Nemic Value Nemic Store Weight Ferrent					
• Quality Review 1.1 Well Developed 4.99 22% • Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.50 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 74% 2.73 34% Collaborative Teachers • Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 73% 3.01 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Supportive Environment Ouality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90% - Attendance - EMS 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 - Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments - - EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Percent	
• Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.50 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 74% 2.73 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.89 Collaborative Teachers • Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% • Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 4.09 Supportive Environment • Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 3.54 Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities 10.18 2.00 to Less Restrictive Environments EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.67 5%	Rigorous Instruction				
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 74% 2.73 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.89 Collaborative Teachers • Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 73% 3.01 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Supportive Environment • Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 - Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments 5 5 EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	• Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%	
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 74% 2.73 34%	·	Well Developed	4.99	22%	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.89		Proficient	3.50	22%	
Collaborative Teachers • Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 73% 3.01 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Supportive Environment • Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance *** *** ** EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments *** EMS 0.18 2.00 • HS 0.19 1.33 • Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	74%	2.73	34%	
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers Well Developed 4.99 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Supportive Environment • Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance *** *** • EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments *** *** EMS 0.18 2.00 • HS 0.19 1.33 • Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.89		
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 73% 3.01 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00	Collaborative Teachers				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Supportive Environment ● Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance ** ** ** • EMS 78% 4.08 ** Noverall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments ** ** EMS 0.18 2.00 • HS 0.19 1.33 • Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	• Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%	
Supportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance *** *** *** HS 66% 3.53 *** Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments *** *** EMS 0.18 2.00 O HS 0.19 1.33 O Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	73%	3.01	50%	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00		
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 75% 3.51 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance - EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 - Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments - - EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	Supportive Environment				
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	• Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%	
EMS 78% 4.08 HS 66% 3.53 Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	75%	3.51	35%	
HS 66% 3.53 Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance				
Overall 72% 3.81 30% Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments 5 0.18 2.00 Image: I	• EMS	78%	4.08		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments EMS 0.18 2.00 • HS 0.19 1.33 • Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	HS	66%	3.53		
to Less Restrictive Environments EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	Overall	72%	3.81	30%	
EMS 0.18 2.00 HS 0.19 1.33 Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	Movement of Students with Disabilities				
O HS 0.19 1.33 O Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	to Less Restrictive Environments				
o Overall 0.19 1.67 5%	EMS	0.18	2.00		
	o HS	0.19	1.33		
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.95	o Overall	0.19	1.67	5%	
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.95		
Effective School Leadership	•				
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.53 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.53	100%	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.53	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.53		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Strong Family-Community Ties				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.67 100%		Fies 86%	3.67	100%	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.67	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.67		
Trust	Trust				
NYC School Survey - Trust 86% 3.56 100%		86%	3.56	100%	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.56	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56		

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

	Parents	Students	Teachers
	(n=296)	(n=616)	(n=54)
Survey Response Rates	47%	91%	98%

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2015-16 School Quality Guide / MS
Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

ramework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix						Mott Ha	
		Survey %		City Range		Percent	
	Respondent	Positive	Bottom of Range	City Average	Top of Range	of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	81	68	89	100	0.41	2.63
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	79	65	87	100	0.40	2.60
Course clarity	Students	84	57	77	97	0.68	3.70
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	52	36	70	100	0.25	2.00
Section Results:		74%					2.73
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness and Inclusive classroom instruction:							
Cultural awareness and Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	88	76	91	100		
Cultural awareness and Inclusive classroom instruction	Parents	97	87	94	100		
Cultural awareness and Inclusive classroom instruction	Students	91	73	87	100		
Cultural awareness and Inclusive classroom instruction	Combined	91	77	91	100	0.61	3.43
Quality of professional development	Teachers	65	29	70	100	0.51	3.03
School commitment	Teachers	70	37	76	100	0.52	3.10
Innovation	Teachers	59	37	73	100	0.35	2.40
Peer collaboration	Teachers	79	56	84	100	0.52	3.09
Collective Responsibility	Teachers	79	42	75	100	0.50	3.00
	reactiers		42	75	100	0.50	
Section Results:		73%					3.01
Supportive Environment Safety: Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	87	54	79	100		
Safety	Combined	87	54	79	100	0.72	3.87
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	68	32	59	86		
Classroom behavior	Combined	68	32	59	86	0.67	3.67
Social-emotional	Teachers	71	50	80	100	0.42	2.68
Personal Attention and Support	Students	72	41	64	87	0.67	3.70
Peer support for academic work:	Tasahawa						
Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students	71	20	62	88		
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Combined	71 71	38 38	63 63	88	0.66	3.64
Academic Press:	Combined	/1	30	03	00	0.00	3.04
Academic Press	Teachers						
Academic Press	Students	70	36	68	100		
Academic Press	Combined	70	36	68	100	0.53	3.13
Guidance	Students	89	60	80	100	0.73	3.90
Section Results:		75%					3.51
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	98	82	92	100	0.89	4.56
Teacher influence	Teachers	82	53	83	100	0.62	3.47
Program coherence	Teachers	71	40	75	100	0.52	3.07
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	72	44	81	100	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		81%					3.53
occion negato.		01/0					3.33

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- o Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2015-16 School Quality Guide / MS Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey %		City Range		Percent	
	<u>Respondent</u>	Positive	Bottom of Range	City Average	Top of Range	of Range	Score
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	70.0	87.0	100.0		
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	86	63.0	89.0	100.0		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90	67.0	88.0	100.0	0.70	3.79
Parent involvement in school	Parents	81	48.0	79.0	100.0	0.63	3.54
Section Results:		86%					3.67
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	86.0	94.0	100.0	0.79	4.14
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	83.0	93.0	100.0	0.82	4.29
Student-teacher trust	Students	86	55.0	77.0	99.0	0.70	3.82
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	77	42.0	80.0	100.0	0.60	3.41
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	75	65.0	88.0	100.0	0.29	2.14
Section Results:		86%					3.56

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

Scoring Appendix

Self-Contained

SETSS

ELL

ELL Progress

Integrated Co-Teaching

Lowest Third Citywide

Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide

coring Appendix											IVI	ютт на
Student Achievement Rating		Student Ac	hievement S	core								
Meeting Target		3.67										
<u> </u>				2015-16			2015-16 Targets					
tudent Achievement Metrics				School Value		Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Metric		Wei
			N		Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Score		Po
State Test Results - ELA												
Average Student Proficiency			300	2.42	2.02	2.19	2.27	2.33	3.03	4.13		12.0
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third			109	1.99	1.68	1.85	1.94	2.00	2.60	3.83		12.0
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4			300	20.3%	2.6%	9.3%	13.0%	16.0%	47.0%	4.14		12.0
State Test Results - Math												
Average Student Proficiency			303	2.28	1.89	2.15	2.28	2.38	2.76	3.00		12.0
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third			105	1.87	1.54	1.80	1.90	2.00	2.30	2.70		12.
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4			303	12.5%	0.0%	9.3%	14.6%	18.9%	32.9%	2.60		12.
Core Course Pass Rates												
ELA			300	87.3%	70.0%	78.9%	83.8%	87.9%	100.0%	3.85		1.0
Math			300	86.7%	71.0%	79.6%	84.4%	88.3%	100.0%	3.59		1.0
Science			300	86.0%	74.2%	81.9%	86.2%	89.6%	100.0%	2.95		1.0
Social Studies			300	86.3%	69.0%	78.2%	83.3%	87.5%	100.0%	3.71		1.0
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit			101	29.7%	0.0%	11.4%	17.9%	23.2%	39.3%	4.40		12.
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders			102	82.3%	67.3%	77.0%	81.0%	85.0%	96.6%	3.33		12.
									Weigh	nted Ave	erage Score:	3.
		2015-16		2015-16			2015-16 Targets					
closing the Achievement Gap Metrics		School	Population % of	School	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Ext
·	N	Population %	Range	Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Score	Possible	Poi
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating												
Self-Contained Self-Contained	28	9.3%	48.2%	1.86	1.66	1.77	1.84	1.90	2.05	3.33	0.020	0.0
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	9.7%	48.5%	2.08	1.82	1.97	2.04	2.11	2.31	3.57	0.020	0.0
SETSS	17	5.7%	63.3%	2.14	1.83	2.04	2.15	2.25	2.53	2.91	0.020	0.0
ELL	81	27.0%	57.3%	2.07	1.75	1.95	2.07	2.16	2.46	3.00	0.020	0.0
Lowest Third Citywide	143	47.7%	64.2%	2.04	1.82	1.90	1.95	2.00	2.11	4.36	0.020	0.0
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	80	26.7%	62.5%	2.01	1.79	1.87	1.92	2.00	2.08	4.13	0.020	0.0
Math - Average Proficiency Rating												
	•	0.20/	40.70/	4 00	4	4 70	4 00	4.00	2.07		0.000	

CtAG Additional Points:	0.15
Overall Student Achievement Score:	3.67

3.20

2.67

3.75

2.69

3.22

3.00

3.74

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.020

0.011

0.008

0.014

0.008

0.011

0.010

0.014

		2015-16		2	015-16 Targets			
Supportive Environment Metrics	N	School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	310	78.4%	56.0%	66.5%	72.4%	77.2%	91.9%	4.08
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	76	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.28	0.37	0.63	2

1.82

2.02

2.23

2.06

1.93

1.90

47.5%

1.55

1.72

1.70

1.66

1.70

1.71

14.4%

1.70

1.94

1.98

1.95

1.83

1.82

31.8%

1.80

2.06

2.14

2.11

1.91

1.90

41.6%

1.90

2.16

2.26

2.24

2.00

2.00

49.6%

2.07

2.46

2.65

2.66

2.15

2.10

73.6%

9.2%

9.6%

5.6%

27.7%

53.8%

27.4%

13.0%

28

29

17

84

163

83

40

48.7%

48.7%

62.9%

56.6%

68.7%

63.7%

36.6%

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

Targets for 2016-17

These tables show the values needed in 2016-17 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2015-16	2016-17 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.42	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.48	2.49 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.3%	14.6% or lower	14.7% to 19.2%	19.3% to 23.4%	23.5% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.28	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.5%	6.9% or lower	7.0% to 13.7%	13.8% to 22.0%	22.1% or higher		
Core Course Pass Rates							
ELA	87.3%	82.2% or lower	82.3% to 91.7%	91.8% to 96.6%	96.7% or higher		
Math	86.7%	79.1% or lower	79.2% to 89.8%	89.9% to 95.8%	95.9% or higher		
Science	86.0%	82.5% or lower	82.6% to 92.5%	92.6% to 96.9%	97.0% or higher		
Social Studies	86.3%	82.7% or lower	82.8% to 92.0%	92.1% to 96.9%	97.0% or higher		
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	29.7%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 15.5%	15.6% to 28.0%	28.1% or higher		
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	82.3%	80.2% or lower	80.3% to 85.4%	85.5% to 89.7%	89.8% or higher		

^{*} If participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2015-16	2016-17 Targets					
·	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.86	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.08	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
SETSS	2.14	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
ELL	2.07	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.82	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.02	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
SETSS	2.23	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
ELL	2.06	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
LL Progress	47.5%	40.9% or lower	41.0% to 52.3%	52.4% to 63.0%	63.1% or higher		
					9		

^{**}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2016-17 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets above and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2015-16	2016-17 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.4%	70.3% or lower	70.4% to 77.1%	77.2% to 82.6%	82.7% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.18	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.41	0.42 or higher		