

# School Quality Guide

## 2013-2014

School: THE CINEMA SCHOOL

DBN: 12X478

Principal: Keisha Warner

School Type: High School

### In this report:

School Overview	1
School Quality Guide Summary	2
Quality Review	3-4
Graphs Walk-Through	5
Student Progress	6-8
Student Achievement	9
School Environment	10
College and Career Readiness	11-12
Closing the Achievement Gap	13
Summary of Section Ratings	14-15
Additional Information	16
Peer Group Schools	17
Metric Targets for 2014-15	18-19

## School Overview

Grade	Enrollment		
	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Grade 9	91	84	83
Grade 10	61	93	96
Grade 11	71	58	84
Grade 12	-	69	55
<b>All Students</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>318</b>

Student Population Characteristics	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
% English Language Learners	4%	3%	3%
% Students with IEPs	8%	9%	8%
% Students with IEPs (less than 20% time with non-disabled peers)	1%	2%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	67%	72%	72%
% Overage Under-Credited	4%	3%	3%
% Asian	4%	4%	5%
% Black	29%	29%	24%
% Hispanic	65%	65%	67%
% White	2%	1%	4%
% Other	0%	0%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency (based on 8th grade)	-	2.88	2.63
Average Incoming Math Proficiency (based on 8th grade)	-	3.11	2.44

## Quality Review

Dates of Review: April 23-24, 2012  
Principal at Time of Review: Rex Bobbish

UNDERDEVELOPED

DEVELOPING

PROFICIENT

WELL DEVELOPED

---

## Student Progress

NOT MEETING TARGET

APPROACHING TARGET

MEETING TARGET

EXCEEDING TARGET

---

## Student Achievement

NOT MEETING TARGET

APPROACHING TARGET

MEETING TARGET

EXCEEDING TARGET

---

## School Environment

NOT MEETING TARGET

APPROACHING TARGET

MEETING TARGET

EXCEEDING TARGET

---

## College and Career Readiness

NOT MEETING TARGET

APPROACHING TARGET

MEETING TARGET

EXCEEDING TARGET

---

## Closing the Achievement Gap

NOT MEETING TARGET

APPROACHING TARGET

MEETING TARGET

EXCEEDING TARGET

### State Accountability

The school's current status: **Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility Waiver. More information on New York State accountability can be found here: <http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>.

**Dates of Review:** April 23-24, 2012

**Principal at Time of Review:** Rex Bobbish

**QR Lead Reviewer:** Ronald Feinstein

The Quality Review is an evaluation of the school by an experienced educator based on a formal school visit. The educator observes classrooms and engages in conversations with parents, students, teachers, and school leaders to assess schoolwide practices. The Quality Review report provides specific feedback to support the school's efforts. The information displayed here reflects the most recent year that a Quality Review was conducted at this school. Some schools will not have Quality Review information if they opened within the last two years or if their most recent review took place prior to August 2010.

*To what extent does the school...*

**1.1** *Ensure engaging, rigorous, and coherent curricula in all subjects, accessible for a variety of learners and aligned to Common Core Learning Standards and/or content standards?*



**PROFICIENT**

Excerpt: Ensure that curriculum consistently emphasizes rigorous habits and higher order skills, and plan and refine academic tasks using analysis of student work across grades and content areas to inform revisions.

**1.2** *Develop teacher pedagogy from a coherent set of beliefs about how students learn best that is informed by the instructional shifts and Danielson Framework for Teaching, aligned to the curricula, engaging, and meets the needs of all learners so that all students produce meaningful work products?*



**PROFICIENT**

Excerpt: Promote greater consistency in differentiation reflected in planning and implementation of consistently challenging tasks and questioning that extends student thinking.

**2.2** *Align assessments to curricula, use on-going assessment and grading practices, and analyze information on student learning outcomes to adjust instructional decisions at the team and classroom levels?*



**WELL DEVELOPED**

Excerpt: Individual teachers and teacher teams make good use of assessment data to strengthen collaborative assessment practices, enabling them to create a clear portrait of students' strengths and areas of need.

**3.4** *Establish a culture for learning that communicates high expectations to staff, students, and families, and provide supports to achieve those expectations?*



**WELL DEVELOPED**

Excerpt: School leaders and staff consistently convey high expectations to students and families with supports to assist achievement of these outcomes.

**4.2** *Engage in structured professional collaborations on teams using an inquiry approach that promotes shared leadership and focuses on improved student learning?*



**WELL DEVELOPED**

Excerpt: N/A - This indicator was rated but not written about in the school's final report.

**Dates of Review:** April 23-24, 2012  
**Principal at Time of Review:** Rex Bobbish  
**QR Lead Reviewer:** Ronald Feinstein

## Areas of Celebration

- Aligned use of resources to support instructional goals that meet students' needs
- Curricula-aligned assessment practices that inform instruction
- Support and evaluation of teachers through feedback using the Danielson framework and analysis of learning outcomes
- A culture of learning that communicates high expectations with supports
- Grading policies and other structures used to organize, aggregate, and analyze student performance trends to inform instruction and curriculum

## Areas of Focus

- Data used to regularly evaluate the effectiveness of structured professional collaboration, capacity building, and leadership development strategies
- Research-based, effective instruction that yields high quality student work
- Rigorous, engaging and coherent curricula aligned to the Common Core Learning Standards

## How to Interpret the Graphs Used in the Remainder of the Report

Most of the metrics in the report are presented through two standard graphs, which are intended to help place the school's performance in context.

### Graph Showing Metric Values


This graph shows the school's performance on each metric over the past three years, as well as the range of historical performance by peer schools and citywide schools used in the School Quality Guide (or Progress Report) for those three years. Peer schools for an elementary or K-8 school are similar along the following student population characteristics: Economic Need Index, percent of students with disabilities, percent of black or Hispanic students, and percent of English language learners. Peer schools for middle schools are similar along the following student population characteristics: students' average proficiency on 4th grade ELA and math tests, percent of students with disabilities, and percent of students two or more years overage upon entry into 6th grade. Peer schools for high schools are similar along the following student population characteristics: average 8th grade ELA proficiency, average 8th grade math proficiency, percent students with disabilities, percent students with self-contained placements, and percent over-age students.

- The vertical bars show the school's values on the metric for the last three years, with the school's numerical values (e.g., **30, 19, and 19** in the example below) displayed at the bottom of the bars. These bars can show trends over time in the school's own performance.
- Each year, the School Quality Guide compares the school's performance against multiple years of historical performance by peer and city schools. The middle horizontal line, in black, shows the average from this pool of historical performance by peer schools or the city, depending on which comparison group is being used. Comparing the top of the vertical bar with this black line shows whether the school is above or below the average of the pool of historical results achieved by the comparison group.
- The top and bottom horizontal lines, in gray, show the top and bottom of the "range" of historical values for the comparison group. The range spans two standard deviations above and below the average; in general, this range contains approximately 96% of the values attained by schools in the comparison group. The lower gray line shows the value at the bottom of the range for the comparison group and the higher gray line shows the value at the top of the range for the comparison group. The position of the vertical bar between the two gray lines shows visually where the school falls within the distribution of results achieved by the comparison group.



### Graph Showing Percent of Range

This graph displays the "percent of range" of the school's values for the last three years. The percent of range reflects where the school's value falls between the bottom and top of the range. In mathematical terms,  $percent\ of\ range = (school's\ value - bottom\ of\ range) / (top\ of\ range - bottom\ of\ range)$ . The colors to the right of the chart display the ranges for the various ratings. The range for Exceeding Target is shown in dark green, Meeting Target is shown in light green, Approaching Target is shown in orange, and Not Meeting Target is shown in red.





Student Progress measures the ability of a school to help students progress toward the eventual goal of earning a Regents Diploma.

## Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n=77)

This metric shows the percentage of first year high school students who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City





School and City Values

Percent of City Range

## Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n=25)

This metric shows the percentage of first year high school students in the school's lowest third of incoming achievement who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City





School and City Values

Percent of City Range

## Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n=83)

This metric shows the percentage of second year high school students who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City





School and City Values

Percent of City Range

## Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n=26)

This metric shows the percentage of second year high school students in the school's lowest third of incoming achievement who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Student Progress measures the ability of a school to help students progress toward the eventual goal of earning a Regents Diploma.

## Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n=96)

This metric shows the percentage of third year high school students who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City





School and City Values

Percent of City Range

## Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n=27)

This metric shows the percentage of third year high school students in the school's lowest third of incoming achievement who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City





School and City Values

Percent of City Range

## English - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=101)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the English Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade ELA exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City





School and City Values

Percent of City Range

## Mathematics - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=168)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the Math Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade Math exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.



### Peer



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values


Percent of City Range

Student Progress measures the ability of a school to help students progress toward the eventual goal of earning a Regents Diploma.


## Science - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=172)

This metric reflects student pass rates on Science Regents exams, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade Math exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range


## Global Studies - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=94)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the Global Studies Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade ELA exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range


## United States History - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=100)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the United States History Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade ELA exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range


## Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents (n=197)

This metric measures student progress in the past year towards passing the five Regents subject tests required for a Regents diploma. The metric shows, out of the remaining subjects that the students needed to pass to obtain a Regents diploma, the percentage of subjects that the students passed in 2013-14.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values





Percent of City Range

Student Achievement measures the school's graduation rates and the types of diplomas received by the school's students.



## Four-Year Graduation Rate (n=58)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated with a Regents or Local Diploma within four years, after entering 9th grade in 2010-11.



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range





School and City Values

Percent of City Range



## Six-Year Graduation Rate (n=)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated with a Regents or Local Diploma within six years, after entering 9th grade in 2008-09.



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range





School and City Values

Percent of City Range



## Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n=58)

This metric reflects the diplomas received within four years by the students who entered 9th grade in 2010-11, and provides greater credit for advanced diplomas associated with greater proficiency and for diplomas obtained by students with higher-need demographic characteristics.



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range





School and City Values

Percent of City Range



## Six-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n=)

This metric reflects the diplomas received within six years by students who entered 9th grade in 2008-09, and provides greater credit for advanced diplomas associated with greater proficiency and for diplomas obtained by students with higher-need demographic characteristics.



School and Peer Values

Percent of Peer Range




School and City Values

Percent of City Range

The NYC School Survey is administered annually to all parents, all teachers, and students in grades 6–12. Through the survey, these members of school communities respond to questions that gauge their satisfaction with elements of the school’s learning environment. In 2013–14 accountability reports, these responses were reorganized to broadly align to guiding concepts in the Quality Review rubric: the instructional core, school culture, and systems for improvement. Please note that this organization is designed to help school communities better interpret survey responses, but survey responses do not contribute to Quality Review ratings in these categories.


## Survey Satisfaction - Instructional Core

This metric shows the average percent of positive responses to the NYC School Survey questions related to the school's instructional core.




## Survey Satisfaction - School Culture

This metric shows the average percent of positive responses to the NYC School Survey questions related to the school's culture.




## Survey Satisfaction - Systems for Improvement

This metric shows the average percent of positive responses to the NYC School Survey questions related to the school's systems for improvement.



## Attendance

The attendance rate includes the attendance for all K-8 students on a school's register at any point during the school year (September through June).




College and Career Readiness measures the college-readiness of students, based on their achievements in high school and their outcomes after leaving high school.


## 4-Year College Readiness Index (n=58)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated with a Regents diploma and met CUNY's standards for college readiness in English and math within four years, after entering 9th grade in 2010-11.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range


## 6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence (n=)

This metric shows the percentage of students who (1) graduated with a Regents diploma and met CUNY's standards for English and math, or (2) graduated from high school, enrolled in college, and persisted in college through the beginning of their third semester; within six years after entering 9th grade in 2008-09.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range


## Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n=75)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated from high school and enrolled in college, a vocational program, or public service within six months of their scheduled graduation date, out of students who entered 9th grade in 2009-10.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range


## Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months (n=)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated from high school and enrolled in college, a vocational program, or public service within 18 months of their scheduled graduation date, out of students who entered 9th grade in 2008-09.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values




Percent of City Range

College and Career Readiness measures the college-readiness of students, based on their achievements in high school and their outcomes after leaving high school.


## College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n=58)

This metric shows the percentage of students who successfully completed approved rigorous courses and assessments within four years of high school, after entering 9th grade in 2010-11.

### Peer




School and Peer Values




Percent of Peer Range

### City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Closing the Achievement Gap measures the extent to which the school serves and succeeds with students in special populations.

	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE	SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE	SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE
<b>Four Year Weighted Diploma Rate</b>						
English Language Learners (n = 4)			350.0%	8.1%		6.9%
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS (n = 7)					314.3%	12.1%
All Students in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 10)			250.0%	13.5%	200.0%	17.2%
Black / Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 5)					160.0%	8.6%
<b>College and Career Readiness</b>						
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n = 10)			10.0%	13.5%	0.0%	17.2%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index (n = 10)			10.0%	13.5%	0.0%	17.2%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n = 3)						4.0%
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments (n = 20)	0.70	4.5%	0.74	6.3%	1.15	6.3%

# Summary of Section Ratings

This section shows how the ratings are calculated for the Student Progress, Student Achievement, School Environment, College and Career Readiness, and Closing the Achievement Gap sections.

	This School's Results	Peer Comparison (weighted 75%)				City Comparison (weighted 25%)				Points Possible	Points Earned
		Peer Range			Percent of Peer Range	City Range			Percent of City Range		
		0%	Average	100%		0%	Average	100%			
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n = 77)	97.4%	69.7%	88.2%	100.0%	91.4%	55.4%	80.1%	100.0%	94.2%	7.6	7.0
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n = 83)	97.6%	68.1%	86.3%	100.0%	92.5%	46.9%	75.0%	100.0%	95.5%	7.6	7.1
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n = 96)	96.9%	64.1%	83.9%	100.0%	91.4%	43.6%	72.4%	100.0%	94.5%	7.6	7.0
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n = 25)	92.0%	42.8%	76.9%	100.0%	86.0%	30.1%	66.7%	100.0%	88.6%	7.6	6.6
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n = 26)	96.2%	42.3%	75.4%	100.0%	93.4%	21.0%	61.0%	100.0%	95.2%	7.6	7.1
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n = 27)	92.6%	43.6%	74.3%	100.0%	86.9%	18.7%	58.7%	98.7%	92.4%	7.6	6.7
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents (n = 197)	81.0%	49.0%	73.5%	98.0%	65.3%	12.1%	53.8%	95.5%	82.6%	9.1	6.3
English - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 101)	1.06	0.69	1.03	1.37	54.4%	0.45	0.91	1.37	66.3%	9.1	5.2
Mathematics - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 168)	1.48	0.73	1.22	1.71	76.5%	0.39	1.01	1.63	87.9%	9.1	7.2
Science - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 172)	1.21	0.77	1.23	1.69	47.8%	0.16	1.11	2.06	55.3%	9.1	4.5
Global Studies - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 94)	0.93	0.61	0.99	1.37	42.1%	0.29	0.80	1.31	62.7%	9.1	4.3
United States History - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 100)	1.17	0.58	1.03	1.48	65.6%	0.38	0.90	1.42	76.0%	9.1	6.2

Student Progress Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 29.5 or Lower	Approaching Target 29.6 to 50.1	Meeting Target 50.2 to 69.5	Exceeding Target 69.6 or Higher

**75.2**

## Student Achievement

Four-Year Graduation Rate (n = 58)	89.7%	67.7%	86.5%	100.0%	68.1%	37.2%	71.4%	100.0%	83.6%	50.0	36.0
Six-Year Graduation Rate (n = 0)		74.5%	89.3%	100.0%		52.0%	78.3%	100.0%		0.0	
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n = 58)	212.9%	136.9%	210.3%	283.7%	51.8%	81.6%	181.3%	281.0%	65.8%	50.0	27.7
Six-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n = 0)		128.5%	206.7%	284.9%		93.8%	184.4%	275.0%		0.0	

Student Achievement Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 26.3 or Lower	Approaching Target 26.4 to 55.1	Meeting Target 55.2 to 75.5	Exceeding Target 75.6 or Higher

**63.7**

## School Environment

School Survey - Instructional Core	86.3%	79.7%	88.0%	96.3%	39.8%	75.0%	86.8%	98.6%	47.9%	22.0	9.2
School Survey - School Culture	85.5%	79.2%	87.6%	96.0%	37.5%	73.7%	85.5%	97.3%	50.0%	22.0	8.9
School Survey - Structures for Improvement	83.0%	74.2%	85.4%	96.6%	39.3%	71.0%	84.5%	98.0%	44.4%	22.0	8.9
Attendance	90.3%	87.2%	91.6%	96.0%	35.2%	73.4%	86.2%	99.0%	66.0%	34.0	14.6

School Environment Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 19.0 or Lower	Approaching Target 19.1 to 46.6	Meeting Target 46.7 to 66.1	Exceeding Target 66.2 or Higher

**41.6**

## College and Career Readiness

4-Year College Readiness Index (n = 58)	36.2%	2.0%	34.2%	66.4%	53.1%	0.0%	23.9%	47.8%	75.7%	30.8	18.1
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence (n = 0)		35.1%	62.3%	89.5%		5.9%	48.4%	90.9%		0.0	
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n = 75)	68.0%	44.5%	67.9%	91.3%	50.2%	12.1%	52.2%	92.3%	69.7%	23.1	12.7
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months (n = 0)		52.8%	74.4%	96.0%		25.7%	61.0%	96.3%		0.0	
College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n = 58)	25.9%	6.0%	51.9%	97.8%	21.7%	0.0%	37.6%	75.2%	34.4%	46.2	11.5

College and Career Readiness Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 21.4 or Lower	Approaching Target 21.5 to 49.6	Meeting Target 49.7 to 72.6	Exceeding Target 72.7 or Higher

**42.3**

This section shows how the ratings are calculated for the Student Progress, Student Achievement, School Environment, College and Career Readiness, and Closing the Achievement Gap sections.

This School's Population Percentage      This School's Population Percentage (Percent of City Range)      This School's Results      This School's Results (Percent of City Range)

## Closing the Achievement Gap

### Four Year Weighted Diploma Rate

English Language Learners (n = 4)	6.9%	12.5%		
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS (n = 7)	12.1%	31.5%	314.3%	74.8%
All Students in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 10)	17.2%	26.5%	200.0%	73.6%
Black / Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 5)	8.6%	22.7%	160.0%	

### College and Career Readiness

Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n = 10)	17.2%	26.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index (n = 10)	17.2%	26.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n = 3)	4.0%	6.8%		
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments (n = 20)	6.3%	21.8%	1.15	

Average of Results (Percent of City Range) **37.1**

Closing the Achievement Gap			
Not Meeting Target 26.1 or Lower	Approaching Target 26.2 to 46.8	Meeting Target 46.9 to 67.8	Exceeding Target 67.9 or Higher

This Closing the Achievement Gap section reflects the degree to which the school is helping high-need students succeed. In some cases, schools will not receive a rating in this section because those students make up a very small proportion of the school's student population.

The metric values, listed as "This School's Results," show the school's results with its students in the relevant group. The metric scores, listed as "This School's Results (Percent of City Range)," show how the school's results compared to the rest of the city. A metric will not be scored, however, if those students are a very small proportion of the school—specifically, if "This School's Population Percentage (Percent of City Range)" is less than 25.0% (meaning that the school's population percentage is more than one standard deviation below the citywide average). For these unscored metrics, "This School's Results (Percent of City Range)" will be left blank.

The section score is the average of the school's metric scores, and the section rating is determined by the range that the score falls within, which will be shaded in the ratings table above. A school will not receive a rating, however, if it has fewer than three scored metrics in this section.

This page provides more granular data on student outcomes. While the numbers here do not individually count for points, the detailed deconstruction should provide deeper insight into 2013–14 student outcomes.

**Regents Exams** Includes all students in the high school who took the respective exams in January, June or August 2014.

		Average Score	% passing	% at College Ready Threshold for Exam
<b>Mathematics:</b>	Integrated Algebra (n = 145)	73	86%	25%
	Geometry (n = 111)	66	57%	10%
	Algebra 2/Trigonometry (n = 80)	48	23%	6%
<b>ELA:</b>	Comprehensive English (n = 113)	74	89%	46%
<b>Social Studies:</b>	U.S. History (n = 100)	85	95%	.
	Global History (n = 96)	71	74%	.
<b>Science:</b>	Chemistry (n = 50)	58	38%	.
	Physics (n = 18)	52	17%	.
	Earth Science (n = 69)	62	45%	.
<b>Languages:</b>	Living Environment (n = 108)	72	83%	.
	Languages Other Than English (n = 18)	69	56%	.

**College Exams** Calculated as highest result for the 2014 four-year graduation cohort anytime during their high school career.

		Average Score	% of 4-year Cohort Taking	% at College Ready Threshold for Exam
<b>SAT:</b>	Mathematics (n = 58)	431	91.4%	29.3%
	Critical Reading (n = 58)	436	91.4%	19.0%
	Writing (n = 58)	430	91.4%	.
<b>ACT:</b>	Mathematics (n = 58)	.	8.6%	6.9%
	English (n = 58)	.	8.6%	5.2%
	Reading (n = 58)	.	1.7%	.
	Science (n = 58)	.	8.6%	.
<b>CUNY:</b>	Math 1 (n = 58)	.	19.0%	.
	Math 2 (n = 58)	40	29.3%	12.1%
	Reading (n = 58)	.	19.0%	17.2%
	Writing (n = 58)	.	19.0%	13.8%

## College and Career Readiness Metrics Disaggregated

	% of 4-year Cohort	% of 6-year Cohort
<b>College Readiness Index (counting positively requires ALL thresholds)</b>	36.2%	.
% attaining Local Diploma	89.7%	.
% attaining Math College Readiness Standard	39.7%	.
% attaining English College Readiness Standard	58.6%	.
	<b>% of 4-year Cohort</b>	
<b>College and Career Preparatory Course Index</b>	25.9%	
% scoring 65+ on the Algebra II, Math B, Chemistry or Physics Regents Exam	19.0%	
% scoring 3+ on any Advanced Placement (AP) Exam	6.9%	
% scoring 4+ on any International Baccalaureate (IB) Exam	0.0%	
% earning a diploma with a Career and Technical Education (CTE) Endorsement	0.0%	
% passing an industry-recognized technical assessment	0.0%	
% earning a diploma with an Arts endorsement	0.0%	
% earning a grade of "C" or higher in a course for college credit	12.1%	
% passing another course certified by the DOE as college- and career-ready	0.0%	
	<b>6 Months After Graduating</b>	
<b>Post-secondary Enrollment Rate</b>	68.0%	
CUNY 2-year	8.0%	
CUNY 4-year	33.3%	
NYS Public	8.0%	
NYS Private	10.7%	
Out-of-State	8.0%	
Public Service	0.0%	
Vocational Program	0.0%	

Each school's performance is compared to the performance of schools in its peer group. Peer schools are those New York City public schools with a student population most like this school's population, according to the peering characteristics. Each school has up to 40 peer schools (except for K-8 schools, which have up to 30 peer schools).

Peer groupings are created using a matching methodology that examines the mathematical difference between a school and all potential peers on the peering characteristics. Schools with the smallest difference across all the characteristics are peered together.

DBN	SCHOOL	AVERAGE ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	AVERAGE MATH PROFICIENCY	% STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	% SELF- CONTAINED	% OVERAGE
<b>12X478</b>	<b>THE CINEMA SCHOOL</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>2.44</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
02M298	Pace High School	2.64	2.51	15.0%	1.9%	3.3%
02M407	Institute for Collaborative Education	2.84	2.40	13.5%	1.1%	4.1%
02M420	High School for Health Professions and Human Services	2.70	2.62	14.2%	4.0%	3.8%
02M439	Manhattan Village Academy	2.70	2.69	11.1%	1.7%	0.5%
02M519	Talent Unlimited High School	3.00	2.68	7.0%	0.8%	1.9%
02M531	Repertory Company High School for Theatre Arts	2.80	2.50	9.3%	1.3%	1.3%
02M600	The High School of Fashion Industries	2.66	2.45	14.0%	4.7%	2.8%
04M555	Central Park East High School	2.67	2.53	17.1%	2.7%	2.5%
05M499	Frederick Douglass Academy	2.57	2.52	10.9%	4.1%	4.2%
06M540	A. Philip Randolph Campus High School	2.58	2.46	11.3%	6.3%	3.7%
07X495	University Heights Secondary School	2.73	2.60	9.4%	2.4%	3.0%
07X500	Hostos-Lincoln Academy of Science	2.63	2.63	15.7%	4.4%	3.8%
09X413	Bronx High School for Medical Science	2.52	2.49	8.4%	3.4%	3.0%
10X442	Celia Cruz Bronx High School of Music, The	2.57	2.37	13.3%	1.4%	3.0%
10X477	Marble Hill High School for International Studies	2.42	2.51	10.5%	2.0%	7.2%
11X249	Bronx Health Sciences High School	2.47	2.38	10.7%	5.2%	6.4%
13K595	Bedford Academy High School	2.79	2.67	11.1%	2.2%	2.5%
14K614	Young Women's Leadership School of Brooklyn	2.56	2.27	13.6%	2.6%	2.6%
15K656	Brooklyn High School of the Arts	2.63	2.43	11.9%	3.3%	2.2%
17K546	High School for Public Service: Heroes of Tomorrow	2.81	2.67	10.0%	5.2%	3.0%
18K633	High School for Medical Professions	2.50	2.31	13.8%	5.9%	2.9%
19K409	East New York Family Academy	2.57	2.60	11.6%	3.9%	1.8%
20K609	Urban Assembly School for Criminal Justice	2.58	2.36	13.6%	2.3%	5.6%
22K425	James Madison High School	2.63	2.59	14.8%	5.3%	3.7%
24Q520	Middle College High School at LaGuardia Community College	2.34	2.35	16.2%	2.0%	3.0%
24Q550	High School for Arts and Business	2.43	2.38	15.6%	3.3%	3.4%
24Q560	Robert F. Wagner, Jr. Secondary School for Arts and Technolo	2.65	2.56	15.2%	2.5%	1.7%
24Q585	Maspeth High School	2.82	2.73	12.3%	3.3%	1.6%
27Q262	Channel View School for Research	2.59	2.35	14.8%	3.5%	3.5%
28Q284	York Early College Academy	2.92	2.76	9.1%	0.6%	2.2%
28Q350	Jamaica Gateway to the Sciences	2.56	2.54	12.9%	4.3%	5.6%
28Q896	Young Women's Leadership School, Queens	2.62	2.36	16.1%	2.2%	1.9%
29Q272	George Washington Carver High School for the Sciences	2.58	2.40	12.0%	4.4%	7.8%
30Q286	Young Women's Leadership School, Astoria	2.85	2.69	10.4%	1.6%	3.1%
84M284	Harlem Children's Zone Promise Academy 1 Charter School	2.57	2.56	14.3%	0.0%	0.8%
84M353	New Heights Academy Charter School	2.29	2.39	12.4%	0.3%	2.6%
84M481	Democracy Prep Harlem Charter School	2.79	2.70	11.8%	2.7%	2.7%
84X347	International Leadership Charter High School	2.48	2.39	9.6%	2.0%	0.7%
84X393	University Prep Charter High School	2.45	2.42	16.1%	1.4%	3.7%
84X703	Bronx Preparatory Charter School	2.48	2.44	15.6%	0.6%	3.1%
PEER GROUP AVERAGES		2.62	2.50	12.5%	2.8%	3.2%

The previous pages in this report have shown the school's performance in 2013-14 and earlier. In contrast, this page is forward looking and shows targets connected to the category ratings for the 2014-15 school year.

	This School's 2013-14 Result	2014-15 Metric Values Needed for Each Rating			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>Student Progress</b>					
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year	97.4%	76.7% or lower	76.8% to 83.5%	83.6% to 89.9%	90.0% or higher
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	97.6%	75.0% or lower	75.1% to 82.3%	82.4% to 89.1%	89.2% or higher
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	96.9%	72.1% or lower	72.2% to 80.2%	80.3% to 87.9%	88.0% or higher
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year	92.0%	57.7% or lower	57.8% to 70.1%	70.2% to 81.7%	81.8% or higher
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	96.2%	56.3% or lower	56.4% to 69.1%	69.2% to 81.1%	81.2% or higher
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	92.6%	56.8% or lower	56.9% to 69.3%	69.4% to 81.1%	81.2% or higher
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	81.0%	59.0% or lower	59.1% to 70.3%	70.4% to 80.9%	81.0% or higher
English - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	1.06	0.85 or lower	0.86 to 1.00	1.01 to 1.14	1.15 or higher
Mathematics - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	1.48	0.96 or lower	0.97 to 1.17	1.18 to 1.37	1.38 or higher
Science - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	1.21	0.99 or lower	1.00 to 1.21	1.22 to 1.41	1.42 or higher
Global Studies - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	0.93	0.78 or lower	0.79 to 0.94	0.95 to 1.10	1.11 or higher
United States History - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	1.17	0.80 or lower	0.81 to 0.99	1.00 to 1.17	1.18 or higher

## Student Achievement

Four-Year Graduation Rate	89.7%	72.8% or lower	72.9% to 83.4%	83.5% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher
Six-Year Graduation Rate		78.6% or lower	78.7% to 87.0%	87.1% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	212.9%	167.4% or lower	167.5% to 212.7%	212.8% to 244.7%	244.8% or higher
Six-Year Weighted Diploma Rate		163.4% or lower	163.5% to 210.0%	210.1% to 243.1%	243.2% or higher

## School Environment

School Survey - Instructional Core	86.3%	82.1% or lower	82.2% to 87.1%	87.2% to 90.6%	90.7% or higher
School Survey - School Culture	85.5%	81.5% or lower	81.6% to 86.5%	86.6% to 90.0%	90.1% or higher
School Survey - Structures for Improvement	83.0%	77.9% or lower	78.0% to 84.3%	84.4% to 88.9%	89.0% or higher
Attendance	90.3%	87.7% or lower	87.8% to 90.6%	90.7% to 92.7%	92.8% or higher

## College and Career Readiness

4-Year College Readiness Index	36.2%	14.0% or lower	14.1% to 30.7%	30.8% to 44.4%	44.5% or higher
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence		42.7% or lower	42.8% to 59.6%	59.7% to 73.3%	73.4% or higher
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	68.0%	50.4% or lower	50.5% to 65.1%	65.2% to 77.1%	77.2% or higher
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months		58.4% or lower	58.5% to 71.9%	72.0% to 82.9%	83.0% or higher
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	25.9%	22.9% or lower	23.0% to 47.4%	47.5% to 67.4%	67.5% or higher

The previous pages in this report have shown the school's performance in 2013-14 and earlier. In contrast, this page is forward looking and shows targets connected to the category ratings for the 2014-15 school year.

	This School's 2013-14 Result	2014-15 Metric Values Needed for Each Rating			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>Closing the Achievement Gap</b>					
<b>Four Year Weighted Diploma Rate</b>					
English Language Learners		102.9% or lower	103.0% to 163.8%	163.9% to 225.6%	225.7% or higher
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	314.3%	109.9% or lower	110.0% to 196.9%	197.0% to 285.1%	285.2% or higher
All Students in the Lowest Third Citywide	200.0%	71.1% or lower	71.2% to 127.4%	127.5% to 184.5%	184.6% or higher
Black / Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide	160.0%	65.2% or lower	65.3% to 116.8%	116.9% to 169.1%	169.2% or higher
<b>College and Career Readiness</b>					
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index	0.0%	7.2% or lower	7.3% to 12.9%	13.0% to 18.8%	18.9% or higher
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index	0.0%	1.3% or lower	1.4% to 2.4%	2.5% to 3.6%	3.7% or higher
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months		11.3% or lower	11.4% to 20.3%	20.4% to 29.4%	29.5% or higher
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments	1.15	0.26 or lower	0.27 to 0.46	0.47 to 0.67	0.68 or higher