

This Progress Report is for:

SCHOOL	P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum (25Q165)
PRINCIPAL	Raquel Victoria Demillio
ENROLLMENT	616
SCHOOL TYPE	ELEMENTARY
PEER INDEX	39.03

Progress Report Grade

A

What does this grade mean?

Schools are assigned letter grades based on their overall Progress Report score. Schools that get As and Bs are eligible for rewards. Schools that get Ds and Fs, or 3 Cs in a row, face consequences, including change in school leadership or school closure.

How did this school perform?

- This school's overall score for 2007-08 is 62.4
- This score places the School in the 63 percentile of all elementary schools Citywide—i.e., 63 percent of those schools scored lower than this school
- This school met its 2007-08 target because it received an A two years in a row

Category	Calculated Score	Category Grade
School Environment	7.8 out of 15	B
Student Performance	16.9 out of 25	A
Student Progress	33.2 out of 60	A
Additional Credit	4.5 (15 max)	
Overall Score	62.4 out of 100	A

How scores translate to grades:

- Schools receive letter grades based on their overall score
- Schools with an overall score between 59.6–100 receive a letter grade of A
- 45% of schools earned an A in 2007-08

Elementary Table – Overall Grades

Grade	Score range	City summary
A	59.6–100	45% of schools
B	45.8–59.5	38% of schools
C	32.6–45.7	13% of schools
D	28.4–32.5	3% of schools
F	9.6–28.4	2% of schools

In This Report:

Each school's Progress Report (1) measures student year-to-year progress, (2) compares the school to peer schools and (3) rewards success in moving all children forward, especially children with the greatest needs. The Progress Report measures four areas:

School Environment

uses parent, teacher and secondary student surveys and other data to measure necessary conditions for learning: attendance, academic expectations, communication, engagement and safety and respect.

Student Performance

measures student skill levels in English Language Arts and Math.

Student Progress

measures average student improvement from last year to this year in English Language Arts and Math.

Closing the Achievement Gap

gives schools additional credit for exemplary gains among high-need students.

The back page provides specific information about how the school performed in each of these areas.

Quality Review Score

This school's 2007-08 Quality Review score is: Well Developed

To see this school's Quality Review report, find the school's Web site at <http://schools.nyc.gov/>, click 'Statistics' and scroll down to Quality Review Report.

State Accountability Status

Based on its 2006-07 performance, this school is: In Good Standing

This status is determined by the New York State Department of Education under the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act. It is separate from the school's Progress Report Grade.

Additional Information

Closing the Achievement Gap

Schools earn additional credit when their high-need students make exemplary gains. These gains are based on the percentage of high-need students who improve by at least one-half of a proficiency level in English Language Arts or Math (e.g., student improves from 2.25 to 2.75 in ELA, or 3.20 to 3.70 in Math). Schools earn additional credit for any one of the five high-need categories of students if the percentage of students in that category who achieve exemplary gains is in the top 40% of all schools citywide.

This component can only improve a school's Progress Report grade. It cannot lower a school's grade.

Credit	Exemplary Proficiency Gains	Student Group
English Language Arts		
	28.6%	English Language Learners
	32.0%	Special Education Students
	42.1%	Hispanic Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
+1.5	50.0%	Black Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
+0.75	50.0%	Other Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
Mathematics		
	8.1%	English Language Learners
+0.75	31.4%	Special Education Students
	-	Hispanic Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
	-	Black Students in the Lowest Third Citywide
+1.5	50.0%	Other Students in the Lowest Third Citywide

(-) indicates less than 15 students in this category

Peer Schools

Each school's performance is compared to the performance of schools in its peer group. Peer schools are those New York City public schools with a student population most like this school's population. Each school has up to 40 peer schools.

For Elementary and K-8 Schools, peer schools are determined based on the percentage of students at each school that are English Language Learners, Special Education, Black/Hispanic and Title I eligible.

For Middle Schools, peer schools are determined based on the average ELA and Math proficiency levels of the school's students before they entered Middle School.

The peer schools for P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum are:

DBN	School Name	DBN	School Name
31R038	P.S. 038 George Cromwell	24Q071	P.S. 071 Forest
25Q021	P.S. 021 Edward Hart	28Q161	P.S. 161 Arthur Ashe School
25Q022	P.S. 022 Thomas Jefferson	30Q011	P.S. 011 Kathryn Phelan
30Q069	P.S. 069 Jackson Heights	08X014	P.S. X014 Senator John Calandra
28Q099	P.S. 099 Kew Gardens	28Q121	P.S. 121 Queens
21K153	P.S. 153 Homecrest	02M002	P.S. 002 Meyer London
27Q056	P.S. 056 Harry Eichler	30Q002	P.S. 002 Alfred Zimberg
25Q129	P.S. 129 Patricia Larkin	25Q029	P.S. 029 Queens
28Q220	P.S. 220 Edward Mandel	14K031	P.S. 031 Samuel F. Dupont
15K261	P.S. 261 Philip Livingston	30Q078	P.S. 078
22K052	P.S. 052 Sheepshead Bay	14K110	P.S. 110 The Monitor
20K069	P.S. 69 Vincent D. Grippo School	30Q070	P.S. 070 Queens
20K205	P.S. 205 Clarion	20K160	P.S. 160 William T. Sampson
29Q033	P.S. 033 Edward M. Funk	02M001	P.S. 001 Alfred E. Smith
24Q091	P.S. 091 Richard Arkwright	27Q065	P.S. 65 - The Raymond York Elementary School
25Q214	P.S. 214 Cadwallader Colden	04M497	Central Park East I
28Q117	P.S. 117 J. Keld / Briarwood School	24Q088	P.S. 088 Seneca
31R046	P.S. 046 Albert V. Maniscalco	21K212	P.S. 212 Lady Deborah Moody
25Q020	P.S. 020 John Bowne	08X304	P.S. 304 Early Childhood School
28Q055	P.S. 055 Maure	30Q085	P.S. 085 Judge Charles Vallone

The Progress Report is a key component of Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg's and Chancellor Joel I. Klein's Children First reforms. The Progress Report is designed to assist administrators, principals and teachers in accelerating the learning of all students. The Progress Report also enables students, parents and the public to hold the NYC Department of Education and its schools accountable for student achievement and improvement and for ensuring a high quality education for every student in NYC's public schools. If you have any questions or comments about the Progress Report, please visit <http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/SchoolReports/ProgressReports/> or send us an email at pr_support@schools.nyc.gov.

Results by Category

SCHOOL P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum
PRINCIPAL Raquel Victoria Demillio

HOW TO INTERPRET THIS CHART

A school is evaluated by asking how far its score in each category has moved along the range of scores for all schools. These charts show that movement as a percentage. In the example to the right, the school's score is 75% of the way from the lowest to the highest score in the City.

If a school performs at the top end of the range, the bar will be fully shaded. If a school performs at the low end of the range, the bar will not be shaded. If a school performs in the middle of the range, half the bar will be shaded.

Attendance
90%



In this example, the school's attendance is 90%. This is 75% of the way from the lowest attendance at any school (80%) to the highest attendance (100%).

Below, the green charts on the left compare the school to its peer group. The blue charts on the right compare the school to schools Citywide. Peer scores count three times as much as City scores. Peer and City ranges are based on the outcomes of schools from 2005-08.

School Environment

Comprises 15% of the Overall Score

This Year's Score:
0.519 x 15 = 7.8

B

Survey Scores (10 points)

Academic Expectations:

7.7

Communication:

6.8

Engagement:

6.8

Safety and Respect:

8.2

Attendance (5 points)

94.0%

Your School's Score	Your School Relative to Peer Horizon:					Your School Relative to City Horizon:					Number of students
	0% Peer Min	25%	50%	75%	100% Peer Max	0% City Min	25%	50%	75%	100% City Max	
7.7	52.4%					53.8%					
6.8	44.0%					42.3%					
6.8	50.0%					50.0%					
8.2	61.1%					65.5%					
94.0%	46.9%					65.5%					

Student Performance

Comprises 25% of the Overall Score

This Year's Score:
0.674 x 25 = 16.9

A

English Language Arts

Percentage of Students at Proficiency (Level 3 or 4):

73.6%

Median Student Proficiency (1.00-4.50):

3.33

Mathematics

Percentage of Students at Proficiency (Level 3 or 4):

87.4%

Median Student Proficiency (1.00-4.50):

3.83

Your School's Score	Your School Relative to Peer Horizon:					Your School Relative to City Horizon:					Number of students
	0% Peer Min	25%	50%	75%	100% Peer Max	0% City Min	25%	50%	75%	100% City Max	
73.6%	61.3%					69.7%					299
3.33	76.2%					73.7%					299
87.4%	57.6%					73.1%					301
3.83	66.7%					77.1%					301

Student Progress

Comprises 60% of the Overall Score

This Year's Score:
0.554 x 60 = 33.2

A

English Language Arts

Percentage of Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

62.6%

Percentage of Students in School's Lowest 1/3 Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

84.1%

Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 1 and Level 2 Students

0.35

Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 3 and Level 4 Students

(0.01)

Mathematics

Percentage of Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

69.1%

Percentage of Students in School's Lowest 1/3 Students Making at Least 1 Year of Progress

67.1%

Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 1 and Level 2 Students

0.50

Average Change in Student Proficiency for Level 3 and Level 4 Students

(0.04)

Your School's Score	Your School Relative to Peer Horizon:					Your School Relative to City Horizon:					Number of students
	0% Peer Min	25%	50%	75%	100% Peer Max	0% City Min	25%	50%	75%	100% City Max	
62.6%	67.3%					64.3%					386
84.1%	66.3%					68.3%					69
0.35	50.0%					55.9%					144
(0.01)	63.2%					70.0%					242
69.1%	55.2%					68.8%					388
67.1%	41.8%					48.7%					70
0.50	72.3%					83.0%					60
(0.04)	34.5%					50.0%					328