Department of Education
Dennis M. Walcott, Chancellor

Progress Report 2012-13*

P.S. 270 Johann DeKalb

PRINCIPAL: Mitra Lutchman

DBN: 13K270

ENROLLMENT: 170

SCHOOL TYPE: Elementary

PERCENTILES AND GRADES FOR PREVIOUS YEARS

	2010	2011	2012
PERCENTILE:	99	37	3
GRADE:	A	C	D

KEY DEMOGRAPHIC INDICATORS

%BLACK OR HISPANIC	%ELL	%IEP	ECONOMIC NEED INDEX
94.1%	8.2%	20.0%	0.90

PROGRESS REPORT

D

OVERALL SCORE **31.9** out of 100

OVERALL PERCENTILE RANK **5**

This school's overall score is greater than or equal to that of 4.9 percent of Elementary schools.

For elementary, middle, and K-8 schools, the percent of schools receiving top grades was set in advance. Schools with average English and Math performance in the top third citywide cannot receive a grade lower than a C. Schools in their first year, in phase out, or with fewer than 25 students with progress results receive a report with no grade or score.

Progress Report Grades - Elementary

GRADE	SCORE RANGE	% OF SCHOOLS
A	60.1 or higher	26% of schools
B	48.8 - 60.0	35% of schools
C	36.5 - 48.7	31% of schools
D	30.0 - 36.4	6% of schools
F	29.9 or lower	2% of schools

QUALITY REVIEW

Dev

Developing (2012-13)

The rating is based on three major categories of school performance: instruction that prepares students for college and careers, school organization and management, and quality of the learning environment.

A school that receives a Developing rating typically demonstrates inconsistent teaching and learning practices and is working towards effective school management and a more positive learning environment. For more information see:

http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/review

Overview

Each school's Progress Report (1) measures the student year-to-year progress, (2) compares the school to peer schools, and (3) rewards success in moving all children forward, especially children with the greatest needs.

CATEGORY	SCORE	GRADE	DESCRIPTION
Student Progress	**20.3** out of 60	C	Student Progress measures how much individual students improved on state tests in English and Math between 2012 and 2013, compared to other students who started at the same level.
Student Performance	**8.5** out of 25	C	Student Performance measures student results on the 2013 state tests in English and Math.
School Environment	**0.9** out of 15	F	School Environment measures student attendance and a survey of the school community rating academic expectations, safety and respect, communication, and engagement.
Closing the Achievement Gap	**2.1** out of 17		Schools receive additional credit for exceptional gains by students with disabilities, English Language Learners, and students starting with the lowest proficiency citywide.
Overall Score	**31.9** out of 100	D	The overall grade is based on the total of all scores above. Category scores may not add up to total score because of rounding.

*During the 2012-13 school year, New York City public schools were affected by Hurricane Sandy. Adjustments have been made to attendance rates for all schools.

Progress Report Implications

Strong Progress Report results are the basis for recognition and potential rewards for school leaders, and poor results are an important factor in determining whether schools require intensive support or intervention. For more information, see:

http://schools.nyc.gov/community/planning/Support+and+Intervention.htm

http://schools.nyc.gov/ProgressReport

State Accountability

The school's current status: **In Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility Waiver. More information on New York State accountability can be found here:

http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

GRADE **C**

SCORE 20.3 (out of 60)

GRADE	SCORE RANGE
A	36.0 or higher
B	27.9 - 35.9
C	19.5 - 27.8
D	15.4 - 19.4
F	15.3 or lower

Student Progress represents 60% of the total score. The grade is based on growth percentiles, a measure of how much individual students improved on state tests in English and Math between 2012 and 2013, and on early grade progress, a weighted measure of 3rd grade students' test results based on their demographic indicators of need.

	THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS	COMPARISON TO PEER SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 75%)	PERCENT OF PEER RANGE	COMPARISON TO CITY SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 25%)	PERCENT OF CITY RANGE	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS EARNED
English							
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile (n=55)	69.0	47.5 – 63.5 – 69.0 – 79.5	67.2%	47.3 – 64.1 – 69.0 – 80.9	64.6%	10.00	6.66
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile for School's Lowest Third (n=19)	78.0	62.5 – 78.4 – 78.0 – 94.3	48.7%	57.7 – 75.4 – 78.0 – 93.1	57.3%	10.00	5.09
Early Grade Progress (n=19)	1.10	0.36 – 1.60 – 1.10 – 2.84	29.8%	0.57 – 1.93 – 1.10 – 3.29	19.5%	10.00	2.72
Mathematics							
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile (n=55)	56.0	37.0 – 59.5 – 56.0 – 82.0	42.2%	41.4 – 62.8 – 56.0 – 84.2	34.1%	10.00	4.02
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile for School's Lowest Third (n=19)	59.0	57.6 – 76.1 – 59.0 – 94.6	3.8%	54.9 – 74.1 – 59.0 – 93.3	10.7%	10.00	0.55
Early Grade Progress (n=19)	0.56	0.00 – 1.84 – 0.56 – 3.68	15.2%	0.37 – 2.29 – 0.56 – 4.21	4.9%	10.00	1.26
					TOTAL POINTS	60.00	20.30

How To Interpret These Charts

To determine the number of points earned, this school's 2012-13 results on each metric are compared to the results of peer schools and all schools serving the same grade levels citywide. The comparison to peer schools is worth 75% of the points for each metric and the comparison to all schools citywide is worth 25% of the points. The bars represent the range of results for the peer and city comparison schools that are within two standard deviations of the average. The percent of the range that is shaded is the school's share of possible points. The share is multiplied by the weight (75% or 25%) and the possible points for the metric to determine the points earned.



Score Calculation Example

FORMULA (PERCENT OF PEER RANGE X 0.75 + PERCENT OF CITY RANGE X 0.25) X POINTS POSSIBLE = POINTS EARNED

EXAMPLE (60% X 0.75 + 80% X 0.25) X 3.75 = 2.44

GRADE **C**

SCORE 8.5 (out of 25)

GRADE	SCORE RANGE
A	15.6 or higher
B	10.7 - 15.5
C	7.0 - 10.6
D	5.1 - 6.9
F	5.0 or lower

The Student Performance grade is based on results on the 2013 state tests in English and Math. Student Performance represents 25% of the total score. State test metrics evaluate the percent of students who reach or exceed proficiency (Level 3 and 4) and students' average proficiency rating.

	THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS	COMPARISON TO PEER SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 75%)	PERCENT OF PEER RANGE	COMPARISON TO CITY SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 25%)	PERCENT OF CITY RANGE	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS EARNED
English							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 (n=77)	10.4%	10.4% (1.8% – 16.1% – 30.4%)	30.1%	10.4% (0.0% – 27.5% – 55.0%)	18.9%	6.25	1.71
Average Student Proficiency (n=77)	2.26	2.26 (2.01 – 2.31 – 2.61)	41.7%	2.26 (1.87 – 2.53 – 3.19)	29.5%	6.25	2.42
Mathematics							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 (n=76)	14.5%	14.5% (0.4% – 16.8% – 33.2%)	43.0%	14.5% (0.0% – 32.3% – 64.6%)	22.4%	6.25	2.37
Average Student Proficiency (n=76)	2.21	2.21 (1.94 – 2.32 – 2.70)	35.5%	2.21 (1.83 – 2.64 – 3.45)	23.5%	6.25	2.03
				TOTAL POINTS		25.00	8.53

How To Interpret These Charts

To determine the number of points earned, this school's 2012-13 results on each metric are compared to the results of peer schools and all schools serving the same grade levels citywide. The comparison to peer schools is worth 75% of the points for each metric and the comparison to all schools citywide is worth 25% of the points. The bars represent the range of results for the peer and city comparison schools that are within two standard deviations of the average. The percent of the range that is shaded is the school's share of possible points. The share is multiplied by the weight (75% or 25%) and the possible points for the metric to determine the points earned.



Score Calculation Example

FORMULA (PERCENT OF PEER RANGE X 0.75 + PERCENT OF CITY RANGE X 0.25) X POINTS POSSIBLE = POINTS EARNED

EXAMPLE (60% X 0.75 + 80% X 0.25) X 3.75 = 2.44

GRADE **F**

SCORE 0.9 (out of 15)

GRADE	SCORE RANGE
A	9.1 or higher
B	5.9 - 9.0
C	2.6 - 5.8
D	1.4 - 2.5
F	1.3 or lower

School Environment represents 15% of the total score. The School Environment grade is based on student attendance and your school's NYC School Survey, where parents, teachers, and students in grade 6 and above rate academic expectations, safety and respect, communication, and engagement.

	THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS	COMPARISON TO PEER SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 75%)	PERCENT OF PEER RANGE	COMPARISON TO CITY SCHOOLS (WEIGHTED 25%)	PERCENT OF CITY RANGE	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS EARNED
School Survey Results							
Academic Expectations	7.6	7.6 (7.7 – 8.4 – 9.1)	0.0%	7.6 (7.4 – 8.4 – 9.4)	10.0%	2.50	0.06
Communication	7.4	7.4 (7.3 – 8.1 – 8.9)	6.3%	7.4 (7.3 – 8.2 – 9.1)	5.6%	2.50	0.15
Engagement	7.4	7.4 (7.4 – 8.2 – 9.0)	0.0%	7.4 (7.2 – 8.2 – 9.2)	10.0%	2.50	0.06
Safety and Respect	7.8	7.8 (7.6 – 8.5 – 9.4)	11.1%	7.8 (7.7 – 8.7 – 9.7)	5.0%	2.50	0.24
Attendance Rate	89.4%	89.4% (88.8% – 91.5% – 94.2%)	11.1%	89.4% (89.7% – 93.6% – 97.5%)	0.0%	5.00	0.42
					TOTAL POINTS	15.00	0.93

How To Interpret These Charts

To determine the number of points earned, this school's 2012-13 results on each metric are compared to the results of peer schools and all schools serving the same grade levels citywide. The comparison to peer schools is worth 75% of the points for each metric and the comparison to all schools citywide is worth 25% of the points. The bars represent the range of results for the peer and city comparison schools that are within two standard deviations of the average. The percent of the range that is shaded is the school's share of possible points. The share is multiplied by the weight (75% or 25%) and the possible points for the metric to determine the points earned.



Score Calculation Example

FORMULA (PERCENT OF PEER RANGE X 0.75 + PERCENT OF CITY RANGE X 0.25) X POINTS POSSIBLE = POINTS EARNED

EXAMPLE (60% X 0.75 + 80% X 0.25) X 3.75 = 2.44

Schools receive additional credit for exceptional gains by students with disabilities, English Language Learners, and students starting with the lowest proficiency citywide. A school earns additional credit when each high-need student meets the success criteria for an eligible metric. The number of points will depend on the percentage of the school's population that is in the high-need group, the percentage of that group that is successful, and a "fixed point value" based on how difficult it is to achieve the success criteria. Additional Credit can only improve a school's Progress Report score. It cannot lower a school's score. Elementary schools are eligible for points on 16 additional credit metrics while middle and K-8 schools are eligible for points on up to 17 metrics, each of which is worth up to one point. (In the table below, "." in "This School's Results" indicates that a school has fewer than 5 eligible students in one of the categories.)

CATEGORY	THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE	FIXED POINT VALUE	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS EARNED
Percent at Level 3 or 4					
English					
Self-Contained (n=12)	0.0%	15.6%	1.339	1.00	0.00
Integrated Co-Teaching (ICT) (n=0)	.	.	0.311	1.00	.
Special Education Teacher Support Services (SETSS) (n=4)	.	.	0.633	1.00	.
Mathematics					
Self-Contained (n=12)	0.0%	15.8%	0.497	1.00	0.00
Integrated Co-Teaching (ICT) (n=0)	.	.	0.181	1.00	.
Special Education Teacher Support Services (SETSS) (n=4)	.	.	0.373	1.00	.
Percent at 75th Growth Percentile or Higher					
English					
English Language Learners (n=6)	50.0%	10.9%	0.019	1.00	0.10
Lowest Third Citywide (n=27)	55.6%	49.1%	0.011	1.00	0.30
Self-Contained/ICT/SETSS (n=12)	75.0%	21.8%	0.019	1.00	0.31
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide (n=16)	43.8%	29.1%	0.022	1.00	0.28
Mathematics					
English Language Learners (n=7)	14.3%	12.7%	0.020	1.00	0.04
Lowest Third Citywide (n=25)	40.0%	45.5%	0.012	1.00	0.22
Self-Contained/ICT/SETSS (n=12)	41.7%	21.8%	0.020	1.00	0.18
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide (n=12)	41.7%	21.8%	0.025	1.00	0.23
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments (n=21)	0.43	12.4%	0.070	1.00	0.37
English Language Learner Progress (n=14)	50.0%	8.2%	0.018	1.00	0.07

TOTAL POINTS 2.10

Each school's performance is compared to the performance of schools in its peer group. Peer schools are those New York City public schools with a student population most like this school's population, according to the Peer Index. Each elementary and middle school has up to 40 peer schools and each K-8 school has up to 30 peer schools.

PEER GROUP CALCULATION

Peer groupings are created using a "nearest neighbor" matching methodology. This methodology examines the mathematical difference between a school and all potential peers on a given set of characteristics. Schools with the smallest difference across all the characteristics are peered together.

PEER GROUP FOR: **P.S. 270 Johann DeKalb**

DBN	SCHOOL	ECONOMIC NEED INDEX	%IEP	%BLACK OR HISPANIC	%ELL
13K270	**P.S. 270 Johann Dekalb**	**0.90**	**20.0%**	**94.1%**	**8.2%**
04M037	River East Elementary	0.81	22.3%	95.8%	7.4%
05M197	P.S. 197 John B. Russwurm	1.04	18.8%	96.0%	7.9%
07X157	P.S. 157 Grove Hill	0.98	18.2%	99.5%	10.8%
08X069	P.S. 069 Journey Prep School	0.79	19.6%	95.1%	11.6%
08X072	P.S. 072 Dr. William Dorney	0.77	19.6%	89.6%	6.3%
08X100	P.S. 100 Isaac Clason	0.83	22.7%	97.2%	6.4%
08X107	P.S. 107	0.97	21.9%	97.0%	11.5%
08X140	P.S. X140 The Eagle School	0.99	17.6%	97.0%	10.1%
11X021	P.S. 021 Philip H. Sheridan	0.86	17.6%	96.7%	4.6%
11X078	P.S. 078 Anne Hutchinson	0.78	18.1%	96.8%	5.4%
11X112	P.S. 112 Bronxwood	0.98	17.6%	99.3%	5.4%
11X189	Cornerstone Academy for Social Action	0.82	18.1%	95.0%	5.8%
12X057	P.S. 057 Crescent	0.98	22.0%	97.8%	12.5%
12X067	P.S. 067 Mohegan School	0.96	21.7%	99.3%	12.6%
13K056	P.S. 056 Lewis H. Latimer	0.92	20.5%	95.9%	5.0%
14K016	P.S. 016 Leonard Dunkly	0.82	22.7%	97.4%	8.8%
14K023	P.S. 023 Carter G. Woodson	1.02	21.5%	99.2%	9.8%
14K059	P.S. 059 William Floyd	0.94	18.4%	98.1%	8.6%
14K147	P.S. 147 Isaac ReM.S.en	0.97	19.3%	97.6%	9.9%
14K297	P.S. 297 Abraham Stockton	0.93	20.0%	95.6%	14.5%
16K040	P.S. 040 George W. Carver	0.91	23.2%	95.8%	6.0%
16K627	Brighter Choice Community School	0.90	18.8%	97.8%	7.2%
17K006	P.S. 006	0.88	18.3%	96.6%	13.6%
17K091	P.S. 091 The Albany Avenue School	0.91	22.1%	99.6%	6.1%
17K221	P.S. 221 Toussaint L'ouverture	0.84	18.1%	96.9%	7.5%
17K289	P.S. 289 George V. Brower	0.88	20.1%	96.6%	5.5%
17K316	P.S. 316 Elijah Stroud	0.77	20.8%	92.9%	6.3%
18K219	P.S. 219 Kennedy-king	0.85	18.9%	99.5%	6.2%
19K108	P.S. 108 Sal Abbracciamento	0.98	19.9%	90.0%	13.9%
19K158	P.S. 158 Warwick	0.97	19.8%	97.6%	7.1%
19K213	P.S. 213 New Lots	0.93	21.0%	95.3%	6.1%
19K224	P.S. 224 Hale A. Woodruff	0.91	18.8%	97.5%	5.5%
21K329	P.S. 329 Surfside	0.94	19.4%	89.9%	2.1%
27Q104	P.S. 104 The Bays Water	0.85	20.5%	90.4%	7.7%
27Q106	P.S. 106	0.94	22.1%	92.9%	5.2%
27Q197	P.S. 197 The Ocean School	0.87	20.0%	93.8%	14.1%
28Q048	P.S. 048 William Wordsworth	0.79	20.8%	88.2%	7.1%
29Q052	P.S. 052 Queens	0.94	17.1%	96.7%	5.4%
84M329	Harlem Link Charter School	0.90	18.2%	94.4%	6.6%
84M708	Harlem Prep Charter School	0.86	17.1%	97.4%	6.6%
	PEER GROUP AVERAGES	0.90	19.8%	95.9%	8.0%

This page provides more granular data on students' state exam scores. It disaggregates these scores by grade and subject for 2012-13. While the numbers here do not individually count for points, the detailed deconstruction should provide deeper insight into 2012-13 student performance.

State Exam Scores by Grade

	AVERAGE STUDENT PROFICIENCY	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS AT LEVEL 3 OR LEVEL 4	MEDIAN ADJUSTED GROWTH PERCENTILE
Mathematics			
3rd Grade (n = 19)	2.04	5.3%	
4th Grade (n = 33)	2.35	21.2%	47.0
5th Grade (n = 24)	2.15	12.5%	68.0
English			
3rd Grade (n = 19)	2.15	5.3%	
4th Grade (n = 34)	2.16	5.9%	53.0
5th Grade (n = 24)	2.48	20.8%	82.0
Science			
4th Grade (n = 34)	3.13	58.8%	

Chronic Absenteeism

	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS SCHOOLWIDE	AVERAGE OF SCHOOLS CITYWIDE
Students With Less Than 90% Attendance (n = 185)	46.5%	20.2%

Middle School Readiness

	THIS SCHOOL'S RESULTS	PEER AVERAGE	CITY AVERAGE
Middle School Adjusted Core Course Pass Rates of Former Students (n = 21)	93.7%	88.4%	93.2%