


Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Priority

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8
School Enrollment and Demographic Data

30Q111
P.S. 111 Jacob Blackwell

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	42	35	29
Grade 1	43	46	29
Grade 2	51	37	37
Grade 3	49	43	31
Grade 4	44	34	37
Grade 5	52	45	29
Grade 6	59	46	38
Grade 7	40	47	37
Grade 8	34	31	45
All students	449	399	332

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	19%	17%	20%
% Free Lunch Eligible	81%	81%	88%
% Student with IEPs	22%	29%	29%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	15%	17%	20%
% HRA Eligible	-	72%	69%
% Temporary Housing	-	17%	20%
% Asian	5%	6%	7%
% Black	37%	38%	37%
% Hispanic	49%	48%	47%
% White	7%	6%	7%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

30Q111
P.S. 111 Jacob Blackwell

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.09

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
○ Average Student Proficiency	200	2.06	1.96	2.15	2.30	2.42	2.70	1.53	8.20%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	200	8.5%	1.4%	9.1%	15.4%	20.3%	31.9%	1.92	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	166	59.5	51.5	58.0	63.5	67.8	76.8	2.27	8.20%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	60	70.5	66.5	72.8	77.8	81.7	89.8	1.63	8.20%
○ Early Grade Progress	27	0.29	0.23	0.94	1.55	2.03	3.06	1.08	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
○ Average Student Proficiency	208	2.03	1.84	2.13	2.37	2.55	2.94	1.66	8.20%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	208	5.8%	0.0%	10.3%	18.8%	25.5%	40.2%	1.56	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	170	58.5	46.9	54.3	60.7	65.6	76.1	2.66	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	60	74.0	62.4	68.7	73.9	78.0	86.5	3.02	8.20%
○ Early Grade Progress	29	0.38	0.10	1.12	2.00	2.69	4.16	1.27	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
● ELA	111	87.4%	57.1%	69.2%	79.2%	87.0%	100.0%	4.03	1.64%
● Math	111	89.2%	55.1%	67.8%	78.2%	86.4%	100.0%	4.21	1.64%
● Science	111	91.0%	56.5%	68.8%	78.9%	86.8%	100.0%	4.32	1.64%
● Social Studies	111	90.1%	55.3%	67.9%	78.3%	86.5%	100.0%	4.27	1.64%
○ Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	44	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	9.9%	13.5%	24.9%	1.00	3.28%
○ 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	30	69.0%	61.0%	72.0%	80.0%	87.0%	98.0%	1.73	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	1.98

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	50	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	15	7.5%	41.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	1.5%	12.8%		0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	51	24.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	15	7.2%	40.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	1.4%	12.1%		0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	40	24.1%	63.6%	42.5%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%	3.53	0.030	0.019
○ Lowest Third Citywide	102	61.4%	95.8%	43.1%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	1.99	0.030	0.007
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	52	31.3%	87.2%	36.5%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	1.64	0.030	0.005
SC/ICT/SETSS	57	34.3%	96.7%	45.6%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	2.61	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	44	25.9%	65.6%	43.2%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%	3.82	0.030	0.021
Lowest Third Citywide	111	65.3%	93.6%	41.4%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	2.26	0.030	0.009
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	54	31.8%	88.3%	37.0%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	1.86	0.030	0.006
● SC/ICT/SETSS	57	33.5%	95.1%	52.6%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	4.09	0.030	0.023
○ ELL Progress	62	19.9%	69.3%	38.7%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%	1.88	0.030	0.007
											CtAG Additional Points	0.11
											Overall Student Achievement Score	2.09

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	2.80	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.28	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	3.08	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	84%	2.80	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	54.6%	1.36	
HS			
Overall	54.6%	1.36	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.24	2.36	
HS			
Overall	0.24	2.36	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.52	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	76%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	78%	2.32	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	83%	2.28	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.28	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	91	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.52	3.08
Course clarity	Students	90	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	75	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		87%					2.80
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.78	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	79	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.31	
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.53	3.12
● Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.72	3.88
○ School commitment	Teachers	56	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
Innovation	Teachers	81	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.44	2.76
● Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	89	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.46	2.84
Focus on student learning	Teachers	87	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.59	3.36
Collective responsibility	Teachers	78	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:		84%					3.08
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	80	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.27	
Safety	Combined	80				0.27	2.08
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	80	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.48	
Classroom behavior	Combined	80				0.48	2.92
● Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.85	4.40
Peer interactions	Students	79	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.39	2.56
Next-level guidance	Students	90	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	85	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.25	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.25	2.00
Personal attention and support	Students	84	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.40	2.60
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	90	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	56	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.24	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	73				0.37	2.48
Section Results:		84%					2.80

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.52	3.08
○ Teacher influence	Teachers	44	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.24	1.96
Program coherence	Teachers	84	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.59	3.36
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		76%					2.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	85	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.57	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	88				0.41	2.64
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	67	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.25	2.00
Section Results:		78%					2.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.35	2.40
Student-teacher trust	Students	77	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.34	2.36
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	76	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.38	2.52
○ Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	78	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.05	1.20
Section Results:		83%					2.28

2014-15 School Quality Reports / K-8
Targets for 2015-16

30Q111
P.S. 111 Jacob Blackwell

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.06	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.72	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	8.5%	6.7% or lower	6.8% to 10.4%	10.5% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.03	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.73	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.8%	7.5% or lower	7.6% to 11.6%	11.7% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
Core Course Pass Rates					
ELA	87.4%	72.3% or lower	72.4% to 79.0%	79.1% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Math	89.2%	71.7% or lower	71.8% to 78.5%	78.6% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Science	91.0%	74.0% or lower	74.1% to 80.3%	80.4% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Social Studies	90.1%	69.9% or lower	70.0% to 77.2%	77.3% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	6.9% or lower	7.0% to 10.6%	10.7% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	69.0%	73.9% or lower	74.0% to 77.9%	78.0% to 80.9%	81.0% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.66	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
SETSS		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher
ELL	1.95	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.77	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.74	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
SETSS		1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 or higher
ELL	1.96	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	38.7%	34.9% or lower	35.0% to 43.7%	43.8% to 50.1%	50.2% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	54.6%	56.3% or lower	56.4% to 64.7%	64.8% to 70.8%	70.9% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.24	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.36	0.37 or higher

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.