


Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	216	193	199
Grade 1	211	221	197
Grade 2	233	214	205
Grade 3	179	221	202
Grade 4	193	183	215
Grade 5	210	198	176
All students	1314	1302	1261

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	22%	22%	24%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	78%
% Student with IEPs	16%	15%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	3%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	62%	60%
% Temporary Housing	-	6%	5%
% Asian	51%	49%	48%
% Black	13%	12%	12%
% Hispanic	19%	20%	20%
% White	16%	17%	18%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

22K217
P.S. 217 Colonel David Marcus School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.89

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	565	2.72	2.26	2.52	2.65	2.80	3.02	3.47	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	565	38.1%	16.1%	27.8%	34.7%	42.6%	52.8%	3.43	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	354	69.0	52.3	59.0	64.4	68.2	77.5	4.09	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	127	75.0	58.6	66.0	72.5	77.0	87.8	3.56	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress	190	2.72	1.16	1.76	2.20	2.51	3.28	4.27	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	580	2.89	2.33	2.69	2.89	3.13	3.44	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	580	46.2%	19.1%	34.8%	44.8%	56.1%	70.3%	3.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	361	69.0	45.5	55.4	63.8	69.7	83.7	3.88	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	124	75.0	54.8	63.0	70.1	75.0	86.9	4.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	197	2.74	1.13	2.06	2.77	3.26	4.49	2.96	9.09%
• MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	170	97.2%	81.1%	86.8%	90.5%	94.7%	100.0%	4.47	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.66

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	16	2.8%	13.8%	18.8%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	62	11.0%	55.8%	11.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.31	0.030	0.025
SETSS	16	2.8%	26.7%	6.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.04	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	16	2.8%	13.9%	18.8%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	62	10.7%	54.6%	19.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.08	0.030	0.023
SETSS	16	2.8%	26.9%	12.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	88	24.9%	53.2%	42.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.56	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	102	28.8%	40.7%	53.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.82	0.030	0.021
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	22	6.2%	15.7%	59.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	66	18.6%	42.1%	51.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.68	0.030	0.020
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	97	26.9%	55.2%	38.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.41	0.030	0.018
• Lowest Third Citywide	83	23.0%	31.6%	57.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.20	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	5.3%	13.8%	73.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	66	18.3%	42.1%	53.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.07	0.030	0.023
• ELL Progress	279	23.4%	59.4%	65.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.03	0.030	0.023
											CtAG Additional Points	0.23
											Overall Student Achievement Score	3.89

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.68	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target		Section Score:	4.20

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	86%	3.20	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target		Section Score:	3.32

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.80	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	85.3%	3.08	
HS			
Overall	85.3%	3.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.26	3.10	
HS			
Overall	0.26	3.10	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target		Section Score:	3.92

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	76%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target		Section Score:	2.96

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.84	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target		Section Score:	2.84

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target		Section Score:	3.20

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.84	4.36
• Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	82	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		92%					3.68
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.63	3.52
• Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
Quality of professional development	Teachers	67	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.32	2.28
School commitment	Teachers	78	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.45	2.80
Innovation	Teachers	80	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.33	2.32
• Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	89	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.51	3.04
Focus on student learning	Teachers	87	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		86%					3.20
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.94	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	99				0.94	4.76
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	85	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.56	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	85				0.56	3.24
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	84	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.37	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	84				0.37	2.48
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.80	4.20
Section Results:		92%					3.80

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.53	3.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	49	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.31	2.24
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	80	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		76%					2.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	90	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.42	2.68
Section Results:		83%					2.84
Trust							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	71	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.34	2.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	85	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		86%					3.20

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES
Targets for 2015-16

22K217

P.S. 217 Colonel David Marcus School

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.72	2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.66	2.67 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	38.1%	25.4% or lower	25.5% to 30.9%	31.0% to 35.1%	35.2% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.89	2.66 or lower	2.67 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.93	2.94 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.16	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.2%	35.9% or lower	36.0% to 43.1%	43.2% to 48.6%	48.7% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	97.2%	87.6% or lower	87.7% to 90.7%	90.8% to 93.1%	93.2% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.13	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.15	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
SETSS	2.01	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
ELL	2.28	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.14	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.33	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
SETSS	2.13	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
ELL	2.47	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.73	2.74 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
ELL Progress	65.9%	44.6% or lower	44.7% to 54.6%	54.7% to 62.1%	62.2% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	85.3%	76.5% or lower	76.6% to 82.5%	82.6% to 87.0%	87.1% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.26	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher