


Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	211	172	193
Grade 1	178	217	176
Grade 2	151	174	207
Grade 3	161	164	179
Grade 4	137	161	164
Grade 5	149	141	164
All students	987	1029	1083

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	58%	53%	54%
% Free Lunch Eligible	92%	92%	93%
% Student with IEPs	20%	21%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	5%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	34%	37%
% Asian	18%	17%	18%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	77%	78%	77%
% White	3%	4%	3%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.28

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	481	2.24	1.90	2.10	2.25	2.41	2.69	2.93	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	481	14.8%	0.7%	9.2%	15.3%	22.2%	34.2%	2.92	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	300	53.0	52.9	59.4	64.7	68.4	77.3	1.02	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	108	73.5	64.9	71.7	77.0	80.7	89.6	2.34	9.09%
● Early Grade Progress	167	2.09	0.44	1.08	1.64	2.03	3.00	4.06	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	492	2.50	1.92	2.21	2.42	2.65	3.02	3.35	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	492	27.0%	0.3%	13.2%	22.4%	32.9%	48.6%	3.44	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	309	60.0	43.5	52.7	60.5	65.9	79.2	2.94	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	108	67.0	53.8	63.4	71.7	77.4	91.2	2.43	9.09%
● Early Grade Progress	172	3.08	0.41	1.37	2.20	2.77	4.20	4.22	9.09%
● MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	132	96.7%	79.7%	85.6%	89.6%	94.2%	100.0%	4.43	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.10

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
● Self-Contained	31	6.4%	31.5%	3.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Integrated Co-Teaching	66	13.7%	69.5%	6.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.86	0.030	0.014
SETSS	4	0.8%	7.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	31	6.3%	31.3%	6.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.59	0.030	0.019
Integrated Co-Teaching	66	13.4%	68.4%	16.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.69	0.030	0.020
SETSS	4	0.8%	7.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	173	57.7%	100.0%	31.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.56	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	144	48.0%	69.5%	44.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.67	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	76	25.3%	64.1%	42.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.61	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	62	20.7%	48.1%	38.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.38	0.030	0.010
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	183	59.2%	100.0%	31.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.79	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	139	45.0%	61.8%	38.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.54	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	75	24.3%	63.3%	33.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.32	0.030	0.010
SC/ICT/SETSS	62	20.1%	47.3%	30.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.10	0.030	0.008
ELL Progress	570	52.6%	100.0%	49.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.47	0.030	0.011
											CtAG Additional Points	0.18
											Overall Student Achievement Score	3.28

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	81%	2.00	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.44	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	87.1%	3.88	
HS			
Overall	87.1%	3.88	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.31	3.60	
HS			
Overall	0.31	3.60	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.72	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.64	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.96	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	89	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
○ Quality of student discussion	Teachers	64	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		81%					2.00
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
● Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
● Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	81	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.61	3.44
School commitment	Teachers	81	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.53	3.12
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.57	3.28
● Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
Focus on student learning	Teachers	87	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Collective responsibility	Teachers	77	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.32	2.28
Section Results:		88%					3.44
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	94	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.68	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	94				0.68	3.72
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	80	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.39	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	80				0.39	2.56
● Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	80	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.21	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
○ Press toward academic achievement	Combined	80				0.21	1.84
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.67	3.68
Section Results:		89%					3.16

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	74	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.71	3.84
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	87	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Section Results:		85%					3.72
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		87%					3.64
Trust							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
• Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	89	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.74	3.96
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		93%					3.96

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.24	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.37	2.38 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.8%	13.2% or lower	13.3% to 18.7%	18.8% to 22.8%	22.9% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.50	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.61	2.62 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	27.0%	19.4% or lower	19.5% to 26.7%	26.8% to 32.2%	32.3% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.7%	86.4% or lower	86.5% to 89.9%	90.0% to 92.5%	92.6% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.75	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
SETSS		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher
ELL	2.13	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.99	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.33	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.33	2.34 or higher
SETSS		2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher
ELL	2.45	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.53	2.54 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
ELL Progress	49.6%	32.3% or lower	32.4% to 42.2%	42.3% to 49.9%	50.0% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	87.1%	78.4% or lower	78.5% to 83.9%	84.0% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.31	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher