

Progress Report Grade

B

What does this grade mean?

Schools are assigned letter grades based on their overall Progress Report score. Schools that get As and Bs are eligible for rewards. Schools that get Ds and Fs, or 3 Cs in a row, face consequences, including change in school leadership or school closure.

How did this school perform?

- This school's overall score for 2007-08 is 51.1
- This score places the School in the 31 percentile of all elementary schools Citywide—i.e., 31 percent of those schools scored lower than this school
- This school did not meet its improvement target from last year

This Progress Report is for:

SCHOOL	P.S. 165 Robert E. Simon (03M165)
PRINCIPAL	Linda Chen
ENROLLMENT	590
SCHOOL TYPE	ELEMENTARY
PEER INDEX	59.09

Category	Calculated Score	Category Grade
School Environment	7.2 out of 15	B
Student Performance	12.3 out of 25	B
Student Progress	28.6 out of 60	B
Additional Credit	3.0 (15 max)	
Overall Score	51.1 out of 100	B

How scores translate to grades:

- Schools receive letter grades based on their overall score
- Schools with an overall score between 45.8–59.5 receive a letter grade of B
- 38% of schools earned a B in 2007-08

Elementary Table – Overall Grades

Grade	Score range	City summary
A	59.6–100	45% of schools
B	45.8–59.5	38% of schools
C	32.6–45.7	13% of schools
D	28.4–32.5	3% of schools
F	9.6–28.4	2% of schools

In This Report:

Each school's Progress Report (1) measures student year-to-year progress, (2) compares the school to peer schools and (3) rewards success in moving all children forward, especially children with the greatest needs. The Progress Report measures four areas:

School Environment uses parent, teacher and secondary student surveys and other data to measure necessary conditions for learning: attendance, academic expectations, communication, engagement and safety and respect.

Student Performance measures student skill levels in English Language Arts and Math.

Student Progress measures average student improvement from last year to this year in English Language Arts and Math.

Closing the Achievement Gap gives schools additional credit for exemplary gains among high-need students.

The back page provides specific information about how the school performed in each of these areas.

Quality Review Score

This school's 2007-08 Quality Review score is: Well Developed

To see this school's Quality Review report, find the school's Web site at <http://schools.nyc.gov/>, click 'Statistics' and scroll down to Quality Review Report.

State Accountability Status

Based on its 2006-07 performance, this school is: In Need of Improvement - Year 2

This status is determined by the New York State Department of Education under the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act. It is separate from the school's Progress Report Grade.

Additional Information

Closing the Achievement Gap

Schools earn additional credit when their high-need students make exemplary gains. These gains are based on the percentage of high-need students who improve by at least one-half of a proficiency level in English Language Arts or Math (e.g., student improves from 2.25 to 2.75 in ELA, or 3.20 to 3.70 in Math). Schools earn additional credit for any one of the five high-need categories of students if the percentage of students in that category who achieve exemplary gains is in the top 40% of all schools citywide.

This component can only improve a school's Progress Report grade. It cannot lower a school's grade.

Credit	Exemplary Proficiency Gains	Student Group
English Language Arts		
+1.5	38.8%	English Language Learners
+0.75	45.0%	Special Education Students
+0.75	46.8%	Hispanic Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
-	-	Black Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
-	40.0%	Other Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
Mathematics		
-	7.5%	English Language Learners
-	14.6%	Special Education Students
-	15.6%	Hispanic Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
-	-	Black Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
-	-	Other Students in the Lowest Third Citywide

(-) indicates less than 15 students in this category

Peer Schools

Each school's performance is compared to the performance of schools in its peer group. Peer schools are those New York City public schools with a student population most like this school's population. Each school has up to 40 peer schools.

For Elementary and K-8 Schools, peer schools are determined based on the percentage of students at each school that are English Language Learners, Special Education, Black/Hispanic and Title I eligible.

For Middle Schools, peer schools are determined based on the average ELA and Math proficiency levels of the school's students before they entered Middle School.

The peer schools for P.S. 165 Robert E. Simon are:

DBN	School Name	DBN	School Name
17K092	P.S. 092 Adrian Hegeman	13K054	P.S. 054 Samuel C. Barnes
16K026	P.S. 026 Jesse Owens	24Q019	P.S. 019 Marino Jeantet
30Q149	P.S. 149 Christa McAuliffe	17K289	P.S. 289 George V. Brower
84X730	Bronx Charter School for Arts	13K056	P.S. 056 Lewis H. Latimer
14K018	P.S. 018 Edward Bush	17K399	P.S. 399 Stanley Eugene Clark
16K028	P.S. 028 The Warren	29Q118	P.S. 118 Lorraine Hansberry
08X138	P.S. 138 Samuel Randall	03M145	P.S. 145 The Bloomingdale School
05M129	P.S. 129 John H. Finley	09X053	P.S. 053 Basheer Quisim
29Q181	P.S. 181 Brookfield	32K151	P.S. 151 Lyndon B. Johnson
18K219	P.S. 219 Kennedy-King	31R031	P.S. 031 William T. Davis
27Q253	P.S. 253	10X007	P.S. 007 Kingsbridge
16K005	P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair	14K017	P.S. 017 Henry D. Woodworth
28Q080	P.S. 080 Thurgood Marshall Magnet	30Q076	P.S. 076 William Hallet
13K305	P.S. 305 Dr. Peter Ray	22K269	P.S. 269 Nostrand
20K503	New School @ K314	23K156	P.S. 156 Waverly
84X705	Family Life Academy Charter School	14K380	P.S. 380 John Wayne Elementary
19K158	P.S. 158 Warwick	32K299	P.S. 299 Thomas Warren Field
08X100	P.S. 100 Isaac Clason	10X226	P.S. 226
05M197	P.S. 197 John B. Russwurm	14K084	P.S. 084 Jose De Diego
11X111	P.S. 111 Seton Falls	03M076	P.S. 076 A. Philip Randolph

The Progress Report is a key component of Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg's and Chancellor Joel I. Klein's Children First reforms. The Progress Report is designed to assist administrators, principals and teachers in accelerating the learning of all students. The Progress Report also enables students, parents and the public to hold the NYC Department of Education and its schools accountable for student achievement and improvement and for ensuring a high quality education for every student in NYC's public schools. If you have any questions or comments about the Progress Report, please visit <http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/SchoolReports/ProgressReports/> or send us an email at pr_support@schools.nyc.gov.

Results by Category

SCHOOL P.S. 165 Robert E. Simon
PRINCIPAL Linda Chen

HOW TO INTERPRET THIS CHART

A school is evaluated by asking how far its score in each category has moved along the range of scores for all schools. These charts show that movement as a percentage. In the example to the right, the school's score is 75% of the way from the lowest to the highest score in the City.

If a school performs at the top end of the range, the bar will be fully shaded. If a school performs at the low end of the range, the bar will not be shaded. If a school performs in the middle of the range, half the bar will be shaded.

Attendance
90%



In this example, the school's attendance is 90%. This is 75% of the way from the lowest attendance at any school (80%) to the highest attendance (100%).

Below, the green charts on the left compare the school to its peer group. The blue charts on the right compare the school to schools Citywide. Peer scores count three times as much as City scores. Peer and City ranges are based on the outcomes of schools from 2005-08.

School Environment

Comprises 15% of the Overall Score

This Year's Score:
0.479 x 15 = 7.2


B

Survey Scores (10 points)


Academic Expectations:

7.3

43.5%



38.5%




Number of students


Communication:

6.6

34.8%



34.6%




Engagement:

6.8

53.6%




50.0%




Safety and Respect:

7.5

50.0%




41.4%



Attendance (5 points)

92.2%

58.6%



44.0%



Student Performance

Comprises 25% of the Overall Score

This Year's Score:
0.49 x 25 = 12.3


B

English Language Arts


Percentage of Students at Proficiency (Level 3 or 4):

50.0%

47.2%



35.3%




264

Median Student Proficiency (1.00-4.50):

2.99

51.8%



39.4%



264

Mathematics

Percentage of Students at Proficiency (Level 3 or 4):

71.9%

53.1%



40.0%




267


Median Student Proficiency (1.00-4.50):

3.37

56.8%



42.0%



267

Student Progress

Comprises 60% of the Overall Score

This Year's Score:
0.476 x 60 = 28.6


B

English Language Arts


Percentage of Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

69.6%

83.0%



89.5%




274


Percentage of Students in School's Lowest 1/3 Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

87.3%

74.6%



77.3%




55


Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 1 and Level 2 Students

0.41

81.3%



73.5%



153


Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 3 and Level 4 Students

(0.03)

66.7%



63.3%




121

Mathematics


Percentage of Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

47.3%

20.1%



15.2%




282


Percentage of Students in School's Lowest 1/3 Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

41.1%

-4.2%



-11.3%




56


Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 1 and Level 2 Students

0.12

11.8%



11.3%




77

Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 3 and Level 4 Students

(0.10)

39.4%



32.4%

