Department of Education
Carmen Fariña, Chancellor

School Quality Guide

2013-2014

School: High School of World Cultures

DBN: 12X550

Principal: Ramon Namnun

School Type: High School

In this report:

School Overview	1
School Quality Guide Summary	2
Quality Review	3–4
Graphs Walk-Through	5
Student Progress	6–8
Student Achievement	9
School Environment	10
College and Career Readiness	11–12
Closing the Achievement Gap	13
Summary of Section Ratings	14–15
Additional Information	16
Peer Group Schools	17
Metric Targets for 2014–15	18-19

School Overview

Grade	Enrollment		
	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Grade 9	59	107	97
Grade 10	121	151	150
Grade 11	149	77	99
Grade 12	40	57	42
All Students	**369**	**392**	**388**

Student Population Characteristics	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
% English Language Learners	86%	89%	89%
% Students with IEPs	1%	1%	0%
% Students with IEPs (less than 20% time with non-disabled peers)	0%	0%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	94%	94%
% Overage Under-Credited	34%	37%	38%
% Asian	2%	6%	6%
% Black	11%	10%	9%
% Hispanic	85%	79%	81%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	3%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency (based on 8th grade)	-	2.12	1.89
Average Incoming Math Proficiency (based on 8th grade)	-	2.51	2.01

Quality Review

Dates of Review: December 11-12, 2013
Principal at Time of Review: Ramon Namnun

UNDERDEVELOPED	**DEVELOPING**	PROFICIENT	WELL DEVELOPED

Student Progress

NOT MEETING TARGET	APPROACHING TARGET	**MEETING TARGET**	EXCEEDING TARGET

Student Achievement

NOT MEETING TARGET	**APPROACHING TARGET**	MEETING TARGET	EXCEEDING TARGET

School Environment

NOT MEETING TARGET	**APPROACHING TARGET**	MEETING TARGET	EXCEEDING TARGET

College and Career Readiness

NOT MEETING TARGET	**APPROACHING TARGET**	MEETING TARGET	EXCEEDING TARGET

Closing the Achievement Gap

NOT MEETING TARGET	APPROACHING TARGET	MEETING TARGET	**EXCEEDING TARGET**

State Accountability

The school's current status: **Focus**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility Waiver. More information on New York State accountability can be found here: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm.

Quality Review

DEVELOPING 3

Dates of Review: December 11-12, 2013
Principal at Time of Review: Ramon Namnun
QR Lead Reviewer: Elaine Lindsey

The Quality Review is an evaluation of the school by an experienced educator based on a formal school visit. The educator observes classrooms and engages in conversations with parents, students, teachers, and school leaders to assess schoolwide practices. The Quality Review report provides specific feedback to support the school's efforts. The information displayed here reflects the most recent year that a Quality Review was conducted at this school. Some schools will not have Quality Review information if they opened within the last two years or if their most recent review took place prior to August 2010.

To what extent does the school...

1.1 *Ensure engaging, rigorous, and coherent curricula in all subjects, accessible for a variety of learners and aligned to Common Core Learning Standards and/or content standards?*

Excerpt: Continue to develop curricula to include rigorous Common Core aligned units and tasks that consistently include critical thinking skills, evidence based discourse and higher order work products.

DEVELOPING

1.2 *Develop teacher pedagogy from a coherent set of beliefs about how students learn best that is informed by the instructional shifts and Danielson Framework for Teaching, aligned to the curricula, engaging, and meets the needs of all learners so that all students produce meaningful work products?*

Excerpt: Deepen the level of instruction so that lessons and tasks consistently engage students in critical thinking with multiple entry points that extends opportunities for all students to learn and achieve at high levels

DEVELOPING

2.2 *Align assessments to curricula, use on-going assessment and grading practices, and analyze information on student learning outcomes to adjust instructional decisions at the team and classroom levels?*

Excerpt: Improve the use of varied assessment data to inform instructional adjustments, analyze student performance, with ongoing checks for comprehension in order to apprise students of next learning steps.

DEVELOPING

3.4 *Establish a culture for learning that communicates high expectations to staff, students, and families, and provide supports to achieve those expectations?*

Excerpt: N/A - This indicator was rated but not written about in the school's final report.

PROFICIENT

4.2 *Engage in structured professional collaborations on teams using an inquiry approach that promotes shared leadership and focuses on improved student learning?*

Excerpt: Professional collaborations across grades and disciplines enable teachers to reflect on student work, improve instruction and develop leadership skills to improve student achievement.

PROFICIENT

Quality Review - continued

DEVELOPING 4

Dates of Review: December 11-12, 2013
Principal at Time of Review: Ramon Namnun
QR Lead Reviewer: Elaine Lindsey

Areas of Celebration

- Aligned use of resources to support instructional goals that meet students' needs
- Structures for positive learning environment, inclusive culture, and student success
- Teacher teams engaged in collaborative practice using the inquiry approach to improve classroom practice

Areas of Focus

- Curricula-aligned assessment practices that inform instruction
- Research-based, effective instruction that yields high quality student work
- Rigorous, engaging and coherent curricula aligned to the Common Core Learning Standards

How to Interpret the Graphs Used in the Remainder of the Report

Most of the metrics in the report are presented through two standard graphs, which are intended to help place the school's performance in context.

Graph Showing Metric Values

This graph shows the school's performance on each metric over the past three years, as well as the range of historical performance by peer schools and citywide schools used in the School Quality Guide (or Progress Report) for those three years. Peer schools for an elementary or K-8 school are similar along the following student population characteristics: Economic Need Index, percent of students with disabilities, percent of black or Hispanic students, and percent of English language learners. Peer schools for middle schools are similar along the following student population characteristics: students' average proficiency on 4th grade ELA and math tests, percent of students with disabilities, and percent of students two or more years overage upon entry into 6th grade. Peer schools for high schools are similar along the following student population characteristics: average 8th grade ELA proficiency, average 8th grade math proficiency, percent students with disabilities, percent students with self-contained placements, and percent over-age students.

- The vertical bars show the school's values on the metric for the last three years, with the school's numerical values (e.g., **30, 19, and 19** in the example below) displayed at the bottom of the bars. These bars can show trends over time in the school's own performance.

- Each year, the School Quality Guide compares the school's performance against multiple years of historical performance by peer and city schools. The middle horizontal line, in black, shows the average from this pool of historical performance by peer schools or the city, depending on which comparison group is being used. Comparing the top of the vertical bar with this black line shows whether the school is above or below the average of the pool of historical results achieved by the comparison group.

- The top and bottom horizontal lines, in gray, show the top and bottom of the "range" of historical values for the comparison group. The range spans two standard deviations above and below the average; in general, this range contains approximately 96% of the values attained by schools in the comparison group. The lower gray line shows the value at the bottom of the range for the comparison group and the higher gray line shows the value at the top of the range for the comparison group. The position of the vertical bar between the two gray lines shows visually where the school falls within the distribution of results achieved by the comparison group.



Graph Showing Percent of Range

This graph displays the "percent of range" of the school's values for the last three years. The percent of range reflects where the school's value falls between the bottom and top of the range. In mathematical terms, *percent of range = (school's value – bottom of range) / (top of range – bottom of range)*. The colors to the right of the chart display the ranges for the various ratings. The range for Exceeding Target is shown in dark green, Meeting Target is shown in light green, Approaching Target is shown in orange, and Not Meeting Target is shown in red.



Student Progress

MEETING TARGET

Student Progress measures the ability of a school to help students progress toward the eventual goal of earning a Regents Diploma.

Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n=55)

This metric shows the percentage of first year high school students who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n=4)

This metric shows the percentage of first year high school students in the school's lowest third of incoming achievement who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n=110)

This metric shows the percentage of second year high school students who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n=4)

This metric shows the percentage of second year high school students in the school's lowest third of incoming achievement who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Student Progress measures the ability of a school to help students progress toward the eventual goal of earning a Regents Diploma.

Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n=98)

This metric shows the percentage of third year high school students who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n=6)

This metric shows the percentage of third year high school students in the school's lowest third of incoming achievement who accumulate 10 or more academic credits, with particular focus given to credits earned in English, math, science, and social studies.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

English - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=131)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the English Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade ELA exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Mathematics - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=232)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the Math Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade Math exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Student Progress measures the ability of a school to help students progress toward the eventual goal of earning a Regents Diploma.

Science - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=182)

This metric reflects student pass rates on Science Regents exams, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade Math exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Global Studies - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=108)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the Global Studies Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade ELA exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

United States History - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n=115)

This metric reflects student pass rates on the United States History Regents exam, weighted based on students' likelihood of passing the exam given their performance on the 8th grade ELA exam. Schools receive more credit on this metric when students pass the exam at a greater rate than would be expected based on their 8th grade exam scores.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents (n=268)

This metric measures student progress in the past year towards passing the five Regents subject tests required for a Regents diploma. The metric shows, out of the remaining subjects that the students needed to pass to obtain a Regents dipoma, the percentage of subjects that the students passed in 2013-14.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Student Achievement

APPROACHING TARGET 9

Student Achievement measures the school's graduation rates and the types of diplomas received by the school's students.

Four-Year Graduation Rate (n=80)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated with a Regents or Local Diploma within four years, after entering 9th grade in 2010-11.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n=80)

This metric reflects the diplomas received within four years by the students who entered 9th grade in 2010-11, and provides greater credit for advanced diplomas associated with greater proficiency and for diplomas obtained by students with higher-need demographic characteristics.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Six-Year Graduation Rate (n=99)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated with a Regents or Local Diploma within six years, after entering 9th grade in 2008-09.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Six-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n=99)

This metric reflects the diplomas received within six years by students who entered 9th grade in 2008-09, and provides greater credit for advanced diplomas associated with greater proficiency and for diplomas obtained by students with higher-need demographic characteristics.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

School Environment

APPROACHING TARGET 10

The NYC School Survey is administered annually to all parents, all teachers, and students in grades 6–12. Through the survey, these members of school communities respond to questions that gauge their satisfaction with elements of the school's learning environment. In 2013–14 accountability reports, these responses were reorganized to broadly align to guiding concepts in the Quality Review rubric: the instructional core, school culture, and systems for improvement. Please note that this organization is designed to help school communities better interpret survey responses, but survey responses do not contribute to Quality Review ratings in these categories.

Survey Satisfaction - Instructional Core

This metric shows the average percent of positive responses to the NYC School Survey questions related to the school's instructional core.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Survey Satisfaction - School Culture

This metric shows the average percent of positive responses to the NYC School Survey questions related to the school's culture.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Survey Satisfaction - Systems for Improvement

This metric shows the average percent of positive responses to the NYC School Survey questions related to the school's systems for improvement.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Attendance

The attendance rate includes the attendance for all K-8 students on a school's register at any point during the school year (September through June).

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

College and Career Readiness

APPROACHING TARGET

College and Career Readiness measures the college-readiness of students, based on their achievements in high school and their outcomes after leaving high school.

4-Year College Readiness Index (n=80)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated with a Regents diploma and met CUNY's standards for college readiness in English and math within four years, after entering 9th grade in 2010-11.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence (n=99)

This metric shows the percentage of students who (1) graduated with a Regents diploma and met CUNY's standards for English and math, or (2) graduated from high school, enrolled in college, and persisted in college through the beginning of their third semester; within six years after entering 9th grade in 2008-09.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n=114)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated from high school and enrolled in college, a vocational program, or public service within six months of their scheduled graduation date, out of students who entered 9th grade in 2009-10.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months (n=99)

This metric shows the percentage of students who graduated from high school and enrolled in college, a vocational program, or public service within 18 months of their scheduled graduation date, out of students who entered 9th grade in 2008-09.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

College and Career Readiness measures the college-readiness of students, based on their achievements in high school and their outcomes after leaving high school.

College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n=80)

This metric shows the percentage of students who successfully completed approved rigorous courses and assessments within four years of high school, after entering 9th grade in 2010-11.

Peer



School and Peer Values



Percent of Peer Range

City



School and City Values



Percent of City Range

Closing the Achievement Gap

EXCEEDING TARGET 13

Closing the Achievement Gap measures the extent to which the school serves and succeeds with students in special populations.

	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE	SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE	SCHOOL'S RESULTS	POPULATION PERCENTAGE
Four Year Weighted Diploma Rate						
English Language Learners (n = 75)	153.4%	91.7%	156.2%	92.1%	219.3%	93.8%
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS (n = 0)						0.0%
All Students in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 29)	200.0%	44.8%	147.2%	46.5%	208.6%	36.3%
Black / Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 12)	191.7%	25.0%	157.6%	28.9%	133.3%	15.0%
College and Career Readiness						
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n = 29)	23.3%	44.8%	15.1%	46.5%	34.5%	36.3%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index (n = 29)	4.7%	44.8%	3.8%	46.5%	0.0%	36.3%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n = 51)	38.5%	39.8%	30.4%	46.5%	15.7%	44.7%
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments (n = 1)						0.3%

Summary of Section Ratings

This section shows how the ratings are calculated for the Student Progress, Student Achievement, School Environment, College and Career Readiness, and Closing the Achievement Gap sections.

	This School's Results	Peer Comparison (weighted 75%)				City Comparison (weighted 25%)				Points Possible	Points Earned
		Peer Range			Percent of Peer Range	City Range			Percent of City Range		
		0%	Average	100%		0%	Average	100%			
Student Progress											
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n = 55)	87.3%	59.4%	81.8%	100.0%	68.7%	55.4%	80.1%	100.0%	71.5%	9.8	6.8
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n = 110)	75.5%	58.5%	80.5%	100.0%	41.0%	46.9%	75.0%	100.0%	53.9%	9.8	4.3
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n = 98)	75.5%	51.2%	76.0%	100.0%	49.8%	43.6%	72.4%	100.0%	56.6%	9.8	5.0
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year (n = 4)		42.4%	74.3%	100.0%		30.1%	66.7%	100.0%		0.0	
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year (n = 4)		37.2%	72.5%	100.0%		21.0%	61.0%	100.0%		0.0	
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year (n = 6)		25.4%	65.9%	100.0%		18.7%	58.7%	98.7%		0.0	
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents (n = 268)	42.8%	15.5%	42.3%	69.1%	50.9%	12.1%	53.8%	95.5%	36.8%	11.8	5.6
English - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 131)	1.54	0.33	0.90	1.47	100.0%	0.45	0.91	1.37	100.0%	11.8	11.8
Mathematics - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 232)	0.73	0.24	1.01	1.78	31.8%	0.39	1.01	1.63	27.4%	11.8	3.6
Science - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 182)	0.80	0.23	1.01	1.79	36.5%	0.16	1.11	2.06	33.7%	11.8	4.2
Global Studies - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 108)	0.94	0.14	0.75	1.36	65.6%	0.29	0.80	1.31	63.7%	11.8	7.7
United States History - Weighted Regents Pass Rate (n = 115)	1.24	0.39	0.88	1.37	86.7%	0.38	0.90	1.42	82.7%	11.8	10.1

Student Progress Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 29.5 or Lower	Approaching Target 29.6 to 50.1	**Meeting Target 50.2 to 69.5**	Exceeding Target 69.6 or Higher

59.1

Student Achievement											
Four-Year Graduation Rate (n = 80)	62.5%	37.0%	64.6%	92.2%	46.2%	37.2%	71.4%	100.0%	40.3%	25.0	11.2
Six-Year Graduation Rate (n = 99)	63.6%	58.2%	77.2%	96.2%	14.2%	52.0%	78.3%	100.0%	24.2%	25.0	4.2
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n = 80)	222.5%	85.2%	180.9%	276.6%	71.7%	81.6%	181.3%	281.0%	70.7%	25.0	17.9
Six-Year Weighted Diploma Rate (n = 99)	189.4%	115.1%	197.1%	279.1%	45.3%	93.8%	184.4%	275.0%	52.8%	25.0	11.8

Student Achievement Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 26.3 or Lower	**Approaching Target 26.4 to 55.1**	Meeting Target 55.2 to 75.5	Exceeding Target 75.6 or Higher

45.1

School Environment											
School Survey - Instructional Core	87.4%	83.4%	91.8%	100.0%	35.0%	75.0%	86.8%	98.6%	52.5%	22.0	8.7
School Survey - School Culture	86.6%	78.8%	88.7%	98.6%	39.4%	73.7%	85.5%	97.3%	54.7%	22.0	9.5
School Survey - Structures for Improvement	85.3%	79.8%	89.5%	99.2%	35.0%	71.0%	84.5%	98.0%	53.0%	22.0	8.7
Attendance	84.6%	77.1%	88.0%	98.9%	34.4%	73.4%	86.2%	99.0%	43.8%	34.0	12.5

School Environment Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 19.0 or Lower	**Approaching Target 19.1 to 46.6**	Meeting Target 46.7 to 66.1	Exceeding Target 66.2 or Higher

39.4

College and Career Readiness											
4-Year College Readiness Index (n = 80)	7.5%	0.0%	13.1%	26.2%	28.6%	0.0%	23.9%	47.8%	15.7%	20.0	5.1
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence (n = 99)	25.3%	18.2%	40.0%	61.8%	16.3%	5.9%	48.4%	90.9%	22.8%	20.0	3.6
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n = 114)	25.4%	20.2%	46.0%	71.8%	10.1%	12.1%	52.2%	92.3%	16.6%	15.0	1.8
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months (n = 99)	45.5%	35.8%	57.9%	80.0%	21.9%	25.7%	61.0%	96.3%	28.0%	15.0	3.5
College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n = 80)	32.5%	0.0%	37.7%	75.4%	43.1%	0.0%	37.6%	75.2%	43.2%	30.0	12.9

College and Career Readiness Section Rating			
Not Meeting Target 21.4 or Lower	**Approaching Target 21.5 to 49.6**	Meeting Target 49.7 to 72.6	Exceeding Target 72.7 or Higher

26.9

This section shows how the ratings are calculated for the Student Progress, Student Achievement, School Environment, College and Career Readiness, and Closing the Achievement Gap sections.

	This School's Population Percentage	This School's Population Percentage (Percent of City Range)	This School's Results	This School's Results (Percent of City Range)
Closing the Achievement Gap				
Four Year Weighted Diploma Rate				
English Language Learners (n = 75)	93.8%	100.0%	219.3%	65.7%
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS (n = 0)	0.0%	0.0%		
All Students in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 29)	36.3%	55.8%	208.6%	76.7%
Black / Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide (n = 12)	15.0%	39.6%	133.3%	53.5%
College and Career Readiness				
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index (n = 29)	36.3%	55.8%	34.5%	100.0%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index (n = 29)	36.3%	55.8%	0.0%	127.8%
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months (n = 51)	44.7%	76.1%	15.7%	36.2%
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments (n = 1)	0.3%	1.0%		
			Average of Results (Percent of City Range)	**76.7**

Closing the Achievement Gap			
Not Meeting Target 26.1 or Lower	Approaching Target 26.2 to 46.8	Meeting Target 46.9 to 67.8	Exceeding Target 67.9 or Higher

This Closing the Achievement Gap section reflects the degree to which the school is helping high-need students succeed. In some cases, schools will not receive a rating in this section because those students make up a very small proportion of the school's student population.

The metric values, listed as "This School's Results," show the school's results with its students in the relevant group. The metric scores, listed as "This School's Results (Percent of City Range)," show how the school's results compared to the rest of the city. A metric will not be scored, however, if those students are a very small proportion of the school—specifically, if "This School's Population Percentage (Percent of City Range)" is less than 25.0% (meaning that the school's population percentage is more than one standard deviation below the citywide average). For these unscored metrics, "This School's Results (Percent of City Range)" will be left blank.

The section score is the average of the school's metric scores, and the section rating is determined by the range that the score falls within, which will be shaded in the ratings table above. A school will not receive a rating, however, if it has fewer than three scored metrics in this section.

Additional Information 16

This page provides more granular data on student outcomes. While the numbers here do not individually count for points, the detailed deconstruction should provide deeper insight into 2013–14 student outcomes.

Regents Exams

Includes all students in the high school who took the respective exams in January, June or August 2014.

		Average Score	% passing	% at College Ready Threshold for Exam
Mathematics:	Integrated Algebra (n = 223)	61	40%	7%
	Geometry (n = 100)	51	15%	4%
	Algebra 2/Trigonometry (n = 41)	44	15%	2%
ELA:	Comprehensive English (n = 144)	68	78%	36%
Social Studies:	U.S. History (n = 115)	75	86%	.
	Global History (n = 107)	63	56%	.
Science:	Chemistry (n = 75)	46	7%	.
	Physics (n = 0)	.	.	.
	Earth Science (n = 47)	38	2%	.
	Living Environment (n = 115)	63	66%	.
Languages:	Languages Other Than English (n = 26)	92	96%	.

College Exams

Calculated as highest result for the 2014 four-year graduation cohort anytime during their high school career.

		Average Score	% of 4-year Cohort Taking	% at College Ready Threshold for Exam
SAT:	Mathematics (n = 80)	345	45.0%	2.5%
	Critical Reading (n = 80)	339	45.0%	2.5%
	Writing (n = 80)	322	45.0%	.
ACT:	Mathematics (n = 80)	.	0.0%	0.0%
	English (n = 80)	.	0.0%	0.0%
	Reading (n = 80)	.	0.0%	.
	Science (n = 80)	.	0.0%	.
CUNY:	Math 1 (n = 80)	25	20.0%	.
	Math 2 (n = 80)	28	25.0%	5.0%
	Reading (n = 80)	57	25.0%	6.3%
	Writing (n = 80)	48	23.8%	7.5%

College and Career Readiness Metrics Disaggregated

	% of 4-year Cohort	% of 6-year Cohort
College Readiness Index (counting positively requires ALL thresholds)	7.5%	4.0%
% attaining Local Diploma	62.5%	48.5%
% attaining Math College Readiness Standard	7.5%	5.1%
% attaining English College Readiness Standard	28.8%	22.2%

	% of 4-year Cohort
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	32.5%
% scoring 65+ on the Algebra II, Math B, Chemistry or Physics Regents Exam	8.8%
% scoring 3+ on any Advanced Placement (AP) Exam	12.5%
% scoring 4+ on any International Baccalaureate (IB) Exam	0.0%
% earning a diploma with a Career and Technical Education (CTE) Endorsement	0.0%
% passing an industry-recognized technical assessment	0.0%
% earning a diploma with an Arts endorsement	0.0%
% earning a grade of "C" or higher in a course for college credit	21.3%
% passing another course certified by the DOE as college- and career-ready	0.0%

	6 Months After Graduating
Post-secondary Enrollment Rate	25.4%
CUNY 2-year	12.3%
CUNY 4-year	2.6%
NYS Public	2.6%
NYS Private	6.1%
Out-of-State	1.8%
Public Service	0.0%
Vocational Program	0.0%

Peer Group Schools

Each school's performance is compared to the performance of schools in its peer group. Peer schools are those New York City public schools with a student population most like this school's population, according to the peering characteristics. Each school has up to 40 peer schools (except for K-8 schools, which have up to 30 peer schools).

Peer groupings are created using a matching methodology that examines the mathematical difference between a school and all potential peers on the peering characteristics. Schools with the smallest difference across all the characteristics are peered together.

DBN	SCHOOL	AVERAGE ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	AVERAGE MATH PROFICIENCY	% STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	% SELF-CONTAINED	% OVERAGE
12X550	**High School of World Cultures**	**1.89**	**2.01**	**0.3%**	**0.0%**	**37.9%**
02M427	MANHATTAN ACADEMY FOR ARTS & LANGUAGE	1.83	2.08	6.4%	3.2%	28.2%
02M438	International High School at Union Square	1.75	2.24	4.7%	1.2%	28.0%
02M459	Manhattan International High School	1.90	2.39	3.6%	0.6%	20.2%
02M542	Manhattan Bridges High School	2.03	2.26	3.8%	1.4%	9.2%
06M552	Gregorio Luperon High School for Science and Mathematics	1.76	2.06	1.4%	0.4%	21.4%
07X334	International Community High School	1.73	1.93	4.1%	0.7%	43.2%
08X432	BRONX BRIDGES HIGH SCHOOL	1.85	2.04	11.8%	5.4%	22.0%
09X403	Bronx International High School	1.78	1.91	5.3%	0.2%	23.9%
09X564	Claremont International HS	1.84	1.87	3.5%	0.6%	28.7%
10X268	Kingsbridge International High School	1.77	2.03	3.8%	1.4%	27.8%
10X342	International School for Liberal Arts	1.94	2.08	6.6%	1.3%	10.0%
10X524	Crotona International High School	1.70	1.92	6.0%	3.6%	25.8%
11X509	High School of Language and Innovation	1.91	1.97	4.3%	0.8%	23.9%
11X513	New World High School	1.92	2.06	6.7%	3.0%	21.4%
12X388	Pan American International High School at Monroe	1.71	1.89	1.7%	1.0%	43.9%
13K439	Brooklyn International High School	1.82	2.43	2.0%	1.7%	22.4%
15K592	Khalil Gibran International Academy	2.21	2.02	21.9%	8.3%	19.8%
17K382	Academy for College Preparation and Career Exploration: A C	2.27	2.15	19.4%	7.1%	17.6%
17K408	Academy of Hospitality and Tourism	2.23	2.02	19.1%	9.9%	19.1%
17K524	International High School at Prospect Heights	1.76	2.03	7.6%	1.7%	27.8%
17K539	High School for Service & Learning at Erasmus	2.22	2.08	21.4%	7.6%	17.1%
18K563	It Takes a Village Academy	2.35	2.20	16.8%	6.8%	22.2%
19K583	Multicultural High School	1.77	1.94	1.9%	0.3%	32.9%
21K337	International High School at Lafayette	1.74	2.30	2.6%	0.6%	27.5%
24Q236	International High School for Health Sciences	1.79	2.12	2.0%	0.0%	46.0%
24Q296	Pan American International High School	1.78	1.94	2.5%	1.1%	14.4%
24Q455	Newtown High School	2.31	2.27	14.1%	5.9%	16.6%
24Q530	International High School at LaGuardia Community College	1.89	2.35	1.8%	0.8%	14.1%
25Q263	Flushing International High School	1.81	2.43	2.2%	0.2%	23.1%
30Q555	Newcomers High School	1.71	2.28	1.1%	0.1%	37.7%
PEER GROUP AVERAGES		1.90	2.11	6.8%	2.5%	25.0%

Metric Targets for 2014-15

The previous pages in this report have shown the school's performance in 2013-14 and earlier. In contrast, this page is forward looking and shows targets connected to the category ratings for the 2014-15 school year.

	This School's 2013-14 Result	2014-15 Metric Values Needed for Each Rating			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Student Progress					
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year	87.3%	70.7% or lower	70.8% to 79.2%	79.3% to 87.3%	87.4% or higher
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	75.5%	69.0% or lower	69.1% to 78.0%	78.1% to 86.6%	86.7% or higher
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	75.5%	64.3% or lower	64.4% to 74.8%	74.9% to 84.5%	84.6% or higher
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year		57.5% or lower	57.6% to 69.9%	70.0% to 81.6%	81.7% or higher
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year		53.3% or lower	53.4% to 66.9%	67.0% to 79.8%	79.9% or higher
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year		46.2% or lower	46.3% to 61.8%	61.9% to 76.5%	76.6% or higher
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	42.8%	32.2% or lower	32.3% to 44.3%	44.4% to 55.8%	55.9% or higher
English - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	1.54	0.67 or lower	0.68 to 0.90	0.91 to 1.10	1.11 or higher
Mathematics - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	0.73	0.70 or lower	0.71 to 1.00	1.01 to 1.28	1.29 or higher
Science - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	0.80	0.69 or lower	0.70 to 1.02	1.03 to 1.34	1.35 or higher
Global Studies - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	0.94	0.52 or lower	0.53 to 0.76	0.77 to 0.98	0.99 or higher
United States History - Weighted Regents Pass Rate	1.24	0.67 or lower	0.68 to 0.88	0.89 to 1.07	1.08 or higher
Student Achievement					
Four-Year Graduation Rate	62.5%	52.0% or lower	52.1% to 68.4%	68.5% to 80.0%	80.1% or higher
Six-Year Graduation Rate	63.6%	67.4% or lower	67.5% to 78.9%	79.0% to 87.1%	87.2% or higher
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	222.5%	135.3% or lower	135.4% to 191.0%	191.1% to 230.4%	230.5% or highe
Six-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	189.4%	154.4% or lower	154.5% to 202.8%	202.9% to 237.1%	237.2% or highe
School Environment					
School Survey - Instructional Core	87.4%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 89.9%	90.0% to 93.6%	93.7% or higher
School Survey - School Culture	86.6%	81.5% or lower	81.6% to 87.2%	87.3% to 91.2%	91.3% or higher
School Survey - Structures for Improvement	85.3%	82.0% or lower	82.1% to 87.7%	87.8% to 91.8%	91.9% or higher
Attendance	84.6%	80.5% or lower	80.6% to 86.8%	86.9% to 91.2%	91.3% or higher
College and Career Readiness					
4-Year College Readiness Index	7.5%	6.3% or lower	6.4% to 14.6%	14.7% to 21.4%	21.5% or higher
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	25.3%	27.0% or lower	27.1% to 41.0%	41.1% to 52.4%	52.5% or higher
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	25.4%	30.8% or lower	30.9% to 46.8%	46.9% to 59.9%	60.0% or higher
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	45.5%	44.4% or lower	44.5% to 58.2%	58.3% to 69.4%	69.5% or higher
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	32.5%	16.1% or lower	16.2% to 37.3%	37.4% to 54.7%	54.8% or higher

The previous pages in this report have shown the school's performance in 2013-14 and earlier. In contrast, this page is forward looking and shows targets connected to the category ratings for the 2014-15 school year.

	This School's 2013-14 Result	2014-15 Metric Values Needed for Each Rating			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Closing the Achievement Gap					
Four Year Weighted Diploma Rate					
English Language Learners	219.3%	102.9% or lower	103.0% to 163.8%	163.9% to 225.6%	225.7% or higher
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS		109.9% or lower	110.0% to 196.9%	197.0% to 285.1%	285.2% or higher
All Students in the Lowest Third Citywide	208.6%	71.1% or lower	71.2% to 127.4%	127.5% to 184.5%	184.6% or higher
Black / Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide	133.3%	65.2% or lower	65.3% to 116.8%	116.9% to 169.1%	169.2% or higher
College and Career Readiness					
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index	34.5%	7.2% or lower	7.3% to 12.9%	13.0% to 18.8%	18.9% or higher
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index	0.0%	1.3% or lower	1.4% to 2.4%	2.5% to 3.6%	3.7% or higher
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	15.7%	11.3% or lower	11.4% to 20.3%	20.4% to 29.4%	29.5% or higher
Movement from SC/ICT/SETSS to Less Restrictive Environments		0.26 or lower	0.27 to 0.46	0.47 to 0.67	0.68 or higher