Department of Education
Dennis M. Walcott, Chancellor

# Progress Report 2011-12

**P.S. 205 Fiorello Laguardia**

PRINCIPAL: Carol Rosado

DBN: 10X205
ENROLLMENT: 1026
SCHOOL TYPE: Elementary
PEER INDEX*: 61.91

*See p. 6 for more details on Peer Index.

## PROGRESS REPORT

**A**

OVERALL SCORE **70.0** out of 100

OVERALL PERCENTILE **87** This school's overall score is greater than or equal to that of 87 percent of elementary schools.

For elementary, middle, and K-8 schools, the percent of schools receiving top grades was set in advance. Schools with average English and Math performance in the top third citywide cannot receive a grade lower than a C. Schools in their first year, in phase out, or with fewer than 25 students with progress results receive a report with no grade or score.

Progress Report Grades - Elementary

| GRADE | SCORE RANGE | % OF SCHOOLS |
|---|---|---|
| A | 64.7 or higher | 25% of schools |
| B | 49.8 - 64.6 | 36% of schools |
| C | 35.8 - 49.7 | 30% of schools |
| D | 25.6 - 35.7 | 7% of schools |
| F | 25.5 or lower | 2% of schools |

## QUALITY REVIEW

**WD**

Well Developed ( 2007-08 )

The rating is based on three major categories of school performance: instruction that prepares students for college and careers, school organization and management, and quality of the learning environment.

A school that receives a Well Developed rating earned the highest grade for highly effective teaching and learning practice, strategic school management, and an excellent quality learning environment. For more information, see:

http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/review

## Overview

Each school's Progress Report (1) measures student year-to-year progress, (2) compares the school to peer schools, and (3) rewards success in moving all children forward, especially children with the greatest needs.

| CATEGORY | SCORE | GRADE | DESCRIPTION |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Student Progress** | **36.2** out of 60 | **B** | Student Progress measures how much individual students improved on state tests in English and Math between 2011 and 2012, compared to other students who started at the same level and weights the results of the 2012 3rd grade tests. |
| **Student Performance** | **22.1** out of 25 | **A** | Student Performance measures student results on the 2012 state tests in English and Math. |
| **School Environment** | **8.4** out of 15 | **B** | School Environment measures student attendance and a survey of the school community rating academic expectations, safety and respect, communication, and engagement. |
| **Closing the Achievement Gap** | **3.3** (16 max) | | Schools receive additional credit for exceptional graduation and college/career readiness outcomes of students with disabilities, English Language Learners, and students who enter high school at a low performance level. |
| **Overall Score** | **70.0** out of 100 | **A** | The overall grade is based on the total of all scores above. Category scores may not add up to total score because of rounding. |

## Performance Over Time

Percentile rank of this school's overall Progress Report score for the past three years:




The Progress Report is a one-year snapshot of a school's performance. The Progress Report methodology has evolved over time in response to school and community feedback, changes in state policy, and higher standards. For a description of methodology changes, visit:

http://schools.nyc.gov/ProgressReport

## Progress Report Implications

Strong Progress Report results are the basis for recognition and potential rewards for school leaders, and poor results are an important factor in determining whether schools require intensive support or intervention. For more information, see:

http://schools.nyc.gov/community/planning/Support+and+Intervention.htm

## State Accountability

The school's current status: **In Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility Waiver. More information on New York State accountability can be found here:

http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

GRADE **B**

SCORE 36.2

(out of 60)

| GRADE | SCORE RANGE |
|---|---|
| A | 38.8 or higher |
| B | 29.8 - 38.7 |
| C | 21.5 - 29.7 |
| D | 15.3 - 21.4 |
| F | 15.2 or lower |

Student Progress represents 60% of the total score. The grade is based on growth percentiles, a measure of how much individual students improved on state tests in English and Math between 2011 and 2012, and on early grade progress, a weighted measure of 3rd grade students' test results based on their demographic indicators of need.

| | THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS | COMPARISON TO PEER SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 75%) | PERCENT OF PEER RANGE | COMPARISON TO CITY SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 25%) | PERCENT OF CITY RANGE | POINTS POSSIBLE | POINTS EARNED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **English** | | | | | | | |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile (n=293) | 52.0 | 42.0 – 61.7 – 81.4 (52.0) | 25.4% | 45.0 – 62.8 – 80.6 (52.0) | 19.7% | 10.00 | 2.40 |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile for School's Lowest Third (n=95) | 65.0 | 53.2 – 71.2 – 89.2 (65.0) | 32.8% | 52.1 – 69.6 – 87.1 (65.0) | 36.9% | 10.00 | 3.38 |
| Early Grade Progress (n=154) | 3.76 | 0.73 – 2.22 – 3.71 (3.76) | 100.0% | 0.90 – 2.27 – 3.64 (3.76) | 100.0% | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| **Mathematics** | | | | | | | |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile (n=299) | 60.0 | 31.1 – 56.6 – 82.1 (60.0) | 56.7% | 36.9 – 60.4 – 83.9 (60.0) | 49.1% | 10.00 | 5.48 |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile for School's Lowest Third (n=95) | 64.0 | 38.3 – 64.1 – 89.9 (64.0) | 49.8% | 43.7 – 65.1 – 86.5 (64.0) | 47.4% | 10.00 | 4.92 |
| Early Grade Progress (n=154) | 4.45 | 0.22 – 2.13 – 4.04 (4.45) | 100.0% | 0.58 – 2.26 – 3.94 (4.45) | 100.0% | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| TOTAL POINTS | | | | | | 60.00 | 36.18 |

### How To Interpret These Charts

To determine the number of points earned, this school's 2011-12 results on each metric are compared to the historical results of peer schools and all schools serving the same grade levels citywide. The comparison to peer schools is worth 75% of the points for each metric and the comparison to all schools citywide is worth 25% of the points. The bars represent the range of results for the peer and city comparison schools for 2009-10 and 2010-11 that are within two standard deviations of the average. The percent of the range that is shaded is the school's share of possible points. The share is multiplied by the weight (75% or 25%) and the possible points for the metric to determine the points earned.




Score Calculation Example

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FORMULA | ( | PERCENT OF PEER RANGE | x | 0.75 | + | PERCENT OF CITY RANGE | x | 0.25 | ) | x | POINTS POSSIBLE | = | POINTS EARNED |
| EXAMPLE | ( | 60% | x | 0.75 | + | 80% | x | 0.25 | ) | x | 10 | = | 6.50 |

GRADE **A**

SCORE 22.1 (out of 25)

| GRADE | SCORE RANGE |
|---|---|
| A | 16.1 or higher |
| B | 12.4 - 16.0 |
| C | 8.9 - 12.3 |
| D | 6.4 - 8.8 |
| F | 6.3 or lower |

The Student Performance grade is based on results on the 2012 state tests in English and Math. Student Performance represents 25% of the total score. State test metrics evaluate the percent of students who reach or exceed proficiency (Level 3 and 4) and students' average proficiency rating.

| | THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS | COMPARISON TO PEER SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 75%) | PERCENT OF PEER RANGE | COMPARISON TO CITY SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 25%) | PERCENT OF CITY RANGE | POINTS POSSIBLE | POINTS EARNED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **English** | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 (n=461) | 54.2% | 15.5% 36.4% 54.2% 57.3% | 92.6% | 12.0% 47.7% 54.2% 83.4% | 59.1% | 6.25 | 5.26 |
| Average Student Proficiency (n=461) | 2.99 | 2.40 2.71 2.99 3.02 | 95.2% | 2.35 2.88 2.99 3.41 | 60.4% | 6.25 | 5.41 |
| **Mathematics** | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 (n=463) | 72.1% | 24.0% 48.2% 72.1% 72.4% | 99.4% | 21.8% 59.2% 72.1% 96.6% | 67.2% | 6.25 | 5.71 |
| Average Student Proficiency (n=463) | 3.41 | 2.56 2.98 3.41 3.40 | 100.0% | 2.52 3.18 3.41 3.84 | 67.4% | 6.25 | 5.74 |
| | | | | TOTAL POINTS | | 25.00 | 22.12 |

### How To Interpret These Charts

To determine the number of points earned, this school's 2011-12 results on each metric are compared to the historical results of peer schools and all schools serving the same grade levels citywide. The comparison to peer schools is worth 75% of the points for each metric and the comparison to all schools citywide is worth 25% of the points. The bars represent the range of results for the peer and city comparison schools for 2009-10 and 2010-11 that are within two standard deviations of the average. The percent of the range that is shaded is the school's share of possible points. The share is multiplied by the weight (75% or 25%) and the possible points for the metric to determine the points earned.




Score Calculation Example

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FORMULA | ( | PERCENT OF PEER RANGE | x | 0.75 | + | PERCENT OF CITY RANGE | x | 0.25 | ) | x | POINTS POSSIBLE | = | POINTS EARNED |
| EXAMPLE | ( | 60% | x | 0.75 | + | 80% | x | 0.25 | ) | x | 6.25 | = | 4.06 |

GRADE **B**

SCORE 8.4

(out of 15)

| GRADE | SCORE RANGE |
|---|---|
| A | 9.7 or higher |
| B | 7.4 - 9.6 |
| C | 5.3 - 7.3 |
| D | 3.8 - 5.2 |
| F | 3.7 or lower |

School Environment represents 15% of the total score. The School Environment grade is based on student attendance and results of the NYC School Survey, on which parents and teachers rate academic expectations, safety and respect, communication, and engagement.

## School Survey Results

| | THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS | COMPARISON TO PEER SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 75%) | PERCENT OF PEER RANGE | COMPARISON TO CITY SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 25%) | PERCENT OF CITY RANGE | POINTS POSSIBLE | POINTS EARNED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Academic Expectations | 8.0 | 8.0 (7.2 – 8.8) | 50.0% | 8.0 (6.8 – 9.4) | 46.2% | 2.50 | 1.23 |
| Communication | 7.5 | 7.5 (6.5 – 8.5) | 50.0% | 7.5 (6.1 – 8.9) | 50.0% | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| Engagement | 7.8 | 7.8 (6.8 – 8.6) | 55.6% | 7.8 (6.3 – 9.1) | 53.6% | 2.50 | 1.38 |
| Safety and Respect | 8.2 | 8.2 (7.5 – 8.9) | 50.0% | 8.2 (6.9 – 9.5) | 50.0% | 2.50 | 1.25 |
| Attendance Rate | 93.5% | 93.5% (89.0% – 95.4%) | 70.3% | 93.5% (89.3% – 97.3%) | 52.5% | 5.00 | 3.29 |
| | | | | TOTAL POINTS | | 15.00 | 8.40 |

### How To Interpret These Charts

To determine the number of points earned, this school's 2011-12 results on each metric are compared to the historical results of peer schools and all schools serving the same grade levels citywide. The comparison to peer schools is worth 75% of the points for each metric and the comparison to all schools citywide is worth 25% of the points. The bars represent the range of results for the peer and city comparison schools for 2009-10 and 2010-11 that are within two standard deviations of the average. The percent of the range that is shaded is the school's share of possible points. The share is multiplied by the weight (75% or 25%) and the possible points for the metric to determine the points earned.




Score Calculation Example

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FORMULA | ( | PERCENT OF PEER RANGE | x | 0.75 | + | PERCENT OF CITY RANGE | x | 0.25 | ) | x | POINTS POSSIBLE | = | POINTS EARNED |
| EXAMPLE | ( | 60% | x | 0.75 | + | 80% | x | 0.25 | ) | x | 2.5 | = | 1.63 |

Schools receive additional credit for exceptional gains by students with disabilities, English Language Learners, and students starting with the lowest proficiency citywide. A school earns additional credit when each high-need student meets the success criteria for an eligible metric. The number of points will depend on the percentage of the school's population that is in the high-need group, the percentage of that group that is successful, and a "fixed point value" based on how difficult it is to achieve the success criteria. Additional Credit can only improve a school's Progress Report score. It cannot lower a school's score. Elementary schools are eligible for points on 16 additional credit metrics while middle and K-8 schools are eligible for points on up to 17 metrics, each of which is worth up to one point. (In the table below, "." in "This School's Results" indicates that a school has fewer than 5 eligible students in one of the categories.)

| CATEGORY | THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS | POPULATION PERCENTAGE | FIXED POINT VALUE | POINTS POSSIBLE | POINTS EARNED |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Percent at Level 3 or 4** | | | | | |
| **English** | | | | | |
| Self-Contained (n=33) | 9.1% | 7.2% | 0.326 | 1.00 | 0.21 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching (ICT) (n=32) | 34.4% | 6.9% | 0.113 | 1.00 | 0.27 |
| Special Education Teacher Support Services (SETSS) (n=12) | 50.0% | 2.6% | 0.174 | 1.00 | 0.23 |
| **Mathematics** | | | | | |
| Self-Contained (n=33) | 60.6% | 7.1% | 0.119 | 1.00 | 0.51 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching (ICT) (n=32) | 53.1% | 6.9% | 0.065 | 1.00 | 0.24 |
| Special Education Teacher Support Services (SETSS) (n=12) | 58.3% | 2.6% | 0.103 | 1.00 | 0.16 |
| **Percent at 75th Growth Percentile or Higher** | | | | | |
| **English** | | | | | |
| English Language Learners (n=69) | 30.4% | 23.5% | 0.021 | 1.00 | 0.15 |
| Lowest Third Citywide (n=75) | 40.0% | 25.6% | 0.013 | 1.00 | 0.13 |
| Self-Contained/ICT/SETSS (n=47) | 40.4% | 16.0% | 0.022 | 1.00 | 0.14 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide (n=35) | 51.4% | 11.9% | 0.026 | 1.00 | 0.16 |
| **Mathematics** | | | | | |
| English Language Learners (n=75) | 32.0% | 25.1% | 0.019 | 1.00 | 0.15 |
| Lowest Third Citywide (n=74) | 25.7% | 24.7% | 0.016 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| Self-Contained/ICT/SETSS (n=47) | 42.6% | 15.7% | 0.028 | 1.00 | 0.19 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide (n=24) | 33.3% | 8.0% | 0.035 | 1.00 | 0.09 |
| Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments (n=83) | 0.25 | 8.1% | 0.105 | 1.00 | 0.21 |
| English Language Learner Progress (n=214) | 61.7% | 20.9% | 0.026 | 1.00 | 0.34 |

| | |
|---|---|
| TOTAL POINTS | 3.28 |

**PEER INDEX CALCULATION**

The Peer Index is used to sort schools on the basis of demographics. A higher Peer Index indicates a higher need population. The Peer Index operates on a 1-100 scale and is calculated using the following formula:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FORMULA | ( | Economic Need Index | x | 30 | ) | + | ( | % Students with Disabilities | x | 30 | ) | + | ( | % Black/Hispanic | x | 30 | ) | + | ( | % English Language Learners | x | 10 | ) | = | PEER INDEX |
| FOR THIS SCHOOL | ( | 0.92 | x | 30 | ) | + | ( | 14.6% | x | 30 | ) | + | ( | 92.3% | x | 30 | ) | + | ( | 21.2% | x | 10 | ) | = | 61.91 |

Note: the Economic Need Index is calculated as follows: (1.0 × Percent Temporary Housing) + (0.5 × Percent HRA-eligible) + (0.5 × Percent Free Lunch Eligible)

**PEER GROUP FOR: P.S. 205 Fiorello Laguardia**

Each school's performance is compared to the performance of schools in its peer group. Peer schools are those New York City public schools with a student population most like this school's population, according to the Peer Index. Each elementary and middle school has up to 40 peer schools and each K-8 school has up to 30 peer schools.

| DBN | SCHOOL | PEER INDEX | ECONOMIC NEED INDEX | % IEP | % BLACK or HISPANIC | % ELL |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17K006 | P.S. 006 | 60.88 | 0.82 | 19.0% | 97.0% | 13.6% |
| 08X069 | P.S. 069 The New Vision School | 60.95 | 0.79 | 24.5% | 95.1% | 13.9% |
| 17K241 | P.S. 241 Emma L. Johnston | 60.97 | 0.87 | 13.9% | 98.0% | 12.7% |
| 15K001 | P.S. 001 The Bergen | 61.09 | 0.80 | 17.5% | 94.6% | 35.3% |
| 31R016 | P.S. 016 John J. Driscoll | 61.14 | 0.88 | 23.4% | 82.3% | 30.2% |
| 19K108 | P.S. 108 Sal Abbracciamento | 61.17 | 0.93 | 15.0% | 90.4% | 16.7% |
| 09X035 | P.S. 035 Franz Siegel | 61.19 | 0.86 | 12.5% | 98.6% | 20.3% |
| 13K307 | P.S. 307 Daniel Hale Williams | 61.27 | 0.86 | 26.0% | 91.5% | 3.6% |
| 14K147 | P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen | 61.34 | 0.83 | 20.2% | 97.4% | 10.4% |
| 19K345 | P.S. 345 Patrolman Robert Bolden | 61.45 | 0.96 | 10.6% | 94.6% | 11.6% |
| 08X093 | P.S. 093 Albert G. Oliver | 61.55 | 0.72 | 28.9% | 99.1% | 15.2% |
| 05M125 | P.S. 125 Ralph Bunche | 61.55 | 0.83 | 26.5% | 89.2% | 19.3% |
| 14K059 | P.S. 059 William Floyd | 61.60 | 0.88 | 16.5% | 97.9% | 9.2% |
| 06M098 | P.S. 098 Shorac Kappock | 61.65 | 0.80 | 13.7% | 96.7% | 45.7% |
| 01M137 | P.S. 137 John L. Bernstein | 61.67 | 0.90 | 31.1% | 79.2% | 16.0% |
| 30Q092 | P.S. 092 Harry T. Stewart Sr. | 61.74 | 0.77 | 15.5% | 98.5% | 45.4% |
| 16K627 | Brighter Choice Community School | 61.75 | 0.90 | 15.0% | 99.3% | 5.7% |
| 06M192 | P.S. 192 Jacob H. Schiff | 61.81 | 0.83 | 15.1% | 97.3% | 32.3% |
| 32K151 | P.S. 151 Lyndon B. Johnson | 61.87 | 0.85 | 17.4% | 98.1% | 15.8% |
| 15K024 | P.S. 024 | 61.90 | 0.82 | 15.4% | 92.1% | 49.7% |
| **10X205** | **P.S. 205 Fiorello Laguardia** | **61.91** | **0.92** | **14.6%** | **92.3%** | **21.2%** |
| 32K075 | P.S. 075 Mayda Cortiella | 61.93 | 0.84 | 20.0% | 95.5% | 20.0% |
| 32K106 | P.S. 106 Edward Everett Hale | 61.94 | 0.84 | 17.1% | 94.9% | 29.9% |
| 11X041 | P.S. 041 Gun Hill Road | 62.02 | 0.88 | 16.0% | 98.2% | 14.3% |
| 12X196 | P.S. 196 | 62.10 | 0.87 | 15.5% | 95.3% | 26.6% |
| 32K123 | P.S. 123 Suydam | 62.13 | 0.88 | 16.4% | 95.5% | 22.7% |
| 12X134 | P.S. 134 George F. Bristow | 62.16 | 0.92 | 13.7% | 96.3% | 14.2% |
| 16K040 | P.S. 040 George W. Carver | 62.20 | 0.90 | 19.7% | 95.9% | 4.4% |
| 09X073 | P.S. 073 Bronx | 62.35 | 0.89 | 13.2% | 97.8% | 22.9% |
| 31R057 | P.S. 057 Hubert H. Humphrey | 62.37 | 0.86 | 32.4% | 86.3% | 10.1% |
| 31R018 | P.S. 018 John G. Whittier | 62.38 | 0.88 | 31.1% | 86.6% | 7.4% |
| 08X138 | P.S. 138 Samuel Randall | 62.48 | 0.91 | 17.5% | 97.0% | 7.4% |
| 16K005 | P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair | 62.65 | 0.97 | 17.0% | 92.9% | 6.4% |
| 13K046 | P.S. 046 Edward C. Blum | 62.66 | 0.93 | 13.8% | 97.6% | 14.7% |
| 01M142 | P.S. 142 Amalia Castro | 62.70 | 0.89 | 26.8% | 88.5% | 13.3% |
| 24Q019 | P.S. 019 Marino Jeantet | 62.75 | 0.85 | 13.6% | 89.8% | 61.5% |
| 10X360 | P.S. 360 | 62.75 | 0.91 | 17.5% | 95.1% | 18.3% |
| 31R031 | P.S. 031 William T. Davis | 62.88 | 0.91 | 27.2% | 88.8% | 7.1% |
| 06M153 | P.S. 153 Adam Clayton Powell | 62.90 | 0.88 | 13.6% | 95.6% | 37.0% |
| 11X111 | P.S. 111 Seton Falls | 63.01 | 0.83 | 26.2% | 97.1% | 11.0% |
| 05M197 | P.S. 197 John B. Russwurm | 63.02 | 0.93 | 21.6% | 92.8% | 8.1% |
| | PEER GROUP AVERAGES | 61.95 | 0.87 | 19.1% | 94.1% | 19.5% |

The Progress Report for elementary and middle schools focuses on students' growth to proficiency and beyond, regardless of their starting point. The Progress Report measures individual students' growth on state English and Math tests using growth percentiles.

## 1 GROWTH PERCENTILES

A student's growth percentile compares his or her growth to the growth of all students in the City who started at the same level of proficiency the year before. It is a number between 0 and 100 which represents the percentage of students with the same score on last year's test who scored the same or lower than the student on this year's test. For example, a student with a growth percentile of 84 earned a score on this year's test that was the same or higher than 84 percent of the students in the City who had the same score as he did last year.




## 2 ADJUSTED GROWTH PERCENTILES

To evaluate a school on its students' growth percentiles, the Progress Report uses an adjusted growth percentile. Growth percentile adjustments are based on students' demographic characteristics and reflect average differences in growth compared to students with the same starting proficiency level. The adjustments are made to students' ending proficiency rating as follows:

| CATEGORY | ADJUSTMENT |
|---|---|
| Students with Disabilities (Self-contained) | +0.25 |
| Students with Disabilities (ICT) | +0.15 |
| Students with Disabilities (SETSS) | +0.10 |
| Economic Need Index (per 0.10) | +0.005 |

Note: "Students with Disabilities" for purposes of adjustments is based on the most restrictive setting of students over the last four school years.

## 3 MEDIAN ADJUSTED GROWTH PERCENTILES

The Progress Report evaluates a school based on its median adjusted growth percentile, the adjusted growth percentile of the middle student when all the students' adjusted growth percentiles are listed from lowest to highest.

This page provides more granular data on students' state exam scores. It disaggregates these scores by grade and subject for 2011-12. While the numbers here do not individually count for points, the detailed deconstruction should provide deeper insight into 2011-12 student performance.

| State Exam Scores by Grade | AVERAGE STUDENT PROFICIENCY | PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS AT LEVEL 3 OR LEVEL 4 | MEDIAN ADJUSTED GROWTH PERCENTILE |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Mathematics** | | | |
| 3rd Grade (n = 154) | 3.44 | 76.0% | . |
| 4th Grade (n = 145) | 3.44 | 72.4% | 55.5 |
| 5th Grade (n = 164) | 3.34 | 68.3% | 61.0 |
| **English** | | | |
| 3rd Grade (n = 154) | 3.08 | 57.8% | . |
| 4th Grade (n = 144) | 2.98 | 52.8% | 50.0 |
| 5th Grade (n = 163) | 2.93 | 52.1% | 59.5 |
| **Science** | | | |
| 4th Grade (n = 144) | 3.83 | 95.1% | . |