



**PS 150 – TRIBECA LEARNING CENTER**

**2010-2011**

**SCHOOL COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATIONAL PLAN**  
**(CEP)**

**SCHOOL: 02M150**

**ADDRESS: 334 GREENWICH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10013**

**TELEPHONE: 212-732-4392**

**FAX: 212-766-5895**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**SECTION I: SCHOOL INFORMATION PAGE.....3**

**SECTION II: SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM SIGNATURE PAGE.....4**

**SECTION III: SCHOOL PROFILE.....5**

**PART A: NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION.....5**

**PART B: CEP SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT (SDAS).....6**

**SECTION IV: NEEDS ASSESSMENT.....10**

**SECTION V: ANNUAL SCHOOL GOALS.....11**

**SECTION VI: ACTION PLAN.....12**

**REQUIRED APPENDICES TO THE CEP FOR 2010-2011.....13**

**APPENDIX 1: ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS) SUMMARY FORM.....14**

**APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM DELIVERY FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELLs).....16**

**APPENDIX 3: LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION.....18**

**APPENDIX 4: NCLB REQUIREMENTS FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS.....19**

**APPENDIX 5: NCLB/SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT,  
CORRECTIVE ACTION, AND RESTRUCTURING.....25**

**APPENDIX 6: SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS UNDER REGISTRATION REVIEW (SURRE).....26**

**APPENDIX 7: TITLE I, PART A – SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN TEMPORARY HOUSING (STH).....27**

**APPENDIX 8: CONTRACTS FOR EXCELLENCE (C4E) SCHOOL-BASED EXPENDITURES.....28**

**SECTION I: SCHOOL INFORMATION PAGE**

**SCHOOL NUMBER:** PS 150      **SCHOOL NAME:** Tribeca Learning Center

**SCHOOL ADDRESS:** 334 Greenwich Street, New York, NY 10013

**SCHOOL TELEPHONE:** 212-732-4392      **FAX:** 212-766-5895

**SCHOOL CONTACT PERSON:** Maggie Siena      **EMAIL ADDRESS:** [msiena@schools.nyc.gov](mailto:msiena@schools.nyc.gov)

**POSITION/TITLE**

**PRINT/TYPE NAME**

**SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM CHAIRPERSON:** Sandy Van Der Zwan & Becky Swain

**PRINCIPAL:** Maggie Siena

**UFT CHAPTER LEADER:** Linda Jones

**PARENTS' ASSOCIATION PRESIDENT:** Brian Fingeret

**STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE:**  
*(Required for high schools)* \_\_\_\_\_

**DISTRICT AND NETWORK INFORMATION**

**DISTRICT:** 02      **CHILDREN FIRST NETWORK (CFN):** CFN103

**NETWORK LEADER:** Yuet Chu

**SUPERINTENDENT:** Daria Rigney

**SECTION II: SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM SIGNATURE PAGE**

**Directions:** Each school is required to form a School Leadership Team (SLT) as per State Education Law Section 2590. SLT membership must include an equal number of parents and staff (students and CBO members are not counted when assessing this balance requirement), and ensure representation of all school constituencies. Chancellor’s Regulation A-655 requires a minimum of ten members on each team. Each SLT member should be listed separately in the left hand column on the chart below. Please specify any position held by a member on the team (e.g., SLT Chairperson, SLT Secretary) and the constituent group represented (e.g., parent, staff, student, or CBO). The signatures of SLT members on this page indicates their participation in the development of the Comprehensive Educational Plan and confirmation that required consultation has occurred in the aligning of funds to support educational programs (Refer to revised Chancellor’s Regulations A-655; available on the NYCDOE website at

<http://schools.nyc.gov/NR/rdonlyres/381F4607-7841-4D28-B7D5-0F30DDB77DFA/82007/A655FINAL1.pdf>).

*Note: If for any reason an SLT member does not wish to sign this plan, he/she may attach a written explanation in lieu of his/her signature.*

Name	Position and Constituent Group Represented	Signature
Maggie Siena	*Principal or Designee	
Linda Jones	*UFT Chapter Chairperson or Designee	
Brian Fingeret	*PA/PTA President or Designated Co-President	
	Title I Parent Representative <i>(suggested, for Title I schools)</i>	
	DC 37 Representative, if applicable	
	Student Representative <i>(optional for elementary and middle schools; a minimum of two members required for high schools)</i>	
	CBO Representative, if applicable	
Sandy Van Der Zwan	Member/parent	
Lenore Cheeseman	Member/parent	
Kerry Farrell	Member/parent	
Alyson Foley	Member/parent	
Randi Asher	Member/school staff	
Danielle McKee	Member/school staff	
Becky Swain	Member/school staff	

(Add rows, as needed, to ensure all SLT members are listed.)

\* Core (mandatory) SLT members.

## **SECTION III: SCHOOL PROFILE**

### **Part A. Narrative Description**

**Directions:** In no more than 500 words, provide contextual information about your school's community and its unique/important characteristics. Think of this as the kind of narrative description you would use in an admissions directory or an introductory letter to new parents. You may wish to include your school's vision/mission statement and a description of strategic collaborations/partnerships and/or special initiatives being implemented. You may copy and paste your narrative description from other current resources where this information is already available for your school (e.g., grant applications, High School Directory, etc.). Note: Demographic and accountability data for your school will be addressed in Part B of this section.

---

PS 150, the Tribeca Learning Center, is a PreK – 5 school located in Lower Manhattan serving students from throughout District Two.

PS 150 is founded on progressive principles. Students engage in two long-term studies each year, focusing on either social studies or science content. Through an inquiry approach, students learn both conceptual and factual knowledge about social structures, science concepts and historical periods. They also develop the habits of mind essential to critical thinking: developing and testing conjectures, making generalizations, questioning sources, and applying concepts to new situations. Literacy and arts instruction are integrated with these studies as students read, write, build, paint, and create performances about their class studies.

At PS 150, reading, writing and mathematics are all taught through a balanced approach. In literacy, students are exposed to language-rich environments, and study both comprehension strategies and phonemic awareness and decoding skills. Students learn to write in a variety of fiction and nonfiction genres while building strong writing mechanics. TERC's *Investigations in Number, Data & Space* forms the backbone of our math curriculum, and our teachers are equally committed to developing students' grasp of mathematical concepts and command of mathematical skills.

The arts play an important role at PS 150. Our full-time music teacher gives instruction in choral singing, recorder and keyboards. Our visual arts teacher devotes one of her four days at the school to helping teachers integrate the arts into their content studies. In addition, thanks to our extremely supportive and generous PTA, students engage in numerous supplementary arts enrichment programs, including dance, storytelling, puppetry, architecture, and theater. The PTA also funds field trips supporting the arts and science curriculum in all grades.

Professional development is integral to the school's success. Over the past few years, we have worked with literacy and mathematics specialists from Teachers College and A.U.S.S.I.E. and attended workshops by such educators as Ron Berger, Carol Ann Tomlinson, Heidi Hayes Jacobs, and Rick Stevens. Teachers also meet before school once a week in self-selected study groups to further their professional knowledge around our three CEP goals.

Finally, at PS 150, teachers - indeed all staff members - are committed to reaching all students both academically and emotionally. Every child that attends PS 150 is well-known to the adults in the building, and her/his challenges and successes are considered everyone's responsibility. While it is by no means easy to reach all our students, we strive to ensure that no child slips through the cracks.

**SECTION III – Cont’d**

**Part B. School Demographics and Accountability Snapshot (SDAS)**

**Directions:** A pre-populated version of the School Demographics and Accountability Snapshot provided in template format below (Pages 6-9 of this section) is available for download on each school’s NYCDOE webpage under “Statistics.” Pre-populated SDAS data is updated twice yearly. Schools are encouraged to download the pre-populated version for insertion here in place of the blank format provided.

SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT				
<b>School Name:</b>				
<b>District:</b>		<b>DBN #:</b>		<b>School BEDS Code:</b>

DEMOGRAPHICS									
<b>Grades Served in 2009-10:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-K	<input type="checkbox"/> K	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 7
	<input type="checkbox"/> 8	<input type="checkbox"/> 9	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	<input type="checkbox"/> 11	<input type="checkbox"/> 12	<input type="checkbox"/> Ungraded			
<b>Enrollment:</b>				<b>Attendance: % of days students attended*</b>					
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Pre-K									
Kindergarten									
Grade 1				<b>Student Stability: % of Enrollment</b>					
Grade 2				(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Grade 3									
Grade 4									
Grade 5				<b>Poverty Rate: % of Enrollment</b>					
Grade 6				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Grade 7									
Grade 8									
Grade 9				<b>Students in Temporary Housing: Total Number</b>					
Grade 10				(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Grade 11									
Grade 12									
Ungraded				<b>Recent Immigrants: Total Number</b>					
				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Total									
<b>Special Education Enrollment:</b>				<b>Suspensions: (OSYD Reporting) – Total Number</b>					
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Number in Self-Contained Classes									
No. in Collaborative Team Teaching (CTT) Classes				Principal Suspensions					
Number all others				Superintendent Suspensions					
<i>These students are included in the enrollment information above.</i>									

DEMOGRAPHICS								
<b>English Language Learners (ELL) Enrollment:</b> (BESIS Survey)				<b>Special High School Programs: Total Number</b>				
(As of October 31)				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	CTE Program Participants				
# in Trans. Bilingual Classes				Early College HS Participants				
# in Dual Lang. Programs								
# receiving ESL services only				<b>Number of Staff: Includes all full-time staff</b>				
# ELLs with IEPs				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
<i>These students are included in the General and Special Education enrollment information above.</i>				Number of Teachers				
<b>Overage Students: # entering students overage for grade</b>				Number of Administrators and Other Professionals				
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Number of Educational Paraprofessionals				
				<b>Teacher Qualifications:</b>				
<b>Ethnicity and Gender: % of Enrollment</b>				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	% fully licensed & permanently assigned to this school				
American Indian or Alaska Native				Percent more than two years teaching in this school				
Black or African American				Percent more than five years teaching anywhere				
Hispanic or Latino				Percent Masters Degree or higher				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl.				Percent core classes taught by "highly qualified" teachers (NCLB/SED definition)				
White								
Multi-racial								
<b>Male</b>								
<b>Female</b>								

2009-10 TITLE I STATUS				
<input type="checkbox"/> Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP)		<input type="checkbox"/> Title I Targeted Assistance		<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Title I
Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding:	<input type="checkbox"/> 2006-07	<input type="checkbox"/> 2007-08	<input type="checkbox"/> 2008-09	<input type="checkbox"/> 2009-10

NCLB/SED SCHOOL-LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY	
SURR School: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, area(s) of SURR identification:
Designated as a Persistently Lowest-Achieving (PLA) School: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Overall NCLB/SED Accountability Status (2009-10 Based on 2008-09 Performance):</b>	

## NCLB/SED SCHOOL-LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY

<u>Differentiated Accountability Phase (Check ✓)</u>	<u>Category (Check ✓)</u>		
	Basic	Focused	Comprehensive
In Good Standing (IGS)			
Improvement (year 1)			
Improvement (year 2)			
Corrective Action (year 1)			
Corrective Action (year 2)			
Restructuring (year 1)			
Restructuring (year 2)			
Restructuring (Advanced)			

<b>Individual Subject/Area Outcomes</b>	<b>Elementary/Middle Level (✓)</b>		<b>Secondary Level (✓)</b>	
	ELA:		ELA:	
	Math:		Math:	
	Science:		Grad. Rate:	

**This school's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) determinations for each accountability measure:**

<b>Student Groups</b>	<b>Elementary/Middle Level</b>			<b>Secondary Level</b>			
	ELA	Math	Science	ELA	Math	Grad. Rate**	Progress Target
<b>All Students</b>							
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White							
Multiracial							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities							
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged							
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>							

### Key: AYP Status

√	Made AYP	X	Did Not Make AYP	X*	Did Not Make AYP Due to Participation Rate Only
√ <sup>SH</sup>	Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	-	Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status		

*Note: NCLB/SED accountability reports are not available for District 75 schools.*

\*For Progress Report Attendance Rate(s) - If more than one attendance rate given, it is displayed as K-8/9-12.

\*\*[http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Memos/Graduation\\_rate\\_memo.pdf](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Memos/Graduation_rate_memo.pdf)

**CHILDREN FIRST ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY**

<b>Progress Report Results – 2008-09</b>		<b>Quality Review Results – 2008-09</b>	
<b>Overall Letter Grade</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation:</b>	
<b>Overall Score</b>		<b>Quality Statement Scores:</b>	
<b>Category Scores:</b>		Quality Statement 1: Gather Data	
School Environment (Comprises 15% of the Overall Score)		Quality Statement 2: Plan and Set Goals	
School Performance (Comprises 25% of the Overall Score)		Quality Statement 3: Align Instructional Strategy to Goals	
Student Progress (Comprises 60% of the Overall Score)		Quality Statement 4: Align Capacity Building to Goals	
Additional Credit		Quality Statement 5: Monitor and Revise	
<i>Note: Progress Report grades are not yet available for District 75 schools.</i>			

## SECTION IV: NEEDS ASSESSMENT

**Directions:** Conduct a comprehensive review of your school's educational program informed by the most current quantitative and qualitative data available regarding student performance trends and other indicators of progress. Include in your needs assessment an analysis of information available from New York State Education Department and New York City Department of Education accountability and assessment resources, i.e., School Report Cards, Progress Reports, Quality Review and Quality Review Self-Assessment documents, periodic assessments, ARIS, as well as results of Inquiry/Teacher Team action research, surveys, and school-based assessments. (Refer to your school's Demographics and Accountability Snapshot in Part B of Section III, and feel free to use any additional measures used by your school to determine the effectiveness of educational programs) It may also be useful to review your school's use of resources: last year's school budget, schedule, facility use, class size, etc.

After conducting your review, **summarize** in this section the major findings and implications of your school's strengths, accomplishments, and challenges. Consider the following questions:

- What student performance trends can you identify?
  - What have been the greatest accomplishments over the last couple of years?
  - What are the most significant aids or barriers to the school's continuous improvement?
- 

After examining formative and summative assessments, interviewing teachers, and reviewing OORS data, we have come to the following conclusions:

1. We continue to serve a population of students that do well academically, both on classroom assignments and standardized testing. At the same time, we remain a heterogeneously grouped school with students of diverse talents and learning challenges. As we grapple with the demands of large classes, we are committed to creating teaching structures that allow us to meet the needs of all students along the learning spectrum.
2. Through action research we have identified a cohort of students who struggle with writing. While test scores do confirm that the majority of our students are proficient in reading and writing, we are concerned that a few remain difficult to motivate.
3. We continue to see significant numbers of students who easily master grade level mathematics at each grade. While we have created a successful small group enrichment model, we are interested in developing strategies for differentiating whole group instruction.
4. We are fortunate to have low rates of serious physical infractions, graffiti, and harassment of any kind. However, we continue to see students struggle with conflict and how to live within a community. We are continually looking for ways to help students learn to identify and manage their emotions, resolve conflicts peacefully, deal with stress, and develop resilience.

Our greatest aids are our supportive PTA, which provides funding for programs and residencies that enrich our curriculum and professional development that supports teacher growth, and a committed teaching staff which demonstrates openness to reflecting on areas to develop and willingness to learn more. Our greatest barrier is a shortage of available planning and professional development time.

## SECTION V: ANNUAL SCHOOL GOALS

**Directions:** Based on the findings and implications from the comprehensive needs assessment (Section IV), determine your school's instructional goals for 2010-11 and list them in this section along with a few phrases of description. The resulting list should include a limited number of goals (5 is a good guideline), and the list as a whole should be a clear reflection of your priorities for the year. Good goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.

**Notes:** (1) In Section VI of this template, you will need to complete an "action plan" for each annual goal listed in this section. (2) Schools designated for improvement (Improvement, Corrective Action, Restructuring, SURR, Persistently Lowest-Achieving (PLA), or schools that received a C for two consecutive years, D, or F on the Progress Report) must identify a goal and complete an action plan related to improving student outcomes in the area(s) of improvement identification. (3) When developed, Principal's Performance Review (PPR) goals should be aligned to the school's annual goals described in this section.

---

### **Goal #1: Students identified as struggling with writing will increase output and quality of writing.**

Teachers will identify students who are struggling with writing, assess their areas of strength and weakness, and develop plans for meeting their learning needs. Teachers will meet weekly in study groups to examine the work of Mary Ehrenworth & Vicki Vinton, Dr. Mel Levine, Ralph Fletcher and other educators, and develop differentiated approaches, including strategic small group instruction, to support these students to continue making progress in writing.

### **Goal #2: Teachers will plan and develop math lessons that address the multiple levels of mathematical understanding and achievement in their classrooms.**

In 2009 – 2010, teachers developed assessment tools to better understand their students' abilities in mathematics. They used this information for individual interventions and to group students for small group instruction. In the coming year, teachers will study strategies for differentiating mathematics lessons for whole group work.

### **Goal #3: The whole school community will foster social emotional learning, with an emphasis on creating cohesive classroom communities at the beginning of the school year.**

Each September classrooms of students must learn the routines and norms of their new grade and teacher. New developmental stages also mean children are coping with new social and academic challenges, and are learning new strategies for coping with them. Finally, because we have one class per grade, students at PS 150 travel with most of their classmates for up to 6 years. New students entering these well-established groups may struggle to find their places, or bring out new tensions and conflicts in their classes.

PS 150 staff will implement a social-emotional curriculum designed to build community, foster emotional self-regulation, and develop conflict resolution strategies. The school will have monthly Town Hall meetings to discuss school-wide issues and develop community. Each class will have weekly social-emotional meetings involving group problem solving and positive community building. Classroom curriculum will include self-regulation activities (e.g., yoga), group building activities (theater), and/or social action activities. These activities will be supported by fulltime guidance counselor Rebecca Newfield.

**SECTION VI: ACTION PLAN**

**Directions:** The action plan should be used as a tool to support effective implementation and to evaluate progress toward meeting goals. Use the action plan template provided below to indicate key strategies and activities to be implemented for the 2010-11 school year to support accomplishment of each annual goal identified in Section V. The action plan template should be duplicated as necessary. **Reminder:** Schools designated for (Improvement, Corrective Action, Restructuring, SURR, PLA, or schools that received a C for two consecutive years, D, or F on the Progress Report) must identify a goal and complete an action plan related to improving student outcomes in the area(s) of improvement identification.

**Subject/Area (where relevant):** Writing

<p><b>Annual Goal</b> Goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.</p>	<p>Struggling writers in grades 3 – 5 will improve the quality and increase the output of their writing. Students identified through baseline writing assessments completed in September will achieve one to two levels of growth on the DY0 writing rubric in the areas of ideas and voice, language features, and organization. The volume of their writing will increase 50% measured over the course of 5 days.</p>
<p><b>Action Plan</b> Include: actions/strategies/activities the school will implement to accomplish the goal; target population(s); responsible staff members; and implementation timelines.</p>	<p>Teacher will identify struggling writers through baseline assessment and observation. The volume of their writing over the course of 5 days will be determined in October and compared to the volume of writing over 5 days in May. Teachers will meet in Study Groups to study approaches for increasing engagement in writing. Teachers will work with A.U.S.S.I.E. literacy consultant Jan Donaldson one-on-one and in small groups to study student work. Baseline and benchmark writing assessments will be given 3 times a year and analyzed with the DY0 writing rubric.</p>
<p><b>Aligning Resources: Implications for Budget, Staffing/Training, and Schedule</b> Include human and fiscal resources, with specific reference to scheduled FY'11 PS and/or OTPS budget categories, that will support the actions/strategies/ activities described in this action plan.</p>	<p>PTA is funding work with literacy consultant Jan Donaldson Study group meets Thursday mornings at 8:10 AM as per SBO on extended day time. Teachers also discuss writing and assessments during Circular 6 professional periods (professional development once a week).</p>
<p><b>Indicators of Interim Progress and/or Accomplishment</b> Include: interval (frequency) of periodic review; instrument(s) of measure; projected gains</p>	<p>Use of baseline &amp; benchmark assessments &amp; DY0 writing rubrics. The volume of struggling students' writing over the course of 5 days in October and compared to the volume of writing over 5 days in May.</p>

**Subject/Area (where relevant):** Mathematics

<p><b>Annual Goal</b> Goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.</p>	<p>To further develop our work in differentiated mathematics instruction, teachers in grades K – 5 will an accelerated math group and provide differentiated activities at least once a week. By December 1, all teachers will have formed accelerated math groups and will begin offering extension activities at least once a week.</p>
<p><b>Action Plan</b> Include: actions/strategies/activities the school will implement to accomplish the goal; target population(s); responsible staff members; and implementation timelines.</p>	<p>A teacher study group will meet weekly with math coach Christina Santiago to study practices for differentiating with small groups. They will study texts and student work. PTA funded assistant teachers will support classroom teachers so that small groups can receive dedicated instructional support,</p>
<p><b>Aligning Resources: Implications for Budget, Staffing/Training, and Schedule</b> Include human and fiscal resources, with specific reference to scheduled FY'11 PS and/or OTPS budget categories, that will support the actions/strategies/ activities described in this action plan.</p>	<p>Math coach Christina Santiago is funded through TL DRA Stabilization, C4E FY09, and Self Sustaining 18. Study group meets Thursday mornings at 8:10 AM as per SBO on extended day time. Teachers also discuss mathematics and assessments during Circular 6 professional periods (professional development once a week). Assistant teachers are paid through a PTA grant (Self Sustaining 54).</p>
<p><b>Indicators of Interim Progress and/or Accomplishment</b> Include: interval (frequency) of periodic review; instrument(s) of measure; projected gains</p>	<p>By December 1, teachers will submit lists of students performing above grade level in mathematics with supporting data (DYO math assessment &amp; NYS Mathematics test scores). Principal and math coach will assess frequency of small group work by reviewing plans, looking at student portfolios, and observing lessons.</p>



## **REQUIRED APPENDICES TO THE CEP FOR 2010-2011**

**Directions:** All schools must complete Appendices 1, 2, 3, & 7. All Title I schools must complete Appendix 4. All schools identified under NCLB or SED for School Improvement, including Improvement (year 1), Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action (CA) (year 1), Corrective Action (year 2), Restructuring (year 1), Restructuring (year 2), Restructuring (Advanced), and SURR, must complete Appendix 5. All Schools Under Registration Review (SURR) must also complete Appendix 6. **Please refer to the accompanying CEP guidance for specific CEP submission instructions and timelines.** (Important Notes: Last year's Appendix 7 – School-level Reflection and Response to System-wide Curriculum Audit Findings – has sunset as a requirement. Last year's Appendix 9 has been moved to Appendix 7 for 2010-2011. Appendix 8 will not be required for this year.)

**APPENDIX 1: ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS) SUMMARY FORM – SED REQUIREMENT FOR ALL SCHOOLS**

**APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM DELIVERY FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS – NCLB/SED REQUIREMENT FOR ALL SCHOOLS**

**APPENDIX 3: LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION – CHANCELLOR'S REGULATIONS FOR ALL SCHOOLS**

**APPENDIX 4: NCLB REQUIREMENT FOR ALL TITLE I SCHOOLS**

**APPENDIX 5: NCLB/SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT**

**APPENDIX 6: SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS UNDER REGISTRATION REVIEW (SURR)**

**APPENDIX 7: TITLE I, PART A – SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN TEMPORARY HOUSING (STH) – REQUIREMENT FOR ALL SCHOOLS**

**APPENDIX 8: CONTRACTS FOR EXCELLENCE (C4E) SCHOOL-BASED EXPENDITURES – SED REQUIREMENT FOR ALL C4E-FUNDED SCHOOLS (NOTE: APPENDIX 8 WILL NOT BE REQUIRED FOR THIS YEAR)**

**APPENDIX 1: ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS) SUMMARY FORM**

*New York State Education Department (SED) requirement for all schools*

**Part A. Directions:** On the chart below, indicate the total number of students receiving Academic Intervention Services (AIS) in each area listed, for each applicable grade. AIS grade and subject requirements are as follows: K-3: reading and math; 4-12: reading, math, science, and social studies. Academic Intervention Services include **2 components:** additional instruction that supplements the general curriculum (regular classroom instruction); and/or student support services needed to address barriers to improved academic performance such as services provided by a guidance counselor or social worker. Note: Refer to the District Comprehensive Educational Plan (DCEP) for a description of district procedures for providing AIS.

Grade	ELA	Mathematics	Science	Social Studies	At-risk Services: Guidance Counselor	At-risk Services: School Psychologist	At-risk Services: Social Worker	At-risk Health-related Services
	# of Students Receiving AIS	# of Students Receiving AIS	# of Students Receiving AIS	# of Students Receiving AIS				
K	6	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0
1	3	4	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0
2	4	4	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
3	7	8	N/A	N/A	5	0	0	0
4	3	3	0	0	5	0	0	0
5	2	5	0	0	4	0	0	0
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								

**Identified groups of students who have been targeted for AIS, and the established criteria for identification:**

- Students in Grades K – 3 who are considered at-risk for not meeting State standards as determined by their performance on ECLAS 2 or other identified assessments, or who have been identified as potential holdovers.
- Students in Grades 4 – 8 who are performing at Level 1 or Level 2 on New York State English language arts (ELA), mathematics, science, and social studies assessments.
- Students in Grade 9 who performed at Level 1 or Level 2 on NYS Grade 8 ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies assessments.
- Students in Grades 10 – 12 who scored below the approved passing grade on any Regents examination required for graduation in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.

**Part B. Description of Academic Intervention Services**

Name of Academic Intervention Services (AIS)	<b>Description:</b> Provide a brief description of <b>each</b> of the Academic Intervention Services (AIS) indicated in column one, including the type of program or strategy (e.g., Wilson, Great Leaps, etc.), method for delivery of service (e.g., small group, one-to-one, tutoring, etc.), and when the service is provided (i.e., during the school day, before or after school, Saturday, etc.).
<b>ELA:</b>	Gr 1-5 Extended Day program for AIS ELA before school, in small groups no more than 10, focusing on reading comprehension skills and writing/spelling skills. Wilson's Foundations- phonemic awareness program is used.
<b>Mathematics:</b>	Gr 2-5 Extended Day program for AIS Math before school, in small groups no more than 10, focusing on basic math skills. Investigation Materials and Stern Gould-structural Arithmetic are programs used.
<b>Science:</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Social Studies:</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>At-risk Services Provided by the Guidance Counselor:</b>	Small group and individual counseling sessions use talk strategies, role playing, sand tray work, and art. Also mediation and collaborative problem solving for conflicts between students.
<b>At-risk Services Provided by the School Psychologist:</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>At-risk Services Provided by the Social Worker:</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>At-risk Health-related Services: SPEECH &amp; LANGUAGE</b>	At-Risk services are provided either individually or in a small group of 2 or 3 students during the school day. Some sessions are conducted outside of the classroom and others are push-in to the classroom. Students work on written language skills, expressive language skills, listening comprehension skills, vocabulary expansion, ELA and math test preparation, and other areas that the teacher reports the student is struggling in. The speech therapist works closely with the students' classroom teachers and gives strategies to help the students to maximize their potential in the classroom environment.

**APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM DELIVERY FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELLs)**

*NCLB/SED requirement for all schools*

**Part A: Language Allocation Policy (LAP)** – Attach a copy of your school’s current year (2010-2011) Language Allocation Policy to this CEP.

---

**Part B: Title III: Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students – School Year 2010-2011**

**Directions:** In anticipation of the allocation of Title III funding to your school for 2010-11 at the same funding level as 2009-10, indicate below whether there will be any revisions for 2010-11 to your school’s approved 2009-10 Title III program narrative and budget. Note: Only revised Title III plans will be reviewed this year for DOE and SED approval.

- There will be no revisions to our school’s approved 2009-10 Title III program narrative and budget (described in this section) for implementation in 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding).
- We have made minor revisions to our school’s approved 2009-10 Title III program narrative for 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding). The revised Title III program narrative is described in Section II below.
- We have made minor revisions to our school’s approved 2009-10 Title III budget for 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding). The revised Title III budget is described in Section III below.
- Our school’s 2009-10 Title III program narrative and budget have been revised for 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding). The new Title III plan is described in Sections’ II and III below.

**Section I. Student and School Information**

Grade Level(s) \_\_\_\_\_ Number of Students to be Served: \_\_\_\_\_ LEP \_\_\_\_\_ Non-LEP

Number of Teachers \_\_\_\_\_ Other Staff (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

**School Building Instructional Program/Professional Development Overview**

**Section II. Title III, Part A LEP Program Narrative**

**Language Instruction Program** – Language instruction education programs funded under Title III, Part A, of NCLB, must help LEP students attain English proficiency while meeting State academic achievement standards. They may use both English and the student’s native language and may include the participation of English proficient students (i.e., Two Way Bilingual Education/Dual Language program.) Programs implemented under Title III, Part A, may not supplant programs required under CR Part 154. In the space provided below, describe the school’s language instruction program for limited English proficient (LEP) students. The description must include: type of program/activities; number of students to be served;

grade level(s); language(s) of instruction; rationale for the selection of program/activities; times per day/week; program duration; and service provider and qualifications.

---

**Professional Development Program** – Describe the school’s professional development program for teachers and other staff responsible for the delivery of instruction and services to limited English proficient students.

---

**Section III. Title III Budget**

School: \_\_\_\_\_ BEDS Code: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Allocation Amount:</b>		
<b>Budget Category</b>	<b>Budgeted Amount</b>	<b>Explanation of expenditures in this category as it relates to the program narrative for this title.</b>
<b>Professional salaries (schools must account for fringe benefits)</b> - Per session - Per diem	(e.g., \$9,978)	<b>(Example: 200 hours of per session for ESL and General Ed teacher to support ELL Students: 200 hours x \$49.89 (current teacher per session rate with fringe) = \$9,978.00)</b>
<b>Purchased services</b> - High quality staff and curriculum development contracts.	(e.g., \$5,000)	<b>(Example: Consultant, Dr. John Doe, working with teachers and administrators 2 days a week on development of curriculum enhancements)</b>
<b>Supplies and materials</b> - Must be supplemental. - Additional curricula, instructional materials. Must be clearly listed.	(e.g., \$500)	<b>(Example: 1 Books on Tape, Cassette Recorders, Headphones, Book Bins, Leveled Books)</b>
<b>Educational Software (Object Code 199)</b>	(e.g., \$2,000)	<b>(Example: 2 Rosetta Stone language development software packages for after-school program)</b>
<b>Travel</b>		
<b>Other</b>		
<b>TOTAL</b>		

### **APPENDIX 3: LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION**

#### *Requirement under Chancellor's Regulations – for all schools*

**Goal:** To communicate whenever feasible with non-English speaking parents in their home language in order to support shared parent-school accountability, parent access to information about their children's educational options, and parents' capacity to improve their children's achievement.

#### **Part A: Needs Assessment Findings**

---

1. Describe the data and methodologies used to assess your school's written translation and oral interpretation needs to ensure that all parents are provided with appropriate and timely information in a language they can understand.
2. Summarize the major findings of your school's written translation and oral interpretation needs. Describe how the findings were reported to the school community.

#### **Part B: Strategies and Activities**

---

1. Describe the written translation services the school will provide, and how they will meet identified needs indicated in Part A. Include procedures to ensure timely provision of translated documents to parents determined to be in need of language assistance services. Indicate whether written translation services will be provided by an outside vendor, or in-house by school staff or parent volunteers.
2. Describe the oral interpretation services the school will provide, and how they will meet identified needs indicated in Part A. Indicate whether oral interpretation services will be provided by an outside contractor, or in-house by school staff or parent volunteers.
3. Describe how the school will fulfill Section VII of Chancellor's Regulations A-663 regarding parental notification requirements for translation and interpretation services. Note: The full text of Chancellor's Regulations A-663 (Translations) is available via the following link: <http://docs.nycenet.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-151/A-663%20Translation%203-27-06%20.pdf>.

**APPENDIX 4: NCLB REQUIREMENTS FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS**

*All Title I schools must complete this appendix.*

**Directions:**

- All Title I schools must address requirements in Part A and Part B of this appendix.
- Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP) schools must complete Part C of this appendix.
- Title I Targeted Assistance (TAS) schools must complete Part D of this appendix.

**Part A: TITLE I ALLOCATIONS AND SET-ASIDES**

	Title I Basic	Title I ARRA	Total
1. Enter the anticipated Title I, Part A allocation for 2010-11:			
2. Enter the anticipated 1% set-aside for Parent Involvement:			
3. Enter the anticipated 5% set-aside to insure that all teachers in core subject areas are highly qualified:		*	
4. Enter the anticipated 10% set-aside for Professional Development:		*	

5. Enter the percentage of High-Quality Teachers teaching in core academic subjects during the 2009-2010 school year: \_\_\_\_\_

6. If the percentage of high quality teachers during 2008-2009 is less than 100% describe activities and strategies the school is implementing in order to insure that the school will have 100% high quality teachers by the end of the coming school year.

\* Federal waiver granted; additional set-asides for Title I ARRA are not required for these areas.

**Part B: TITLE I SCHOOL PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT POLICY & SCHOOL-PARENT COMPACT**

**Directions:** Attach a copy of the school's **Parent Involvement Policy (PIP)**, which includes the **School-Parent Compact**.

**Explanation – School Parental Involvement Policy:** In support of strengthening student academic achievement, each school that receives Title I, Part A funds must develop jointly with, agree on with, and distribute to, parents of participating children a written parental involvement policy that contains information required by section 1118(a)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). The policy establishes the school's expectations for parental involvement and describes how the school will implement a number of specific parental involvement activities, including the required **Title I Annual Parent meeting**. A sample template was created by the Office of School Improvement in

collaboration with the New York State Education Department and Office for Family Engagement and Advocacy and is available in the nine major languages on the NYCDOE website. It is **strongly recommended** that schools, in consultation with parents, use the sample template as a framework for the information to be included in their parental involvement policy. Schools, in consultation with parents, are encouraged to include other relevant and agreed upon activities and actions as well that will support effective parental involvement and strengthen student academic achievement. The school parent involvement policy must be provided to all parents and disseminated in the major languages spoken by the majority of parents in the school.

***Explanation – School-Parent Compact:*** Each school receiving funds under Title I, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) must develop a written school-parent compact jointly with parents for all children participating in Title I, Part A activities, services, and programs. That compact is part of the school’s written parental involvement policy developed by the school and parents under section 1118(b) of the ESEA. The compact must outline how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement and the means by which the school and parents will build and develop a partnership to help children achieve the State’s high standards. It is **strongly recommended** that schools and parents use the sample template which is available in the nine major languages on the NYCDOE website as a framework for the information to be included in the compact. Schools and parents, in consultation with students, are encouraged to include other relevant and agreed upon activities and actions as well that will support effective parental involvement and strengthen student academic achievement. The school-parent compact must be provided to all parents and disseminated in the major languages spoken by the majority of parents in the school.

## **Part C: TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAM SCHOOLS**

### **Section I: Schoolwide Program (SWP) Required Components**

**Directions:** Describe how the school will implement the following components of a Schoolwide Program as required under NCLB. **Note:** If a required component is already addressed elsewhere in this plan, you may refer to the page numbers where the response can be found.

1. A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that is based on information on the performance of children in relation to the State academic content and student academic achievement standards.
  
2. Schoolwide reform strategies that:
  - a) Provide opportunities for all children to meet the State's proficient and advanced levels of student academic achievement.
  - b) Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically-based research that:
    - o Increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as extended school year, before- and after-school and summer programs and opportunities.
    - o Help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum.
    - o Meet the educational needs of historically underserved populations.
    - o Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of low academic achieving children and those at risk of not meeting the State academic content standards and are members of the target population of any program that is

included in the Schoolwide Program. These programs may include counseling, pupil services, mentoring services, college and career awareness/preparation, and the integration of vocational and technical education programs.

- Are consistent with and are designed to implement State and local improvement, if any.

3. Instruction by highly qualified staff.
4. High-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals (and, where appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff) to enable all children in the Schoolwide Program to meet the State's student academic standards.
5. Strategies to attract high-quality highly qualified teachers to high-need schools.
6. Strategies to increase parental involvement through means such as family literacy services.
7. Plans for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs, such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First, or a State-run preschool program, to local elementary school programs.
8. Measures to include teachers in the decisions regarding the use of academic assessments in order to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.
9. Activities to ensure that students who experience difficulty mastering the proficient or advanced levels of the academic achievement standards are provided with effective, timely additional assistance. The additional assistance must include measures to ensure that students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis and to provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance.
10. Coordination and integration of Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under NCLB, i.e., violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

## Section II: “Conceptual” Consolidation of Funds in a Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP)

### ***Explanation/Background:***

Title I Schoolwide Program schools are expected to use the flexibility available to them to integrate services and programs with the aim of upgrading the entire educational program and helping all students reach proficient and advanced levels of achievement. In addition to coordinating and integrating services, Schoolwide Program schools may combine most Federal, State and local funds to provide those services. By consolidating funds from Federal, State, and local sources, a Schoolwide Program school can address its needs using *all* of the resources available to it. This gives a school more flexibility in how it uses available resources to meet the identified needs of its students.

Consolidating funds in a Schoolwide Program means that a school treats the funds it is consolidating like they are a single “pool” of funds. In other words, the funds from the contributing programs in the school lose their individual identity and the school has one flexible pool of funds. The school uses funds from this consolidated Schoolwide pool to support any activity of the Schoolwide Program without regard to which program contributed the specific funds used for a particular activity. To consolidate funding in a Schoolwide Program, the school does not literally need to combine funds in a single account or pool with its own accounting code. Rather, the word “pool” is used **conceptually** to convey that a Schoolwide Program school has the use of all consolidated funds available to it for the dedicated function of operating a Schoolwide Program without regard to the identity of those funds.

Consolidating Federal funds in a Schoolwide Program has the following additional advantages:

- Consolidating Federal funds eases the requirements for accounting for funds from each specific program separately, because a Schoolwide school is not required to distinguish among funds received from different sources when accounting for their use.
- A school that consolidates Federal funds in its Schoolwide Program is not required to meet most of the statutory and regulatory requirements of the specific Federal programs included in the consolidation (e.g., semi-annual time and effort reporting for Title I). However, the school must ensure that it meets the intent and purposes of the Federal programs included in the consolidation so that the needs of the intended beneficiaries are met.

Most, if not all, Schoolwide Program (SWP) schools in NYC are already conceptually consolidating their Federal, State, and Local funds, even though the Galaxy system reports the allocations in separate accounting codes.

To be eligible for the flexibility consolidation of Federal funds enables, a Schoolwide Program school must identify in its Schoolwide plan (CEP) which programs are included in its consolidation and the amount each program contributes to the consolidated Schoolwide pool. Additionally, the school plan must document that it has met the intent and purposes of each program whose funds are consolidated. For example, IDEA, Part B allows SWP schools to consolidate a portion of the funds received under Part B of IDEA, so long as students with disabilities included in such Schoolwide Programs receive special education and related services in accordance with a properly developed Individualized Education Program (IEP), and are afforded all of the rights and services guaranteed to children with disabilities under IDEA. The intent and purpose of the IDEA is to ensure that all children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education designed to meet their individual needs. A Schoolwide Program may demonstrate that it meets the intent and purpose of this program by ensuring that, except as to certain use

of funds requirements, all the requirements of the IDEA are met, and that children with disabilities are included in school-wide activities. High-quality professional development required for all staff and designed to result in improved learning outcomes for all children, including children with disabilities, is one example of a schoolwide activity that meets the intent and purposes of the IDEA.

**Directions:** In this section, please indicate which Federal, State, and/or local Tax Levy program funds are consolidated in your school’s Schoolwide Program, the amount each program contributes to the consolidated Schoolwide pool, and verification that the school has met the intent and purposes of each program whose funds are consolidated.

Program Name	Fund Source <i>(i.e., Federal, State, or Local)</i>	Program Funds Are “Conceptually” <sup>1</sup> Consolidated in the Schoolwide Program (✓)			Amount Contributed to Schoolwide Pool <i>(Refer to Galaxy for FY’11 school allocation amounts)</i>	Check (✓) in the left column below to verify that the school has met the intent and purposes <sup>2</sup> of each program whose funds are consolidated. Indicate page number references where a related program activity has been described in this plan.	
		Yes	No	N/A		Check (✓)	Page #(s)
Title I, Part A (Basic)	Federal						
Title I, Part A (ARRA)	Federal						
Title II, Part A	Federal						
Title III, Part A	Federal						
Title IV	Federal						
IDEA	Federal						
Tax Levy	Local						

## Part D: TITLE I TARGETED ASSISTANCE SCHOOLS

**Reminder:** To consolidate funding in a Schoolwide Program, the school does not literally need to combine funds in a single account or pool with its own accounting code. Rather, the word “pool” is used conceptually to convey that a Schoolwide Program school has the use of all consolidated funds available to it for the dedicated function of operating a Schoolwide Program without regard to the identity of those funds. Most Schoolwide Program (SWP) schools in NYC are conceptually consolidating all of their Federal, State, and Local funds, even though the Galaxy system reports the allocations in separate accounting codes.

**Note:** The **intent and purposes** of the Federal programs indicated on the above chart are as follows:

- **Title I, Part A – Schoolwide Programs:** To upgrade the entire educational program in the school in order to improve the academic achievement of all students, particularly the lowest-achieving students.
- **Title II, Part A:** Supplementary funding to improve student academic achievement by reducing class size in grades K, 1, 2, and 3, with an emphasis on grades with average register greater than 20. If space is not available to form additional classes, funds may support push-in teacher(s) to supplement the instructional program.
- **Title III, Part A:** To help ensure that children with limited English proficiency become proficient in English, develop high academic attainment in English, and meet the same challenging State academic content and achievement standards in the core academic subjects that all other children are expected to meet. Another purpose of this program is to increase the capacity of schools to establish, implement and sustain high-quality language instruction programs and English language development programs that assist schools in effectively teaching students with limited English proficiency. Title III, Part A is also designed to promote the participation of parents and communities of limited English proficient children in English language instruction programs.
- **Title IV:** To support programs that prevent violence in and around schools; prevent the illegal use of alcohol, tobacco and drugs; and involve parents and communities in efforts to foster a safe and drug-free learning environment that supports student achievement.
- **IDEA:** To ensure that all children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education designed to meet their individual needs.

**Directions:** Describe how the school will implement the following components of a Title I Targeted Assistance Program as required under NCLB. Note: If a required component is already addressed elsewhere in this plan, you may refer to the page numbers where the response can be found.

1. Use program resources to help participating children meet the State standards.
2. Ensure that planning for students served under this program is incorporated into existing school planning.
3. Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that strengthens the core academic program of the school and that:
  - a. Give primary consideration to providing extended learning time, such as, extended school year, before/after school, and summer programs and opportunities;
  - b. Help provide an accelerated, high –quality curriculum, including applied learning; and
  - c. Minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours;
4. Coordinate with and support the regular educational program;
5. Provide instruction by highly qualified teachers;
6. Provide professional development opportunities for teachers, principals and paraprofessionals, including, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff;
7. Provide strategies to increase parental involvement; and
8. Coordinate and integrate Federal, State and local services and programs.

## **APPENDIX 5: NCLB/SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT, CORRECTIVE ACTION, OR RESTRUCTURING**

*This appendix must be completed by all schools designated for school improvement under the State's Differentiated Accountability system, including Improvement (year 1), Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action (CA) (year 1), Corrective Action (year 2), Restructuring (year 1), Restructuring (year 2), Restructuring (Advanced), and SURR schools.*

**NCLB/SED Status:** \_\_\_\_\_ **SURR<sup>3</sup> Phase/Group (If applicable):** \_\_\_\_\_

### **Part A: For All Schools Identified for Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring**

1. For each area of school improvement identification (indicated on your pre-populated School Demographics and Accountability Snapshot, downloadable from your school's NYCDOE webpage under "Statistics"), describe the school's findings of the specific academic issues that caused the school to be identified. For schools in Corrective Action (year 1) that underwent an External School Curriculum Audit (ESCA) during the 2009-10 school year, please include the findings from that process in your response for this section.
2. Describe the focused intervention(s) the school will implement to support improved achievement in the grade and subject areas for which the school was identified. Be sure to include strategies to address the needs of all disaggregated groups that failed to meet the AMO, Safe Harbor, and/or 95% participation rate requirement. Note: If this question was already addressed elsewhere in this plan, you may refer to the page numbers where the response can be found. For schools in the Corrective Action phase, please include the specific corrective action being implemented for the school, as required under NCLB. For schools in the Restructuring phase, please include a description of the restructuring option/strategies being implemented for the school.

### **Part B: For Title I Schools Identified for Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring**

1. As required by NCLB legislation, a school identified for school improvement must spend not less than 10 percent of its Title I funds for each fiscal year that the school is in school improvement status for professional development. The professional development must be high quality and address the academic area(s) identified. Describe how the 10 percent of the Title I funds for professional development (amounts specified in Part A of Appendix 4) will be used to remove the school from school improvement.
2. Describe the teacher-mentoring program that will be incorporated as part of the school's strategy for providing high-quality professional development.
3. Describe how the school will notify parents about the school's identification for school improvement in an understandable and uniform format and to the extent practicable, in a language that the parents can understand.

---

School Under Registration Review (SURR)

**APPENDIX 6: SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS UNDER REGISTRATION REVIEW (SURR)**

*All SURR schools must complete this appendix.*

**SURR Area(s) of Identification:** \_\_\_\_\_

**SURR Group/Phase:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Year of Identification:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Deadline Year:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part A: SURR Review Team Recommendations** – On the chart below, indicate the categorized recommendations for improvement resulting from the SED Registration Review Visit/Report and all external review and monitoring visits since the school was first identified as a SURR. Indicate the specific actions the school has taken, or will take, to address each of the recommendations.

<b>Type of Review or Monitoring Visit</b> (Include agency & dates of visits)	<b>Review Team Categorized Recommendations</b> (e.g., Administrative Leadership, Professional Development, Special Education, etc.)	<b>Actions the school has taken, or plans to take, to address review team recommendations</b>

## **APPENDIX 7: TITLE I, PART A – SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN TEMPORARY HOUSING (STH)**

*All schools must complete this appendix.*

### **Directions:**

- All Title I schools must complete Part A of this appendix.
- All Non-Title I schools must complete Part B of this appendix.

### **Supporting Students in Temporary Housing (STH)**

As included in your Office of School and Youth Development Consolidated Plan STH Section and in accordance with the federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act and Chancellor's Regulation A-780, schools must identify, serve, and report on students living in temporary housing (STH). For more information on using Title I set-aside funds to support your STH population, please refer to the Frequently Asked Questions document on DOE's website: <http://schools.nyc.gov/NR/rdonlyres/9831364D-E542-4763-BC2F-7D424EBD5C83/58877/TitleIPartASetAsideforStudentsinTemporaryHousing.pdf>

---

### **Part A: FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS**

1. Please identify the number of Students in Temporary Housing who are currently attending your school. (Please note that your current STH population may not be the same as officially reported in DOE systems and may change over the course of the year.)
2. Please describe the services you are planning to provide to the STH population.

### **Part B: FOR NON-TITLE I SCHOOLS**

1. Please identify the number of Students in Temporary Housing who are currently attending your school (please note that your STH population may change over the course of the year). *We have one STH.*
2. Please describe the services you are planning to provide to the STH population with the Title I set-aside funds. *Our student in temporary housing is being provided with necessary supplies for school. This child is also receiving at-risk SETSS services.*
3. Some Non-Title I schools receive a specific allocation based on the reported number of students living in temporary housing. If your school received an allocation (please refer to the current Title I Funds Summary of School Allocation Memorandum), include the amount your school received in this question. If your school did not receive an allocation and needs assistance in identifying resources to assist STH students, please contact an STH liaison in your Children First Network. *Our school received \$1,222.00 title 1 Targeted Assistance funds.*

**APPENDIX 8: CONTRACTS FOR EXCELLENCE (C4E) SCHOOL-BASED EXPENDITURES**

*This appendix will not be required for 2010-2011.*

**Please Note:** Since the system-wide expectation is that schools will maintain effort for 2008-09/2009-10 programs funded with Contract for Excellence dollars in 2010-11, schools will not be required to complete a new version of CEP Appendix 8 this year. Please see the FY11 SAM #6 "Contracts for Excellence Discretionary Allocations" for details about other documentation that schools may be required to complete in conjunction with the spending of their C4E dollars.

**(THIS SECTION WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR 2010-11)**

**SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT**

<b>School Name:</b>	P.S. 150						
<b>District:</b>	2	<b>DBN:</b>	02M150	<b>School</b>		310200010150	

**DEMOGRAPHICS**

Grades Served:	Pre-K	v	3	v	7	11	
	K	v	4	v	8	12	
	1	v	5	v	9	Ungraded	v
	2	v	6		10		

<b>Enrollment</b>				<b>Attendance - % of days students attended:</b>			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K	18	18	18		94.8	96.1	95.9
Kindergarten	28	27	28				
Grade 1	28	28	26	<b>Student Stability - % of Enrollment:</b>			
Grade 2	28	27	29	<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Grade 3	28	26	28		93.9	96.8	98.1
Grade 4	28	27	28				
Grade 5	28	26	26	<b>Poverty Rate - % of Enrollment:</b>			
Grade 6	0	0	0	<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Grade 7	0	0	0		10.5	13.1	8.6
Grade 8	0	0	0				
Grade 9	0	0	0	<b>Students in Temporary Housing - Total Number:</b>			
Grade 10	0	0	0	<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Grade 11	0	0	0		0	2	1
Grade 12	0	0	0				
Ungraded	0	2	2	<b>Recent Immigrants - Total Number:</b>			
Total	186	181	185	<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
					0	0	0

<b>Special Education</b>				<b>Suspensions (OSYD Reporting) - Total Number:</b>			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
# in Self-Contained Classes	0	0	0	Principal Suspensions	0	0	1
# in Collaborative Team Teaching (CTT) Classes	0	0	0	Superintendent Suspensions	0	0	0
Number all others	27	28	23				

*These students are included in the enrollment information above.*

<b>Special High School Programs - Total Number:</b>			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
CTE Program Participants	0	0	0
Early College HS Program Participants	0	0	0

<b>English Language Learners (ELL) Enrollment: (BESIS Survey)</b>				<b>Number of Staff - Includes all full-time staff:</b>			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
# in Transitional Bilingual Classes	0	0	TBD	Number of Teachers	12	11	12
# in Dual Lang. Programs	0	0	TBD	Number of Administrators and Other Professionals	4	4	3
# receiving ESL services only	3	0	TBD				
# ELLs with IEPs	0	0	TBD				

*These students are included in the General and Special Education enrollment information above.*

<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Number of Educational Paraprofessionals	0	0	1

Overage Students (# entering students overage for				Teacher Qualifications:			
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
	0	0	0	% fully licensed & permanently assigned to this school	91.7	100.0	90.9
				% more than 2 years teaching in this school	75.0	72.7	83.3
				% more than 5 years teaching anywhere	75.0	72.7	75.0
Ethnicity and Gender - % of Enrollment:							
(As of October 31)	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	% Masters Degree or higher	92.0	91.0	91.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	0.6	0.5	% core classes taught by "highly qualified" teachers	100.0	100.0	66.7
Black or African American	9.1	8.3	6.5				
Hispanic or Latino	12.9	13.3	14.1				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	12.4	13.8	14.6				
White	65.1	58.6	62.2				
<b>Male</b>	45.7	49.2	44.9				
<b>Female</b>	54.3	50.8	55.1				

#### 2009-10 TITLE I STATUS

	Title I						
	Title I						
	Title I						
	Non-Title						
Years the School				2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11

#### NCLB/SED SCHOOL-LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY

<b>SURR School (Yes/No)</b>		If yes,					
-----------------------------	--	---------	--	--	--	--	--

#### Overall NCLB/Differentiated Accountability Status (2009-10) Based on 2008-09 Performance:

	Phase			Category		
	In Good		v	Basic	Focused	Comprehensive
	Improvement Year 1					
	Improvement Year 2					
	Corrective Action (CA) – Year					
	Corrective Action (CA) – Year					
	Restructuring Year 1					
	Restructuring Year 2					
	Restructuring Advanced					

#### Individual Subject/Area AYP Outcomes:

<u>Elementary/Middle Level</u>		<u>Secondary Level</u>
ELA:	v	ELA:
Math:	v	Math:
Science:	v	Graduation Rate:

#### This school's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) determinations for each accountability measure:

	<u>Elementary/Middle Level</u>			<u>Secondary Level</u>			
Student Groups	ELA	Math	Science	ELA	Math	Grad Rate**	Progress Target
<b>All Students</b>	v	v	v				
<b>Ethnicity</b>							

American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-				
White	v	v	-				
Multiracial							
Students with Disabilities	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-				
<b>Student groups making</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>				

**CHILDREN FIRST ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY**

<b>Progress Report Results – 2009-10</b>		<b>Quality Review Results – 2009-10</b>					
<b>Overall Letter Grade:</b>	B	<b>Overall Evaluation:</b>					NR
<b>Overall Score:</b>	52.4	<b>Quality Statement Scores:</b>					
<b>Category Scores:</b>		Quality Statement 1: Gather Data					
School Environment:	9.4	Quality Statement 2: Plan and Set Goals					
<i>(Comprises 15% of the</i>		Quality Statement 3: Align Instructional Strategy to Goals					
School Performance:	8.6	Quality Statement 4: Align Capacity Building to Goals					
<i>(Comprises 25% of the</i>		Quality Statement 5: Monitor and Revise					
Student Progress:	34.4						
<i>(Comprises 60% of the</i>							
Additional Credit:	0						

<b>KEY: AYP STATUS</b>	<b>KEY: QUALITY REVIEW SCORE</b>
v = Made AYP	U = Underdeveloped
vSH = Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	UPF = Underdeveloped with Proficient Features
X = Did Not Make AYP	P = Proficient
- = Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP	WD = Well Developed
	NR = Not Reviewed

\* = For Progress Report Attendance Rate(s) - If more than one attendance rate given, it is displayed as K-8/9-12.  
*Note: Progress Report grades are not yet available for District 75 schools; NCLB/SED accountability reports are not available for District 75 schools.*

\*\*[http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Memos/Graduation\\_rate\\_memo.pdf](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Memos/Graduation_rate_memo.pdf)

**OFFICE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS  
GRADES K-12 LANGUAGE ALLOCATION POLICY  
SUBMISSION FORM**

DIRECTIONS: This submission form assists schools with gathering and organizing the quantitative and qualitative information necessary for a well-conceived school-based language allocation policy (LAP) that describes quality ELL programs. This LAP form, an appendix of the CEP, also incorporates information required for CR Part 154 funding so that a separate submission is no longer required. Agendas and minutes of LAP meetings should be kept readily available on file in the school. Also, when preparing your school's submission, provide extended responses in the green spaces. Spell-check has been disabled in this file, so consider typing responses to these questions in a separate file before copying them in the submission form.

## Part I: School ELL Profile

### A. Language Allocation Policy Team Composition

Network Cluster <b>1</b>	District <b>02</b>	School Number <b>150</b>	School Name <b>Tribeca Learning</b>
Principal <b>Maggie Siena</b>		Assistant Principal <b>type here</b>	
Coach <b>Cristina Santiago</b>		Coach <b>type here</b>	
Teacher/Subject Area <b>Randi Asher</b>		Guidance Counselor <b>Rebecca Newfield</b>	
Teacher/Subject Area <b>type here</b>		Parent <b>type here</b>	
Teacher/Subject Area <b>type here</b>		Parent Coordinator <b>Laura Cohen</b>	
Related Service Provider <b>Jamie Jeuda</b>		Other <b>type here</b>	
Network Leader <b>Yuet Chu</b>		Other <b>type here</b>	

### B. Teacher Qualifications

Please provide a report of all staff members' certifications referred to in this section. Press TAB after each number entered to calculate sums and percentages.

Number of Certified ESL Teachers	<b>0</b>	Number of Certified Bilingual Teachers	<b>0</b>	Number of Certified NLA/Foreign Language Teachers	<b>0</b>
Number of Content Area Teachers with Bilingual Extensions	<b>0</b>	Number of Special Ed. Teachers with Bilingual Extensions	<b>0</b>	Number of Teachers of ELLs without ESL/Bilingual Certification	<b>0</b>

### C. School Demographics

Total Number of Students in School	<b>185</b>	Total Number of ELLs	<b>5</b>	ELLs as Share of Total Student Population (%)	<b>2.70%</b>
------------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------	---	--------------

## Part II: ELL Identification Process

Describe how you identify English Language Learners (ELLs) in your school. Answer the following:

1. Describe the steps followed for the initial identification of those students who may possibly be ELLs. These steps must include administering the Home Language Identification Survey (HLIS) which includes the informal oral interview in English and in the native language, and the formal initial assessment. Identify the person(s) responsible, including their qualifications, for conducting the initial screening, administering the HLIS, the LAB-R (if necessary), and the formal initial assessment. Also describe the steps taken to annually evaluate ELLs using the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT).
2. What structures are in place at your school to ensure that parents understand all three program choices (Transitional Bilingual, Dual Language, Freestanding ESL)? Please describe the process, outreach plan, and timelines.
3. Describe how your school ensures that entitlement letters are distributed and Parent Survey and Program Selection forms are returned? (If a form is not returned, the default program for ELLs is Transitional Bilingual Education as per CR Part 154 [\[see tool kit\]](#).)
4. Describe the criteria used and the procedures followed to place identified ELL students in bilingual or ESL instructional programs;

- description must also include any consultation/communication activities with parents in their native language.
5. After reviewing the Parent Survey and Program Selection forms for the past few years, what is the trend in program choices that parents have requested? (Please provide numbers.)
  6. Are the program models offered at your school aligned with parent requests? If no, why not? How will you build alignment between parent choice and program offerings? Describe specific steps underway.

The Tribeca Learning Center ELL enrollment policy follows all city and state guidelines. All parents of students who are new to the New York City Public School System (ATS Admission Code 58) receive a Home Language Survey (HLS). The ESL teacher or the principal conducts an informal interview and assist parent in filling out the HLS. For students whose parents indicate a home language other than English meet with the principal who briefly explains the Revised Language Assessment Battery State test (LAB-R) and the school's program choices. For students whose primary language is Spanish, the Spanish LAB is administered. If there is a need for a translator, the school provides one. If there is no staff member who may translate, the translation unit is called to assist with translation. English Language Learners (ELLs) are given the (LAB-R) within the first ten days of enrollment to determine level of English proficiency. Formatted city letters are sent to notify Parents/Guardians of their child's assessment results and a meeting is scheduled with the principal to formally review the Parent Survey and the Program Selection Forms.

Ø Coordinator/principal uses the LABR results and parent(s)'s interview to determine if the student needs academic intervention. For students who are SIFE, they will go into the after school program for SIFE, as well as receiving academic intervention services throughout the day.

Ø Formatted city letters are sent to notify Parents/Guardians of their child's assessment results and a meeting is scheduled with the principal to formally review the Parent Survey and the Program Selection Forms. Parents are informed of their rights as parents of students who speak another language other than English. Translation is provided for the parents.

Ø Once the LABR is hand-scored; if the LABR indicates the child is an ELL, a class change will be made if necessary.

Ø Students begin to receive appropriate services

At the Parents' Orientation, conducted by an ESL certified teacher, the parent(s) fill(s) out a program selection form. If the parent selects as their first choice a program which is not offer at the school, the parent is inform that the program is not available at the school at that time. The parent is then informed of their choices:

- o request a transfer to a school that has the program they selected,
- o to remain in this school and their request to be kept on record, and
- o apt for the second choice until their following the school has the appropriate number of request to open the program they've selected.

For parents who do not attend Parent's Orientation Meeting, a letter is sent home explaining the process and asking them to fill out the Parent Survey and Program Selection Form and return to the ELL coordinator. The ELL coordinator's contact information is included in the letter and parents are encouraged to make an appointment or call the ELL coordinator for assistance or clarification. In order to assure the form is filled out and returned, a staff member may have to visit the home to assist parent in filling out form and assuring form is returned.

ü Student is put on a list, which is kept by ELL coordinator, of students who must take the NYSESLAT. When it's time for students to take the NYSESLAT, the NYSESLAT eligible list is printed from ATS and compare to the ELL coordinator list and discrepancies are corrected. Any student who was entitled as per the HLS and LAB-R take the NYSESLAT test every year.

ü The school keeps a running record of the parents' program selection and will use that information in order to open new programs. The running record is reviewed every time a new student is register and a program selection form is received. Parents are notified that a new admit has registered and whether or not their selection matches theirs. There no names or selection disclose.

As soon as the school has enough requests to offer a particular program, parents are notified that will now be offered at the school which will result in a class change for their child. Before the beginning of the next school year if the program they selected as their first choice will not be offered the following school year due to lack of request, the parents are informed again of their rights to transfer their child to a school that offers their selected program. Programs models offered at our school are aligned with parents' request. We continue to monitor parents' request so we can align the programs offer at our school with parents' choices.

## Part III: ELL Demographics

### A. ELL Programs

This school serves the following grades (includes ELLs and EPs)

K ☒ 1 ☒ 2 ☒ 3 ☒ 4 ☒ 5 ☒

Check all that apply

6  7  8  9  10  11  12

Provide the number of classes for each ELL program model at your school. For all-day programs (e.g., Transitional Bilingual Education, Dual Language, and Self-Contained ESL), classes refer to a cohort of students served in a day. For push-in ESL classes refer to the separate periods in a day in which students are served.

ELL Program Breakdown														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Tot #
<b>Transitional Bilingual Education</b> (60%:40% → 50%:50% → 75%:25%)														0
<b>Dual Language</b> (50%:50%)														0
<b>Freestanding ESL</b>														
<b>Self-Contained</b>														0
<b>Push-In</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1								6
<b>Total</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

**B. ELL Years of Service and Programs**

Number of ELLs by Subgroups					
All ELLs	5	Newcomers (ELLs receiving service 0-3 years)	5	Special Education	0
SIFE	0	ELLs receiving service 4-6 years	0	Long-Term (completed 6 years)	0

Enter the number of ELLs by years of identification and program model in each box. Enter the number of ELLs within a subgroup who are also SIFE or special education.

	ELLs by Subgroups									Total	
	ELLs (0-3 years)			ELLs (4-6 years)			Long-Term ELLs (completed 6 years)				
	All	SIFE	Special Education	All	SIFE	Special Education	All	SIFE	Special Education		
TBE											0
Dual Language											0
ESL	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>

Number of ELLs in a TBE program who are in alternate placement: 0

**C. Home Language Breakdown and ELL Programs**

Transitional Bilingual Education														
Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Spanish														0
Chinese														0
Russian														0
Bengali														0
Urdu														0

**Transitional Bilingual Education**

**Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group**

	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Arabic														0
Haitian														0
French														0
Korean														0
Punjabi														0
Polish														0
Albanian														0
Yiddish														0
Other														0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>													

**Dual Language (ELLs/EPs)  
K-8**

**Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group**

	K		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		TOTAL	
	ELL	EP																		
Spanish																			0	0
Chinese																			0	0
Russian																			0	0
Korean																			0	0
Haitian																			0	0
French																			0	0
Other																			0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>																			

**Dual Language (ELLs/EPs)  
9-12**

**Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group**

	9		10		11		12		TOTAL	
	ELL	EP								
Spanish									0	0
Chinese									0	0
Russian									0	0
Korean									0	0
Haitian									0	0
French									0	0
Other									0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>									

**This Section for Dual Language Programs Only**

Number of Bilingual students (students fluent in both languages):	Number of third language speakers:
Ethnic breakdown of EPs (Number):	
African-American:	Asian:
Native American:	White (Non-Hispanic/Latino):
	Hispanic/Latino:
	Other:

## Freestanding English as a Second Language

### Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Spanish		1												1
Chinese		1	1											2
Russian														0
Bengali														0
Urdu														0
Arabic														0
Haitian														0
French														0
Korean														0
Punjabi														0
Polish														0
Albanian														0
Other		1		1										2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>								

## Part IV: ELL Programming

### A. Programming and Scheduling Information

1. How is instruction delivered?
  - a. What are the organizational models (e.g., Departmentalized, Push-In [Co-Teaching], Pull-Out, Collaborative, Self-Contained)?
  - b. What are the program models (e.g., Block [Class travels together as a group]; Ungraded [all students regardless of grade are in one class]; Heterogeneous [mixed proficiency levels]; Homogeneous [proficiency level is the same in one class])?
2. How does the organization of your staff ensure that the mandated number of instructional minutes is provided according to proficiency levels in each program model (TBE, Dual Language, ESL)?
  - a. How are explicit ESL, ELA, and NLA instructional minutes delivered in each program model as per CR Part 154 (see table below)?
3. Describe how the content areas are delivered in each program model. Please specify language, and the instructional approaches and methods used to make content comprehensible to enrich language development.
4. How do you differentiate instruction for ELL subgroups?
  - a. Describe your instructional plan for SIFE.
  - b. Describe your plan for ELLs in US schools less than three years (newcomers). Additionally, because NCLB now requires ELA testing for ELLs after one year, specify your instructional plan for these ELLs.
  - c. Describe your plan for ELLs receiving service 4 to 6 years.
  - d. Describe your plan for Long-Term ELLs (completed 6 years).
  - e. Describe your plan for ELLs identified as having special needs.

As a result of our history and very small size (181 students Pre K – 5), we only offer a free standing ESL program at this time. Pull-out and push-in services are provided by the SETSS teacher, the math coach, and the speech and language teacher. Services are scheduled so that each student receives the mandated number of minutes of ESL support. Explicit instructional minutes are delivered as per CR Part 154. Each English Language Learner is programmed for ESL instruction based on the levels at which they were assessed upon admittance to our school. Beginners (and Newcomers) are programmed for 360 minutes per week of Pull-Out Beginner ESL class. Intermediates are pulled out for 360 minutes per week of Pull-Out Intermediate-Advanced ESL Class. Advanced ELLs are pulled-out 180 minutes per week.

We cannot offer any other ELL program options. Though none of our teachers are certified in ESL, they are being offered PD in ESL

practices through QTEL, SIOP and our school support network. Our SETTS and math teachers are bilingual and use native language to scaffold instruction for our students. They support students in creating meaning by using L1 to introduce concepts that are then reinforced in English. Other content area teachers inter-visit with them and use their support to utilize native language skills for instructional purposes. Our bilingual library (Spanish and Chinese) serve as a support in all content areas and skill development. We are currently working with our CFN to get certified ESL services to our students. In the past our students passed the NYSESLAT within 2 years of being in our school and we have never had SIFE students register in our school. However if we were to be faced with students needing service past the 3rd year of services, or with SIFE students the following is our plan:

For students who have are Long-Term ELLs and have special needs, we will begin by assessing their needs, taking into consideration their biographical background. We will look at their test history, academic history and family history, to gain a holistic perspective on where the student is coming from. Once we had a comprehensive diagnostic, we will begin to provide Academic Intervention Services (AIS) and English as a Second Language (ESL). The school's intervention team along with their teachers will determine an individualized plan to meet their needs. The students will be placed in a class which is age-appropriate class for the content areas. This also allows the students to get the age-appropriate developmental and social skills that are needed to be addressed. The parents, along with the support of the AIS team and teachers will provide support for the student. The AIS and ESL services will be comprise of only small groups to support the students' needs. The student's progress will be tracked and monitor on a quarterly basis to ensure that the services are meeting their needs. Teachers use sight words, picture dictionaries, low readability high-interest books, and age appropriate materials that support the curriculum.

**NYS CR Part 154 Mandated Number of Units of Support for ELLs, Grades K-8**


	<b>Beginning</b>	<b>Intermediate</b>	<b>Advanced</b>
ESL instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154	360 minutes per week	360 minutes per week	180 minutes per week
ELA instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154			180 minutes per week
<b>FOR TBE /DL PROGRAMS:</b> Native Language Arts	60-90 minutes per day	45-60 minutes per day	45 minutes per day

**NYS CR Part 154 Mandated Number of Units of Support for ELLs, Grades 9-12**

	<b>Beginning</b>	<b>Intermediate</b>	<b>Advanced</b>
ESL instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154	540 minutes per week	360 minutes per week	180 minutes per week
ELA instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154			180 minutes per week
<b>FOR TBE /DL PROGRAMS:</b> Native Language Arts	45 minutes per day	45 minutes per day	45 minutes per day

**Native Language Arts and Native Language Support**

The chart below is a visual representation designed to show the variation of NLA usage/support across the program models. Please note that NLA support is never zero.



25%			
TIME	BEGINNERS	INTERMEDIATE	ADVANCED

### B. Programming and Scheduling Information--Continued

5. Describe your targeted intervention programs for ELLs in ELA, math, and other content areas (specify ELL subgroups targeted). Please list the range of intervention services offered in your school for the above areas as well as the language(s) in which they are offered.
6. Describe your plan for continuing transitional support (2 years) for ELLs reaching proficiency on the NYSESLAT.
7. What new programs or improvements will be considered for the upcoming school year?
8. What programs/services for ELLs will be discontinued and why?
9. How are ELLs afforded equal access to all school programs? Describe after school and supplemental services offered to ELLs in your building.
10. What instructional materials, including technology, are used to support ELLs (include content area as well as language materials; list ELL subgroups if necessary)?
11. How is native language support delivered in each program model? (TBE, Dual Language, and ESL)
12. Do required services support, and resources correspond to ELLs' ages and grade levels?
13. Include a description of activities in your school to assist newly enrolled ELL students before the beginning of the school year.
14. What language electives are offered to ELLs?

All of our ELLs are in heterogeneously-grouped classrooms and receive push-in and pull-out support. Because teachers and leaders of the PS 150 community understand that students learn differently and at different paces, ESL instructional strategies utilized at PS 150 are flexible and guided by the needs of the language learners. Classroom teachers as well as teachers providing ESL instruction employ the following approaches to support the ELL students' language learning:

- The Natural Approach • Total Physical Response
- Language Experience Approach • Whole Language Instruction

The components of language – listening, speaking, reading, writing, and thinking – are interconnected when introducing any new material at PS 150. This balanced literacy atmosphere supports students in finding areas of strength and developing confidence.

Because our ELL population is minute, our plans are tailored to their individual needs. Newly admit students are partnered up with another student to help the student navigate the schools and its rules and regulations. The first grader has benefited from push-in support by our SETSS teacher. She also pulls her out to work with her in a small group. The intermediate level third grader works with the SETSS teacher in a small group and benefits from regular push in support from the math coach, who is fluent in Spanish and was herself a second language learner. The math coach does language experience work with her and supports her in comprehending content area instruction. The speech and language teacher, also fluent in Spanish, works with her on pragmatic language and written English expression. The advanced level second grader works with the SETSS teacher in small group, but is primarily served when she pushes into the room. His ESL support is scheduled so that he does not miss daily ELA instruction.

The components of language: listening, speaking, reading, writing, and thinking, are interconnected when introducing any teaching methodology at PS. 150; thus creating a balanced literacy atmosphere where students find areas of strength and develop confidence. The teachers who

provide ESL services to our students use the students' class readers and libraries to complement the classroom instruction and assignments. The Tribeca Learning Center implements the reading and writing workshop methodology. Investigations in Number, Data & Space, (TERC) and trade book materials is used for ESL /Social Studies. As part of the balanced literacy approach, we implement such as:

- Reading aloud • Shared reading • Guided reading • Independent reading
- Vocabulary Development • phonemic awareness • Group discussion and interaction

Alongside the school's curriculum, picture dictionaries, manipulative, Smartboards, Lap tops, visual objects, and listening centers are among the many added sources at hand to aid the student's comprehension, develop vocabulary and incorporate cultural awareness. Our students are supported through technology with educational second language software, and educational sites that support students in reading (i.e. Starfall.com).

All resources and teaching approaches adopted are guided toward enabling ELLs to succeed. Our school culture and instruction is guided to assisting our students succeed academically and meet the State and City Standards. Our small population of ELLs, allow us to continue to support ELLs reaching NYSESLAT proficiency for two years. Our teachers have been studying differentiated instruction and high level ESL scaffolds to incorporate into their lessons for the instructional success of our former ELLs. As part of our plan for transitional support for ELLs reaching proficiency on the NYSESLAT, the math and language teacher works with these students and articulate with the content area teachers to set goals and plan instruction.

Our teachers are supported in obtaining the mandated ESL training hours and special education strategies for the teaching of ELLs (Jose P. ), through network professional development sessions and on-site support from our network special services manager and achievement coach. Our goal is to support these students in reaching proficiency on the NYSESLAT this spring. We will continue to give them push-in support (this will be provided primarily by the SETSS teacher and the math coach).

Arts play an extremely important role at PS 150. All our students, including our ELLs, participate in the arts program that is integrated into their academic day. our full-time music teacher gives instruction in choral singing, recorder and keyboards. our visual arts teacher devotes one of her four days at the school to helping teachers integrate the arts into their content studies. In addition, all our students engage in numerous supplementary arts enrichment programs, including dance, storytelling, puppetry, architecture, and theater. All our students also participate in field trips supporting the science curriculum for all grades.

Newly admit students are partnered up with another student to help the student navigate the schools and its rules and regulations. Also at Parents' Orientation Meeting other parents are invited to welcome new families to our community.

### C. Schools with Dual Language Programs

1. How much time (%) is the target language used for EPs and ELLs in each grade?
2. How much of the instructional day are EPs and ELLs integrated? What content areas are taught separately?
3. How is language separated for instruction (time, subject, teacher, theme)?
4. What Dual Language model is used (side-by-side, self-contained, other)?
5. Is emergent literacy taught in child's native language first (sequential), or are both languages taught at the same time (simultaneous)?

Not Applicable

### D. Professional Development and Support for School Staff

1. Describe the professional development plan for all ELL personnel at the school. (Please include all teachers of ELLs.)
2. What support do you provide staff to assist ELLs as they transition from elementary to middle and/or middle to high school?
3. Describe the minimum 7.5 hours of ELL training for all staff (including non-ELL teachers) as per Jose P.

Teachers go out for professional development as well as members of the Network which supports our school work with and support our teachers. Some of the trainings will include: data analysis of pertinent exams (NYSESLAT, LAB-R); ELL identification; Mandates (Part 154); ESL. In addition, we'll offer the following professional development throughout this school year:

--Classroom Instruction that Works with English Language Learners by Jane D. Hill and Kathleen M. Flynn: (One professional development session per month during the months of December, February, April, and June) All teachers responsible for the instruction of LEP students will participate in professional development focusing on setting objectives and providing feedback, cooperative learning, and differentiating

instruction for the needs of LEP students.

--Building Academic Vocabulary by Robert J. Marzano: (One professional development session per month in January, March, and May) this comprehensive approach to teaching academic vocabulary will be incorporated into professional development on an ongoing basis throughout the school year. The program involves teaching specific terms through a school wide approach to teaching content-specific vocabulary. All teachers will participate in professional development based upon the Building Academic Vocabulary curriculum.

--Teachers who provide academic intervention services to our ELL will participate in a 3-day training (October 28, November 30, and December 14) on Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP).

--At grade level meeting part of the agenda is dedicated to discussing current issues with our ELL population.

### E. Parental Involvement

1. Describe parent involvement in your school, including parents of ELLs.
2. Does the school partner with other agencies or Community Based Organizations to provide workshops or services to ELL parents?
3. How do you evaluate the needs of the parents?
4. How do your parental involvement activities address the needs of the parents?

Parent Orientations are conducted for parents of newly enrolled ELLs to provide them with information about programmatic offerings at PS 150 and throughout the city. Opportunities are made available for parents to ask questions regarding ELL services. Translators are available for parent orientations. Informational materials are also available in the parent's home language.

A parent conference is conducted to orient parents regarding program requirements, instructional standards, curriculum, assessments, school expectations and services available our school. ELL parents are invited to meet with the teachers to discuss their child's performance a minimum of twice a year and translators are provided.

We invite parents to publishing parties, class trips, and fundraisers. We encourage our teachers to develop relationships with parents by staying in contact through letters, phone calls, and email.

## Part V: Assessment Analysis

### A. Assessment Breakdown

Enter the number of ELLs for each test, category, and modality.

OVERALL NYSESLAT* PROFICIENCY RESULTS (*LAB-R FOR NEW ADMITS)														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Beginner(B)		3												3
Intermediate(I)														0
Advanced (A)			1		1									2
Total	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

NYSESLAT Modality Analysis														
Modality Aggregate	Proficiency Level	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
LISTENING/SPEAKING	B		0	0										
	I		1	0										
	A		0	1										
	P		0	0										
READING/WRITING	B		1	0										
	I		0	0										

	<b>A</b>		0	0									
	<b>P</b>		0	1									

NYS ELA					
Grade	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total
3	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0
6					0
7					0
8					0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed					0

NYS Math									
Grade	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		Total
	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6									0
7									0
8									0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed									0

NYS Science									
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		Total
	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	
4									0
8									0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed									0

NYS Social Studies									
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		Total
	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	
5									0
8									0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed									0

New York State Regents Exam				
	Number of ELLs Taking Test		Number of ELLs Passing Test	
	English	Native Language	English	Native Language
Comprehensive English				
Math				
Math				
Biology				
Chemistry				
Earth Science				
Living Environment				
Physics				
Global History and Geography				
US History and Government				
Foreign Language				
Other				
Other				
NYSAA ELA				
NYSAA Mathematics				
NYSAA Social Studies				
NYSAA Science				

Native Language Tests								
	# of ELLs scoring at each quartile (based on percentiles)				# of EPs (dual lang only) scoring at each quartile (based on percentiles)			
	Q1 1-25 percentile	Q2 26-50 percentile	Q3 51-75 percentile	Q4 76-99 percentile	Q1 1-25 percentile	Q2 26-50 percentile	Q3 51-75 percentile	Q4 76-99 percentile
ELE (Spanish Reading Test)								
Chinese Reading Test								

**B. After reviewing and analyzing the assessment data, answer the following**

1. Describe what assessment tool your school uses to assess the early literacy skills of your ELLs (e.g., ECLAS-2, EL SOL, Fountas and Pinnell DRA, TCRWP). What insights do the data provide about your ELLs? How can this information help inform your school's instructional plan? Please provide any quantitative data available to support your response.
2. What is revealed by the data patterns across proficiency levels (on the LAB-R and NYSESLAT) and grades?
3. How will patterns across NYSESLAT modalities—reading/writing and listening/speaking—affect instructional decisions?
4. For each program, answer the following:
  - a. Examine student results. What are the patterns across proficiencies and grades? How are ELLs faring in tests taken in English and compared to the native language?
  - b. Describe how the school leadership and teachers are using the results of the ELL Periodic Assessments.
  - c. What is the school learning about ELLs from the Periodic Assessments? How is the Native Language used?

The NYSESLAT results indicate that our students are strong in making the necessary progress in syllables and identifying initial and final consonants, as well as vowel recognition and blends. The first graders seem to be struggling with writing, while our second grade student need to practice listening comprehension. Data shows that in the lower the grade students have difficulties in connecting letter and sounds to produce proficiency in spelling.

Based on the students' scores, the school leadership and teachers are aware of the students' strengths and weaknesses. With this data, the teachers have created lesson plans in Reading, Writing, Listening and Speaking, so instruction can be targeted to areas of need. The lessons are also differentiated with various activities for students to complete at their level. In addition, the school leadership has used these assessments to decrease the teacher student ratio, determine priority topics for professional development and curriculum choices.

Across the four modalities, there is a clear need to expand vocabulary and writing instructions. To support this, we utilize read-aloud and shared reading, we frontload vocabulary before the lesson so the students can preview the new words to make them meaningful while reading the new text. Furthermore the students have the opportunity to interact with each other and practice their conversation skills. Speaking opportunities are presented through the "turn-and-talk" and the "share" points in the Reader's Workshop model and through other structured dialogues. In addition, the ESL teacher use exercises in class where the students have the opportunity to answer various comprehension questions about a book that was read. The opportunity to write is given every day not only in their ESL classes but also in a separate writing/grammar class as well as all other content areas. The instructional strategies used to address these needs are compatible with the suggestions in the Readers' and Writers' Workshop model and provide consistency of instructions. They are supported by visuals in all classrooms. The teacher also provides guided reading and writing activities that address students' individual needs.

In addition, all ELL students have been assessed by their classroom teachers as follows: This fall all ELLs were assessed with the Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment system. The first graders have are reading English at D/E level (early 1st grade), these students are receiving additional support during day in order for them to catch up to their peers who are reading at F/G level. The second grade is reading English at J/K level. His spoken and written English are both quite fluent. He easily understands and follows instructions. He has built solid social connections with his English-speaking classmates and is thriving. Because these students are all in primary classrooms, they do not take any other formal assessments.

### Additional Information

Please include any additional information that would be relevant to your LAP and would further explain your program for ELLs. You may attach/submit charts. This form does not allow graphics and charts to be pasted.

Paste additional information here

Signatures of LAP team members certify that the information provided is accurate.

Name (PRINT)	Title	Signature	Date (mm/dd/yy)
	Principal		
	Assistant Principal		
	Parent Coordinator		
	ESL Teacher		
	Parent		
	Teacher/Subject Area		
	Teacher/Subject Area		
	Coach		
	Coach		
	Guidance Counselor		
	Network Leader		
	Other		