Panel for Educational Policy
Contract Agenda
April 26, 2012

Item: 1 **Description:** Closed crankcase filtration systems to control emissions in school buses.

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
E Global Solutions	$1,429,840

Item: 2 Withdrawn

Item: 3 **Description:** Public/private partnership to bring together city agencies, private funders, and CUNY to create a center that coordinates training and career development services for individuals who work with young children in New York City.

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of Portfolio Planning **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
NYC Early Childhood Professional Development Institute, CUNY	$50,000

Item: 4 **Description:** Graduate and undergraduate courses for nonpublic school teachers and administrators.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Title IIA

Division: Bureau of Nonpublic School Reimbursable Services **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
UFT – Educational Foundation, Inc.	$180,000

Item: 5 **Description:** Provision of managerial and leadership skills, strategic planning and intervention, and cross-functional management to managers, administrators and staff at CFNs and central offices.

Term: 10 months **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Reimbursable

Division: Office of the Chancellor **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Targeted Business Solutions	$197,250

Item: 6 **Description:** Automated external defibrillator management services including CPR training, equipment maintenance, assembly & installation, service calls and AED event response monitoring.

Term: 3 years **Options:** 2 one year options **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of School Health **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Emergency Skills, Inc.	$1,899,450

Item: 7 **Description:** Imagine Learning software programs for school wide use.

Term: 2 years **Options:** 1 year option **Funding:** Reimbursable (NYSSL) & Tax Levy

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Imagine Learning	$1,000,000

Item: 8 **Description:** All labor, material and supervision required and necessary to test, maintain, repair, modify, make addition to and/or install heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems, equipment, and components.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 days **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of School Facilities **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
S & W Wilson Ent., Inc.	$6,112,706

Item: 9 **Description:** All labor, material and supervision required for the repair or replacement of large air conditioning equipment and combined AC/heating equipment.

Term: 5 years **Options:** 270 day option **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of School Facilities **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Hi Tech Air Conditioning Service Inc	$2,368,204

Item: 10 **Description:** Amendment for additional services to support NYCDOE enrollment and provide fair and equitable student enrollment opportunities.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy & Capital

Division: Post Secondary Pathways **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
Vanguard Direct	$4,095,007

Item: 11 **Description:** Professional development in academics and fitness through technology for 13 schools in need of improvement in Districts 19 and 23.

Term: 2 months **Options:** None **Funding:** State Grant - Vendor Named

Division: Community School District 19 **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
New York Institute of Technology	$55,000

Item: 12 **Description:** Literacy professional development and coaches for various programs in schools, central offices and school support organizations.

Term: 5 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Various

Division: Division of Academics, Performance and Support **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
LitLife, Inc.	$30,000

Item: 13 Withdrawn

Item: 14 **Description:** Purchase gasoline for DOE vehicles through DCAS contract.

Term: 8 months **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Division of School Facilities **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Sprague Energy Corporation	$500,000

Item: 15 **Description:** Professional development and student services in support of the Perkins Title II Consortium Grant in Community School District 31 and two Manhattan high schools, Murry Bergtraum High School and High School for Health Professional and Human Services.

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** State Grant - Vendor Named

Division: Community School District 31 **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
Research Foundation CUNY/ College of Staten Island	$59,422

Item: 16 **Description:** Outside education experts to serve as lead reviewers for State-mandated school reviews, including Joint Intervention Team reviews (JITs) and School Quality Reviews (SQRs).

Term: 1 year **Options:** None **Funding:** State Grant - Vendor Named

Division: Division of Portfolio Planning **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
Cambridge Education LLC	$1,250,000

Item: 17 **Description:** Professional development in Universal Design for learning and integration through technology for SINI schools in all five boroughs.

Term: 4 months **Options:** None **Funding:** State Grant - Vendor Named

Division: Division of Portfolio Planning **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
CAST, Inc	$224,494

Item: 18 **Description:** Perform evaluation services for the implementation of the InnovateNYC: An Innovation Ecosystem for Urban School Districts.

Term: 1 year 6 months **Options:** None **Funding:** Federal Grant - Vendor Named

Division: Division of Talent, Labor & Innovation **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
NYU-The Research Alliance for New York Schools	$400,000

Item: 19 **Description:** Consulting services to the development of iZone Ecosystem.

Term: 1 year 6 months **Options:** None **Funding:** Federal Grant - Vendor Named

Division: Division of Talent, Labor & Innovation **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
IDEO	$220,000

Item: 20 **Description:** Instructional textbooks and ancillary materials for use in classrooms.

Term: 7 years **Options:** None **Funding:** Reimbursable & Tax Levy

Division: Division of Contracts and Purchasing **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
Oxford University Press, Inc.	$79,000

Item: 21 **Description:** Add on item: Web-based Distributed Scoring System that will be used to score open-ended student work.

Term: 3 years **Options:** 2 one year options **Funding:** Race to the Top & Tax Levy

Division: Division of Academics, Performance and Support **Contract Type:** Requirements

Vendor Name	Estimated Annual Amount
CTB/McGraw Hill	$4,367,471

Item: 22 **Description:** Add on item: Extension of pre-K bus contracts for summer service.

Term: 2 months **Options:** None **Funding:** Tax Levy

Division: Office of Pupil Transportation **Contract Type:** Full Value

Vendor Name	Annual Amount
Alina	$118,117
B & F Skilled	$193,239
Bobmar Transportation	$763,532
Don Thomas Buses, Inc.	$1,066,499
Fortuna Bus Company	$376,995
Golden Ring	$139,268
GVC, LTD	$1,835,317
Happy Child Transportation	$194,575
Happy Day Transit	$313,246
I & Y Transit Corp	$275,139
Iridium Services Corporation	$825,545
Iridium Services Corporation	$1,033,810
Iridium Services Corporation	$3,151,794
JEA Bus Company, Inc.	$162,810
L & M Bus Corp	$2,141,282
Liberty Transit	$112,597
MJT Bus	$139,138
P&G Transportation	$124,882
Penny Transportation	$240,051
Pinnacle Bus Service, Inc.	$393,412
Pinnacle Bus Service, Inc.	$716,763
Pride Transportation	$465,467
Prime Bus Corp	$326,212
Professional Charter Service, Inc.	$545,447
R.S. Transportation Service, Inc.	$57,846
Robin Transportation	$235,010
Round Trip Transportation	$131,526
Royal Express Line Corp	$291,309
Selby Transportation Corporation	$1,073,307
Selby Transportation Corporation	$1,812,221
Smart Pick	$431,815
Thomas Buses, Inc.	$653,019
VAN TRANS	$70,271
We Transport, Inc.	$33,558

Item: 1

Description:

Closed crankcase filtration systems to control emissions in school buses.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND USE OF CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CITYWIDE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES CONTRACT 20070015708 WITH E-GLOBAL SOLUTIONS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Estimated Annual Cost/Total Cost	Funding Source	Term of Contract	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
Annual: $1,429,840	Tax Levy	One Year	City Contract	Yes	Requirement
Vendor Names and Address		E-Global Solutions, Inc. 17 Deerfield Road Port Washington, NY 11050			

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Lisa D'Amato, Administrator	Linda Rodriguez, Director
Contract Management Unit	Transportation, Food and Facilities
Office of School Support Services (OSSS)	

PURPOSE

Approval is sought to extend use of the City of New York Department of Citywide Administrative Services (DCAS) contract 20070015708 with E-Global Solutions for one year to purchase emission retrofits known as Closed Crankcase Ventilation Systems (CCVS). The equipment will be installed on yellow buses used for student transportation. The Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) requests the extension for one year commencing January 1, 2012 through December 30, 2012. Previous approval was given to use the DCAS contract with E Global Solutions for an estimated $3,150,000 for three years ending December 31, 2011.

DISCUSSION

Local Law of the City of New York No. 61 (LL 61) mandates the use of a CCVS to reduce engine emissions in the cabin of school buses. The devices must be selected from the list of mobile devices approved as part of the diesel retrofit verified technologies listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

To be in compliance with LL61, approximately 7,000 buses of the current fleet needed to be retrofit by September 1, 2010. The Department of Education (DOE) met the majority of retrofits; however, 1,172 general education school buses equipped with Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF) could not be completed. The CCVS could not be installed because CARB indicated that if any other equipment was installed in the exhaust system or engine compartment of a bus after a CARB certified DPF had been installed, the CARB certification would be invalidated. On February 17, 2012 CARB released an Executive Order certifying that installing CCVS on buses containing the specific DPFs that are on DOE school buses merits verification. Thus, allowing the DOE to retrofit the remaining buses.

The DOE is requesting to continue using the existing DCAS contract with E-Global Solutions, which is in compliance with the requirement of this law and is an approved provider of the device with the EPA, to purchase and install the remaining CCVS equipment.

The cost of each CCVS is $845 plus a $375 installation charge. The total cost of this contract extension is $1,429,840. The DCAS contract was competitively bid, and, as such, prices are determined to be fair and reasonable. OPT has determined the vendor's performance to be satisfactory. In addition, E-Global's listing in vendex revealed no significant adverse information.

Item: 2

Description:

Withdrawn

Item: 3

Description:

Public/private partnership to bring together city agencies, private funders, and CUNY to create a center that coordinates training and career development services for individuals who work with young children in New York City.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$50,000	Tax Levy	7/1/11 - 6/30/12	None	Negotiated Service	Yes	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	Professional Development Institute at the City University of New York 101 West 31st Street, 7th Floor New York, NY 10001					

Service Requestor/Contract Manager:	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Dominique West, Director of Operations Division of Portfolio Planning	Romayne Jagessar Managing Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested on behalf of the Division of Portfolio Planning's Office of Early Childhood Education (OECE) to contract with the City University of New York Professional Development Institute (CUNY PDI) to provide training and career development materials and services to DOE personnel.

DISCUSSION

CUNY PDI is a public/private partnership founded in 2001 that brings together a range of City agencies, a consortium of private funders, and the nation's largest urban university to create a center that coordinates training and career development services for individuals who work with young children in New York City. The Institute's founding partners are the New York City Child Care and Early Education Fund, the Administration for Children's Services, the Department of Education, the Human Resources Administration, and the City University of New York.

CUNY PDI's original and ongoing mission is to serve as a common source of research services across multiple City agencies. The partnership plays a unique role in its multi-institutional setting and offers a diverse range of trainings, research, web development and materials focused on improving information available to teachers on early childhood education. Similar professional development workshops are priced in a range of $64 to $147 per staff hour, compared to CUNY PDI's average price of $65 per hour, while professional development publications range from $12.16 to $29.17, compared to CUNY PDI's price of $2.90 for comparable materials. CUNY PDI's pricing for these services may therefore be determined to be fair and reasonable.

These services have previously been performed by way of an intra-city Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) originally issued in 2004. This contract is retroactive because after the original agreement to provide services lapsed, the DOE's Office of Legal Services determined that the Department's procurement rules would no longer allow such agreements between CUNY and the DOE, and therefore advised that a contract was necessary. We have since advised CUNY PDI to apply for a Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC) for Professional Development Services for Early Childhood Educators, but because services have already been rendered, they are being procured as a Negotiated Service to expedite the contracting process.

The Mayor's Office of Contract Services exempts CUNY PDI from filing VENDEX questionnaires. A search by DCP of the federal debarment list and DOE internal sources revealed no significant adverse information. CUNY PDI has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 4

Description:

Graduate and undergraduate courses for nonpublic school teachers and administrators.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH UNITED FEDERATION OF TEACHERS EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, INC. TO PROVIDE GRADUATE AND UNDERGRADUATE COURSES FOR NONPUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHERS AND ADMINISTRATORS PURSUANT TO TITLE IIA

Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$180,000 / $900,000	Federal Reimbursable Funds, Title IIA	Five Years (9/1/12 - 8/31/17)	None	Negotiated Services	No	Not-to-Exceed

Vendor Name & Address	United Federation of Teachers Educational Foundation, Inc. (UFT) 52 Broadway New York, NY 10004

Service Requestor/ Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Caren Zayde Moncher Executive Director Bureau of Nonpublic School Reimbursable Services	Angela Edwards Director Central Office Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the United Federation of Teachers, Educational Foundation, Inc. (UFT) on behalf of the Bureau of Nonpublic Reimbursable Services for the provision of graduate and undergraduate courses for nonpublic school teachers and administrators for five years, commencing September 1, 2012, for an amount not to exceed $900,000. The current contract expires on August 31, 2012.

DISCUSSION

The Bureau of Nonpublic Schools Reimbursable Services (Bureau) seeks to provide nonpublic school educators access to the greater number and variety of graduate and undergraduate courses UFT offers to New York City public school teachers in the fall, spring and summer terms. *No Child Left Behind* (NCLB) Federal Title IIA requires that teachers receive professional development that supports the development and implementation of sustained and intensive high-quality coursework in core academic areas, to fulfill license and certification requirements.

The UFT leverages its large membership to negotiate tuition costs with individual colleges and universities in the New York City area. The three educational institutions currently offering course enrollments through the UFT are Brooklyn College, College of Mount Saint Vincent, and Touro College. The Bureau wishes to maintain this arrangement in order to comply with Title IIA's requirement that non-public school teachers and administrators receive professional development. These courses will also assist teachers in meeting the requirements for NYS Certification.

An open competitive procurement was not a cost-effective approach to meet the goals of the NCLB Title IIA professional development program. Should vendors able to provide the same quality services as those offered through the UFT become available in the future, a competitive process will be considered.

The UFT charges a $40 registration fee per student to defray clerical, administrative, and fiscal support service costs. This fee has been determined to be nominal in comparison to the cost the Bureau would incur in order to provide these same services.

A comparison of the current cost of tuition, which may vary by semester, and the UFT negotiated tuition rate at each of the participating institutions, is shown below.

Institution	Current Tuition Costs	UFT Negotiated Costs
1. Brooklyn College	$1,030	$1,030
2. The College of Mount Saint Vincent	$2,280 / $2,010	$595
3. Touro College	$1,400	$565

Brooklyn College is the only participating institution that does not extend the UFT a discount. Because it is a CUNY college, it does not have control over tuition rates and must therefore increase its tuition as mandated by the City. The College of Mount Saint Vincent and Touro College have the authority to increase tuition at their own discretion. The UFT does not increase tuition. Tuition increases have averaged less than 30 percent over the past five years.

The estimated annual amount of $180,000 is based on previous enrollment. While enrollment is open to educators in approximately 900 nonpublic schools throughout the City, it will vary annually based on budgetary considerations. As the UFT is the only provider of this service, price comparison was made using previous contacts. The UFT provides a large volume of student clientele to the contracted institutions and can therefore negotiate the lowest possible pricing. Based on the foregoing, DCP has determined that the price offered is fair and reasonable.

The Bureau has determined that UFT has maintained sufficient capacity to provide the services in the timeframes required, at competitive prices, and at the same level of quality as when previously awarded. A background check revealed no adverse information. Therefore, the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

Item: 5

Description:

Provision of managerial and leadership skills, strategic planning and intervention, and cross-functional management to managers, administrators and staff at CFNs and central offices.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EXTEND AN EXISTING CONTRACT FOR THE PROVISION OF LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT TRAINING

Estimated Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Original Procurement Method	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
Base: $1.1M Previous Extension: $263,000 This Extension: $197,250	Tax Levy and Reimbursable	Base: 3 Years (7/1/08-6/30/11) Chancellor's Extension: 1 Year (7/1/11 - 6/30/12) Requested Extension: 10 Months (7/1/12–4/30/13)	Request for Proposals	Negotiated Service	No	Require-ments

Vendor Name & Address	Targeted Business Solutions, Inc. 1075 Easton Ave, Suite 11-164 Somerset, NJ 08873

Service Requestor/ Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Kathryn Lattimer Deputy Chief Operating Officer	Angela Edwards Director, Central Office Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to extend a contract with Targeted Business Solutions, Inc. (TBS) for ten months to provide training in managerial and leadership skills to staff at all levels in Children First Networks (CFNs) and central offices. This contract originally had a term of three years and was extended via a Chancellor's Extension to June 30, 2012, when it would otherwise expire.

DISCUSSION

Through its work with various divisions of the DOE over the past nine years, TBS has developed an intimate knowledge of the vision, mission, needs, and challenges of organizational leadership in public education in New York City. TBS provides professional development in strategic planning and intervention, tactical execution of strategic plans, decision making, and cross-functional management to managers, administrators, and other staff. The purpose of TBS's work is to equip organizational leaders, central and operational teams, superintendents, principals and assistant principals with the skills necessary to support principals and teachers with resources and services to ensure that their students are college and career ready.

An additional ten-month extension is being requested to ensure continuity of services. Continued availability of this service is critical to ensuring that schools are properly prepared to open in September 2012. TBS's work will focus on strategy development, organizational capacity, professional development initiatives, and executive coaching.

Replacement contracts are not in place due to the organizational transition of the CFNs from the Division of School Support and Instruction to the Division of Academics, Performance, and Support, which delayed actions necessary to procuring a new contract. An RFP for leadership and management services will be released shortly.

On March 30, 2012, the Committee on Contracts (COC) approved this contract on the basis of the need for continuity and the fact that there are no other contracted vendors who can provide these services to Children First Networks (CFN) and Central Offices.

Pricing, service, terms, and conditions for this contract extension will remain unchanged. Since the base contract was competitively procured and prices have not changed since the commencement of the original contract, prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

DCP has reviewed the City's VENDEX files and its own procurement and performance review files, including the VCIP database, and found no significant adverse information. TBS performed satisfactorily under the prior contract, and has therefore been determined to be responsible with respect to this extension.

Item: 6

Description:

Automated external defibrillator management services including CPR training, equipment maintenance, assembly & installation, service calls and AED event response monitoring.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE SUPPORTS FOR AUTOMATED EXTERNAL DEFIBRILLATOR (AED) MANAGEMENT PROGRAM - R0886

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Options Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,899,450 / $5,698,350	Tax Levy	Three Years	Two 1-Year Optional Extension Periods	$3,798,900	Request for Proposals (RFP)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Emergency Skills, Inc. 350 Seventh Avenue, Suite 505 New York , NY 10001

Service Requestor/ Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Ava Mopper Director Office of School Health	Christopher Bennett Procurement Analyst Central Office Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Emergency Skills, Inc. (ESI) to provide defibrillator management services to public schools at an estimated annual cost of $1,899,450. This contract will replace an existing agreement that will expire on August 31, 2012.

DISCUSSION

Emergency Skills will perform various services related to the management and use of defibrillators, including programmatic consultation and management, assembly and installation, inventory management, inspections and equipment maintenance, drills, training, quality assurance, record keeping, and reporting. The contract includes the services of a medical director to provide oversight of the program. These services are required to comply with a New York State Education Law that requires at least one functional automated external defibrillator (AED) at each school site during school hours and at all school-sponsored events, including athletic events. In addition, volunteer school staff must be trained in CPR/AED usage and be present to respond to emergencies.

The RFP was advertised in the City Record, on the DOE's web site and released to the appropriate DOE Bidder's lists. Five vendors responded; this number is consistent with the response to the prior solicitation. A request for proposals (RFP) was the preferred procurement method for these services because of the extensive experience and training required.

The evaluation committee included representatives from the Office of School Health, the Office of School and Youth Development, and the Office of School Systems Support/Operations. The committee has collective expertise in the New York State PAD program, AED management and training, AED systems reporting, and technology and school systems/operations. Proposals were reviewed based on program plan (25 points), organizational capacity (25 points), demonstrated effectiveness (25 points), and price (25 Points).

Three of the five proposals received were not invited to make oral presentations. Cardiac Science Corporation's proposal was priced well outside of the competitive range. Davis Training Programs, Inc.

did not demonstrate the organizational capacity or requisite experience with PAD programs of the size and scope of the DOE's. Lifeforce Inc. did not provide evidence of experience with comparable programs.

Of the two remaining programs, Emergency University Inc.'s proposal offered blended training that combined an online module with "hands-on" training, but the committee had concerns that the online training module would be prone to interruptions or distractions that could lead to less effective training and that the technical environment in certain school communities would limit their participation. Accordingly, the committee asked that EU provide their Best and Final Offer (BAFO) based on a traditional training program plan.

Emergency Skills Inc. proposed a training method that aligns well with DOE needs and, since traditional training is their only service model, ESI's expertise with traditional "hands-on" training courses of similar size, nature, and scope gave them an advantage. ESI's BAFO was priced lower than EU's and the committee selected ESI.

The table below shows initial and BAFO pricing for all proposing vendors:

VENDOR	INITIAL PRICE	BAFO PRICE
Emergency Skills Inc. (ESI)	**$3,682,050**	**$1,899,450**
Emergency University, Inc. (EU)	$2,719,800	$2,041,800
Cardiac Science Corporation	$4,207,750	N/A
Lifeforce, Inc.	$2,101,000	N/A
Davis Training Programs, Inc.*	N/A	N/A

***Note:** Davis Training Programs, Inc. did not submit pricing data for this proposal.

It is noteworthy that the client revised the estimated usage, resulting in lower prices for both BAFOs. Moreover, when compared to the current contract, ESI provided lower prices for eight of the 13 common items for which pricing was received. Therefore, ESI's pricing has been determined to be fair and reasonable.

DCP's review of the City's VENDEX files, its own procurement files, and public records for ESI found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 7

Description:

Imagine Learning software programs for school wide use.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
TO PURCHASE THE ENTIRE LINE OF IMAGINE LEARNING SOFTWARE PROGRAMS

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Option Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,000,000 / $2,000,000	Reimbursable (NYSSL), Tax Levy	Two Years	One 1-Year Renewal	$1,000,000	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Imagine Learning Inc. 191 River Park Drive Provo, UT 84604

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
James McBride Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Domion Pontrelli

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Imagine Learning, Inc. for their line of Imagine Learning software programs. The two-year term will begin on or about April 1, 2012.

DISCUSSION

The Division of Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners has used Imagine Learning software for the past five years and over 200 schools in the DOE use the program for a variety of students.

Imagine Learning software focuses on teaching literacy and language together. Each student starts the program by taking a placement test that gathers information about the student's literacy and language needs and, as the student moves through the curriculum, the program automatically assesses the student's knowledge of the material in each lesson and adjusts the curriculum as necessary. If the student does not master the skills from a particular lesson, the program re-teaches the material. After performing well on three assessments in a row, the student can move to the next level of instruction.

Imagine Learning's program is unique among those on the market because it provides instruction in the five areas outlined by the National Reading Panel: phonics, phonemic awareness, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. The program primarily benefits four student populations: English language learners, struggling readers, students with disabilities, and students in early childhood. The program also provides support in 13 languages in addition to English.

A bid invitation was released to all vendors on the DOE's software bidders list, advertised in the City Record, and posted on the DOE website, but only one bid was received. In an effort to determine why others did not respond to the bid, DCP contacted three potential vendors. All three responded that the publisher is the manufacturer of its own product line and does not sell through traditional reseller channels, so they cannot offer the software program.

Imagine Learning offers the DOE discounts ranging from 27 to 50 percent off of their list price, depending on the specific items or bundles of items included. These discounts are consistent with those of the previous contract. The vendor has also assured the DOE that it receives "Most Favored Nation" pricing. As such, the pricing has been determined to be fair and reasonable. The estimated contract amount is based on prior years' usage.

The DCP has reviewed the City's VENDEX, Vendor CIP Report, and EPLS – Fed Debar List. No significant adverse information was revealed. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 8

Description:

All labor, material and supervision required and necessary to test, maintain, repair, modify, make addition to and/or install heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems, equipment, and components.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION – B1954 Repair of HVAC Systems

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount(s)	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$6,112,706/ $30,563,530 Plus Prevailing Wage Adjustments	Tax Levy	Five Years	One 270-Day Extension	$4,548,530	Request for Bids	No	Requirement

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Volkert Barren Program Director, Division of School Facilities	Shelley Epstein Director

Vendor Names & Addresses
S & W Wilson Ent. Inc. 100 W 9th Street Brooklyn NY 11231

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with S & W Wilson for the repair and/or replacement of heating and ventilation systems and interconnected/combined HVAC systems in schools and administrative buildings. These contracts will replace six expiring contracts.

DISCUSSION

The work on this contract includes the labor, material and supervision to test, maintain, repair, modify and/or install heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems.

A Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised in the City Record and on the DCP web site. The RFB included three aggregate classes as follows: Manhattan/Bronx (AC1), Queens, (AC2) and Brooklyn/Staten Island (AC 3). 11 bids were received for Aggregate Classes 1 and 2, and 9 bids were received for Aggregate Class 3.

All Phase Mechanical (APM), the low bidder for Aggregate Classes 1 and 2 was determined non responsible because they failed to maintain the required insurance on a previous DOE contract. Moreover, APM did not include a guilty plea to insurance fraud, attempted insurance fraud and criminal possession of a forged instrument in their Vendex submission. APM protested the rejection and the Protest Officer determined that APM's failure to comply with the terms of their contract and willful failure to disclose the investigations and pending felony charges in their 2010 Vendex support the "non responsible" finding.

Etna Prestige, the second low bidder for Aggregate Classes 1 and 2 and the first low bidder for Class 3, was rejected by the Office of Equal Opportunity for not providing their Program of Affirmative Action. Etna was determined non-responsive. No protest was received from Etna.

Bayside Refrigeration the third low bidder for Class 1 and 2 was determined to be non-responsible. In 2010, Bayside provided service on the Central Station Chiller Plant at Public School 20 in Queens. When filling the chiller, Bayside's service technicians should have used the existing fill line to prevent contamination of potable water, but instead used a hose connected to that water source, which resulted in a backflow of heat transfer fluid to various fixtures throughout the building, thereby contaminating the potable water. The DOE sent Bayside a letter requesting a corrective action plan and reimbursement of costs incurred to clean up the contamination. To date, the DOE has not received the corrective action plan or any reimbursement.

Bayside protested the non-responsibility determination, contesting it was unwarranted because their technician made a mistake and utilized the school hose pipe without realizing that it did not have backflow preventer. Regarding the absence of payment, they stated that the insurance company has been willing to pay for the clean-up, although they originally decided to wait for the resolution of all issues before making payment. They have since agreed to do so after "...completion of necessary paperwork, such as an appropriate release, from the Department of Education." Since the protest came in 53 business days after receipt of notice of the non-responsibility determination, it was found to be not timely and as such was not considered. DOE Procurement Rules grant the right to protest "within ten business days from the date the protestor knew or should have known the facts forming the basis of such protest."

S & W Wilson, the fourth low bidder for Aggregate Classes 1 and 2 and the second low bidder for Aggregate Class 3, met all the requirements and is recommended for award of those classes. A qualification meeting was held with S & W and it was determined that they have the organizational capacity to service the three classes. The DSF has had prior contracts with S & W and they have provided satisfactory service. DSF is confident they will continue to provide satisfactory service.

A review of their financials did not reveal any risk associated with doing business with S & W. A background check conducted by the Vendor Research and Price Analysis Unit (VRPA) found no adverse information. As such, it is determined that S & W is responsible.

VRPA conducted a price cost analysis and requested a voluntary price reduction for all three classes. S & W reduced their price by $113,700. Given that there were multiple bidders for each class and award is made to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder with the capacity to perform, pricing is determined to be fair and reasonable.

B1954 – Requirements Contract for Repair of HVAC Systems

Aggregate Class 1 – Manhattan/Bronx

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value/5 Years
All Phase Mechanical	$ 954,725	**$6,220,395** **$6,201,430 VPR**
Etna Prestige Technology	$ 968,433	
Bayside Refrigeration	$1,167,355	
S & W Wilson Ent Inc	$1,244,079 $1,240,286 VPR	
Thermo Hydronic Corp	$1,335,589	
AWL Industries Inc	$1,337,499	
AM Motor & Refrigeration	$1,486,347	
First Choice Mechanical	$1,507,373	
Rolando Mechanical	$1,550,491	
Best Climate Control	$1,597,340	
J & A Contractors Corp	$1,719,954	

Aggregate Class 2 – Queens

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value/5 Years
All Phase Mechanical	$2,381,456	**$15,919,090** **$15,850,985 VPR**
Etna Prestige Technology	$2,451,377	
Bayside Refrigeration	$2,950,817	
S & W Wilson Ent Inc	$3,183,818 $3,170,197 VPR	
AWL Industries Inc	$3,406,490	
Thermo Hydronic Corp	$3,413,346	
Rolando Mechanical	$3,623,391	
AM Motor & Refrigeration	$3,785,343	
First Choice Mechanical	$3,881,019	
Best Climate Control	$4,053,543	
J & A Contractors Corp	$4,402,417	

Aggregate Class 3 – Brooklyn/Richmond

Contractor Name	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value/5 Years
Etna Prestige Technology	$1,293,560	**$8,537,745** **$8,511,115 VPR**
S & W Wilson Ent Inc	$1,707,549 $1,702,223 VPR	
AWL Industries Inc	$1,817,013	
Thermo Hydronic Corp	$1,861,219	
Rolando Mechanical	$1,982,480	
AM Motor & Refrigeration	$2,061,583	
First Choice Mechanical	$2,142,148	
Best Climate Control	$2,217,474	
J & A Contractors Corp	$2,368,878	

Item: 9

Description:

All labor, material and supervision required for the repair or replacement of large air conditioning equipment and combined AC/heating equipment.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION - B1767 REPAIR OF LARGE A/C AND COMBINED A/C AND HEATING EQUIPMENT

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Option Amount(s)	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$2,368,204 / $11,841,020 Plus Prevailing Wage Adjustments	Tax Levy	Five Years	One 270-Day Extension	$1,776,153	Request for Bids (RFB)	No	Requirement

Vendor Names & Addresses	Annual Amount	Total Amount
Hi Tech Air Conditioning Service Inc. 60 Otis Street West Babylon, NY 11704	$2,368,204	$11,841,020

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Volkert Barren Program Director, Division of School Facilities	Shelley Epstein Director

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Hi Tech Air Conditioning for the labor, materials, and supervision necessary to repair or replace large air conditioning equipment, combined air conditioning and heating equipment, and related systems in schools and administrative buildings.

DISCUSSION

The work on this contract includes central and complex HVAC equipment of over 60-ton capacity, including rooftop units and pneumatic and direct digital control systems related to the equipment. It is anticipated that there will be a need to work on controls, furnaces associated with ducted air systems, heat exchangers, energy recovery devices, chillers, and condensers.

A Request for Bids (RFB) was advertised in the City Record and on the DCP web site. The RFB included three aggregate classes: Bronx/Queens, Manhattan, and Brooklyn/Staten Island. Five bids were received each for Aggregate Classes 1 and 2, and four bids were received for Aggregate Class 3.

S & W Wilson, the low bidder for Aggregate Class 1, and the second low bidder for Aggregate Class 2 and 3, was rejected for using the wrong bid blank. For background, we note that the original bid had been amended and was sent to prospective bidders, who were instructed to submit their bid using the revised bid blank, which contained various changes to the estimated quantities. Wilson protested the rejection, explaining that the original bid blank was submitted by mistake and requesting that a revised bid on the correct blanks be accepted after the deadline. The Protest Officer determined that Wilson's bid was non-responsive based on their failure to comply with material terms of the amended solicitation.

Summit Refrigeration was the second low bidder for Aggregate Class 1 and the low bidder on Aggregate Classes 2 and 3, but Summit was determined to be non-responsible based on numerous poor performance issues on existing contracts with the Office of School Food (OSF). OSF cited Summit for violations totaling $280,300 in penalties, including over 200 for late completion. Over 200

more violations are currently being disputed by Summit. Summit protested, contending that it was premature to reject their bid based solely upon the outstanding alleged violations that they are in the process of contesting.

Coincidentally, a February 28, 2012, Special Commissioner of Investigation (SCI) report revealed Summit's submission of various fraudulent documents to the DOE in order to avoid being assessed financial penalties for failing to complete repairs within the required time period. The SCI report recommended that "...the DOE stop doing business with Summit Restaurant Repairs Inc." The Protest Officer determined that the SCI findings and Summit's record of poor performance, including violations Summit did not protest, justified the non-responsibility determination.

Bayside Refrigeration, the third low bidder for Aggregate Class 1, was also determined to be non-responsible. In 2010, Bayside provided service on the Central Station Chiller Plant at Public School 20 in Queens. When filling the chiller, Bayside's service technicians should have used the existing fill line to prevent contamination of potable water, but instead used a hose connected to that water source, which resulted in a backflow of heat transfer fluid to various fixtures throughout the building, thereby contaminating the potable water. The DOE sent Bayside a letter requesting a corrective action plan and reimbursement of costs incurred to clean up the contamination. To date, the DOE has not received the corrective action plan or any reimbursement.

Bayside protested the non-responsibility determination, contesting it was unwarranted because their technician made a mistake and utilized the school hose pipe without realizing that it did not have backflow preventer. Regarding the absence of payment, they stated that the insurance company has been willing to pay for the clean-up, although they originally decided to wait for the resolution of all issues before making payment. They have since agreed to do so after "...completion of necessary paperwork, such as an appropriate release, from the Department of Education." Since the protest came in 53 business days after receipt of notice of the non-responsibility determination, it was found to be not timely and as such was not considered. DOE Procurement Rules grant the right to protest "within ten business days from the date the protestor knew or should have known the facts forming the basis of such protest."

Hi Tech, the fourth low bidder for Aggregate Class 1, and the third low bidder for Aggregate Classes 2 and 3, is recommended for award of the these classes. A qualification meeting was held with Hi Tech and it was determined they have the organizational capacity to service these classes. Hi Tech has had prior contracts with the DOE and has provided satisfactory service. DSF is confident they will continue to provide satisfactory service.

A review of the financials did not reveal any risk associated with doing business with Hi Tech. A background check conducted by the Vendor Research and Price Analysis Unit (VRPA) found no adverse information. As such, Hi Tech has been determined to be responsible.

VRPA conducted a price/cost analysis and found that pricing on this contract is approximately 24 percent higher than 2006 rates for similar items. Factors contributing to the increase are higher material costs and increases in prevailing wages. Hi Tech's pricing is the lowest offered by a responsive, responsible bidder. Because of the competitive nature of the procurement, these prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

B1767 – REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR REPAIR OF LARGE AIR CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT AND COMBINED AC/HEATING EQUIPMENT

Aggregate Class 1 – Bronx/Queens		
Contractor Name	**Annual Contract Value**	**Total Contract Value / 5 Years**
S & W Wilson Ent Inc. (rejected)	$604,922	**$3,594,800**
Summit Restaurant Repairs (rejected)	$654,770	
Bayside Refrigeration Inc. (rejected)	$701,000	
Hi Tech Air Conditioning	**$718,960**	
AWL Industries Inc	$734,765	

Aggregate Class 2 - Manhattan		
Contractor Name	**Annual Contract Value**	**Total Contract Value / 5 Years**
Summit Restaurant Repairs (rejected)	$784,948	**$4,941,300**
S & W Wilson Ent Inc. (rejected)	$843,477	
Hi Tech Air Conditioning	**$988,260**	
AWL Industries Inc.	$1,011,149	
Bayside Refrigeration Inc.	$1,147,500	

Aggregate Class 3 – Brooklyn/Richmond		
Contractor Name	**Annual Contract Value**	**Total Contract Value / 5 Years**
Summit Restaurant Repairs (rejected)	$531,403	**$3,304,920**
S & W Wilson Ent Inc. (rejected)	$572,425	
Hi Tech Air Conditioning	**$660,984**	
AWL Industries Inc.	$675,553	

Item: 10

Description:

Amendment for additional services to support NYCDOE enrollment and provide fair and equitable student enrollment opportunities.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
Amendment to Vanguard Direct Contract for Tools to Managing Enrollment Processes

Contract Cost	Funding Source	Contract Term	Procurement Method	Is Amendment Retroactive?	Contract Type
Original: 9,723,472 Current modified amount: $10,537,092 Amendment: $ 4,095,007 New amended total: $14,632,099	Tax Levy & Capital	7/1/08 – 7/31/13) (unchanged)	Amendment	Yes	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	Vanguard Direct 519 8th Avenue, 23rd Floor New York, New York 10118				

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Eduardo Contreras	James McBride
Portfolio Planning	Central Procurements

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The Chancellor's approval is sought to enter into an amendment for $4.095 million to Vanguard Direct's full value contract to support NYCDOE enrollment processes and provide fair and equitable student enrollment opportunities.

DISCUSSION

Originally procured by way of a RFP in 2008, this contract provides for the development and maintenance of a data management system and algorithm to help assign students to DOE school programs, along with various supports for the administration of DOE enrollment processes. The contract has two significant and distinct parts: (1) Development of a computer system called the Student Enrollment and Assignment Tool System (SEATS) for the management of student enrollment processes, hosting of the system and (2) Printing and distribution of student enrollment forms, retrieval of completed forms and the entering into SEATS application data and choice information. The enrollment system will be operational in phases starting in September 2008 and completed by June 1, 2009. The base contract is for five years plus two renewal options, each for two years. While the contract provides for fixed prices for system development, maintenance, printing, shipping, and data entry services costs are billed based on usage.

The system's original architecture was developed under the assumption that all enrollment processes would follow the same pattern: a centralized citywide process where students submitted a single application and received a single offer. Since its inception, however, the scope of the system, now called the Student Enrollment Management System (SEMS), has been expanded to include students for whom enrollment processes didn't exist in 2008. The system has also been enhanced and altered considerably in response to parent, school and CEC demands. These additional requirements are discussed below:

#	Category	Details	Total
1	**Determining Eligibility and Creating Customized Applications**	The base contract assumed that applications would include only biographical data coming from ATS; an assumption that was consistent with enrollment procedures at the time. Applications have since been customized to include additional information such as disabilities, students' zoned schools and test results. These additional data elements are used to generate applications pre-populated with student information and applicable choices. These applications can be printed directly from SEMS or accessed via the online system, reducing the burden on parents who no longer need to reference multiple school directories to find schools to which they may apply. Doing so required additional programming to leverage data sources not contemplated in the RFP. The additional interfaces include the Department's CAP and web GIS systems for retrieval of IEP data and the zone of a child.	$763,346
2	**Pre-Kindergarten and Gifted & Talented (G&T) Enrollment Processes**	Centralized Pre-Kindergarten and Gifted & Talented Admissions were not contemplated prior to the development of SEMS. As a result, there were many policy decisions, implementation challenges and locally-developed "nuances" that were not contemplated in the development of the RFP. Among these was the need to prioritize students in the match process who have a sibling enrolled at the school to which they are applying. Because sibling data is not captured in the Department's student information system (ATS), we needed to create the ability to capture sibling information in SEMS. We also needed to create reports for schools to review and verify sibling relationships and enrollment status, and to adjust the matching algorithm to utilize this type of priority, as needed.	$192,757
3	**Kindergarten Enrollment Process**	The system was architected under the assumption that if a Kindergarten enrollment process would be run, it would be administered as a single citywide process where students would submit one application and receive only one offer. However, in response to the requests of several CECs and school administrators, the Department expanded SEMS development to administer discrete kindergarten admissions lotteries for Community School District 1, select schools in Districts 3, 25 and 29, and the Michael J. Petrides School in District 31. For the remaining non G&T schools, the system was enhanced so that each school could enter their own applications and manage their own waitlist. All students can now receive multiple offers, allowing families the ability to have options other than their zoned school.	$480,135
4	**Middle School Enrollment Processes**	The RFP envisioned a single, citywide middle school enrollment process that would be similar to the high school process that had been administered since 2004. At the urging of schools, CECs, and communities, however, we developed several discrete middle school choice processes similar in nature to those implemented by the Regional and Community School District offices. The changes customized applications so that schools could see student choice rankings and students could receive multiple offers.	$196,909
5	**Enhancements for submitting and reviewing Applications**	Based on the feedback of school guidance counselors, improvements were made to assist in the accuracy of data entry and reduce the amount of time needed to review applicant information. This included the creation of custom application alerts and an application receipt for parents that displayed these alerts and messages (e.g. distance alert, guaranteed options, reminders to attend an audition). High school applicant lists were enhanced so that staff could review and rank applicants through an Excel download/upload feature, and specific round snapshots were created so schools could track recruitment and match outcomes by round. International schools were given a new option to review their applicants by dominant and non dominant home language, and specialized high schools were given access to the full list of students who received an offer to their school along with the outcomes of the Specialized High Schools Admissions Test.	$668,801
6	**Multiple Rounds**	As had been the case in the past, application and admission rounds were initially scoped out to be sequential and non-overlapping, with distinct applicant groups. However, the High School application process was later customized so that the process could be run with three rounds, with an overlapping round for appeals. The different rounds were also customized so that only a subset of first round applicants received an offer and schools could transact only on these students. Accordingly, student response and applicant list behavior had to change, and appeals had to be adjusted so that only those students with an offer could appeal. During the 2011-2012 school year, the round structure changed with a consolidation into 3 sequential rounds. This allowed parents of 70,000 families to receive their offers one month earlier and reduced process-related work for school staff.	$315,124

7	**Private and Parochial School Access**	In response to requests from families of students attending private/parochial schools, these schools were programmed into SEMS. In order to give these non-DOE users access to SEMS, features were developed so that private/parochial schools would only have access to certain functions. Also, since these students are not in ATS, private schools needed the ability to enter biographical data including address, exam/course marks and attendance. Additionally, to reduce long-term costs associated with printing, certain customized documents were made available in the system.	$142,549
8	**School Phase-Out and Closure-related Enrollment Processes**	A 2010 court order that prevented the phasing out of 14 failing high schools came too late in the school year to allow students to revise their applications. Accordingly, DOE had to re-run the high school matching algorithm to include these schools. A unique "school-closure" enrollment process also was created as a mechanism to provide school choice to students enrolled in Ross Global Academy, a Charter School slated for closure at the end of the 2011-2012 school year.	$38,374
9	**Request for Testing (RFT)**	Enrollment processes at each grade level include the need for some students to register for an exam, the results of which may convey eligibility for certain programs. While the contract called for a screen where schools could register students who wished to test, scheduling had to be done outside the system and then uploaded to create test tickets. The request for testing (RFT) was later built into SEMS as customized applications that would lessen the burden for families and schools. RFT applications created unique challenges by area/grade as follows: ▪ For G&T admissions, parents can submit a RFT using an online application that is pre-loaded with "slots" by age, home language and borough. The application allows parents to register in a manner that prevents test-site overcrowding. The application emails test tickets to parents who applied online. ▪ For MS choice, a RFT application was generated for eligible students wishing to test. Two separate screens were created to track students submitting an RFT for the OLSAT and District 21 Talent programs. To reduce the burden on school staffs, these screens were consolidated into one that displayed only eligible students but would generate two separate test tickets for students. ▪ For HS admissions the RFT screen uses testing accommodations information from ATS for students with special needs. Scheduling for testers was managed by each of the specialized high schools on a screen where they could manage appointments. Once completed, SEMS generates test tickets for students with the time and location of their appointment and any special accommodations needed.	$509,130
10	**Administrator Access**	The prior system did not include administrator access/functionality for process managers to adjust access to the individual processes. These controls were needed so that administrators could make these changes through a user interface, thereby reducing DOE dependency on back-end programming by Vanguard. These controls were developed as the system expanded in scope and complexity, and was seen as a means to limit costs by allowing administrators to handle changes on their own. Some of the notable features made available to DOE process managers were the ability to: • access certain lists/documents and customize the applicant list for schools, • control the non DOE email domains for which accounts can be created, • adjust how schools/programs are defined in the system, and • create test accounts and role- and process-specific messages.	$787,882
Total			**$ 4,095,007**

Pricing for the work has been determined fair and reasonable in that the unit prices (hourly rates) are the same as those in the base contract, and pricing for such services have increased in the marketplace since the base contract was let.

With respect to responsibility, a background check appropriate for the circumstances has been performed, and for example VENDEX, federal debarment, and our own records have been checked and the vendor has been determined to be responsible.

Item: 11

Description:

Professional development in academics and fitness through technology for 13 schools in need of improvement in Districts 19 and 23.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO AMEND A CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN TECHNOLOGY

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
Original contract: $168,750; Contract increase: $55,000; Total: $223,750	NYSED	6/30/09 – 8/31/12	None	Contract Changes, per Section 4-08(b)(2) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	No	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	New York Institute of Technology (NYIT) 300 Carleton Avenue Central Islip, NY 11722 Attention: Darren Faccilonga					

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Endrhis Santana Operations Analyst	Sophia Hargraves Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to amend a contract with the New York Institute of Technology (NYIT) to provide professional development in academics and fitness through technology for 13 schools in need of improvement (SINI) in Community School Districts 19 and 23. This expansion of services is made possible by additional funding received from the State.

DISCUSSION

School-based programs that support physical fitness, good nutrition, positive youth activities, and academic achievement are necessary to combatting obesity and violent behavior. Using its *Fitting it All Together* program, NYIT provides professional development and on-site assistance in developing health and nutrition plans, utilizing gaming platforms to teach statistics and probability, providing access to various distance learning resources, teaching numeracy and math through sports, and creating lesson plans using free open-source materials. By assisting schools in moderating the Verizon Foundation's *Thinkfinity* environment, NYIT also enables teachers to share and review standards-based lessons with colleagues in their district, the City, and the State.

The original contract provided for one year (7/1/09 - 6/30/10) of professional development in academics and fitness through technology for staff in Districts 19 and 23 costing $56,250. Two one-year renewal options were exercised to extend its term through 6/30/12. NYSED added $25,000 to the latter extension for the purchase of additional professional development workshops. This amendment further extends the contract until 8/31/12, using additional NYSED funding in the amount of $30,000 to fund a two-week summer institute and an additional 20 days of in-classroom support, in which NYIT will work with teachers and students to complete and upload their projects.

The grantor's program is a Title IID grant. A contract for these services is necessary because the contract is funded by a grant, and the grant award specifically named this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

DCP reviewed the vendor's background in the VENDEX system and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Pricing for the contracted services was established by the grantor in the grant award.

Item: 12

Description:

Literacy professional development and coaches for various programs in schools, central offices and school support organizations.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN LITERACY (R0688) RA #5

Estimated Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$30,000 / $150,000	Various, including Tax Levy & Reimbursable Funds	Five Years	None	Multiple Task Award Contract (MTAC)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	LitLife, Inc. 33 Sheldon Place, PO Box 450 Hastings-on-Hudson, NY 10706	Awarded Component
		1 and 2

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Gregg Betheil Executive Director, Office of School Programs & Partnerships Division of Academics, Performance and Support	Joy Gentolia Director, Instructional Service Procurement

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with LitLife, Inc. for literacy professional development services system-wide. These services will be provided at the discretion of participating schools.

DISCUSSION

This is the fifth award proposed for comprehensive professional development services in support of literacy programs for schools, central offices, and school support organizations.

Vendors were asked to submit proposals for one or more of the following components:

- Component 1 – Professional Development Services in Literacy
- Component 2 – Literacy Coaches

An evaluation committee, consisting of one former assistant principal who is now director of STEM Partnerships and Programs and two Project Directors from school districts, scored proposals according to the following criteria: program plan (25 points), demonstrated effectiveness (25 points), organizational capacity (25 points), and price (25 points). To receive recommendation for award, proposers needed to demonstrate a strong capacity to provide services to schools and a clear plan for implementing those services.

Of 35 proposing vendors, 20 are under contract for these services.

LitLife offers professional development and literacy coaching programs designed to improve student engagement and critical thinking skills, and teachers' and administrators' ability to create and implement curriculum planning techniques and formative assessments in grades K-8. Their literacy PD services are offered as multiple-day sessions of customized workshops. Topics include teacher observations, curriculum development, staff training, parent education, and assessment analysis. Rates for workshops are $187 per hour for 8-hour sessions that include up to 20 participants. The fee

includes small-group and one-on-one teacher interviews, informal observations, and pre-conference meetings with administrators.

Literacy coaching programs offered by LitLife are structured as multiple, customizable day sessions, which include face-to-face coaching for up to five teachers at $187 per hour. LitLife staff will work alongside teachers and school staff to ensure that students gain grade-appropriate reading and writing skills. LitLife's coaches have extensive school-based experience and hold advanced degrees in education.

LitLife's hourly rate for both on-site professional development and literacy coaches is below the average rates charged by other awardees from the same solicitation. Based on this comparison, LitLife's rates have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The estimated annual contract amount of $30,000 is based on prior expenditures through a previous contract under which LitLife provided these services.

Contracts for these services are necessary because the DOE does not possess the personnel required to provide these services.

A background check of LitLife, Inc., which included the Excluded Parties List, the Uniform Commercial Code Lien Search, the VENDEX Advice of Caution, and the Vendor Watch List, revealed no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

The MTAC process is the preferred procurement method as this process allows the DOE to award contracts for similar services to multiple vendors in order to meet demand for such services and to offer a choice among vendors.

As a part of the MTAC process, all service requests over $25,000 must go through a competitive process for procurement of services. Users will be required to create a scope of services and conduct a mini-solicitation process among the awarded vendors in their component areas. Vendors will be required to provide a statement of work and pricing based on their contracted services, which will be evaluated and scored by the user. Upon completion of this mini-solicitation process, schools will be able to secure services via a purchase order. This process is to ensure competition among the large number of vendors who have been awarded contracts as a result of this procurement. Future RAs for these services will be submitted in batches as new providers are presented for award of contracts.

Item # 13

Description:

Withdrawn

Item: 14

Description:

Purchase gasoline for DOE vehicles through DCAS contract.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION
TO ACCESS THE EXTENSION OF NYC DCAS CONTRACT TO PURCHASE GASOLINE

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$500,000 Estimated Usage - Variable Price Contract	Tax Levy	10/28/11 - 6/29/12	N/A	DCAS Competitive Bid	Yes	Extension of Requirement

Vendor Name & Address	Sprague Energy Corporation 4 New King Street White Plains NY 10604 500 Kingsland Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11222

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Frank Borowiec Director, Facilities Management Division of School Facilities (DSF)	Shelley Epstein

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to access the extension of the NYC Department of Citywide Administrative Services (DCAS) contract with Sprague Energy Corporation for the purchase of gasoline. The estimated amount of $500,000 for eight months is based on past usage.

DISCUSSION

The Department has used DCAS contracts to purchase gasoline for at least 15 years, allowing the Division of School Facilities (DSF) to share in the savings achieved by combining the DOE's volume with that of other city agencies. This contract provides gasoline for DOE vehicles when staff travels to conduct official business. The DOE is named in the DCAS bid document as a user of the contract.

The contract would have expired June 30, 2011 but was extended through October 28, 2011 and further extended until June 29, 2012. It is not in the best interests of the DOE to bid these contracts separately, as the DOE benefits from the lower costs through aggregate purchases made possible by the DCAS contract.

DCAS procured this commodity through a competitive solicitation. Two bids were received and award was made to the lowest bidder. A background check found no significant adverse information on the vendor. DCAS determined Sprague to be a responsible bidder.

This is a variable price contract and consists of two parts: a base price and a differential. Pricing is based on the Platts Index and changes weekly. The vendor's differential is added to the base price to determine the final price paid under the contract. For example, the average price that consumers paid for regular unleaded gasoline at the pump for March 2012 was $3.990 and the contract price was $3.596.

Given the competitive nature of this procurement, these prices have been determined to be fair and reasonable.

Item: 15

Description:

Professional development and student services in support of the Perkins Title II Consortium Grant in Community School District 31 and two Manhattan high schools, Murry Bergtraum High School and High School for Health Professional and Human Services.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND STUDENT SERVICES IN SUPPORT OF A PERKINS TITLE II CONSORTIUM GRANT

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$59,422	NYSED	7/1/11 – 6/30/12	None	Vendor Named in Grant, Per Section 1-03(b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	Yes	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	Research Foundation of CUNY/College of Staten Island Discovery Institute 2800 Victory Blvd – A309 Staten Island, New York 10314 Attention: Peter M. Takvorian					

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Patricia M. Payne Senior Grants Officer	Sophia Hargraves Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the Research Foundation of CUNY/ College of Staten Island Discovery Institute (CSI DI) to provide professional development and student services in support of the Perkins Title II Consortium Grant in Community School District 31 and two high schools, Murry Bergtraum High School and High School for Health Professional and Human Services, both in Manhattan.

DISCUSSION

The medical Technology Tech Prep program is a collaborative initiative between the College of Staten Island Discovery Institute (CSI DI) and the DOE, which has been funded by the Perkins Title II Consortium Grant.

The program was developed in association with local health care industry partners to alleviate personnel shortages and to increase student interest in biology and related sciences. CSI DI's Bio-Medical Technologies career program offers students entry into high-skills, high-paying, non-traditional health-related careers, such as biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, and dental technologies.

CSI DI will provide professional development for teachers, administrators, counselors, and grade advisers, enabling them to provide information to students regarding career choices. Teacher professional development will focus on helping students enter degree programs without remediation. In addition, CSI DI will provide dropout prevention and re-entry services, along with entry-level college credit courses for high school juniors and seniors.

The contract is retroactive because of the late release of grant award letters from the New York State Education Department.

A contract for these services is necessary because the contract is funded by a grant, and the grant award specifically named this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

The Contract Manager's office has experience with the vendor providing these services and confirms that it performed satisfactorily. DCP reviewed the vendor's background in the VENDEX system and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

The price for services was established by the grantor in the grant award.

Item: 16

Description:

Outside education experts to serve as lead reviewers for State-mandated school reviews, including Joint Intervention Team reviews (JITs) and School Quality Reviews (SQRs).

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR SCHOOL TURNAROUND/TRANSFORMATION CONSULTING SERVICES

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$1,250,000	NYSED	9/1/11-8/31/12	None	Vendor Named in Grant, Per Section 1-03(b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	Yes	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	Cambridge Education, LLC 400 Blue Hill Drive, Suite 100 Westwood, MA 02090					

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Melissa Torres Division of Portfolio Planning	Maxine Needle Director of Grants

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Cambridge Education to provide outside education experts to serve as lead reviewers for State-mandated school reviews, including Joint Intervention Team reviews (JITs) and School Quality Reviews (SQRs).

DISCUSSION

Under *No Child Left Behind* legislation, Title I public schools in New York City that have been designated as "Schools in Need of Improvement" (SINI) will be subject to State-sanctioned interventions. At present, there are 20 New York City schools undergoing a JIT and 343 schools undergoing an SQR. Each school will be assigned a review team to assist with planning and restructuring requirements. Review teams must contain an outside educational expert (OEE) with proven experience in school turnaround, a New York State Education Department representative, and a New York City district representative. Cambridge staff will serve as the OEE for these reviews, providing expertise in school organization, leadership, curriculum content, assessment, instruction, and special education, among other topics.

As the teams' lead reviewer, Cambridge will coordinate roles and responsibilities for each member of the review teams. The vendor will also gather critical information by reviewing school documents, conducting structured walk-throughs and classroom observations, attending scheduled meetings (grade level, curriculum, special education, guidance, administrative), and conducting interviews and focus meetings with administrators, teachers, parents, students, and other staff. Finally, Cambridge staff will collaborate with other members of the review teams to summarize key findings and prepare recommendations and final reports.

The program is funded through a Title I School Improvement Section 1003(a) Grant that names Cambridge as the vendor. A contract for these services is necessary because the contract is funded by a grant, and the grant award specifically named this vendor for the amount stated above. To have the services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

This contract is retroactive because NYSED did not release the grant funds to the DOE until March 2012.

DCP's Vendor Research and Price Analysis (VRPA) Unit reviewed information regarding the vendor's background and found that two former DOE employees are currently employed by Cambridge. Santiago Taveras, the former Deputy Chancellor for Community Engagement with the DOE, and Elizabeth Almonte, formerly the Chief of Staff for the Division of Community Engagement at the DOE, each left the DOE's employ in 2011 to join Cambridge. Upon a review of their job descriptions, which Cambridge indicates will exclude any work on the vendor's DOE projects, the DOE Ethics Officer has advised that there is no need for a conflicts waiver. The vendor has been advised to update its VENDEX file to include the information about these employees' former employment with the DOE.

No significant adverse information was revealed. Moreover, the contract manager's office has experience with the vendor providing these services and confirms that they were performed satisfactorily. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Pricing for the contract services was established by the grantor in the grant award.

Item: 17

Description:

Professional development in Universal Design for learning and integration through technology for SINI schools in all five boroughs.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT USING UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$224,494	NYSED	05/01/12-08/31/12	None	Vendor Named in Grant, Per Section 1-03 (b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	No	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	Centre for Applied Special Technology (CAST), Inc. 40 Harvard Mills Square, #3 Wakefield, MA 01880 Attention: Grace J. Meo					

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Melissa Torres Director, School Improvement Grant and Fiscal Management Division of Portfolio Planning	Sophia Hargraves Procurement Analyst

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with Centre for Applied Special Technology (CAST), Inc. to improve learning opportunities and outcomes for all students using the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) curriculum development tool and its integration through technology via professional development in SINI schools in all five boroughs.

DISCUSSION

CAST will plan with NYC school leaders, to develop, design and write curriculum maps that are aligned to the Common Core Learning Standards (CCLS) and apply Universal Design for Learning (UDL) as a framework for development. UDL is a set of principles for the development of curriculum that can be customized and adjusted for individual students' needs. They will develop background knowledge about current curriculum mapping processes and create options on how instruction is presented, how students express their ideas, and how teachers engage students in their learning, instruction can be customized and adjusted to meet individual student needs.

CAST will provide 60 middle school teachers with eight professional development workshops focused on the current curriculum mapping process and the DOE's middle school English Language Arts curriculum.

The grantor's program is funded under Title I 1003(a) Schools In Need of Improvement (SINI) grant. A contract for these services is necessary because the contract is funded by a grant, and the grant award specifically named this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

DCP reviewed the vendor's background in the VENDEX system and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Pricing for the contracted services was established by the grantor in the grant award.

Item: 18

Description:

Perform evaluation services for the implementation of the InnovateNYC: An Innovation Ecosystem for Urban School Districts.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR EVALUATION SERVICES FOR THE INNOVATENYC INNOVATION ECOSYSTEM

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$600,000	USDOE	7/01/12-12/31/14	None	Vendor Named in Grant, Per Section 1-03 (b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	No	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	NYU-The Research Alliance for NYC Schools 285 Mercer Street, Floor 3 New York, NY 10003 Attention: Thomas W. Gold, Ph.D.					

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Troy Fischer, Senior Director, Innovation	Maxine Needle Director of Grants

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with NYU-The Research Alliance for NYC Schools to provide evaluation services for the InnovateNYC Innovation Ecosystem.

DISCUSSION

The InnovateNYC Innovation Ecosystem is a network of schools, instructional designers, and investors who will collaborate to help students overcome learning challenges that inhibit their success in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) courses.

In keeping with the goals of InnovateNYC, the Research Alliance for New York City schools has designed an evaluation that will provide information about the ecosystem procurement process and evidence regarding the impact of the learning solutions that schools employ and procure. Research Alliance for NYC Schools will conduct their evaluation in two stages.

Stage I will consist of a three-year study that will document the Innovation Ecosystem to understand how well the Ecosystem pipeline works. It will include:

- Interviews with DOE officials, selected school staff, selected developer and crowd-sourcing staff, and selected investors;
- Observations of key meetings and discussions involving key participants; and
- Application of the documentation study results to the finalization of the design of the Stage II impact study.

Stage II will consist of a two-year impact study that will provide:

- Documentation of Ecosystem support and maintenance requirements;
- Impact analysis of select innovations, including:
  - random assignment design and implementation.
  - student survey administration and data entry.
  - survey and test score data processing.
  - data analysis.
  - write-up and integration into mini-report.

The grantor's program is an iZone Ecosystem grant. A contract for these services is necessary because the contract is funded by a grant, and the grant award specifically named this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

DCP reviewed the vendor's background in VENDEX and found that NYU was charged under the False Claims Act with submitting claims for services that were not performed at a Veterans Administration Medical Center. This case is pending. Another complaint was filed against NYU, alleging a scheme to defraud the federal government and other governmental entities in connection with the funding of graduate medical education. This claim has been withdrawn by the plaintiff. The vendor has submitted information indicating that these investigations have been settled and/or resolved.

The contract manager's office has experience with NYU-The Research Alliance for NYC Schools and confirms that they have performed satisfactorily on previous contracts.

Pricing for the contracted services was established by the grantor in the grant award.

Item: 19

Description:

Consulting services to the development of iZone Ecosystem.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR CONSULTING SERVICES

Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$220,000/ $330,000	USDOE	18 months about 7/01/12 - 12/31/14	None	Vendor Named in Grant, Per Section 1-03 (b) of DOE Procurement Policy & Procedures	No	Full Value
Vendor Name & Address	IDEO LLC 28 Mercer Street, 2nd Floor New York, NY 10013 Attention: Duane Bray					

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Troy Fischer Senior Director, Innovation	Maxine Needle Director of Grants

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with IDEO LLC to provide consulting services for the iZone's Innovation Ecosystem. IDEO will identify, prioritize, and solicit innovative instructional methods and resources to address students' learning challenges in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) courses.

DISCUSSION

The DOE received a Federal i3 (Investing in Innovation) grant to develop and evaluate iZone's Innovation Ecosystem. Innovation Ecosystem is a network of schools, instructional designers, and investors who will collaborate to help students overcome specific challenges that inhibit their success in STEM courses. The Ecosystem improves the exchange of information between schools those individuals and institutions that design and fund the development of innovative methods and resources to meet their needs.

To create the Ecosystem, the DOE has partnered with a variety of organizations, including a consortium of companies that collaborate to develop innovative instructional methods and resources. IDEO, the lead member of the consortium, is a design consultant that works across a range of industries. Other consortium members include the Games for Learning Institute (G4LI), a multi-disciplinary research center housed within NYU that focuses on the science behind digital learning, and the Center on Reinventing Public Education (CRPE), which engages in independent research and policy analysis on K-12 public education reform issues and is based at the University of Washington.

As consortium leader, IDEO will convene the consortium's instructional leaders, industry leaders, instructional developers, and subject matter experts to design and develop a process for identifying, piloting, and evaluating innovative instructional methods and resources. Collectively, the consortium will focus on prototyping the Ecosystem itself over a series of quarterly workshops during the first year of the grant. These workshops will focus on 1) defining the elements of the Ecosystem itself and how it works; 2) determining how learning gaps within DOE schools may be translated into product briefs for external partners (educational content providers, start-ups and entrepreneurs, publishers, etc.); 3) determining how external partners are provided with incentives and engaged to participate; and 4) defining the process for selecting learning products.

The grantor's program is an iZone Ecosystem grant. A contract for these services is necessary because the contract is funded by a grant, and the grant award specifically named this vendor for the amount stated above. To have these services provided by another party would be contrary to the grant.

DCP reviewed the vendor's background in the VENDEX system and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Pricing for the contracted services was established by the grantor in the grant award.

Item: 20

Description:

Instructional textbooks and ancillary materials for use in classrooms.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE TEXTBOOKS AND ANCILLARY MATERIALS

Estimated Annual/Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
$79,000 / $553,000	Reimbursable & Tax Levy	Seven Years	None	Listing Application	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts and Purchasing Contact
Jennifer Davilla Director, Instructional Materials Unit Division of Contracts and Purchasing	Susan Dick-McKeon Chief Administrator, School Based Procurement Division of Contracts & Purchasing

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with the above-referenced vendor to provide textbooks and ancillary materials.

DISCUSSION

Oxford University Press is the sole publisher of various textbooks and ancillary materials. These materials include textbooks for grades K-12 that cover grammar, reading, writing, math, and science. Oxford also publishes supplemental materials, including workbooks that assist with ELL/ESL programs. Because these materials cannot be purchased in the open market, a competitive sealed bid process is impractical.

The vendor's "Most Favored Customer" contract clause ensures that no other institution, agency, school district, municipality, or organization will receive better pricing than that being offered to the DOE. Prices have therefore been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The contract amount established for the vendor is based on the prior contract's expenditures.

A background check conducted on Oxford University Press included the Uniform Commercial Code, Excluded Parties List, and VENDEX, and found no significant adverse information. The vendor has therefore been determined to be responsible.

Item: 21

Description:

Add on item: Web-based Distributed Scoring System that will be used to score open-ended student work.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE A DISTRIBUTED ASSESSMENT SCORING SYSTEM - R0910

Estimated Annual / Total Contract Amount	Funding Source	Contract Term	Options	Estimated Annual Options Amount	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive?	Contract Type
Year 1: $4,367,471 Year 2: $2,698,858 Year 3: $2,612,187 Total: $9,678,516	Race to the Top	Three Years	Two 1-Year Optional Extension Periods	$2,612,187 (Tax Levy funding)	Request for Proposals (RFP)	No	Requirements

Vendor Name & Address	CTB / McGraw-Hill 20 Ryan Ranch Road Monterey, CA 93940

Service Requestor / Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Lisa Goldschmidt Division of Academics, Performance and Support (DAPS)	Paul Simms Central Office Procurements

PURPOSE

Authorization is requested to contract with CTB/McGraw-Hill (CTB) to provide a web-based Distributed Scoring System (DSS) that will be used to score open-ended student work. The contract term will be three years, commencing on or about May 1, 2012, with two options to extend for one year each.

DISCUSSION

Revisions to New York State Education Law prohibit teachers from scoring their own students' exams. In order to organize Regents exam scoring so that teachers do not score their own students' tests, the DOE needs an online Distributed Scoring System (DSS) to enable the distribution of student responses to teachers across the city for scoring. An online system will eliminate some of the burden placed upon the in the current manual distributed scoring method. In particular for the Regents examinations, this will ensure that teachers with the appropriate content expertise score the high school exams. The DSS technology along with other human resources will direct student work to qualified scorers, facilitate the collection, cutting and scanning of student test booklets, and allow teachers to score the scanned images online. The DSS will enable compliance with the new law while improving efficiency and accuracy in the scoring process.

Scoring for the Grade 3-8 state test is currently done in accordance with state guidelines through a manual off-line process that is too costly and labor intensive to be extended to all other tests. New York State has indicated that state examinations will be realigned to reflect the demands of new Common Core Learning Standards over the course of the next three years, and online distributed scoring is critical for the accomplishment of the DOE's Race to the Top goals regarding Common Core aligned assessments. Whereas previous assessments included a majority of multiple choice questions that can be scored by machine, Common Core aligned assessments, such as the new Regents tests, will include more essays and open ended student responses which require a teacher to score them.

These services are being contracted because the DOE does not have the necessary technology or related resources to meet the program's objectives. A Request for Proposals (RFP) was the preferred method of procurement due to the qualitative variance of the available systems.

The RFP was advertised in the City Record and on the DOE web site. Assessment technology service providers on the DOE bidders list were also notified via e-mail and five proposals were received. A proposal from Scantron Corporation did not meet the RFP's minimum requirements because it did not include a proposal for providing all the required services or any pricing. Scantron was therefore notified in writing that their proposal was not responsive; no protest was received.

The evaluation committee included four representatives from the Division of Academics Performance and Support (DAPS), including a former teacher experienced in running grades 3-8, Regents and other state testing programs; a project manager for electronic distributed scoring for NYC Charter Schools; a manager of data operations experienced in working directly with DOE source systems, such as ATS, STARS and Galaxy; and a program manager of DOE's pilot electronic DSS. A fifth evaluator, representing DOE Product Development, was a former teacher experienced in educational technology systems integration. The committee was supplemented by support from the Division of Instructional and Information Technology (DIIT) through a former math teacher and author of technical publications on data network design, who advised the committee on how to evaluate proposer compliance with the DOE's technology requirements for web-based applications. Proposals were evaluated based on: program plan, organizational capacity, demonstrated effectiveness, and price, with each criterion weighted at 25 percent.

The committee determined that only proposals from CTB and NCS Pearson (Pearson) presented a comprehensive plan to address program requirements in a timely manner and at a comparative price. Accordingly, only these two proposals were considered to be in the competitive range.

Whereas CTB's DSS application includes a fully web-based scorer application and a separate client-server scorer administration application, Pearson's DSS is fully web-based and offers all end-user training online. However, CTB committed to transition to a single web-based application by November 2012, provided work starts by May 1, 2012. Moreover, CTB will undertake all scorer administration tasks until the scorer administrator application is fully web-based, which will relieve DOE staff of the training, time, and resources involved.

The committee determined that the near-term advantages achieved from Pearson's proposal did not warrant its significantly higher cost. The committee also found that CTB's transition schedule and product roadmap were satisfactory, and that CTB is well equipped to deliver services on the anticipated timeline and scale.

The DOE plans to use online DSS for scoring Regents examinations during the contract term. The RFP includes an option for the DOE to also use the DSS for new assessments which the New York State Education Department may introduce during the contract term, including grade 6-8 Science and Social Studies, grade 9-10 English, and Local assessments. The RFP also includes an option to use the DSS for the existing grade 3-8 English Language Arts and mathematics state tests, as well as Periodic formative assessments. The DOE may use the DSS to score these additional assessments, depending on program needs and budget availability.

The DOE will use Race to the Top (RTTT) funds to pay the estimated contract cost of $9,678,516 over the course of this contract. This estimated contract cost is based upon the DOE's expected use of the DSS only for the state Regents examinations. The DOE would expand the use of the DSS beyond the Regents if it is clear that there are cost and efficiency gains over our current manual scoring systems. Expanded use to include all of the tests mentioned above would increase the total cost to $19,965,138 over the three-year contract term. However, each of the other assessments' budgets will contribute

additional funding to supplement RTTT funds which will pay for distributed scoring of Regents examinations. Additionally, if the contract is extended beyond the three-year term, the budget for the extensions would be drawn from tax levy funding.

The following table details all assessment programs and the estimated costs for scanning and scoring through DSS:

ASSESSMENT PROGRAM	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	CONTRACT
Summative: Regents	$4,367,471*	$2,698,858	$2,612,187	$9,678,516
ELA/Math 3-8	$ 391,480	$ 549,402	$2,297,863	$3,238,745
Science Social Studies 6-8	$0	$1,538,271	$1,209,291	$2,747,562
English 9-10	$0	$956,575	$ 580,304	$1,536,879
Local Assessments	$0	$1,318,822	$1,316,419	$2,635,241
Periodic Assessments	$0	$67,830	$ 60,365	$ 128,195
ESTIMATED TOTAL	**$4,758,951**	**$7,129,758**	**$8,076,429**	**$19,965,138**

*Year 1 is based on 25 participating schools, three exams, and 10,000 administered tests.

As this was a competitive procurement, CTB's price has been determined to be fair and reasonable.

The Division of Contracts and Purchasing (DCP) reviewed its records and public records regarding CTB. VENDEX reported four cautions related to CTB's parent company, the McGraw-Hill Companies and affiliate Standard & Poor's (S&P), who have been defendants in a number of putative shareholder class actions, as well as other judicial proceedings brought by clients, with allegations of misrepresentations and omissions regarding earnings, securities fraud and breach of fiduciary duties. One complaint has been dismissed and closed, and two have been dismissed, but the others are at various stages of litigation short of resolution.

DCP further discovered that in January 2012, the Illinois Attorney General filed suit against McGraw Hill and its unit S&P alleging violations of state consumer fraud and deceptive trade practices laws. S&P was also a defendant in a similar lawsuit filed by five Ohio pension funds, which was subsequently dismissed but is currently being appealed. Further, on September 22, 2011, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) notified McGraw Hill that it may consider enforcement action against S&P based on a 2007 rating of a collateralized debt obligation and possible violations of federal securities law. However, no action has yet been filed by the SEC.

In light of the size and breadth of McGraw-Hill Companies, the number and nature of these matters are not unusual, nor are they directly related to the recommended contract, so we do not believe they should preclude an award of contract. DAPS reported satisfactory CTB contract performance, so CTB was determined to be responsible.

The following table lists all proposers and their three-year contract amounts:

PROPOSER / VENDOR	INITIAL PRICE	REVISED PRICE*	BAFO PRICE
CTB/McGraw-Hill	**$ 23,965,419**	**$ 22,813,256**	**$19,965,138**
NCS Pearson	$ 66,123,538	$ 66,123,538	$49,883,629
Questar Assessment	$106,282,426	$101,875,557	N/A
RANDA Solutions	$305,366,872	N/A	N/A

***REVISED PRICE**: Pricing based on the combination of all assessments in decreasing order of priority: 1) Summative: Regents; 2) Summative: ELA/Math Grades 3-8, Summative Social Studies and Science Grades 6-8, Summative: English Language Grades 9-10; 3) Formative: Local Assessments; and 4) Formative: Periodic Assessments.

Item: 22

Description:

Add on item: Extension of pre-K bus contracts for summer service.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION

Emergency Extension of Contracts for Pre Kindergarten/ Early Intervention Special Education Transportation

Contract Cost	Funding Source	Contract term	Procurement Method	Is Contract Retroactive	Contract Type
$20,448,130	Tax Levy	2 months	Emergency Extension	No	Full Value

Service Requestor/Contract Manager	Division of Contracts & Purchasing Contact
Eric Goldstein, Chief Executive	David Ross, Executive Director
Office of School Support Services	Division of Contracts & Purchasing

Vendor Name	Address	Annual/Total Amount
Alina Services Corp.	245-37 60th Avenue Douglaston, NY 11362	$118,117
B&F Skilled, Inc.	2542 West 13th Street Brooklyn, NY 11223	193,239
Bobmar Transport	2749 Stillwell Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11224	763,532
Don Thomas Buses Inc.	1095 Close Avenue Bronx, NY 10472	1,066,499
Fortuna	1329 36th Street Brooklyn, NY 11218	376,995
GVC Ltd.	450 Zerega Avenue Bronx, NY 10461	1,835,317
Golden Ring	2356 East 4th Street Brooklyn, NY 11223	139,268
Happy Child Transportation	436 Spencer Street Staten Island, NY 10314	1,94,575
Happy Day Transit	35-10 Starr Avenue Long Island City, NY 11101	313,246
I&Y Transit	245-37 60th Street Douglaston, NY 11362	275,139
Iridium Services Corp.	245-37 60th Avenue Douglaston, NY 11362	825,545
JEA Bus	2548 West 13th Street Brooklyn, NY 11223	162,810
L&M Bus Corp.	3167 Atlantic Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11208	2,141,282
Liberty Transit	165 Wolcott Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11231	112,597
MJT Bus	913 Shepard Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11208	139,138
P&G Transportation	1329 36th Street Brooklyn, NY 11218	124,882
Penny Transportation	2548 West 13th Street Brooklyn, NY 11223	240,051
Pinnacle Bus Service	146 Wolcott Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11223	393,412
Pride Transportation	925 Shepard Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11208	465,467
Prime Bus	145-165 Wolcott Ave Brooklyn, NY 11223	326,212
Professional Charter	50 Snediker Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11227	545,447

RS Transport	2062 Richmond Road Staten Island, NY 10306	57,846
Robin Transportation	2302 Neptune Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11224	235,010
Round Trip	5015 Bay Parkway Brooklyn, NY 11230	131,526
Royal Express	65-61 Sauders St., Apartment 1A Rego Park, NY 11374	291,309
Selby Transportation	935 Garrison Avenue Bronx, NY 10474	1,812,221
Smart Pick	2548 West 13th Street Brooklyn, NY 11223	431,815
Thomas Buses	2859 West 37th Street Brooklyn, NY 11224	653,019
Van Trans	75 Commercial Street Plainview, NY 11803	70,271
We Trans	75 Commercial Street Plainview, NY 11803	33,558
Pinnacle (WW BX)	146 Wolcott Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11223	716,763
Iridium (WW BK)	245-37 60th Avenue Douglaston, NY 11362	1,033,810
Iridium (WW QU)	245-37 60th Avenue Douglaston, NY 11362	3,151,794
Selby (WW MN)	935 Garrison Avenue Bronx, NY 10474	1,073,307

PURPOSE

Approval is requested for an extension of current contracts with 30 vendors providing bus transportation to students attending Pre Kindergarten/Early Intervention Special Education Programs throughout the city. The emergency extension will be from July 1, 2012 through August 31, 2012, when new transportation contracts should be in place.

DISCUSSION

A bid for Pre-kindergarten and Early Intervention Student Transportation Services (RFB 2026) was released on November 18, 2011 for services that were to start on July 1, 2012 and a pre-bid vendor conference was held on November 29, 2011. On December 7, 2011, when the Department extended the dates for the submission of vendor questions and the submission and opening dates for bids, the Department moved back the commencement date of the new contracts by approximately two months. The original bid opening date was scheduled for December 21, 2011, but was rescheduled more than once due to various factors, including to allow vendors more time to prepare and submit bids. Bids for 32 of the 43 classes were opened on February 23, 2012 and bids for the remaining 11 classes were opened on April 3, 2012.

Extensions will be for an estimated value of $20,448,130, based upon prices from last year. The actual cost will be adjusted based upon the addition of a Bureau of Labor Statistics Composite index which will be available in June. Prices were competitively determined through a bid process (plus inflators) originally, so they are determined to be fair and reasonable.

Background checks have been completed for all vendors by DCP's Vendor Research and Analysis Unit. Noteworthy information has been identified for Pinnacle and Round Trip as noted below:

- Pinnacle Bus: A March 20, 2007 New York Daily News Investigative Team series reported that in 2004, an individual with a criminal record was permitted to work as a driver for Pinnacle Bus. According to the press account the driver had been arrested three times in the 1990's, including a 1996 arrest for attempted burglary to which he pled guilty and received one day in jail and five years' probation. The article further reported that on October 26, 2006 the driver was arrested for possession of marijuana and by the next day when the DOE's Office of Pupil Transportation (OPT) notified Pinnacle of the arrest, the driver had been fired. The driver was placed on

the DOE's Ineligible List and subsequently, the driver's school bus driving certification was permanently revoked by the DOE. New York Daily News stories from October 17 and 18, 2008 report that a Pinnacle bus driver and bus matron were arrested on charges of reckless endangerment, endangering the welfare of a child and endangering an incompetent person after leaving a 3 year old autistic student on a bus. The stories stated that the driver had been arrested six times in the prior few years for public consumption of alcohol. OPT advises that both the driver and escort were suspended upon their arrest and on November 20, 2008 both the driver's and escort's certifications were revoked permanently. Further, in July 2008, a DMV administrative judge found Pinnacle committed 274 violations, many having to do with failures to monitor drivers, and imposed a $137,000 fine, which was completely satisfied in November 2009. In 2010, the NYSDMV charged Pinnacle with another 108 violations regarding driver monitoring and Pinnacle agreed to pay a $13,000 civil penalty. Pinnacle entered into a payment plan with the DMV and at present the balance due is less than $2,000, with payment expected to be completed by May 23, 2012. OPT reported that as of April 2012, it is unaware of any outstanding violations.

- Round Trip - Vendor's VENDEX, dated February 18, 2009, reported that it "[R]eceived a subpoena from [the] U.S. Attorney, Southern District, cooperated with U.S. Attorney and [w]as told he [it] was not a target or subject of any investigation. … ." An investigation conducted by the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, inter alia, resulted in the conviction of several former Department of Education Bus Inspectors on bribery, extortion and conspiracy charges based on the inspectors' having taken cash payments from a number of bus companies. Round Trip was not a target of the investigation.

All vendors have provided satisfactory service in the past and have been determined to be responsible.

PRE K - SUMMER EXTENSIONS - JULY & AUGUST 2012					
			SUMMER TOTAL ANTICIPATED SPEND		
# CT'S PER VENDOR	91 CONTRACTS	30 VENDORS	SITE TOTAL	CONTRACT TOTAL	TOTAL PER VENDOR
1	CT9252204	ALINA SERVICES BV	$ 99,138.27		
	CT9252204	ALINA SERVICES BV		$ 99,138.27	
1	CT9252203	ALINA SERVICES BV	$ 18,979.08		
	CT9252203	ALINA SERVICES BV		$ 18,979.08	
2		ALINA SERVICES BV			$ 118,117.35
1	CT9252199	B & F SKLLED BF	$ 78,798.60		
	CT9252199	B & F SKLLED BF	$ 74,589.92		
	CT9252199	B & F SKLLED BF	$ 5,882.94		
	CT9252199	B & F SKLLED BF	$ 33,967.38		
	CT9252199	B & F SKLLED BF		$ 193,238.83	
1		B & F SKLLED BF			$ 193,238.83
1	CT9252481	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 8,166.00		
		BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD		$ 8,166.00	
1	CT9252451	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 27,193.11		
	CT9252451	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ -		
		BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD		$ 27,193.11	
1	CT9252484	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 180,195.33		
	CT9252484	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 104,357.75		
	CT9252484	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 181,752.91		
		BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD		$ 466,306.00	
1	CT9252396	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 54,186.76		
	CT9252396	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 123,268.00		
		BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD		$ 177,454.76	
1	CT9252496	BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD	$ 84,411.78		
		BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD		$ 84,411.78	
5		BOBMAR TRANSPORT BD			$ 763,531.66
1	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 218,170.47		
	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 67,033.82		
	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 62,986.68		
	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 57,908.30		
	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 112,067.21		
	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 79,542.79		
	CT9252380	DON THOMAS DI	$ 70,971.79		
		DON THOMAS DI		$ 668,681.05	
1	CT9252379	DON THOMAS DI	$ 34,020.45		
	CT9252379	DON THOMAS DI	$ -		
		DON THOMAS DI		$ 34,020.45	
1	CT9252381	DON THOMAS DI	$ 29,859.32		
	CT9252381	DON THOMAS DI	$ 160,493.87		
		DON THOMAS DI		$ 190,353.19	
1	CT9252378	DON THOMAS DI	$ 47,536.66		
	CT9252378	DON THOMAS DI	$ 80,940.79		
	CT9252378	DON THOMAS DI	$ 25,695.49		
	CT9252378	DON THOMAS DI	$ 19,271.62		
		DON THOMAS DI		$ 173,444.56	
4		DON THOMAS DI			$ 1,066,499.25
1	CT9252352	FORTUNA BUS ZK	$ 149,668.40		
	CT9252352	FORTUNA BUS ZK	$ 109,204.66		
		FORTUNA BUS ZK		$ 258,873.05	
1	CT9252391	FORTUNA BUS ZK	$ 118,121.75		
		FORTUNA BUS ZK		$ 118,121.75	
2		FORTUNA BUS ZK			$ 376,994.80
1	CT9252325	GVC LTD GV	$ 72,523.92		
	CT9252325	GVC LTD GV	$ 117,570.44		
	CT9252325	GVC LTD GV	$ 47,547.60		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 237,641.96	
1	CT9252326	GVC LTD GV	$ 60,340.24		
	CT9252326	GVC LTD GV	$ 123,024.04		
	CT9252326	GVC LTD GV	$ 145,333.75		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 328,698.03	
1	CT9252327	GVC LTD GV	$ 254,525.28		
	CT9252327	GVC LTD GV	$ 178,285.58		
	CT9252327	GVC LTD GV	$ 338,023.65		
	CT9252327	GVC LTD GV	$ -		
	CT9252327	GVC LTD GV	$ 6,946.19		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 777,780.70	
1	CT9252320	GVC LTD GV	$ 44,599.57		
	CT9252320	GVC LTD GV	$ 63,250.30		

	CT9252320	GVC LTD GV	$ 79,968.60		
	CT9252320	GVC LTD GV	$ 104,658.38		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 292,476.85	
1	CT9252321	GVC LTD GV	$ 26,755.13		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 26,755.13	
1	CT9252322	GVC LTD GV	$ 32,980.00		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 32,980.00	
1	CT9252323	GVC LTD GV	$ 67,660.37		
	CT9252323	GVC LTD GV	$ -		
	CT9252323	GVC LTD GV	$ -		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 67,660.37	
1	CT9252324	GVC LTD GV	$ 71,323.64		
		GVC LTD GV		$ 71,323.64	
8		GVC LTD GV			$ 1,835,316.69
1	CT9252316	GOLDEN RING GG	$ 31,060.00		
	CT9252316	GOLDEN RING GG	$ 31,060.00		
		GOLDEN RING GG		$ 62,120.00	
1	CT9252317	GOLDEN RING GG	$ 29,672.43		
	CT9252317	GOLDEN RING GG	$ 47,475.89		
	CT9252317	GOLDEN RING GG	$ -		
		GOLDEN RING GG		$ 77,148.31	
2		GOLDEN RING GG			$ 139,268.32
1	CT9252347	HAPPY CHILD HC	$ 111,995.81		
	CT9252347	HAPPY CHILD HC	$ 22,844.20		
	CT9252347	HAPPY CHILD HC	$ 28,895.57		
	CT9252347	HAPPY CHILD HC	$ 30,839.67		
		HAPPY CHILD HC		$ 194,575.25	
1		HAPPY CHILD HC			$ 194,575.25
1	CT9253801	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 69,016.84		
	CT9253801	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 34,508.45		
		HAPPY DAY HD		$ 103,525.28	
1	CT9252392	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 71,355.78		
	CT9252392	HAPPY DAY HD	$ -		
		HAPPY DAY HD		$ 71,355.78	
1	CT9252395	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 19,979.26		
	CT9252395	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 47,074.41		
		HAPPY DAY HD		$ 67,053.67	
1	CT9252393	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 17,142.97		
	CT9252393	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 14,606.98		
	CT9252393	HAPPY DAY HD	$ 39,561.53		
	CT9252393	HAPPY DAY HD	$ -		
	CT9252393	HAPPY DAY HD	$ -		
	CT9252393	HAPPY DAY HD	$ -		
		HAPPY DAY HD		$ 71,311.48	
4		HAPPY DAY HD			$ 313,246.21
1	CT9252364	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ 117,850.90		
	CT9252364	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ 32,884.27		
	CT9252364	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ 15,926.68		
	CT9252364	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ -		
	CT9252364	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ -		
		I & Y TRANSIT ZD		$ 166,661.85	
1	CT9252365	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ 41,269.90		
		I & Y TRANSIT ZD		$ 41,269.90	
1	CT9252366	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ 54,942.02		
		I & Y TRANSIT ZD		$ 54,942.02	
1	CT9252363	I & Y TRANSIT ZD	$ 12,265.65		
		I & Y TRANSIT ZD		$ 12,265.65	
4		I & Y TRANSIT ZD			$ 275,139.42
1	CT9252332	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 95,474.63		
	CT9252332	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 27,598.14		
	CT9252332	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252332	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 115,129.25		
	CT9252332	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 6,220.98		
	CT9252332	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 244,423.00	
1	CT9252333	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 63,003.56		
	CT9252333	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 63,003.56	
1	CT9252334	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 133,067.38		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 133,067.38	
1	CT9252336	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 108,578.34		
	CT9252336	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 86,213.31		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 194,791.66	
1	CT9252335	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 104,335.61		

(W/W)	CT9252335	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 85,923.44		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 190,259.05	
5		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR			$ 825,544.65
1	CT9252349	JEA BUS ZJ	$ 162,810.00		
		JEA BUS ZJ		$ 162,810.00	
1		JEA BUS ZJ			$ 162,810.00
1	CT9252166	L & M BUS LH	$ 224,044.83		
	CT9252166	L & M BUS LH	$ 63,762.27		
	CT9252166	L & M BUS LH	$ 16,676.04		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 304,483.13	
1	CT9252185	L & M BUS LH	$ 234,126.28		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 234,126.28	
1	CT9252184	L & M BUS LH	$ 152,708.01		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 152,708.01	
1	CT9252196	L & M BUS LH	$ 89,876.98		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 89,876.98	
1	CT9252183	L & M BUS LH	$ 37,019.38		
	CT9252183	L & M BUS LH	$ -		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 37,019.38	
1	CT9252168	L & M BUS LH	$ 413,477.02		
	CT9252168	L & M BUS LH	$ 118,292.10		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 531,769.12	
1	CT9252181	L & M BUS LH	$ 386,502.78		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 386,502.78	
1	CT9252152	L & M BUS LH	$ 192,248.41		
	CT9252152	L & M BUS LH	$ 212,548.22		
		L & M BUS LH		$ 404,796.63	
8		L & M BUS LH			$ 2,141,282.31
1	CT9252197	LIBERTY TRANSIT ZC	$ 101,094.21		
	CT9252197	LIBERTY TRANSIT ZC	$ 11,502.71		
		LIBERTY TRANSIT ZC		$ 112,596.92	
1		LIBERTY TRANSIT ZC			$ 112,596.92
1	CT9252187	MJT BUS MJ	$ 40,247.95		
	CT9252187	MJT BUS MJ	$ 46,955.95		
	CT9252187	MJT BUS MJ	$ 17,887.98		
	CT9252187	MJT BUS MJ	$ 34,045.81		
	CT9252187	MJT BUS MJ	$ -		
		MJT BUS MJ		$ 139,137.69	
1		MJT BUS MJ			$ 139,137.69
1	CT9252200	P & G TRANSPORT DS	$ 124,881.93		
		P & G TRANSPORT DS		$ 124,881.93	
1		P & G TRANSPORT DS			$ 124,881.93
1	CT9252198	PENNY TRANSPORT BK	$ 94,897.34		
	CT9252198	PENNY TRANSPORT BK	$ -		
		PENNY TRANSPORT BK		$ 94,897.34	
1	CT9252201	PENNY TRANSPORT BK	$ 145,153.53		
		PENNY TRANSPORT BK		$ 145,153.53	
2		PENNY TRANSPORT BK			$ 240,050.87
1	CT9252384	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 145,714.73		
		PINNACLE BUS PB		$ 145,714.73	
1	CT9252382	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 86,629.10		
		PINNACLE BUS PB		$ 86,629.10	
1	CT9252383	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 31,427.87		
(W/W)	CT9252383	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 129,639.98		
		PINNACLE BUS PB		$ 161,067.85	
3		PINNACLE BUS PB			$ 393,411.68
1	CT9252202	PRIDE TRANSPORT PD	$ 235,197.03		
	CT9252202	PRIDE TRANSPORT PD	$ -		
	CT9252202	PRIDE TRANSPORT PD	$ 85,634.25		
	CT9252202	PRIDE TRANSPORT PD	$ -		
		PRIDE TRANSPORT PD		$ 320,831.28	
1	CT9252188	PRIDE TRANSPORT PD	$ 144,635.67		
		PRIDE TRANSPORT PD		$ 144,635.67	
2		PRIDE TRANSPORT PD			$ 465,466.95
1	CT9252425	PRIME BUS CORP PK	$ 151,684.76		
		PRIME BUS CORP PK		$ 151,684.76	
1	CT9252423	PRIME BUS CORP PK	$ 75,919.64		
		PRIME BUS CORP PK		$ 75,919.64	
1	CT9252422	PRIME BUS CORP PK	$ 27,790.85		
	CT9252422	PRIME BUS CORP PK	$ 13,474.89		
		PRIME BUS CORP PK		$ 41,265.74	
1	CT9252424	PRIME BUS CORP PK	$ 57,341.62		
		PRIME BUS CORP PK		$ 57,341.62	
4		PRIME BUS CORP PK			$ 326,211.76

1	CT9252386	PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG	$ 30,159.07		
	CT9252386	PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG	$ 212,373.65		
	CT9252386	PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG	$ -		
		PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG		$ 242,532.73	
1	CT9252385	PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG	$ 104,176.86		
	CT9252385	PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG	$ 198,737.40		
		PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG		$ 302,914.26	
2		PROFESSIONAL CHRTR DG			$ 545,446.99
1	CT9252346	R S TRANSPORT ZR	$ 21,555.09		
	CT9252346	R S TRANSPORT ZR	$ 10,389.19		
	CT9252346	R S TRANSPORT ZR	$ 4,912.54		
	CT9252346	R S TRANSPORT ZR	$ -		
	CT9252346	R S TRANSPORT ZR	$ 20,988.73		
		R S TRANSPORT ZR		$ 57,845.55	
1		R S TRANSPORT ZR			$ 57,845.55
1	CT9252572	ROBIN TRANSP BQ	$ 16,008.00		
	CT9252572	ROBIN TRANSP BQ	$ -		
		ROBIN TRANSP BQ		$ 16,008.00	
1	CT9252571	ROBIN TRANSP BQ	$ 219,001.56		
		ROBIN TRANSP BQ		$ 219,001.56	
2		ROBIN TRANSP BQ			$ 235,009.56
1	CT9252351	ROUND TRIP RF	$ 131,526.21		
	CT9252351	ROUND TRIP RF	$ -		
		ROUND TRIP RF		$ 131,526.21	
1		ROUND TRIP RF			$ 131,526.21
1	CT9252350	ROYAL EXPRESS RB	$ 91,945.15		
	CT9252350	ROYAL EXPRESS RB	$ 82,010.58		
	CT9252350	ROYAL EXPRESS RB	$ 117,353.37		
		ROYAL EXPRESS RB		$ 291,309.10	
1		ROYAL EXPRESS RB			$ 291,309.10
1	CT9252497	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 77,492.49		
	CT9252497	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 77,492.49	
1	CT9252482	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252482	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 78,436.26		
	CT9252482	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 78,436.26	
1	CT9252498	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 128,998.60		
	CT9252498	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252498	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252498	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 128,998.60	
1	CT9252499	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 191,097.32		
	CT9252499	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 83,825.95		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 274,923.27	
1	CT9252511	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 184,040.39		
	CT9252511	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 244,510.80		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 428,551.19	
1	CT9252512	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252512	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 66,872.75		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 66,872.75	
1	CT9252513	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 150,582.75		
	CT9252513	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 156,374.39		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 306,957.14	
1	CT9252514	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 163,673.79		
	CT9252514	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252514	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 163,673.79	
1	CT9252515	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 49,229.63		
	CT9252515	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 110,288.80		
	CT9252515	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 54,578.10		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 214,096.54	
1	CT9252516	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 72,218.59		
	CT9252516	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
(W/W)	CT9252516	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 72,218.59	
10		SELBY TRANSP DW			$ 1,812,220.62
1	CT9252151	SMART PICK SW	$ 242,019.82		
		SMART PICK SW		$ 242,019.82	
1	CT9252167	SMART PICK SW	$ -		
	CT9252167	SMART PICK SW	$ 109,275.73		
	CT9252167	SMART PICK SW	$ 80,518.96		
		SMART PICK SW		$ 189,794.69	
2		SMART PICK SW			$ 431,814.51

1	CT9252490	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ 156,823.65		
	CT9252490	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ -		
		THOMAS BUSES TM		$ 156,823.65	
1	CT9252489	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ 133,741.08		
	CT9252489	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ -		
		THOMAS BUSES TM		$ 133,741.08	
1	CT9252486	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ 182,632.07		
		THOMAS BUSES TM		$ 182,632.07	
1	CT9252487	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ 47,765.31		
		THOMAS BUSES TM		$ 47,765.31	
1	CT9252488	THOMAS BUSES TM	$ 132,057.04		
		THOMAS BUSES TM		$ 132,057.04	
5		THOMAS BUSES TM			$ 653,019.15
1	CT9252377	VAN TRANS VE	$ 42,045.97		
	CT9252377	VAN TRANS VE	$ -		
		VAN TRANS VE		$ 42,045.97	
1	CT9252376	VAN TRANS VE	$ 28,225.31		
	CT9252376	VAN TRANS VE	$ -		
		VAN TRANS VE		$ 28,225.31	
2		VAN TRANS VE			$ 70,271.28
1	CT9252483	WE TRANS WE	$ 33,557.89		
		WE TRANS WE		$ 33,557.89	
1		WE TRANS WE			$ 33,557.89
1	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 17,683.77		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 168,191.00		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 23,669.04		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 151,162.83		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 19,906.22		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 53,614.11		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 44,788.99		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 2,488.28		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 17,417.94		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 24,998.92		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 83,357.28		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 64,695.20		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 8,708.97		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 24,882.77		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ 11,197.25		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
	CT9252361	PINNACLE BUS PB	$ -		
		PINNACLE BUS PB		$ 716,762.54	
1		BX W/W PINNACLE BUS PB			$ 716,762.54
1	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 89,145.88		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 25,881.06		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 24,443.22		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 10,064.86		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 119,344.85		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 63,264.81		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 28,756.73		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 8,627.02		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 15,816.20		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 80,518.86		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 21,567.55		

	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 81,956.69		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 222,865.37		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 14,378.37		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 8,627.02		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 12,940.53		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 71,891.84		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 14,378.37		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 11,502.69		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 2,875.67		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 23,005.39		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 23,005.39		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 28,756.73		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 30,194.57		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 1,033,809.67	
1		BK W/W IRIDIUM SERVICES IR			$ 1,033,809.67
1	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 4,672.12		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 12,458.99		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 211,710.79		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 77,834.85		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 166,573.35		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 71,613.48		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 17,123.67		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 46,704.30		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 6,226.79		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 23,350.45		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 144,772.82		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 155,671.73		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 16,604.77		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 10,896.88		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 202,370.61		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 157,226.39		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 104,302.08		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 297,330.48		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 94,958.52		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 12,453.58		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 43,587.52		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 32,696.73		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 143,216.12		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 34,247.33		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 102,754.19		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 124,535.76		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 110,525.48		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 182,133.55		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 10,896.88		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 94,958.52		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 6,226.79		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 9,340.18		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 116,752.27		

	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 40,474.12		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 126,092.45		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 52,927.70		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 18,680.36		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 12,453.58		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 7,786.87		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 7,783.48		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 7,783.48		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 23,350.45		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 4,672.12		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ 3,061.50		
	CT9252426	IRIDIUM SERVICES IR	$ -		
		IRIDIUM SERVICES IR		$ 3,151,794.07	
1		QN W/W IRIDIUM SERVICES IR			$ 3,151,794.07
1	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 114,047.95		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 72,953.28		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 3,137.78		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 148,684.37		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 4,706.66		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 28,320.57		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 236,139.11		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 12,591.40		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 220,490.53		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 111,391.03		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 12,591.40		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 105,115.48		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ 3,137.78		
	CT9252362	SELBY TRANSP DW	$ -		
		SELBY TRANSP DW		$ 1,073,307.34	
1		MH W/W SELBY TRANSP DW			$ 1,073,307.34
TOTAL			$ 20,448,130.43	$20,448,130.43	$20,448,130.43

Department of Education

David N. Ross
Executive Director
Contracts and Purchasing

65 Court Street, 12th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Client Services: 718-935-4444
Vendor Hotline: 718-935-2300
http://schools.nyc.gov/DCP

DECLARATION CONCERNING EMERGENCY PROCUREMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OF PRE-KINDERGARTEN/EARLY INTERVENTION SPECIAL EDUCATION CHILDREN

I, David Ross, Executive Director of the Division of Contracts and Purchasing of the New York City Department of Education ("Department") hereby determine pursuant to Section 3-09 of the Procurement Policy and Procedures that an emergency procurement of school bus services for pre-kindergarten/early intervention special education students is required to preserve a necessary service and safety of students. The contracts set forth on the attached list of extensions will enable students to continue to attend Pre-Kindergarten/Early Intervention programs citywide.

The emergency shall be effective as of July 1, 2012 through August 31, 2012, during which time the Department is conducting a competitive procurement process to award new contracts effective September 1, 2012. Extension of contracts will be for an estimated value of $20,448,130. Vendors have agreed to provide service at the same price or with the addition of an adjustment based upon a composite of Bureau of Labor Statistics indices that will be available in June.

Dated: New York, NY

April 26, 2012

David N. Ross
Executive Director
Division of Contracts and Purchasing