual Bus Corp	$1,826,830.80	AWARD
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,844,640.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$1,901,880.00	
Sinai I Inc	$1,928,160.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,929,240.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,966,320.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$1,976,400.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$1,990,602.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,015,640.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$2,020,680.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$2,037,600.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$2,070,720.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$2,099,520.00	
BT Express Inc	$2,102,040.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$2,125,138.01	
L & M Bus Corp	$2,145,960.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$2,193,480.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$2,215,094.40	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,223,000.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$2,249,661.60	
First Steps Trans Inc	$2,278,800.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$2,287,800.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$2,407,932.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$2,570,896.80	
Merit Transportation Corp	$3,220,200.00	

CLASS 17 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$4,708,125.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Safe Coach	$4,787,100.00	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$4,796,253.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$4,843,800.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$4,851,900.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$4,896,030.78	
Thomas Buses Inc	$4,900,500.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$4,997,700.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$5,005,152.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$5,046,300.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$5,071,329.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$5,125,356.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$5,256,900.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$5,265,000.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$5,294,970.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$5,321,457.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$5,321,700.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$5,337,900.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$5,362,200.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$5,378,400.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$5,394,600.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$5,427,000.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$5,427,000.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$5,499,900.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$5,502,330.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$5,552,550.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$5,605,200.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$5,690,250.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$5,749,380.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$5,751,000.00	
Assurant Transportation Corp	$5,929,200.00	
Legacy Bus Inc	$6,058,800.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$6,066,900.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$6,189,858.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$6,260,328.00	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$7,071,300.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$8,464,500.00	

CLASS 18 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Seth Transportation II Inc	$3,138,750.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Ride Right LLC	$3,206,196.00	Non-Responsive
Sinai I Inc	$3,229,200.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
L & L Bus Service Inc	$3,229,200.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
Safe Coach	$3,234,600.00	Max capacity in Area 17 yielding best cost to program
Reliant Transportation Inc	$3,267,944.17	AWARD
Richmond County Ambulance	$3,337,200.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$3,348,756.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$3,385,800.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$3,418,200.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$3,439,800.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$3,504,600.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$3,510,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$3,564,000.00	
Allied Transit Corp	$3,574,800.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$3,585,600.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$3,600,396.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$3,607,200.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$3,618,000.00	
Marquis Ambulette	$3,666,600.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$3,666,600.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$3,668,220.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$3,693,600.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$3,723,300.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$3,736,800.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$3,753,000.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$3,754,782.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$3,758,346.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$3,774,600.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$3,793,500.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$3,832,920.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$3,834,000.00	
BT Express Inc	$3,850,200.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$4,009,662.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$4,141,800.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,203,306.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$5,697,000.00	

CLASS 19 - QUEENS - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$14,582,392.20	Non- Responsive
Reliant Transportation Inc	$14,825,919.86	AWARD
New Dawn Transit LLC	$14,832,007.20	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$15,487,740.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$15,719,940.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$16,565,612.40	
Allied Transit Corp	$16,648,740.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$16,729,313.40	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$17,229,240.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$17,345,340.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$17,516,935.80	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$18,529,560.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$18,784,980.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$18,808,200.00	
Quality Transportation Corp	$18,924,300.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$18,950,770.80	
Royal Express Line Corp	$19,086,840.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$19,202,940.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$19,335,294.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$24,961,500.00	

CLASS 20 - BRONX - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$9,592,506.00	Non -Responsive
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$9,768,600.00	AWARD
Reliant Transportation Inc	$10,217,988.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$10,254,600.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$10,254,600.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$10,335,600.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$10,481,400.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$10,513,800.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$10,882,512.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$10,999,800.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$11,016,000.00	
Golden Custom II Inc	$11,178,000.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$11,210,400.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$11,291,400.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$11,293,506.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$11,654,604.00	
Pride Bus Corp	$11,777,400.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$11,971,800.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$12,020,400.00	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$12,052,800.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$12,133,800.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$12,295,800.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$12,311,838.00	
Legacy Bus Inc	$12,393,000.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$12,520,656.00	
Empire State Transit Inc	$15,163,200.00	
Leesel Transportation Corp	$15,876,000.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$17,820,000.00	

CLASS 21 - BRONX - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$414,000.00	Max capacity in area 20 yielding best cost to program
E-Z Bus LLC	$442,800.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$452,700.00	
All County Bus LLC	$468,000.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$472,176.00	
Ride Right LLC	$476,802.00	
Montauk Student Transport	$479,700.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$545,580.57	
Royalty Bus LLC	$557,316.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$571,500.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$574,200.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$577,800.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$585,000.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$585,000.00	
Van Trans LLC	$594,000.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$600,300.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$608,400.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$612,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$621,000.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$622,800.00	
BT Express Inc	$637,200.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$695,592.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$714,843.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$749,250.00	
36th Street Transportation	$882,000.00	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$891,000.00	

CLASS 22 - BRONX - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$572,517.00	Non Responsive
Montauk Student Transport	$621,450.00	AWARD
Golden Custom II Inc	$684,000.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$696,600.00	
All County Bus LLC	$702,000.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$702,000.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$720,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$729,000.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$729,955.13	
Happy Child Transportation	$733,284.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$735,300.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$737,100.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$742,500.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$748,800.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$768,519.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$791,100.00	
BT Express Inc	$792,900.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$805,500.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$814,500.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$828,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$932,823.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$948,780.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$1,210,500.00	

CLASS 23 - BRONX - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Reliant Transportation Inc	$3,680,801.96	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$3,730,419.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$3,798,900.00	
Van Trans LLC	$3,843,000.00	
All County Bus LLC	$3,906,000.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$3,906,000.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$3,924,900.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$3,924,900.00	
GVC Ltd	$3,969,000.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$4,000,500.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$4,058,649.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$4,063,500.00	
All Boroughs Transportation	$4,088,700.00	
Broadway Transportation LLC	$4,126,500.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$4,164,300.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$4,164,300.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$4,195,800.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$4,221,000.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$4,277,700.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$4,279,590.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$4,359,600.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$4,378,500.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$4,390,722.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$4,391,100.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$4,403,448.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$4,425,750.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$4,473,000.00	
BT Express Inc	$4,523,400.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$4,542,300.00	
Allied Bus Co Inc	$4,567,500.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$4,678,002.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$4,806,900.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,822,083.00	
Leesel Transportation Corp	$5,544,000.00	
Colonial Bus LLC	$5,776,974.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$6,772,500.00	

CLASS 24 - BRONX - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Reliant Transportation Inc	$4,451,787.91	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$4,521,672.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$4,718,016.00	
GVC Ltd	$4,824,000.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$4,890,960.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$5,058,000.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$5,112,000.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$5,136,984.00	
Seth Transportation Inc	$5,187,240.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$5,192,352.00	
Broadway Transportation LLC	$5,292,000.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$5,320,800.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$5,320,800.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$5,342,400.00	
Gotham Transportation Corp	$5,342,400.00	
3rd Avenue Transit Inc	$5,356,800.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$5,364,000.00	
All City Trans Inc	$5,378,400.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$5,400,000.00	
Allied Bus Co Inc	$5,414,400.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$5,454,000.00	
Van Trans LLC	$5,580,000.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$5,832,000.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$6,012,000.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$6,048,000.00	
Quality Transportation Corp	$6,084,000.00	
Colonial Bus LLC	$7,110,144.00	
Amboy Bus Company Inc	$7,920,000.00	

CLASS 25 – STATEN ISLAND - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$1,492,167.60	Non- Responsive
Anchor Bus Company Inc	$1,506,960.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,529,640.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$1,549,800.00	
Richmond County Ambulance	$1,557,360.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,622,023.20	
R.S. Transportation Service	$1,632,960.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,643,040.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,682,528.40	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$1,711,080.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$1,711,080.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$1,719,572.40	
Happy Child Transportation	$1,736,330.40	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$1,756,440.00	
Major Transportation Inc	$1,758,960.00	
BT Express Inc	$1,764,000.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,764,000.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$1,764,000.00	
IC Bus Inc	$1,784,160.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,789,200.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,801,800.00	
Major Buses Inc	$1,869,840.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,915,200.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,933,092.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$1,940,400.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$1,950,480.00	
Hoyt Transportation Corp	$1,990,800.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$2,034,900.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$2,115,036.00	
Empire State Bus Corp	$2,333,520.00	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$2,494,800.00	
Staten Island Bus Inc	$2,759,400.00	

CLASS 26 – STATEN ISLAND - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Reliant Transportation Inc	$8,402,113.10	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$8,526,672.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$8,640,000.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$8,726,400.00	
IC Bus Inc	$8,755,200.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$9,028,800.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$9,216,000.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$9,267,984.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$9,777,600.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$9,791,856.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$9,892,800.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$10,281,600.00	
Yellow Bus Inc	$10,310,400.00	
Ocean Avenue Transportation	$10,512,000.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$10,684,800.00	
Legacy Bus Inc	$10,915,200.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$10,944,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$10,944,000.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$11,505,744.00	
Colonial Bus LLC	$11,977,776.00	
Empire State Transit Inc	$13,478,400.00	
Staten Island Bus Inc	$15,177,600.00	

CLASS 27 - STATEN ISLAND - WITHIN BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Vinny's Bus Service	$3,225,600.00	AWARD
Reliant Transportation Inc	$3,369,489.89	
Ride Right LLC	$3,419,942.40	
R.S. Transportation Service	$3,438,720.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$3,496,320.00	
IC Bus Inc	$3,502,080.00	
Thomas Buses Inc	$3,530,880.00	
Richmond County Ambulance	$3,559,680.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$3,669,120.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$3,801,600.00	
Happy Child Transportation	$3,879,129.60	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$3,911,040.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$3,912,768.00	
Penny Transportation Inc	$3,939,840.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$3,945,542.40	
Sonat Bus Corp	$4,003,200.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$4,014,720.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$4,046,400.00	
Ocean Avenue Transportation	$4,089,600.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$4,089,600.00	
Yellow Bus Inc	$4,124,160.00	
BT Express Inc	$4,135,680.00	
Valiant A Transportation Inc	$4,273,920.00	
Careful Bus Service Inc	$4,312,915.20	
JFM Transportation LLC	$4,429,440.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$4,517,856.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$4,579,200.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$4,661,740.80	
Colonial Bus LLC	$4,906,310.40	
Empire State Transit Inc	$5,391,360.00	
Staten Island Bus Inc	$6,099,840.00	

CLASS 28 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
All County Bus LLC	$1,872,000.00	AWARD
Montauk Student Transport	$1,980,000.00	
Ride Right LLC	$2,131,668.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,242,800.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$2,278,800.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$2,328,045.62	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$2,340,684.00	
Broadway Transportation LLC	$2,358,000.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$2,520,000.00	
Van Trans LLC	$2,570,400.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,581,200.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$2,610,000.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$2,664,000.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$2,671,200.00	
BT Express Inc	$2,710,800.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$2,718,000.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$2,718,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$2,736,000.00	
JFM Transportation LLC	$2,768,400.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$2,793,168.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$2,805,480.00	
Hoyt Transportation Corp	$2,826,000.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$2,827,368.00	
Mar-Can Transportation Co Inc	$2,851,200.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$2,907,000.00	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$3,024,000.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$3,978,000.00	

CLASS 29 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$768,196.80	Non-Responsive
Montauk Student Transport	$803,880.00	AWARD
New Dawn Transit LLC	$812,070.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$823,968.63	
Broadway Transportation LLC	$825,300.00	
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$853,020.00	
All County Bus LLC	$869,400.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$910,980.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$913,500.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$934,920.00	
BT Express Inc	$961,380.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$963,900.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$966,420.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$976,500.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$976,500.00	
Van Trans LLC	$982,800.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,002,720.60	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,008,000.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,020,600.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$1,040,659.20	
Merit Transportation Corp	$1,417,500.00	

CLASS 30 - WESTCHESTER - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Children's Trans Inc	$737,100.00	AWARD
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$745,200.00	
All County Bus LLC	$793,800.00	
E-Z Bus LLC	$797,040.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$814,860.00	
Ride Right LLC	$858,243.60	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$859,199.40	
Acme Bus Corp	$905,580.00	
Broadway Transportation LLC	$939,600.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,037,341.64	
B & F Skilled Inc	$1,074,060.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,085,400.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,117,800.00	
Montauk Student Transport	$1,130,760.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,148,580.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,161,540.00	
Reliable Bus LLC	$1,174,500.00	
Van Trans LLC	$1,182,600.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,214,173.80	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,233,273.60	
BT Express Inc	$1,236,060.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$1,336,483.80	
JFM Transportation LLC	$1,355,940.00	

CLASS 31 - NASSAU - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$959,250.60	Non-Responsive
R & C Transit Inc	$986,855.40	Max capacity in area 34 yielding best cost to program
Anchor Bus Company Inc	$988,200.00	Max capacity in Area 25 yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$993,060.00	AWARD
Any Time Bus Co Inc	$999,540.00	
Sinai I Inc	$1,020,600.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,025,460.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$1,043,280.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,053,891.00	
Seth Transportation II Inc	$1,069,200.00	
Dandee Transit Corp	$1,072,099.80	
Penny Transportation Inc	$1,091,880.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$1,093,500.00	
Marquis Ambulette	$1,099,980.00	
Van Trans LLC	$1,109,700.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$1,116,180.00	
Premier Transit Corp	$1,120,878.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,121,040.00	
BT Express Inc	$1,163,160.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,163,808.75	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,172,880.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$1,177,740.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$1,185,840.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,189,080.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$1,195,560.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,223,100.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,233,273.60	
Indigo Warranties LP	$1,248,793.20	
Hoyt Transportation Corp	$1,296,000.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$1,304,100.00	
Tufaro Transit Co Inc	$1,603,800.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$1,790,100.00	

CLASS 32 - NASSAU - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$1,755,878.40	Non Responsive
Sinai I Inc	$1,794,240.00	Does not have capacity to service this area
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,886,947.20	AWARD
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,924,017.41	
Premier Transit Corp	$1,959,120.00	
Van Trans LLC	$1,972,800.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$2,001,600.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$2,064,960.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$2,113,920.00	
L & M Bus Corp	$2,125,440.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$2,128,320.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$2,194,560.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$2,214,720.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$2,240,409.60	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$2,240,640.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$2,268,892.80	
BT Express Inc	$2,304,000.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$2,318,400.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$2,332,800.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$2,462,400.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$3,240,000.00	

CLASS 33 - NASSAU - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$357,840.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$362,160.00	
Ride Right LLC	$381,441.60	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$393,300.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$409,680.00	
PS & M Bus Co Inc	$416,160.00	
R & C Transit Inc	$429,242.40	
Sinai I Inc	$448,560.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$468,000.00	
Van Trans LLC	$475,200.00	
MR Transportation dba Marquis Ambulette	$488,880.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$496,080.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$498,240.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$503,280.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$512,679.94	
BT Express Inc	$516,960.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$520,560.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$521,272.80	
Sonat Bus Corp	$521,280.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$524,880.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$538,560.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$546,811.20	
Phillip Bus Corp	$597,600.00	

CLASS 34 - SUFFOLK - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$319,750.20	Non- Responsive
R & C Transit Inc	$328,951.80	AWARD
Rico's Bus Co Inc	$334,513.80	
Anchor Bus Company Inc	$337,500.00	
Sinai I Inc	$339,120.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$341,820.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$347,760.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$371,439.00	
Van Trans LLC	$378,000.00	
Logan Bus Company Inc	$387,433.80	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$387,518.18	
G & M Transportation Services	$387,720.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$390,960.00	
Pride Bus Corp	$393,660.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$393,784.20	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$400,680.00	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$400,680.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$404,460.00	
BT Express Inc	$412,020.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$434,700.00	
Hoyt Transportation Corp	$434,700.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$458,460.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$526,500.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$602,100.00	

CLASS 35 - SUFFOLK - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$268,380.00	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$286,081.20	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$290,034.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$307,260.00	
Rico's Bus Co Inc	$324,210.60	
Sinai I Inc	$339,120.00	
First Steps Trans Inc	$341,820.00	
B & F Skilled Inc	$348,840.00	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$356,400.00	
Van Trans LLC	$369,900.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$372,060.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$384,509.95	
Royalty Bus LLC	$387,093.60	
Sonat Bus Corp	$390,960.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$391,678.20	
Sunrise Bay Inc	$395,280.00	
Royal Express Line Corp	$399,060.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$400,680.00	
BT Express Inc	$401,220.00	
Logan Bus Company Inc	$406,873.80	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$408,483.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$431,460.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$459,000.00	
On Time Transit Co Inc	$490,860.00	

CLASS 36 – NEW JERSEY - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Ride Right LLC	$2,558,001.60	Non Responsive
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$2,777,760.00	Max capacity in area 20 yielding best cost to program
Reliant Transportation Inc	$3,100,145.43	AWARD
New Dawn Transit LLC	$3,149,107.20	
Pride Bus Corp	$3,183,840.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$3,205,440.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$3,259,396.80	
JFM Transportation LLC	$3,337,200.00	
NBRM S/B Inc	$3,366,576.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$3,455,956.80	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$3,488,400.00	
Hoyt Transportation Corp	$3,499,200.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$3,571,603.20	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$3,628,800.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$3,646,080.00	
John Leckie Inc	$3,667,680.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$3,888,000.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$4,212,000.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$4,903,200.00	

CLASS 37 – NEW JERSEY - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Don Thomas Buses Inc	$414,000.00	Max capacity in area 20 yielding best cost to program
Thomas Buses Inc	$447,300.00	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$476,802.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$506,880.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$640,849.92	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$667,800.00	
Royalty Bus LLC	$671,229.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$714,843.00	
Pride Bus Corp	$715,500.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$717,651.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$721,665.00	
John Leckie Inc	$728,100.00	
Central Bus Service	$774,000.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$787,500.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$787,500.00	

CLASS 38 - ROCKLAND - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
All County Bus LLC	$1,177,200.00	AWARD
Ride Right LLC	$1,279,000.80	
First Steps Trans Inc	$1,367,280.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$1,391,310.64	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$1,409,162.40	
Montauk Student Transport	$1,507,680.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$1,576,800.00	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$1,602,720.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$1,631,167.20	
NBRM S/B Inc	$1,683,288.00	
At Ease Transportation Inc	$1,744,200.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$1,769,040.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$1,806,105.60	
ARJ Transportation Inc	$1,814,400.00	
G & M Transportation Services	$1,823,040.00	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$1,922,400.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$1,941,840.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$2,106,000.00	
Merit Transportation Corp	$2,516,400.00	
Van Trans LLC	$2,570,400.00	

CLASS 39 - ROCKLAND - INTER BOROUGH	TOTAL	COMMENTS
Thomas Buses Inc	$268,380.00	AWARD
First Steps Trans Inc	$271,620.00	
Ride Right LLC	$286,081.20	
All County Bus LLC	$307,800.00	
New Dawn Transit LLC	$328,428.00	
Reliant Transportation Inc	$344,401.36	
G & L Transit Co Inc	$361,800.00	
Montauk Student Transport	$382,320.00	
Acme Bus Corp	$388,260.00	
Consolidated Bus Transit	$391,678.20	
Golden Ring Transit Inc	$400,680.00	
Sonat Bus Corp	$434,700.00	
Indigo Warranties LP	$451,526.40	
Ragan Bus Service LLC	$459,000.00	
L & L Bus Service Inc	$471,960.00	
Phillip Bus Corp	$472,500.00	
Van Trans LLC	$631,800.00	

Item: 7

Description:

Purchase of broiled beef patties using donated or commercial products.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
MANUFACTURER DIRECT FOOD PRODUCTS VII - B2169

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,786,142 / $8,930,710	Tax Levy	Five Years	One 270-Day Extension with PPI adjustment	$1,339,607	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirements

Vendor Names & Addresses	AdvancePierre Foods, Inc. 9990 Princeton Glendale Road Cincinnati, OH 45246

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Janice Zapinsky Office of School Support Services (OSSS)	Robin Greene Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Office of School Food (OSF) to purchase broiled beef patties from AdvancePierre Foods, Inc. (AP) using donated products, if available, or commercial products. Product will be delivered to the DOE's Food Service distributors, who will make payment to the contracted manufacturers.

DISCUSSION

A Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised for seven days in the City Record and on the DCP web site covering 12 single items and three aggregate classes. Twenty-five bids were received. This RA covers one single item (Broiled Beef Patty).

Six bids were received and AdvancePierre, the low bidder, met all requirements of the bid and is recommended for award. The bid price for the beef patty with donated commodity is approximately 51 percent lower than the price under the current distribution contract. AP's bid price for the patty without donated commodity is approximately 2.7 percent lower than the price under the current distribution contract, but the Department purchases far less product this way; in FYs 2012 and 2013, 100 percent of the product purchased contained donated commodity. Because of the competitive nature of the procurement and the lower prices than previous contracts, all prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The vendor has performed work under previous contracts to the satisfaction of OSF. The Office School Support Services (OSSS) held a qualification meeting and determined that the company has the organizational capacity to service the contract. A review of AP's financial statements also determined that the company is qualified to fulfill the contract.

A comprehensive background check identified one caution in VENDEX regarding an IRS audit. The vendor has indicated that the audit did not result in significant findings and DCP's review of the matter shows that, although the audit resulted in some additional tax liability, the amount was completely offset by a credit being carried forward on the vendor's books. As AdvancePierre does not appear to have any outstanding tax liens and in light of the size of the vendor, which had more than $1.5 billion in revenues in 2012, the DOE does not believe this matter should preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible.

SINGLE ITEM: BF M140 – BROILED BEEF PATTY

Unit of Measure (UOM): 2 oz. portion

Vendor Name	Bid Price per UOM w/out Donated Commodity	Bid Price per UOM with Donated Commodity	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
AdvancePierre Foods	**$0.35350**	**$0.07600**	**$1,786,142**	**$8,930,710**
Don Lee Farms (CNQ12210)*	$0.22800	$0.08270	$1,787,930	$8,939,650
Integrated Food Services	$0.29500	$0.09430	$2,073,514	$10,367,570
Don Lee Farms (CNQDL250)*	$0.25790	$0.10160	$2,174,472	$10,872,360
Maid-Rite Steak	$0.32910	$0.10320	$2,275,430	$11,377,150
Tyson Prepared Foods	$0.38220	$0.12800	$2,796,417	$13,982,085

*Don Lee Farms submitted two different bids for two different products, which used different product formulations.

Item: 8

Description:

Purchase of whole wheat pizza (French bread), whole wheat pizza bagel, and whole wheat pizza slice (New York style).

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
MANUFACTURER DIRECT FOOD PRODUCTS VII - B2169

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$7,239,907 / $36,199,535	Tax Levy	Five Years	One 270-Day Extension with PPI adjustment	$5,429,930	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Schwan's Food Service, Inc. 115 West College Drive Marshall, MN 56258

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Janice Zapinsky Office of School Support Services (OSSS)	Robin Greene Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Office of School Food (OSF) to purchase three frozen pizza items: whole wheat pizza (French bread), whole wheat pizza bagel, and whole wheat pizza slice (New York style) from Schwan's Food Service, Inc. (SFS) using donated products, if available, or commercial products. Products will be delivered to the DOE's Food Service distributors, who will make payment to the contracted manufacturers.

DISCUSSION

A Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised for seven days in the City Record and on the DCP web site covering 12 single items and three aggregate classes. Twenty-five bids were received. This RA covers the three single items indicated above.

Four bids were received for each item. SFS, the low bidder on all three items, met all requirements of the bid and is recommended for award. Nardone Bros. Baking Co., the second low bidder, protested the DOE's consideration of SFS's bid, alleging that SFS did not meet several bid specifications, including the timely submission of data production schedules and nutrition labels, passing a first taste test, and packaging requirements. The protest officer upheld the DOE's determination that Schwan's is the lowest responsive, responsible bidder and should therefore be recommended for award. Nardone subsequently sought a temporary restraining order, which the New York State Supreme Court denied.

A cost price analysis found that SFS's prices are 7.24 to 17.92 percent lower than prices under the current distribution contract. Because they were competitively bid, all of these prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

SFS is a newly contracted company, so OSSS held a qualification meeting at which it was determined that the company has the capacity to service the contract. A review of financial statements did not reveal significant risks associated with doing business with the company. The Vendor Research and Price Analysis Unit conducted a comprehensive background check on Schwan's and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Single Items:

BF FS166 – Whole Wheat Pizza – French Bread

Unit of Measure (UOM): 5.5 oz. portion

Vendor Name	Bid Price per UOM w/out Donated Commodity	Bid Price per UOM with Donated Commodity	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Schwan's Food Service, Inc.	**$0.48717**	**$0.29450**	**$1,547,632**	**$7,738,160**
Nardone Bros. Baking Co.	$0.47580	$0.29983	$1,570,505	$7,852,527
The Maramont Corporation	$0.61000	$0.30000	$1,605,472	$8,027,361
Best Express Foods	$0.58316	$0.39050	$2,036,141	$10,180,704

BF FS167 – Whole Wheat Pizza Bagel

Unit of Measure (UOM): 4.8 oz. portion

Vendor Name	Bid Price per UOM w/out Donated Commodity	Bid Price per UOM with Donated Commodity	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Schwan's Food Service, Inc.	**$0.45781**	**$0.26521**	**$2,000,457**	**$10,002,285**
Nardone Bros. Baking Co.	$0.46875	$0.27614	$2,080,016	$10,400,080
The Maramont Corporation	$0.53000	$0.28000	$2,128,998	$10,644,988
Best Express Foods	$0.68316	$0.49050	$3,640,280	$18,201,400

BF FS165 – Whole Wheat Pizza Slice – NY Style

Unit of Measure (UOM): 5 oz. portion

Vendor Name	Bid Price per UOM w/out Donated Commodity	Bid Price per UOM with Donated Commodity	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Schwan's Food Service, Inc.	**$0.48547**	**$0.29281**	**$3,691,818**	**$18,459,088**
Nardone Bros. Baking Co.	$0.47859	$0.30062	$3,778,186	$18,890,929
The Maramont Corporation	$0.63000	$0.38000	$4,791,112	$23,955,562
Giorgio Foods, Inc.	$0.64297	$0.41875	$5,248,385	$26,241,926

Item: 9

Description:

Office furniture for schools and administrative offices.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT FOR GLOBAL OFFICE FURNITURE WITH CHASE OFFICE SUPPLIES

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$2,960,444 / $14,802,220	Tax Levy	Five Years	None	Competitive Sealed Bid	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Chase Office Supplies 63 Flushing Ave, Unit 244, Brooklyn Navy Yard Brooklyn, NY 11205

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Andrea Black Director School Based Procurement	Ray Burke Chief Administrator Innovation & Vendor Resources	Steve Ladolcetta Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Chase Office Supplies to provide the Global product line of office furniture. This contract will be utilized on an as-needed basis by schools and administrative offices. Funding will come from individual school/central office budgets.

DISCUSSION

A Request for Bids (RFB) was released consisting of four aggregate classes, each representing a specific manufacturer's product line. The selection of these manufacturer lines was based on the past ten years of usage and will allow schools and administrative offices to match existing furniture and/or design new offices.

Vendors could bid on one class or any combination up to all four classes. Aggregate Classes 1 and 2 were composed of line items that have represented approximately 80 percent of the historical spend for each class. Vendors were required to provide pricing for each line item in a class and a percentage discount off the manufacturer's catalog for remaining items that were not listed in the bid blank. Aggregate Classes 3 and 4 consisted of manufacturer lines newly introduced to the DOE. Since there was no historical data to reference, vendors were required to provide a discount off the manufacturer's catalog for each.

This Request for Authorization (RA) covers the award for Aggregate Class 2, Global Office Furniture; Aggregate Class 1 was awarded on a previous RA and the bids for Aggregate Classes 3 and 4 are currently being evaluated and will be covered in future RAs. Six bids were received for Aggregate Class 2. JFD Sales Consulting Service d/b/a JFD Office, the low bidder, was found to be non-responsible because of irregularities with its certificate of authorization to sell the manufacturer's line for which they bid. A rejection was sent and JFD protested. The protest officer upheld the finding of non-responsibility. The second low bidder, Chase Office Supplies, was found to be responsive and responsible, and is recommended for award of this class. The bid tabulation for Aggregate Class 2 is shown at the end of this RA.

Overall pricing for Aggregate Class 2 is approximately one percent lower than under the previous contract. Based on previous expenditures, the DOE should realize savings of approximately $30,000

annually relative to the previous contract. The prices offered were competitively bid and have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The contract will allow for price adjustments, both upward and downward, which will be calculated annually and will commence on the one-year anniversary of the contract's registration and each year of the contract thereafter. The percentage discount offered in the bid will remain the same for the life of the contract.

A comprehensive background review conducted by the Vendor Research & Price Analysis Unit revealed no adverse information. Chase Office Supply has held previous contracts with the DOE under which they performed satisfactorily; they have thus been determined to be responsible with respect to the terms of this contract.

BIDDER NAME	TOTAL 5-YEAR BID AMOUNT
JFD Office*	$14,555,146*
Chase Office Supplies	**$14,802,223**
United Supply	$14,885,281
FM Office Express	$15,691,433
Hertz Furniture Systems	$16,530,944
Arenson Office	$24,074,363

*Bidder found to be non-responsible.

Item: 10

Description:

Repair and install ironwork and welding in schools and administrative buildings.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
REPAIR AND INSTALLATION OF IRONWORK AND WELDING - RFB B2312

Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$639,470 / $3,197,350	Tax Levy	Five Years	One 270-Day Extension	$479,602	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirement
Vendor Name & Address	Lake Iron Works D/B/A Pooran Lakeram 94-51 214th Place Queens Village, NY 11428						

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Volkert Braren Program Director Division of School Facilities	Shelley Epstein Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Lake Iron Works to repair and install ironwork and welding in schools and administrative buildings. The works includes all the material, labor, equipment, and supervision to repair and install ironwork and welding.

DISCUSSION

This contract will replace a contract awarded pursuant to a previous solicitation in which expenditures exceeded the originally estimated total value of the contract during the first two years of the five-year term. There was unanticipated demand for radiator guards in public areas, as well as large school-funded, grant-funded, capital, and Hurricane Sandy damage-related projects during the two-year period in question.

This Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised for seven days in the City Record and on the DCP web site. The RFB covered two aggregate classes: Aggregate Class 1, which includes Manhattan and the Bronx, and Aggregate Class 2, which includes Brooklyn, Queens, and Staten Island. Four bids were received for each class.

Lake Iron Works, the low bidder for both classes, is recommended for award. The Division of School Facilities (DSF) held a qualification meeting with the company and determined that they have the organizational capacity to service both areas. Lake Iron Works has held previous contracts with the DOE and has provided satisfactory service. DSF is confident that the vendor will continue to provide satisfactory service.

A background check conducted by the Vendor Research and Price Analysis Unit found no significant adverse information. As such, the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

The prices on this contract are approximately one percent higher than those under the previous contract, which was bid in 2011. When adjusted for inflation, these prices represent a drop of almost three percent when compared to those of the previous contract. Moreover, they compare favorably to the 12 percent average increase in the prevailing wage rates during the same time period. Based on the competitive nature of this procurement and the receipt of several bids, these prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable

B2312 – REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR REPAIR AND INSTALLATION OF IRONWORK AND WELDING

Aggregate Class 1 – Manhattan / Bronx		
Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Lake Iron Works	**$345,080**	**$2,117,660**
Al-Pros Delaware Construction	$514,058	
Carjen Fence Co. Inc.	$803,794	
S & L Industries Inc.	$944,830	

Aggregate Class 2 – Brooklyn/Queens/Richmond		
Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Lake Iron Works	**$294,390**	**$2,249,795**
Al-Pros Delaware Construction	$438,480	
Carjen Fence Co. Inc.	$700,833	
S & L Industries Inc.	$806,867	

Item: 11

Description:

Electrical installations and repair work in schools and administrative buildings.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS - B2332

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount(s)	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$2,445,967 / $12,229,835	Tax Levy	Five Years	One 270-Day Extension	$1,834,476	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirement

Vendor Names & Addresses	Annual Amount	Total Amount
Laser Electrical Contracting Inc. 55-10 37th Avenue Woodside, NY 11377	$968,605	$4,843,025
A&S Electric Inc. 952 Flushing Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11206	$325,850	$1,629,250
W&B Electric Corp. 135-15 135TH Avenue South Ozone Park, NY 11420	$1,151,512	$5,757,560

Contract Manager / Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Volkert Braren Director, Program Management Division of School Facilities	Shelley Epstein Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Laser Electrical Contracting Inc., W&B Electric Corp., and A&S Electric Inc. for electrical installations and repair work in schools and administrative buildings. The work includes labor, materials, and supervision to install and repair electrical systems. These contracts will replace one contract that exceeded the estimated value during the first three years of the five-year term and two expiring contracts.

DISCUSSION

A Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised in the City Record and on the DCP web site. The RFB included five aggregate classes: Aggregate Class 1 – Manhattan / Bronx, Aggregate Class 2 - Bronx, Aggregate Class 3 - Queens (Districts 24, 25, and 30), Aggregate Class 4 - Queens (Districts 26-29), and Aggregate Class 5 – Brooklyn / Staten Island. Eleven bids were received for Aggregate Classes 1-4 and 10 bids were received for Aggregate Class 5.

Gemini Electric, the low bidder for Aggregate Classes 1-4, was determined to be non-responsive for bidding below prevailing wages. As such, Gemini was rejected. No protest was received.

Laser Electric, the second low bidder for Aggregate Classes 1 and 4, is recommended for award of those classes. A qualification meeting was held with Laser Electric and it was determined they had the organizational capacity to service both areas. Laser Electric has had previous contracts with the DOE

and has performed satisfactory service. DSF is confident that they will continue to provide satisfactory service.

W&B Electric Corp., the low bidder on Aggregate Classes 2 and 3, is recommended for award in those classes. A qualification meeting was held with W&B Electric and it was determined that they had the organizational capacity to service these areas

A&S Electric, the low bidder for Aggregate Class 5, is recommended for award in that class. A qualification meeting was held with them and it was determined that they had the organizational capacity to service that class. A&S has had prior contracts with the DOE and provided satisfactory service. DSF is confident they will continue to provide satisfactory service.

A review of the three vendors' financial statements did not reveal any risk associated with doing business with these companies. A background check found no significant adverse information for Laser Electrical and W&B Electric, but noteworthy information was identified for A&S Electric. The New York City Comptroller's office determined that A&S Electric failed to pay prevailing wages and supplemental benefits to three employees, resulting in a civil penalty of $2,027. As A&S entered into a stipulation and settlement with the Comptroller's office, which has been paid in full, the DOE does not believe this matter should preclude a determination that the vendor is responsible. As such, Laser Electrical, W&B Electric, and A&S Electric have all been determined to be responsible.

Prices on these contracts are between eight and nine percent higher than on previous contracts bid in 2008 for similar items. Based on the receipt of several bids and the competitive nature of the procurement, these prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

B2332 – REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR REPAIR OF ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS

AGGREGATE CLASS 1 – MANHATTAN / BRONX

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Gemini Electric Co Inc (rejected)	$449,380	
Laser Electrical Contracting	**$484,919**	**$2,424,595**
W&B Electric Corp.	$485,214	
A&S Electric Inc.	$496,185	
M&J Electrical Contractors	$553,788	
Prince Electric Corp.	$582,850	
Arcadia Electrical Company	$591,171	
Midland Electrical Contracting	$597,259	
MSR Electrical Construction	$655,990	
Labco Electric Contracting	$713,160	
Approved Electrical Contractors	$718,147	

B2332 – REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR REPAIR OF ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS

AGGREGATE CLASS 2 – BRONX

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Gemini Electric Co Inc (rejected)	$543,958	
W&B Electric Corp	**$607,712**	**$3,038,560**
Laser Electrical Contracting	$609,771	
A&S Electric Inc.	$621,540	
M&J Electrical Contractors	$693,667	
Midland Electrical Contracting	$717,380	
Prince Electric Corp.	$730,111	
Arcadia Electrical Company	$736,123	
MSR Electrical Construction	$821,669	
Labco Electric Contracting	$893,456	
Approved Electrical Contractors	$899,625	

AGGREGATE CLASS 3 – QUEENS DISTRICTS 24, 25, & 30

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Gemini Electric Co Inc (rejected)	$520,445	
W&B Electric Corp	**$543,800**	**$2,719,000**
Laser Electrical Contracting	$544,013	
A&S Electric Inc.	$557,227	
Prince Electric Corp.	$599,019	
MSR Electrical Construction	$600,709	
M&J Electrical Contractors	$605,881	
Arcadia Electrical Company	$626,701	
Midland Electrical Contracting	$650,189	
Labco Electric Contracting	$734,294	
Approved Electrical Contractors	$795,281	

B2332 – REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR REPAIR OF ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS

AGGREGATE CLASS 4 – QUEENS DISTRICTS 26-29

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
Gemini Electric Co Inc (rejected)	$454,711	
Laser Electrical Contracting	**$483,686**	**$2,418,430**
W&B Electric Corp.	$485,561	
A&S Electric Inc.	$494,995	
Prince Electric Corp.	$531,250	
MSR Electrical Construction	$536,038	
M&J Electrical Contractors	$549,870	
Midland Electrical Contracting	$578,525	
Arcadia Electrical Company	$578,942	
Labco Electric Contracting	$714,259	
Approved Electrical Contractors	$721,707	

AGGREGATE CLASS 5 – BROOKLYN / STATEN ISLAND

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value / 5 Years
A&S Electric Inc.	**$325,850**	**$1,629,250**
MSR Electrical Construction	$355,164	
Midland Electrical Contracting	$377,632	
Prince Electric Corp.	$383,270	
Gemini Electric Co. Inc.	$386,600	
Arcadia Electrical Company	$386,904	
M&J Electrical Contractors	$388,800	
Labco Electric Contracting	$478,552	
Laser Electrical Contracting	$490,740	
Approved Electrical Contractors	$516,560	

Item: 12

Description:

Software, services, and related products unique to Apple devices.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH APPLE, INC. FOR SOFTWARE, ACCESSORIES, AND RELATED PRODUCTS

Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Renewal Options	Procurement Method	Retroactive?	Contract Type
Approximately $4.2 Million	Various	5 Years (10/1/13 - 9/30/18)	One 2 year option	Sole Source	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Apple Inc. One Infinite Loop Cupertino, CA 95014

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Chris Martino Division of Instructional and Information Technology	Hal Freidlander Chief Information Officer	Charles Blair Procurement Analyst

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Apple, Inc. to provide software, services, and related products unique to Apple devices. The contract will commence on or about October 1, 2013 and will expire on or about September 30, 2018.

DISCUSSION

DOE purchases nearly $30 million of Apple hardware, software, and accessories annually, mostly through a New York State Office of General Services (OGS) contract. Under that contract, software can only be purchased at the time hardware is purchased but schools do not always know what software products they will want at the time they are purchasing hardware.

Additionally, numerous mini-software programs or applications ("apps") have been created for Apple. These apps will not run on other hardware devices but are specifically designed for the Apple operating system. They include a variety of educational apps for student use, including apps from NASA, the American Museum of Natural History, Dictonary.com, and others, none of which are available through the OGS contract.

Finally, and in addition to the software products that are unavailable through the OGS contract, the hardware DOE purchases often requires DOE-specific customization. For example, the etching of computer casings and installation of CompuTrace tracking software is not included in the OGS catalog of goods and services.

So as to make the aforementioned hardware and software products available, authorization is requested to enter a sole source agreement with Apple for these products and services.

The estimated contract amount is based on past purchases of like products offered under the former OGS contract but left out of the new one. Apple is offering the DOE discounts equal to or greater than those offered to any other educational entity so the pricing has been found fair and reasonable.

DCP reviewed the City's VENDEX, Vendor CIP Report, and EPLS – Fed Debar List. No cautions were listed. Apple self-reported one issue concerning an overseas factory in which 137 workers involved in he manufacture of Apple products were exposed to n-hexane, a chemical in cleaning agents. The factory had reconfigured operations without changing the ventilation system. Apple required the company to stop

using n-hexane, to provide evidence that they removed the chemical from their production lines, and to fix their ventilation system. No workers have suffered difficulties from chemical exposure since the changes were implemented. Apple has verified that all affected workers have been treated successfully, and will monitor their medical reports until full recuperation.

No other significant adverse information was revealed and Apple has provided acceptable services under prior contracts to the DOE. Therefore, they are deemed responsible.

Item: 13

Description:

Expanded Learning Time (ELT) for tutoring services citywide. (RA#3)

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION (RA#3) FOR EXPANDED LEARNING TIME STUDENT TUTORING SERVICES

Estimated Annual Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$340,000 / $1,700,000	Various (Tax Levy and Reimbursable)	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirement

Vendor Names & Addresses	See Table B below.

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Cheryl Kaplan Administrator Innovation & Vendor Resources Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Ray Burke Chief Administrator Innovation & Vendor Resources Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Joy Gentolia Director, Instructional Services Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the vendors listed below to provide Expanded Learning Time (ELT) student tutoring services in the areas of ELA, reading, and mathematics within City- and State-approved curriculum guidelines in NYCDOE schools. These programs will be provided at the discretion of each participating school.

DISCUSSION

In place of the Supplemental Educational Services, the DOE allows schools to offer alternative Title I eligible services. These services may include tutoring. The proposed contracts provide for a cadre of vendors that schools can choose from for Expanded Learning Time (ELT) based on the needs of their students. Schools will be responsible for selecting service providers by using the Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC) procurement process and for managing services and payments.

Vendors proposed for one or more of the following components: 1) ELA/Reading-Group, 2) ELA/Reading-Individual, 3) Math-Group, 4) Math-Individual, 5) ELA/Reading/Math-Group, and 6) ELA/Reading/Math-Individual. Services may be provided during the school day, after school, or on non-school days. Non-school days include weekends, summer break, and vacation breaks during the school year and will be available to students in Kindergarten through 12th grade.

Monitored by the school at which the program runs, ELT services must be provided on-site. Attendance sheets for each session, as well as pre- and post-assessments, are required and must be given to the Principal, Principal's designee(s), and/or a designee of the Chancellor upon request. Attendance sheets must identify the location of services (classroom), start and end time and date of services, tutor's name and Personnel Eligibility Tracking System (PETS) ID number, and a complete and accurate accounting of those students present in class, as well as the time they entered and left the session. The final duration of services (hours per day and hours per program), as well as class size and other such details, may be negotiated based on the school's budget and needs.

Proposals were distributed to evaluation committees that included instructional specialists, guidance counselors, grant managers, procurement analysts, and directors from the Office of Safety and Youth Development; Office of Innovation; Division of Academics, Performance and Support; and Division of Contracts and Purchasing. To ensure scoring consistency, a training session was conducted for all committee members in which they received an overview of the process and a scoring rubric. Proposals were scored based on the following criteria: program plan (25 points), organizational capacity (25 points), pricing (25 points), and demonstrated effectiveness (25 points). Successful vendors were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points.

To date, 41 proposals have been received in response to this solicitation. Thirteen vendors were recommended for contract awards under previous RAs and four are recommended in this RA.

Table A shows the proposed and negotiated hourly rates for each vendor. The negotiated hourly rates for vendors included in this RA are $90 for individual tutoring services and range $103 to $236 for group tutoring services.

Negotiations resulted in an average cost savings of approximately 25 percent in program hourly rates to the DOE. The Vendor Cost Price Analysis (VCPA) unit determined the vendors' pricing to be fair and reasonable based on a comparison with hourly rates for like services by vendors recommended for award under this solicitation.

TABLE A

Awarded Vendor	Proposed Average Hourly Rate	Negotiated Average Hourly Rate
Individual Tutoring		
Community Technical Assistance, Inc.	$106	$90
Group Tutoring		
Achievers Enrichment Services, LTD	$360	$200
Catapult Learning, LLC	$296	$236
Community Technical Assistance, Inc.	$159	$103
Education Futures	$168	$148

The estimated annual contract amounts were based on FY13 ELT expenditures. For vendors without prior ELT expenditures, the estimated annual contract amounts were based on the estimated minimum amount for ELT student tutoring requirements contracts of $50,000. Background checks for all vendors listed in this request included VENDEX, the Uniform Commercial Code, the Federal Tax Lien, the Federal Excluded Parties List System, and DOE internal sources. No significant adverse information was revealed for any of the vendors listed here; these vendors have all therefore been determined to be responsible to provide approved services.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be

able to secure services via purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

TABLE B			
	Vendor's Name & Address	Component*	Estimated Annual / Total Amount
1	**Achievers Enrichment Services, LTD** 1360 Clifton Avenue Clifton, NJ 07012	5	$160,000 / $800,000
2	**Catapult Learning, LLC** 2 Aquarium Drive, Suite 100 Camden, NJ 08103	1, 3	$50,000 / $250,000
3	**Community Technical Assistance, Inc.** 360 Glenwood Ave, Building 7 East Orange, NJ 10987	1 - 6	$50,000 / $250,000
4	**Education Futures** 2600 Philmont Avenue, Suite 311 Huntington Valley, PA 19006	5	$80,000 / $400,000

***Component Services:** 1-ELA/Reading - Group; 2-ELA/Reading - Individual; 3-Math - Group; 4-Math - Individual; 5-ELA/Reading/Math - Group; 6-ELA/Reading/Math - Individual

Item: 14

Description:

Student support services including academic enrichment activities, leadership development, tutoring and homework assistance, parent development, individual, group, and family counseling (RA #9)

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION (RA #9) FOR STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES (MTAC R0898)

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$230,000 / $1,150,000	Various – Tax Levy & Reimbursable	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirements

	Vendor's Name & Address	Component(s)	Estimated Annual / Total Amount
1	**Oasis Community Corporation dba Roads to Success** 20 Jay Street, Suite 314 Brooklyn, NY 11201	1, 4-10 ,17	$200,000 / $1,000,000
2	**Newtopia Enterprise, Inc. dba Korea Taekwondo** 150-80 70th RD 3rd FL Flushing, NY 11367	5	$30,000 / $150,000

Service Requestor	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Robert Weiner Chief Operating Officer Office of Safety & Youth Development	Joy Gentolia Director Instructional Services Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Office of Safety and Youth Development (OSYD) to contract with the above-named vendors to provide direct student support services and to increase opportunities for educational enrichment. These programs will be provided at the discretion of each participating school or central office operating on behalf of a school or consortium of schools.

DISCUSSION

Student support services sought through this MTAC fall within 19 components as follows:

1) leadership development and civic engagement
2) individual counseling
3) group counseling
4) mentoring
5) recreation/sports
6) college/post-secondary planning
7) career awareness and the world of work
8) conflict resolution/peer mediation
9) violence prevention/student safety
10) bullying prevention
11) substance abuse and problem gambling prevention and intervention
12) Internet safety
13) school attendance improvement
14) family support services

15) tutoring/homework assistance
16) study/test-taking skills
17) academic skills enhancement
18) thematic projects
19) family literacy

These services may take place inside or outside of school during the school day, after school, or on non-school days.

Proposals were distributed to an evaluation committee that included instructional specialists, operations analysts, grant managers, and directors from OSYD. To ensure scoring consistency, a training session was conducted for all committee members in which they received an overview of the process and a scoring rubric. Proposals were scored based on the following criteria: program plan (35 points), organizational capacity (20 points), pricing (25 points), and demonstrated effectiveness (20 points). Successful vendors were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points.

One hundred sixteen (116) proposals have been received in response to this solicitation. Ninety-one (91) vendors were recommended for contract awards under previous RAs and two are recommended in this RA.

A vendor can offer single workshops for a component or offer an à la carte menu of services covering the 19 components listed above. The table below shows the proposed and negotiated average hourly rates for each vendor. The negotiated average hourly rates range from $165 (Oasis Community /Roads to Success) for programs that offer leadership development programs through arts and service learning projects, literacy enrichment activities, and college access and career development to $227 (Newtopia/Korea Taekwondo) for the martial arts program led by certified masters that encourages diversity of cultures, increased responsibility, discipline, self-motivation, fitness, mental health, and self-esteem. Korea Taekwondo also trains students in life skills. Variations in the hourly rates resulted from differing participant capacity, numbers of instructors, levels of instructors, and numbers of components/programs offered.

Negotiations resulted in an average cost savings of approximately 49 percent in program hourly rates to the DOE. The Vendor Cost Price Analysis (VCPA) unit determined the vendors' pricing to be fair and reasonable based on a comparison with hourly rates for like services by vendors contracted under the same solicitation.

	Awarded Vendor	Proposed Average Hourly Rate	Negotiated Average Hourly Rate
1	Oasis Community Corporation dba Roads to Success	$278	$165
2	Newtopia Enterprise, Inc. dba Korea Taekwondo	$532	$227

The estimated annual contract amount for Oasis Community/Roads to Success was based on previous contracts' expenditures for similar services. Newtopia/Korea Taekwondo is a new vendor and the estimated annual contract amount is based on the estimated minimum amount for a requirements contract of $30,000 for student support services.

Background checks included VENDEX, the Uniform Commercial Code, the Federal Tax Lien, and the Federal Excluded Parties List System. As required, comprehensive background check was performed by DCP's Vendor Research & Price Analysis (VRPA) unit on Oasis Community/Roads to Success. No adverse findings were revealed. Both vendors listed in this RA have therefore been determined to be responsible to provide approved services.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be able to secure services via purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

Item: 15

Description:

Educational software supporting both the Common Core State Standards and the New York State Education Standards for grades Kindergarten through 12th grade.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
TO PURCHASE BOARDWORKS EDUCATIONAL SOFTWARE

Annual / Total Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$370,000 / $1,110,000	Tax Levy / Reimbursable	Three Years	None	Listing Application	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	BK Interactive LLC 174 North 11th Street Brooklyn, NY 11211

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
James McBride Chief Administrator Central Procurements Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Rachel Feinberg Chief Operating Officer Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Kay Robbins Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with BK Interactive LLC for the entire Boardworks educational software product line on a requirements basis.

DISCUSSION

BK Interactive provides comprehensive curriculum materials supporting both the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics and English Language Arts and the New York State Education Standards for Science, Social Studies, and Spanish for Kindergarten through 12th grade. The lessons are ready-made, saving teachers preparation time, and are editable, allowing for teachers to make changes to fit students' needs. Each lesson incorporates activities such as animations, virtual experiments, tools, quizzes, and games to engage and motivate students.

BK Interactive is the sole provider of Boardworks software, so a Request for Bids was not practical. BK Interactive is offering the DOE a discount of 10 percent off their software list price and has guaranteed that no customer will receive better pricing than the DOE; the prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The estimated contract amount is based on prior usage.

Background checks performed on BK Interactive included a review of VENDEX, CTS, New York State Department of Labor, and the Federal Debarment List. No significant adverse information was identified. Accordingly, the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

Item: 16

Description:

Reading Plus educational software designed to improve word recognition, vocabulary, and reading comprehension for students.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
TO PURCHASE READING PLUS EDUCATIONAL SOFTWARE

Annual / Total Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$75,000 / $525,000	Tax Levy / Reimbursable	Seven Years	None	Listing Application	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Taylor Associates Communications, Inc. 110 West Canal St., Suite 301 Winooski, VT 05404

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
James McBride Chief Administrator Central Procurements Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Rachel Feinberg Chief Operating Officer Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Kay Robbins Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Taylor Associates Communications, Inc. for the entire Reading Plus educational software product line on a requirements basis.

DISCUSSION

Reading Plus educational software provides practice work designed to improve word recognition, vocabulary, and reading comprehension for students.

Taylor Associates Communication, Inc. is the sole provider of Reading Plus software; therefore, a Request for Bids was not practical. Taylor Associates Communications is offering the DOE a discount between 10 and 20 percent off the list price of their software and has guaranteed that no customer will receive better pricing than the DOE; the prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The estimated contract amount is based on prior usage.

Background checks performed on Taylor Associates Communications, Inc. included a review of VENDEX, CTS, New York State Department of Labor, and the Federal Debarment List. No significant adverse information was identified. Accordingly, the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

Item: 17

Description:

Instructional textbooks and ancillary materials for use in classrooms.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH HUMAN KINETICS TO PROVIDE TEXTBOOKS AND ANCILLARY MATERIALS

Estimated Annual / Total Contact Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$75,000 / $525,000	Reimbursable, Tax Levy, City Council	Seven Years	None	Listing Application	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Human Kinetics 1607 N. Market Street Champaign, IL 61820

Contract Manager	Lead Contracting Officer	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Debra Schwartzman Office of School Wellness Programs Division of Operations	Kathleen Grimm Deputy Chancellor Division of Operations	Jennifer Davilla Director, Instructional Materials Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the above-referenced vendor to provide textbooks and ancillary materials to public and participating non-public schools.

DISCUSSION

Human Kinetics is the sole provider of a fitness and health curriculum that supports health, physical education, dance, and coaching instruction. These materials also support the study of physical activity and health issues to promote healthier lifestyles for students in Grades K-8. Because these materials cannot be purchased in the open market, a competitive sealed bid process is impractical.

The estimated contract amount is based on prior contract usage by various public and non-public schools.

The proposed contract requires that the prices the DOE pays be the lowest offered to any customer. As such, pricing for these materials has been determined to be fair and reasonable. In addition, DCP was able to negotiate further discounts in which, schools receive a complimentary teacher guide associated with the textbook for every 25 textbooks purchased, as well as free shipping.

A background check revealed no significant adverse information that would preclude the award of a contract. Human Kinetics' performance under a previous contract with the DOE has been satisfactory; therefore, this vendor has been determined to be responsible.