



SPRUCE STREET SCHOOL

2010-2011

SCHOOL COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATIONAL PLAN
(CEP)

SCHOOL: 02M397

ADDRESS: 52 CHAMBERS STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10007

TELEPHONE: 212-374-2353

FAX: 212-964-3507

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I: SCHOOL INFORMATION PAGE.....3

SECTION II: SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM SIGNATURE PAGE.....4

SECTION III: SCHOOL PROFILE.....5

PART A: NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION.....5

PART B: CEP SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT (SDAS).....6

SECTION IV: NEEDS ASSESSMENT.....10

SECTION V: ANNUAL SCHOOL GOALS.....11

SECTION VI: ACTION PLAN.....12

REQUIRED APPENDICES TO THE CEP FOR 2010-2011.....13

APPENDIX 1: ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS) SUMMARY FORM.....14

APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM DELIVERY FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELLs).....16

APPENDIX 3: LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION.....18

APPENDIX 4: NCLB REQUIREMENTS FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS.....19

**APPENDIX 5: NCLB/SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT,
CORRECTIVE ACTION, AND RESTRUCTURING.....25**

APPENDIX 6: SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS UNDER REGISTRATION REVIEW (SURRE).....26

APPENDIX 7: TITLE I, PART A – SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN TEMPORARY HOUSING (STH).....27

APPENDIX 8: CONTRACTS FOR EXCELLENCE (C4E) SCHOOL-BASED EXPENDITURES.....28

SECTION I: SCHOOL INFORMATION PAGE

SCHOOL NUMBER: 397 **SCHOOL NAME:** Spruce Street School

SCHOOL ADDRESS: 52 Chambers Street, New York, NY 10007

SCHOOL TELEPHONE: 212-374-2353 **FAX:** 212-964-3507

SCHOOL CONTACT PERSON: Nancy Harris **EMAIL ADDRESS:** Nharris2@schools.nyc.gov

POSITION/TITLE

PRINT/TYPE NAME

SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM CHAIRPERSON: Peter Pelsinski/Learan Kahanov

PRINCIPAL: Nancy Harris

UFT CHAPTER LEADER: Lauren Halpern

PARENTS' ASSOCIATION PRESIDENT: Kimberly Busi/Danielle Elder

STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE:
(Required for high schools) _____

DISTRICT AND NETWORK INFORMATION

DISTRICT: 2 **CHILDREN FIRST NETWORK (CFN):** 103

NETWORK LEADER: Yuet Chu

SUPERINTENDENT: Daria Rigney

SECTION II: SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM SIGNATURE PAGE

Directions: Each school is required to form a School Leadership Team (SLT) as per State Education Law Section 2590. SLT membership must include an equal number of parents and staff (students and CBO members are not counted when assessing this balance requirement), and ensure representation of all school constituencies. Chancellor’s Regulation A-655 requires a minimum of ten members on each team. Each SLT member should be listed separately in the left hand column on the chart below. Please specify any position held by a member on the team (e.g., SLT Chairperson, SLT Secretary) and the constituent group represented (e.g., parent, staff, student, or CBO). The signatures of SLT members on this page indicates their participation in the development of the Comprehensive Educational Plan and confirmation that required consultation has occurred in the aligning of funds to support educational programs (Refer to revised Chancellor’s Regulations A-655; available on the NYCDOE website at

<http://schools.nyc.gov/NR/rdonlyres/381F4607-7841-4D28-B7D5-0F30DDB77DFA/82007/A655FINAL1.pdf>).

Note: If for any reason an SLT member does not wish to sign this plan, he/she may attach a written explanation in lieu of his/her signature.

Name	Position and Constituent Group Represented	Signature
Nancy Harris	*Principal or Designee	
Lauren Halpern	*UFT Chapter Chairperson or Designee	
Kimberly Busi	*PA/PTA President or Designated Co-President	
	Title I Parent Representative <i>(suggested, for Title I schools)</i>	
	DC 37 Representative, if applicable	
	Student Representative <i>(optional for elementary and middle schools; a minimum of two members required for high schools)</i>	
	CBO Representative, if applicable	
Peter Pelsinski	Member/Parent	
Learan Kahanov	Member/Parent	
David Seligman	Member/Teacher	
	Member/	

(Add rows, as needed, to ensure all SLT members are listed.)

* Core (mandatory) SLT members.

SECTION III: SCHOOL PROFILE

Part A. Narrative Description

Directions: In no more than 500 words, provide contextual information about your school's community and its unique/important characteristics. Think of this as the kind of narrative description you would use in an admissions directory or an introductory letter to new parents. You may wish to include your school's vision/mission statement and a description of strategic collaborations/partnerships and/or special initiatives being implemented. You may copy and paste your narrative description from other current resources where this information is already available for your school (e.g., grant applications, High School Directory, etc.). Note: Demographic and accountability data for your school will be addressed in Part B of this section.

The Spruce Street School launched in 2009 after years of community advocacy and planning. In a historically overcrowded community, Spruce Street initially evolved to serve families zoned for P.S. 234 and P.S. 89. In Fall 2008, Nancy Harris wrote a proposal in accordance with the Office of Portfolio Development, outlining a vision for teaching and learning at Spruce Street. The school was officially recognized and Nancy Harris selected as its founding leader in January 2009. Through the winter and spring of 2009, Nancy focused on community outreach, recruitment and admission of kindergarten families, interviewing and hiring dynamic staff members, and purchasing resources using the school budget. As the summer progressed, Spruce Street's instructional team planned curriculum, established how we function as a community of professional educators, and codified school policies and philosophies. After designing and transforming our space, Spruce Street welcomed families and students for a kindergarten event in mid-August. The school officially opened its doors to welcome students on September 9, 2009.

Throughout the 2009-2010 school year, Spruce Street housed three kindergarten classes. Inaugural students, staff, and families contributed greatly to a successful first year. For the 2010-2011 school year, Spruce Street will remain at Tweed, expanding to serve both kindergarten and Grade 1.

Spruce Street School Vision Statement

The Spruce Street School transforms the youngest members of our local community into active members of our global community. We believe that learning is an engaging and authentic process for students and adults. Spruce Street values curiosity and inquiry in an organic, purposeful way. We believe that the community plays a crucial and inspiring role in the learning of every member of our school. We envision Spruce Street graduates confidently entering the greater community and contributing to our shared future.

Spruce Street School Mission Statement

The mission of Spruce Street is to create cohesive, student-centered learning opportunities across all grades. By actively and authentically studying our surroundings, we will interpret and learn from our pasts, en route to shaping our shared future. Teachers immerse students in engaging, high-level curricula, while simultaneously nurturing them to be empathetic and independent. Our school environment reflects and enhances these beliefs. Students, staff, and families in the Spruce Street community are reflective, inquisitive, and community-minded problem-solvers.

SECTION III – Cont’d

Part B. School Demographics and Accountability Snapshot (SDAS)

Directions: A pre-populated version of the School Demographics and Accountability Snapshot provided in template format below (Pages 6-9 of this section) is available for download on each school’s NYCDOE webpage under “Statistics.” Pre-populated SDAS data is updated twice yearly. Schools are encouraged to download the pre-populated version for insertion here in place of the blank format provided.

SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT					
School Name:	Spruce Street School				
District:	2	DBN #:	02M397	School BEDS Code:	3102000010397

DEMOGRAPHICS									
Grades Served in 2009-10:	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 7
	<input type="checkbox"/> 8	<input type="checkbox"/> 9	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	<input type="checkbox"/> 11	<input type="checkbox"/> 12	<input type="checkbox"/> Ungraded			
Enrollment:				Attendance: % of days students attended*					
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Pre-K							94.12		
Kindergarten			48						
Grade 1				Student Stability: % of Enrollment					
Grade 2				(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Grade 3									
Grade 4									
Grade 5				Poverty Rate: % of Enrollment					
Grade 6				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Grade 7									
Grade 8									
Grade 9				Students in Temporary Housing: Total Number					
Grade 10				(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Grade 11									
Grade 12									
Ungraded				Recent Immigrants: Total Number					
				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Total	48								
Special Education Enrollment:				Suspensions: (OSYD Reporting) – Total Number					
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	(As of June 30)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10		
Number in Self-Contained Classes			0						
No. in Collaborative Team Teaching (CTT) Classes			0	Principal Suspensions					
Number all others			0	Superintendent Suspensions					
<i>These students are included in the enrollment information above.</i>									

DEMOGRAPHICS							
English Language Learners (ELL) Enrollment: (BESIS Survey)				Special High School Programs: Total Number			
(As of October 31)				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	CTE Program Participants			0
# in Trans. Bilingual Classes			0	Early College HS Participants			0
# in Dual Lang. Programs			0				
# receiving ESL services only			0	Number of Staff: Includes all full-time staff			
# ELLs with IEPs			0	(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<i>These students are included in the General and Special Education enrollment information above.</i>				Number of Teachers			
Overage Students: # entering students overage for grade				Number of Administrators and Other Professionals			
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	Number of Educational Paraprofessionals			
			0				
				Teacher Qualifications:			
Ethnicity and Gender: % of Enrollment				(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	% fully licensed & permanently assigned to this school			
American Indian or Alaska Native			0.0	Percent more than two years teaching in this school			
Black or African American			0.0	Percent more than five years teaching anywhere			
Hispanic or Latino			10.4				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl.			16.7	Percent Masters Degree or higher			
White			62.5	Percent core classes taught by "highly qualified" teachers (NCLB/SED definition)			
Multi-racial							
Male			62.5				
Female			37.5				

2009-10 TITLE I STATUS				
<input type="checkbox"/> Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP)		<input type="checkbox"/> Title I Targeted Assistance		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Title I
Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding:	<input type="checkbox"/> 2006-07	<input type="checkbox"/> 2007-08	<input type="checkbox"/> 2008-09	<input type="checkbox"/> 2009-10

NCLB/SED SCHOOL-LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY	
SURR School: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, area(s) of SURR identification:
Designated as a Persistently Lowest-Achieving (PLA) School: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Overall NCLB/SED Accountability Status (2009-10 Based on 2008-09 Performance):	

NCLB/SED SCHOOL-LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY

<u>Differentiated Accountability Phase (Check ✓)</u>	<u>Category (Check ✓)</u>		
	Basic	Focused	Comprehensive
In Good Standing (IGS)			
Improvement (year 1)			
Improvement (year 2)			
Corrective Action (year 1)			
Corrective Action (year 2)			
Restructuring (year 1)			
Restructuring (year 2)			
Restructuring (Advanced)			

Individual Subject/Area Outcomes	Elementary/Middle Level (✓)		Secondary Level (✓)	
	ELA:		ELA:	
	Math:		Math:	
	Science:		Grad. Rate:	

This school's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) determinations for each accountability measure:

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
	ELA	Math	Science	ELA	Math	Grad. Rate**	Progress Target
All Students							
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White							
Multiracial							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities							
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged							
Student groups making AYP in each subject							

Key: AYP Status

√	Made AYP	X	Did Not Make AYP	X*	Did Not Make AYP Due to Participation Rate Only
√ ^{SH}	Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	-	Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status		

Note: NCLB/SED accountability reports are not available for District 75 schools.

*For Progress Report Attendance Rate(s) - If more than one attendance rate given, it is displayed as K-8/9-12.

**http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Memos/Graduation_rate_memo.pdf

CHILDREN FIRST ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY

Progress Report Results – 2008-09		Quality Review Results – 2008-09	
Overall Letter Grade		Overall Evaluation:	
Overall Score		Quality Statement Scores:	
Category Scores:		Quality Statement 1: Gather Data	
School Environment (Comprises 15% of the Overall Score)		Quality Statement 2: Plan and Set Goals	
School Performance (Comprises 25% of the Overall Score)		Quality Statement 3: Align Instructional Strategy to Goals	
Student Progress (Comprises 60% of the Overall Score)		Quality Statement 4: Align Capacity Building to Goals	
Additional Credit		Quality Statement 5: Monitor and Revise	
<i>Note: Progress Report grades are not yet available for District 75 schools.</i>			

SECTION IV: NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Directions: Conduct a comprehensive review of your school's educational program informed by the most current quantitative and qualitative data available regarding student performance trends and other indicators of progress. Include in your needs assessment an analysis of information available from New York State Education Department and New York City Department of Education accountability and assessment resources, i.e., School Report Cards, Progress Reports, Quality Review and Quality Review Self-Assessment documents, periodic assessments, ARIS, as well as results of Inquiry/Teacher Team action research, surveys, and school-based assessments. (Refer to your school's Demographics and Accountability Snapshot in Part B of Section III, and feel free to use any additional measures used by your school to determine the effectiveness of educational programs) It may also be useful to review your school's use of resources: last year's school budget, schedule, facility use, class size, etc.

After conducting your review, **summarize** in this section the major findings and implications of your school's strengths, accomplishments, and challenges. Consider the following questions:

- What student performance trends can you identify?
 - What have been the greatest accomplishments over the last couple of years?
 - What are the most significant aids or barriers to the school's continuous improvement?
-

What student performance trends can you identify?

As a growing school, we face an ever-changing landscape of quantitative and qualitative data. Based on our emerging levels of data, we honed in on the following areas of student performance:

- Develop structures to identify and support students at-risk for not meeting grade-standards in reading, as well as those above grade-level standards.
 - By developing and implementing benchmark assessments in writing and mathematics, we will ensure our instruction is targeted and responsive to student needs.
-

What have been the greatest accomplishments over the last couple of years?

- Opening our school was a tremendous accomplishment, and one that is the result of years of planning and community advocacy. As a first-year school, we strived to be reflective and adaptive. During our modified Quality Review Process, we were commended for our nurturing school community, our strategic use of time and resources, and our collaborative way of implementing best practices.
-

What are the most significant aids or barriers to the school's continuous improvement?

- Our size and constant growth can be a challenge, but one that we are ready to tackle. We must constantly try to pinpoint what is working and zoom in on what needs revision, so we can identify school practices that are both sustainable AND scalable. As a growing school, we will constantly be planning for the next step and needing to quickly identify student needs.
-

SECTION V: ANNUAL SCHOOL GOALS

Directions: Based on the findings and implications from the comprehensive needs assessment (Section IV), determine your school’s instructional goals for 2010-11 and list them in this section along with a few phrases of description. The resulting list should include a limited number of goals (5 is a good guideline), and the list as a whole should be a clear reflection of your priorities for the year. Good goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.

Notes: (1) In Section VI of this template, you will need to complete an “action plan” for each annual goal listed in this section. (2) Schools designated for improvement (Improvement, Corrective Action, Restructuring, SURR, Persistently Lowest-Achieving (PLA), or schools that received a C for two consecutive years, D, or F on the Progress Report) must identify a goal and complete an action plan related to improving student outcomes in the area(s) of improvement identification. (3) When developed, Principal’s Performance Review (PPR) goals should be aligned to the school’s annual goals described in this section.

SECTION VI: ACTION PLAN

Directions: The action plan should be used as a tool to support effective implementation and to evaluate progress toward meeting goals. Use the action plan template provided below to indicate key strategies and activities to be implemented for the 2010-11 school year to support accomplishment of each annual goal identified in Section V. The action plan template should be duplicated as necessary. **Reminder:** Schools designated for (Improvement, Corrective Action, Restructuring, SURR, PLA, or schools that received a C for two consecutive years, D, or F on the Progress Report) must identify a goal and complete an action plan related to improving student outcomes in the area(s) of improvement identification.

Subject/Area (where relevant): Mathematics Achievement

<p>Annual Goal #1 Goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.</p>	<p>By June 2011, we will develop and implement three benchmark assessments in mathematics for both kindergarten and Grade 1.</p>
<p>Action Plan Include: actions/strategies/activities the school will implement to accomplish the goal; target population(s); responsible staff members; and implementation timelines.</p>	<p>We will work with our math consultant in September, January, March, and June to guide the creation and implementation of these assessments, as well as to adapt instruction based on gathered data.</p> <p>Based on last year’s data, we are particularly cognizant of students in two target groups – those struggling to meet grade-level benchmarks, and those who meet/exceed them early in the year. We will examine baseline/midline/endline assessment data, as well as unit-based assessments, to determine student performance and progress in a standardized way.</p> <p>These methods of data collection, curricular revision, and instructional implementation, will be</p>

	guided by previous work in literacy instruction. These include daily small-group instruction, flexible groupings, and opportunities for intervention groups. Teachers will also align all math assessment and instruction to the Common Core Standards to ensure cohesiveness across and between grades.
Aligning Resources: Implications for Budget, Staffing/Training, and Schedule <i>Include human and fiscal resources, with specific reference to scheduled FY'11 PS and/or OTPS budget categories, that will support the actions/strategies/ activities described in this action plan.</i>	This year, I have requested the PTA to fund 10-12 days with an AUSSIE math consultant. This coach will support us in developing, implementing and analyzing assessments, as well as adjusting classroom practices to meet the changing needs of our students. I am also devoting funds to per diem coverage when necessary to support planning and professional development, as well as per session funds to facilitate summer planning. Additionally, the school day and professional time will be maximized to include dedicated discussions around mathematics.
Indicators of Interim Progress and/or Accomplishment <i>Include: interval (frequency) of periodic review; instrument(s) of measure; projected gains</i>	Indicators of interim progress include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Report card data (November, March, and June) will show at least 60% of our students meeting or exceeding grade-level standards by March, and 80% by June. - Ongoing revision to existing curriculum documents to reflect evolving data and student needs. - Examination of student work to drive weekly planning sessions.

Subject/Area (where relevant): Curriculum and Assessment

Annual Goal #2 <i>Goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.</i>	By June 2011, 75% of our lowest-third will meet grade-level standards in writing, and 50% of our highest-third will exceed grade-level standards in writing. <i>(Performance indicators in writing are established in conjunction with A.U.S.S.I.E. DYO assessments and the common core standards, along with teacher input.)</i>
Action Plan <i>Include: actions/strategies/activities the school will implement to accomplish the goal; target population(s); responsible staff members; and implementation timelines.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We will work to create a benchmark writing assessment in August, with an implementation timeline of October 1st. All classroom teachers will work with the principal and AUSSIE consultant to examine the common core standards and align assessments to them. - Grade-level teams will meet in October to analyze baseline assessments and identify students in the lowest third and highest third. - We will create pacing calendars, curriculum maps, and unit plans across all content areas and grades by September 2010. All unit plans will include assessment models and timelines which are cohesive among content areas and between grades.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Throughout the year, we will adjust and revise these living documents to meet the needs of our students and our school community. This work will be shared among all teachers and PD consultants via grade level meetings, whole-staff planning sessions, and a midyear instructional retreat.
<p>Aligning Resources: Implications for Budget, Staffing/Training, and Schedule <i>Include human and fiscal resources, with specific reference to scheduled FY'11 PS and/or OTPS budget categories, that will support the actions/strategies/ activities described in this action plan.</i></p>	<p>Our school day and week of will be scheduled to allow constant planning and PD time. By moving one founding teacher up to 1st grade, we are continuing a thread of instructional work that we began during the 2009-2010 school year. This cohesiveness will be further supported by our AUSSIE literacy consultant, funded together with the PTA.</p> <p>I am also devoting funds to per diem coverage when necessary to support planning and professional development, as well as per session funds to facilitate summer planning. Additionally, the school day and professional time will be maximized to include dedicated discussions around writing.</p>
<p>Indicators of Interim Progress and/or Accomplishment <i>Include: interval (frequency) of periodic review; instrument(s) of measure; projected gains</i></p>	<p>Indicators of interim progress include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Midline writing assessment data - Alignment between instruction/assessment and existing curriculum maps and timelines. - January culmination of our first major Social Studies unit. - Monitoring and revising of these documents during PD and planning sessions. - Feedback from and during AUSSIE working sessions throughout the year.

Subject/Area (where relevant): Social and Emotional Learning/Child Development

<p>Annual Goal #3 <i>Goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.</i></p>	<p>The entire school community will foster social and emotional learning via explicit classroom instruction and targeted, responsive measures, resulting in fewer incidents and referrals.</p>
<p>Action Plan <i>Include: actions/strategies/activities the school will implement to accomplish the goal; target population(s); responsible staff members; and implementation timelines.</i></p>	<p>As a growing school with only kindergarten and grade 1, we have a unique set of challenges. We must support students and families with transitioning to school and sorting through the many developmental challenges associated with children aged 4-7. Our guidance counselor will spearhead a pilot program to implement a curriculum program into each classroom. This will include weekly lessons with each class, followed up by additional support where needed. She will also pull small-groups and individuals to provide support with turn-taking, coping, and</p>

	conflict resolution, and provide support for families via parent workshops. We will devote one afternoon weekly to reflecting on student needs in this area during our professional development sessions (e.g. case studies, PPT, etc.).
Aligning Resources: Implications for Budget, Staffing/Training, and Schedule <i>Include human and fiscal resources, with specific reference to scheduled FY'11 PS and/or OTPS budget categories, that will support the actions/strategies/ activities described in this action plan.</i>	This year, I increased my guidance counselor's role to that of a full-time position (up from 2 days per week in 2009-2010). I have also devoted funds to purchasing materials to support the SEL program. Our PTA is funding parent workshops and guest speakers to show their support of this initiative, as well as programs such as capoeira, designed to channel students' physical needs and free them up to focus on social/emotional issues.
Indicators of Interim Progress and/or Accomplishment <i>Include: interval (frequency) of periodic review; instrument(s) of measure; projected gains</i>	Indicators of interim progress include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Decrease in number of student conflicts/incidents - An increased sense of classroom community, as determined by classroom teacher - Student implementation of SEL strategies, as evidenced during the school day and recess/lunch

Subject/Area (where relevant): Parent Involvement

Annual Goal #4 <i>Goals should be SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound.</i>	By the end of the 2010-2011 school year, we will have an active and present parent community for both school-sponsored and DOE-sponsored initiatives. This will include at least 75% participation in the Learning Environment Survey and an average attendance rate of 60% at school-based events.
Action Plan <i>Include: actions/strategies/activities the school will implement to accomplish the goal; target population(s); responsible staff members; and implementation timelines.</i>	Our parent body next year will be equally divided among returning (founding) families and incoming families. This creates an interesting dynamic where we need to engage both groups to sustain and scale up parent involvement. Our PTA will play an active role in supporting the home-school connection, with an increase in committees and more specific opportunities for involvement. Together with the PTA, the school will host a series of instructionally-focused parent workshops to align with the many learning celebrations we have throughout the year.

	<p>We will also increase the frequency of our website updates, and adjust our communication methods based on the results of the Learning Environment Survey.</p>
<p>Aligning Resources: Implications for Budget, Staffing/Training, and Schedule <i>Include human and fiscal resources, with specific reference to scheduled FY'11 PS and/or OTPS budget categories, that will support the actions/strategies/ activities described in this action plan.</i></p>	<p>I have worked closely with the PTA to create a budget that supports classroom instruction and encourages parent involvement. I will meet monthly with my PTA co-presidents to monitor and adjust communication systems and parent involvement opportunities.</p>
<p>Indicators of Interim Progress and/or Accomplishment <i>Include: interval (frequency) of periodic review; instrument(s) of measure; projected gains</i></p>	<p>Indicators of interim progress include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased attendance at PTA and school-sponsored events. - Positive feedback from families.

REQUIRED APPENDICES TO THE CEP FOR 2010-2011

Directions: All schools must complete Appendices 1, 2, 3, & 7. All Title I schools must complete Appendix 4. All schools identified under NCLB or SED for School Improvement, including Improvement (year 1), Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action (CA) (year 1), Corrective Action (year 2), Restructuring (year 1), Restructuring (year 2), Restructuring (Advanced), and SURR, must complete Appendix 5. All Schools Under Registration Review (SURR) must also complete Appendix 6. **Please refer to the accompanying CEP guidance for specific CEP submission instructions and timelines.** (Important Notes: Last year's Appendix 7 – School-level Reflection and Response to System-wide Curriculum Audit Findings – has sunset as a requirement. Last year's Appendix 9 has been moved to Appendix 7 for 2010-2011. Appendix 8 will not be required for this year.)

APPENDIX 1: ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS) SUMMARY FORM – SED REQUIREMENT FOR ALL SCHOOLS

APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM DELIVERY FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS – NCLB/SED REQUIREMENT FOR ALL SCHOOLS

APPENDIX 3: LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION – CHANCELLOR'S REGULATIONS FOR ALL SCHOOLS

APPENDIX 4: NCLB REQUIREMENT FOR ALL TITLE I SCHOOLS

APPENDIX 5: NCLB/SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT

APPENDIX 6: SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS UNDER REGISTRATION REVIEW (SURR)

**APPENDIX 7: TITLE I, PART A – SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN TEMPORARY HOUSING (STH) – REQUIREMENT
FOR ALL SCHOOLS**

**APPENDIX 8: CONTRACTS FOR EXCELLENCE (C4E) SCHOOL-BASED EXPENDITURES – SED REQUIREMENT FOR ALL
C4E-FUNDED SCHOOLS (NOTE: APPENDIX 8 WILL NOT BE REQUIRED FOR THIS YEAR)**

APPENDIX 1: ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS) SUMMARY FORM

New York State Education Department (SED) requirement for all schools

Part A. Directions: On the chart below, indicate the total number of students receiving Academic Intervention Services (AIS) in each area listed, for each applicable grade. AIS grade and subject requirements are as follows: K-3: reading and math; 4-12: reading, math, science, and social studies. Academic Intervention Services include **2 components:** additional instruction that supplements the general curriculum (regular classroom instruction); and/or student support services needed to address barriers to improved academic performance such as services provided by a guidance counselor or social worker. Note: Refer to the District Comprehensive Educational Plan (DCEP) for a description of district procedures for providing AIS.

Grade	ELA	Mathematics	Science	Social Studies	At-risk Services: Guidance Counselor	At-risk Services: School Psychologist	At-risk Services: Social Worker	At-risk Health-related Services
	# of Students Receiving AIS	# of Students Receiving AIS	# of Students Receiving AIS	# of Students Receiving AIS				
K	10	0	N/A	N/A	5	0	0	0
1	10	0	N/A	N/A	5	0	0	0
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								

Identified groups of students who have been targeted for AIS, and the established criteria for identification:

- Students in Grades K – 3 who are considered at-risk for not meeting State standards as determined by their performance on ECLAS 2 or other identified assessments, or who have been identified as potential holdovers.
- Students in Grades 4 – 8 who are performing at Level 1 or Level 2 on New York State English language arts (ELA), mathematics, science, and social studies assessments.
- Students in Grade 9 who performed at Level 1 or Level 2 on NYS Grade 8 ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies assessments.
- Students in Grades 10 – 12 who scored below the approved passing grade on any Regents examination required for graduation in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.

Part B. Description of Academic Intervention Services

Name of Academic Intervention Services (AIS)	Description: Provide a brief description of each of the Academic Intervention Services (AIS) indicated in column one, including the type of program or strategy (e.g., Wilson, Great Leaps, etc.), method for delivery of service (e.g., small group, one-to-one, tutoring, etc.), and when the service is provided (i.e., during the school day, before or after school, Saturday, etc.).
ELA:	Using E-CLAS, Fountas and Pinnell levels, and student work samples, teachers identified a target population of students not meeting grade-level benchmarks. Beginning in January, teachers met with small-groups of children during their Professional Activities period. These intervention groups used various instructional materials, including Fountas and Pinnell’s Leveled Intervention Kit. We discussed the performance and progress of these students during our inquiry team meetings.
Mathematics:	
Science:	
Social Studies:	
At-risk Services Provided by the Guidance Counselor:	Our guidance counselor saw several students on an at-risk basis. She developed behavior management plans with several students/teachers, and began seeing small groups to promote social skills. This work often coincided with Choice Time.
At-risk Services Provided by the School Psychologist:	
At-risk Services Provided by the Social Worker:	
At-risk Health-related Services:	

APPENDIX 2: PROGRAM DELIVERY FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELLs)

NCLB/SED requirement for all schools

Part A: Language Allocation Policy (LAP) – Attach a copy of your school’s current year (2010-2011) Language Allocation Policy to this CEP.

Part B: Title III: Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students – School Year 2010-2011

Directions: In anticipation of the allocation of Title III funding to your school for 2010-11 at the same funding level as 2009-10, indicate below whether there will be any revisions for 2010-11 to your school’s approved 2009-10 Title III program narrative and budget. Note: Only revised Title III plans will be reviewed this year for DOE and SED approval.

- There will be no revisions to our school’s approved 2009-10 Title III program narrative and budget (described in this section) for implementation in 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding).
- We have made minor revisions to our school’s approved 2009-10 Title III program narrative for 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding). The revised Title III program narrative is described in Section II below.
- We have made minor revisions to our school’s approved 2009-10 Title III budget for 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding). The revised Title III budget is described in Section III below.
- Our school’s 2009-10 Title III program narrative and budget have been revised for 2010-11 (pending allocation of Title III funding). The new Title III plan is described in Sections’ II and III below.

Section I. Student and School Information

Grade Level(s) K and 1 _____ Number of Students to be Served: 0 _____ LEP _____ Non-LEP _____

Number of Teachers 0 _____ Other Staff (Specify) 0 _____

School Building Instructional Program/Professional Development Overview

Section II. Title III, Part A LEP Program Narrative

Language Instruction Program – Language instruction education programs funded under Title III, Part A, of NCLB, must help LEP students attain English proficiency while meeting State academic achievement standards. They may use both English and the student’s native language and may include the participation of English proficient students (i.e., Two Way Bilingual Education/Dual Language program.) Programs implemented under Title III, Part A, may not supplant programs required under CR Part 154. In the space provided below, describe the school’s language instruction program for limited English proficient (LEP) students. The description must include: type of program/activities; number of students to be served;

grade level(s); language(s) of instruction; rationale for the selection of program/activities; times per day/week; program duration; and service provider and qualifications.

Currently, we do not have any students who come under the category of ELL, TBE, or Dual Language. In the future, if we would have an increase in our population of any of the above types of learners, we would inform our parent body of what programs were available to them. The EPIC kit would be utilized to inform the parents of ELL students about their rights and responsibilities within the NYC public school system.

After reviewing the Parent Survey and Program Selection forms for the past few years, what is the trend in program choices that parents have been requesting?

Parents request that their child participate in English only instruction. They do not request dual language or ESL, even though many of their parents speak another language as well as English. They identify English as their home language.

Are the programs offered at your school aligned with parent requests?
 Yes, they do. We do honor their requests.

Professional Development Program – Describe the school’s professional development program for teachers and other staff responsible for the delivery of instruction and services to limited English proficient students.

Section III. Title III Budget

School: 02M397_____ BEDS Code: 3102000010397_____

Allocation Amount:		
Budget Category	Budgeted Amount	Explanation of expenditures in this category as it relates to the program narrative for this title.
Professional salaries (schools must account for fringe benefits) - Per session - Per diem	N/A	N/A
Purchased services - High quality staff and curriculum development contracts.	N/A	N/A

Supplies and materials - Must be supplemental. - Additional curricula, instructional materials. Must be clearly listed.	N/A	N/A
Educational Software (Object Code 199)	N/A	N/A
Travel		
Other		
TOTAL		

APPENDIX 3: LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION

Requirement under Chancellor's Regulations – for all schools

Goal: To communicate whenever feasible with non-English speaking parents in their home language in order to support shared parent-school accountability, parent access to information about their children's educational options, and parents' capacity to improve their children's achievement.

Part A: Needs Assessment Findings

1. Describe the data and methodologies used to assess your school's written translation and oral interpretation needs to ensure that all parents are provided with appropriate and timely information in a language they can understand.

We conducted and gathered home language surveys from each family upon registration. This provided us with information about languages spoken at home and the best way to communicate with relevant adults.

2. Summarize the major findings of your school's written translation and oral interpretation needs. Describe how the findings were reported to the school community.

We have many parents who are multi-lingual, but who can readily read/listen/speak/write in English. When sharing information and gathering newsletters/communications from teachers and our main office, this information helps guide our translation decisions.

Part B: Strategies and Activities

1. Describe the written translation services the school will provide, and how they will meet identified needs indicated in Part A. Include procedures to ensure timely provision of translated documents to parents determined to be in need of language assistance services. Indicate whether written translation services will be provided by an outside vendor, or in-house by school staff or parent volunteers.

Teachers must submit home-based communication to the principal in advance of sending it home. This is also true of any office-based or PTA-based communication. This information will be translated when necessary via in-house resources.

2. Describe the oral interpretation services the school will provide, and how they will meet identified needs indicated in Part A. Indicate whether oral interpretation services will be provided by an outside contractor, or in-house by school staff or parent volunteers.

When parents/guardians are in need of oral translation services, we plan to have timely, appropriate volunteers available. For example, we have several staff members who are multi-lingual and available for translation on an ongoing basis. If we are in need of additional oral translation services, we will reach out to the DOE's Translation and Interpretation Unit for support.

3. Describe how the school will fulfill Section VII of Chancellor's Regulations A-663 regarding parental notification requirements for translation and interpretation services. Note: The full text of Chancellor's Regulations A-663 (Translations) is available via the following link: <http://docs.nycenet.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-151/A-663%20Translation%203-27-06%20.pdf>.

We have completed all home language surveys upon registration, and will identify home language needs of any additional incoming students within several days of admission. We will adhere to all regulations outlined in A-663. We will print and distribute appropriately translated Central communications as needed.

APPENDIX 4: NCLB REQUIREMENTS FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS

All Title I schools must complete this appendix.

Directions:

- All Title I schools must address requirements in Part A and Part B of this appendix.
- Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP) schools must complete Part C of this appendix.
- Title I Targeted Assistance (TAS) schools must complete Part D of this appendix.

Part A: TITLE I ALLOCATIONS AND SET-ASIDES

	Title I Basic	Title I ARRA	Total
1. Enter the anticipated Title I, Part A allocation for 2010-11:			
2. Enter the anticipated 1% set-aside for Parent Involvement:			
3. Enter the anticipated 5% set-aside to insure that all teachers in core subject areas are highly qualified:		*	
4. Enter the anticipated 10% set-aside for Professional Development:		*	

5. Enter the percentage of High-Quality Teachers teaching in core academic subjects during the 2009-2010 school year: _____

6. If the percentage of high quality teachers during 2008-2009 is less than 100% describe activities and strategies the school is implementing in order to insure that the school will have 100% high quality teachers by the end of the coming school year.

* Federal waiver granted; additional set-asides for Title I ARRA are not required for these areas.

Part B: TITLE I SCHOOL PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT POLICY & SCHOOL-PARENT COMPACT

Directions: Attach a copy of the school’s **Parent Involvement Policy (PIP)**, which includes the **School-Parent Compact**.

Explanation – School Parental Involvement Policy: In support of strengthening student academic achievement, each school that receives Title I, Part A funds must develop jointly with, agree on with, and distribute to, parents of participating children a written parental involvement policy that contains information required by section 1118(a)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). The policy establishes the school’s expectations for parental involvement and describes how the school will implement a number of specific parental involvement activities, including the required **Title I Annual Parent meeting**. A sample template was created by the Office of School Improvement in

collaboration with the New York State Education Department and Office for Family Engagement and Advocacy and is available in the nine major languages on the NYCDOE website. It is **strongly recommended** that schools, in consultation with parents, use the sample template as a framework for the information to be included in their parental involvement policy. Schools, in consultation with parents, are encouraged to include other relevant and agreed upon activities and actions as well that will support effective parental involvement and strengthen student academic achievement. The school parent involvement policy must be provided to all parents and disseminated in the major languages spoken by the majority of parents in the school.

Explanation – School-Parent Compact: Each school receiving funds under Title I, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) must develop a written school-parent compact jointly with parents for all children participating in Title I, Part A activities, services, and programs. That compact is part of the school’s written parental involvement policy developed by the school and parents under section 1118(b) of the ESEA. The compact must outline how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement and the means by which the school and parents will build and develop a partnership to help children achieve the State’s high standards. It is **strongly recommended** that schools and parents use the sample template which is available in the nine major languages on the NYCDOE website as a framework for the information to be included in the compact. Schools and parents, in consultation with students, are encouraged to include other relevant and agreed upon activities and actions as well that will support effective parental involvement and strengthen student academic achievement. The school-parent compact must be provided to all parents and disseminated in the major languages spoken by the majority of parents in the school.

Part C: TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAM SCHOOLS

Section I: Schoolwide Program (SWP) Required Components

Directions: Describe how the school will implement the following components of a Schoolwide Program as required under NCLB. **Note:** If a required component is already addressed elsewhere in this plan, you may refer to the page numbers where the response can be found.

1. A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that is based on information on the performance of children in relation to the State academic content and student academic achievement standards.

2. Schoolwide reform strategies that:
 - a) Provide opportunities for all children to meet the State's proficient and advanced levels of student academic achievement.
 - b) Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically-based research that:
 - o Increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as extended school year, before- and after-school and summer programs and opportunities.
 - o Help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum.
 - o Meet the educational needs of historically underserved populations.
 - o Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of low academic achieving children and those at risk of not meeting the State academic content standards and are members of the target population of any program that is

included in the Schoolwide Program. These programs may include counseling, pupil services, mentoring services, college and career awareness/preparation, and the integration of vocational and technical education programs.

- Are consistent with and are designed to implement State and local improvement, if any.

3. Instruction by highly qualified staff.
4. High-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals (and, where appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff) to enable all children in the Schoolwide Program to meet the State's student academic standards.
5. Strategies to attract high-quality highly qualified teachers to high-need schools.
6. Strategies to increase parental involvement through means such as family literacy services.
7. Plans for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs, such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First, or a State-run preschool program, to local elementary school programs.
8. Measures to include teachers in the decisions regarding the use of academic assessments in order to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.
9. Activities to ensure that students who experience difficulty mastering the proficient or advanced levels of the academic achievement standards are provided with effective, timely additional assistance. The additional assistance must include measures to ensure that students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis and to provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance.
10. Coordination and integration of Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under NCLB, i.e., violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

Section II: “Conceptual” Consolidation of Funds in a Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP)

Explanation/Background:

Title I Schoolwide Program schools are expected to use the flexibility available to them to integrate services and programs with the aim of upgrading the entire educational program and helping all students reach proficient and advanced levels of achievement. In addition to coordinating and integrating services, Schoolwide Program schools may combine most Federal, State and local funds to provide those services. By consolidating funds from Federal, State, and local sources, a Schoolwide Program school can address its needs using *all* of the resources available to it. This gives a school more flexibility in how it uses available resources to meet the identified needs of its students.

Consolidating funds in a Schoolwide Program means that a school treats the funds it is consolidating like they are a single “pool” of funds. In other words, the funds from the contributing programs in the school lose their individual identity and the school has one flexible pool of funds. The school uses funds from this consolidated Schoolwide pool to support any activity of the Schoolwide Program without regard to which program contributed the specific funds used for a particular activity. To consolidate funding in a Schoolwide Program, the school does not literally need to combine funds in a single account or pool with its own accounting code. Rather, the word “pool” is used **conceptually** to convey that a Schoolwide Program school has the use of all consolidated funds available to it for the dedicated function of operating a Schoolwide Program without regard to the identity of those funds.

Consolidating Federal funds in a Schoolwide Program has the following additional advantages:

- Consolidating Federal funds eases the requirements for accounting for funds from each specific program separately, because a Schoolwide school is not required to distinguish among funds received from different sources when accounting for their use.
- A school that consolidates Federal funds in its Schoolwide Program is not required to meet most of the statutory and regulatory requirements of the specific Federal programs included in the consolidation (e.g., semi-annual time and effort reporting for Title I). However, the school must ensure that it meets the intent and purposes of the Federal programs included in the consolidation so that the needs of the intended beneficiaries are met.

Most, if not all, Schoolwide Program (SWP) schools in NYC are already conceptually consolidating their Federal, State, and Local funds, even though the Galaxy system reports the allocations in separate accounting codes.

To be eligible for the flexibility consolidation of Federal funds enables, a Schoolwide Program school must identify in its Schoolwide plan (CEP) which programs are included in its consolidation and the amount each program contributes to the consolidated Schoolwide pool. Additionally, the school plan must document that it has met the intent and purposes of each program whose funds are consolidated. For example, IDEA, Part B allows SWP schools to consolidate a portion of the funds received under Part B of IDEA, so long as students with disabilities included in such Schoolwide Programs receive special education and related services in accordance with a properly developed Individualized Education Program (IEP), and are afforded all of the rights and services guaranteed to children with disabilities under IDEA. The intent and purpose of the IDEA is to ensure that all children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education designed to meet their individual needs. A Schoolwide Program may demonstrate that it meets the intent and purpose of this program by ensuring that, except as to certain use

of funds requirements, all the requirements of the IDEA are met, and that children with disabilities are included in school-wide activities. High-quality professional development required for all staff and designed to result in improved learning outcomes for all children, including children with disabilities, is one example of a schoolwide activity that meets the intent and purposes of the IDEA.

Directions: In this section, please indicate which Federal, State, and/or local Tax Levy program funds are consolidated in your school’s Schoolwide Program, the amount each program contributes to the consolidated Schoolwide pool, and verification that the school has met the intent and purposes of each program whose funds are consolidated.

Program Name	Fund Source <i>(i.e., Federal, State, or Local)</i>	Program Funds Are “Conceptually” ¹ Consolidated in the Schoolwide Program (✓)			Amount Contributed to Schoolwide Pool <i>(Refer to Galaxy for FY’11 school allocation amounts)</i>	Check (✓) in the left column below to verify that the school has met the intent and purposes ² of each program whose funds are consolidated. Indicate page number references where a related program activity has been described in this plan.	
		Yes	No	N/A		Check (✓)	Page #(s)
Title I, Part A (Basic)	Federal						
Title I, Part A (ARRA)	Federal						
Title II, Part A	Federal						
Title III, Part A	Federal						
Title IV	Federal						
IDEA	Federal						
Tax Levy	Local						

Part D: TITLE I TARGETED ASSISTANCE SCHOOLS

Reminder: To consolidate funding in a Schoolwide Program, the school does not literally need to combine funds in a single account or pool with its own accounting code. Rather, the word “pool” is used conceptually to convey that a Schoolwide Program school has the use of all consolidated funds available to it for the dedicated function of operating a Schoolwide Program without regard to the identity of those funds. Most Schoolwide Program (SWP) schools in NYC are conceptually consolidating all of their Federal, State, and Local funds, even though the Galaxy system reports the allocations in separate accounting codes.

Note: The **intent and purposes** of the Federal programs indicated on the above chart are as follows:

- **Title I, Part A – Schoolwide Programs:** To upgrade the entire educational program in the school in order to improve the academic achievement of all students, particularly the lowest-achieving students.
- **Title II, Part A:** Supplementary funding to improve student academic achievement by reducing class size in grades K, 1, 2, and 3, with an emphasis on grades with average register greater than 20. If space is not available to form additional classes, funds may support push-in teacher(s) to supplement the instructional program.
- **Title III, Part A:** To help ensure that children with limited English proficiency become proficient in English, develop high academic attainment in English, and meet the same challenging State academic content and achievement standards in the core academic subjects that all other children are expected to meet. Another purpose of this program is to increase the capacity of schools to establish, implement and sustain high-quality language instruction programs and English language development programs that assist schools in effectively teaching students with limited English proficiency. Title III, Part A is also designed to promote the participation of parents and communities of limited English proficient children in English language instruction programs.
- **Title IV:** To support programs that prevent violence in and around schools; prevent the illegal use of alcohol, tobacco and drugs; and involve parents and communities in efforts to foster a safe and drug-free learning environment that supports student achievement.
- **IDEA:** To ensure that all children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education designed to meet their individual needs.

Directions: Describe how the school will implement the following components of a Title I Targeted Assistance Program as required under NCLB. Note: If a required component is already addressed elsewhere in this plan, you may refer to the page numbers where the response can be found.

1. Use program resources to help participating children meet the State standards.
2. Ensure that planning for students served under this program is incorporated into existing school planning.
3. Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that strengthens the core academic program of the school and that:
 - a. Give primary consideration to providing extended learning time, such as, extended school year, before/after school, and summer programs and opportunities;
 - b. Help provide an accelerated, high –quality curriculum, including applied learning; and
 - c. Minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours;
4. Coordinate with and support the regular educational program;
5. Provide instruction by highly qualified teachers;
6. Provide professional development opportunities for teachers, principals and paraprofessionals, including, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff;
7. Provide strategies to increase parental involvement; and
8. Coordinate and integrate Federal, State and local services and programs.

APPENDIX 5: NCLB/SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR IMPROVEMENT, CORRECTIVE ACTION, OR RESTRUCTURING

This appendix must be completed by all schools designated for school improvement under the State's Differentiated Accountability system, including Improvement (year 1), Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action (CA) (year 1), Corrective Action (year 2), Restructuring (year 1), Restructuring (year 2), Restructuring (Advanced), and SURR schools.

NCLB/SED Status: _____ **SURR³ Phase/Group (If applicable):** _____

Part A: For All Schools Identified for Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring

1. For each area of school improvement identification (indicated on your pre-populated School Demographics and Accountability Snapshot, downloadable from your school's NYCDOE webpage under "Statistics"), describe the school's findings of the specific academic issues that caused the school to be identified. For schools in Corrective Action (year 1) that underwent an External School Curriculum Audit (ESCA) during the 2009-10 school year, please include the findings from that process in your response for this section.
2. Describe the focused intervention(s) the school will implement to support improved achievement in the grade and subject areas for which the school was identified. Be sure to include strategies to address the needs of all disaggregated groups that failed to meet the AMO, Safe Harbor, and/or 95% participation rate requirement. Note: If this question was already addressed elsewhere in this plan, you may refer to the page numbers where the response can be found. For schools in the Corrective Action phase, please include the specific corrective action being implemented for the school, as required under NCLB. For schools in the Restructuring phase, please include a description of the restructuring option/strategies being implemented for the school.

Part B: For Title I Schools Identified for Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring

1. As required by NCLB legislation, a school identified for school improvement must spend not less than 10 percent of its Title I funds for each fiscal year that the school is in school improvement status for professional development. The professional development must be high quality and address the academic area(s) identified. Describe how the 10 percent of the Title I funds for professional development (amounts specified in Part A of Appendix 4) will be used to remove the school from school improvement.
2. Describe the teacher-mentoring program that will be incorporated as part of the school's strategy for providing high-quality professional development.
3. Describe how the school will notify parents about the school's identification for school improvement in an understandable and uniform format and to the extent practicable, in a language that the parents can understand.

School Under Registration Review (SURR)

APPENDIX 6: SED REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOLS UNDER REGISTRATION REVIEW (SURR)

All SURR schools must complete this appendix.

SURR Area(s) of Identification: _____

SURR Group/Phase: _____ **Year of Identification:** _____ **Deadline Year:** _____

Part A: SURR Review Team Recommendations – On the chart below, indicate the categorized recommendations for improvement resulting from the SED Registration Review Visit/Report and all external review and monitoring visits since the school was first identified as a SURR. Indicate the specific actions the school has taken, or will take, to address each of the recommendations.

Type of Review or Monitoring Visit (Include agency & dates of visits)	Review Team Categorized Recommendations (e.g., Administrative Leadership, Professional Development, Special Education, etc.)	Actions the school has taken, or plans to take, to address review team recommendations

APPENDIX 7: TITLE I, PART A – SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN TEMPORARY HOUSING (STH)

All schools must complete this appendix.

Directions:

- All Title I schools must complete Part A of this appendix.
- All Non-Title I schools must complete Part B of this appendix.

Supporting Students in Temporary Housing (STH)

As included in your Office of School and Youth Development Consolidated Plan STH Section and in accordance with the federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act and Chancellor's Regulation A-780, schools must identify, serve, and report on students living in temporary housing (STH). For more information on using Title I set-aside funds to support your STH population, please refer to the Frequently Asked Questions document on DOE's website: <http://schools.nyc.gov/NR/rdonlyres/9831364D-E542-4763-BC2F-7D424EBD5C83/58877/TitleIPartASetAsideforStudentsinTemporaryHousing.pdf>

Part A: FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS

1. Please identify the number of Students in Temporary Housing who are currently attending your school. (Please note that your current STH population may not be the same as officially reported in DOE systems and may change over the course of the year.)
2. Please describe the services you are planning to provide to the STH population.

Part B: FOR NON-TITLE I SCHOOLS

1. Please identify the number of Students in Temporary Housing who are currently attending your school (please note that your STH population may change over the course of the year).

0

2. Please describe the services you are planning to provide to the STH population with the Title I set-aside funds.

N/A

3. Some Non-Title I schools receive a specific allocation based on the reported number of students living in temporary housing. If your school received an allocation (please refer to the current Title I Funds Summary of School Allocation Memorandum), include the amount your school received in this question. If your school did not receive an allocation and needs assistance in identifying resources to assist STH students, please contact an STH liaison in your Children First Network.

APPENDIX 8: CONTRACTS FOR EXCELLENCE (C4E) SCHOOL-BASED EXPENDITURES

This appendix will not be required for 2010-2011.

Please Note: Since the system-wide expectation is that schools will maintain effort for 2008-09/2009-10 programs funded with Contract for Excellence dollars in 2010-11, schools will not be required to complete a new version of CEP Appendix 8 this year. Please see the FY11 SAM #6 "Contracts for Excellence Discretionary Allocations" for details about other documentation that schools may be required to complete in conjunction with the spending of their C4E dollars.

(THIS SECTION WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR 2010-11)

SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT

School Name:	SPRUCE STREET SCHOOL						
District:	2	DBN:	02M397	School		310200010397	

DEMOGRAPHICS

Grades Served:	Pre-K		3		7		11	
	K	v	4		8		12	
	1	v	5		9		Ungraded	v
	2		6		10			

Enrollment				Attendance - % of days students attended:			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Pre-K		0	0				NR
Kindergarten		48	58				
Grade 1		0	49				
Grade 2		0	0				
Grade 3		0	0				
Grade 4		0	0				
Grade 5		0	0				
Grade 6		0	0				
Grade 7		0	0				
Grade 8		0	0				
Grade 9		0	0				
Grade 10		0	0				
Grade 11		0	0				
Grade 12		0	0				
Ungraded		0	1				
Total		48	108				

Student Stability - % of Enrollment:			
<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
			84.6

Poverty Rate - % of Enrollment:			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
		39.0	8.3

Students in Temporary Housing - Total Number:			
<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
			0

Recent Immigrants - Total Number:			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
			0

Special Education				Suspensions (OSYD Reporting) - Total Number:			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	<i>(As of June 30)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
# in Self-Contained Classes		0	0	Principal Suspensions			2
# in Collaborative Team Teaching (CTT) Classes		0	0	Superintendent Suspensions			0
Number all others		0	6				

English Language Learners (ELL) Enrollment: (BESIS Survey)				Special High School Programs - Total Number:			
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
# in Transitional Bilingual Classes		0	TBD	CTE Program Participants			0
# in Dual Lang. Programs		0	TBD	Early College HS Program Participants			0

Number of Staff - Includes all full-time staff:							
<i>(As of October 31)</i>	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10				
# receiving ESL services only		0	TBD	Number of Teachers			4
# ELLs with IEPs		0	TBD	Number of Administrators and Other Professionals			1
These students are included in the General and Special Education enrollment information above.				Number of Educational Paraprofessionals			1

Overage Students (# entering students overage for				Teacher Qualifications:			
(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	(As of October 31)	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
			0	% fully licensed & permanently assigned to this school			100.0
				% more than 2 years teaching in this school			0.0
				% more than 5 years teaching anywhere			0.0
Ethnicity and Gender - % of Enrollment:							
(As of October 31)	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	% Masters Degree or higher			75.0
American Indian or Alaska Native		0.0	0.0	% core classes taught by "highly qualified" teachers			100.0
Black or African American		0.0	1.9				
Hispanic or Latino		10.4	19.4				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific		16.7	13.0				
White		62.5	56.5				
Male		62.5	58.3				
Female		37.5	41.7				

2009-10 TITLE I STATUS

	Title I						
	Title I						
	Title I						
	Non-Title						
Years the School				2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11

NCLB/SED SCHOOL-LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY

SURR School (Yes/No)		If yes,					
-----------------------------	--	---------	--	--	--	--	--

Overall NCLB/Differentiated Accountability Status (2009-10) Based on 2008-09 Performance:

	Phase		Category		
	In Good		Basic	Focused	Comprehensive
	Improvement Year 1				
	Improvement Year 2				
	Corrective Action (CA) – Year				
	Corrective Action (CA) – Year				
	Restructuring Year 1				
	Restructuring Year 2				
	Restructuring Advanced				

Individual Subject/Area AYP Outcomes:

<u>Elementary/Middle Level</u>	<u>Secondary Level</u>
ELA:	ELA:
Math:	Math:
Science:	Graduation Rate:

This school's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) determinations for each accountability measure:

	<u>Elementary/Middle Level</u>			<u>Secondary Level</u>			
Student Groups	ELA	Math	Science	ELA	Math	Grad Rate**	Progress Target
All Students							
Ethnicity							

American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White							
Multiracial							
Students with Disabilities							
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged							
Student groups making							

CHILDREN FIRST ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY

Progress Report Results – 2009-10				Quality Review Results – 2009-10			
Overall Letter Grade:	NR			Overall Evaluation:	NR		
Overall Score:				Quality Statement Scores:			
Category Scores:				Quality Statement 1: Gather Data			
School Environment: <i>(Comprises 15% of the</i>				Quality Statement 2: Plan and Set Goals			
School Performance: <i>(Comprises 25% of the</i>				Quality Statement 3: Align Instructional Strategy to Goals			
Student Progress: <i>(Comprises 60% of the</i>				Quality Statement 4: Align Capacity Building to Goals			
Additional Credit:				Quality Statement 5: Monitor and Revise			

KEY: AYP STATUS	KEY: QUALITY REVIEW SCORE
v = Made AYP	U = Underdeveloped
vSH = Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target	UPF = Underdeveloped with Proficient Features
X = Did Not Make AYP	P = Proficient
– = Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP	WD = Well Developed
	NR = Not Reviewed

* = For Progress Report Attendance Rate(s) - If more than one attendance rate given, it is displayed as K-8/9-12.
Note: Progress Report grades are not yet available for District 75 schools; NCLB/SED accountability reports are not available for District 75 schools.

**http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/nyc/APA/Memos/Graduation_rate_memo.pdf

**OFFICE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS
GRADES K-12 LANGUAGE ALLOCATION POLICY
SUBMISSION FORM**

DIRECTIONS: This submission form assists schools with gathering and organizing the quantitative and qualitative information necessary for a well-conceived school-based language allocation policy (LAP) that describes quality ELL programs. This LAP form, an appendix of the CEP, also incorporates information required for CR Part 154 funding so that a separate submission is no longer required. Agendas and minutes of LAP meetings should be kept readily available on file in the school. Also, when preparing your school's submission, provide extended responses in the green spaces. Spell-check has been disabled in this file, so consider typing responses to these questions in a separate file before copying them in the submission form.

Part I: School ELL Profile

A. Language Allocation Policy Team Composition

Network Cluster 1	District 02	School Number 397	School Name Spruce Street
Principal Nancy Harris		Assistant Principal N/A	
Coach type here		Coach type here	
Teacher/Subject Area Lauren Halpern		Guidance Counselor type here	
Teacher/Subject Area Arielle Diskin		Parent type here	
Teacher/Subject Area type here		Parent Coordinator type here	
Related Service Provider type here		Other Rena Gregory	
Network Leader Yuet Chu		Other type here	

B. Teacher Qualifications

Please provide a report of all staff members' certifications referred to in this section. Press TAB after each number entered to calculate sums and percentages.

Number of Certified ESL Teachers	0	Number of Certified Bilingual Teachers	0	Number of Certified NLA/Foreign Language Teachers	0
Number of Content Area Teachers with Bilingual Extensions	0	Number of Special Ed. Teachers with Bilingual Extensions	0	Number of Teachers of ELLs without ESL/Bilingual Certification	0

C. School Demographics

Total Number of Students in School	108	Total Number of ELLs	3	ELLs as Share of Total Student Population (%)	2.78%
------------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------	---	--------------

Part II: ELL Identification Process

Describe how you identify English Language Learners (ELLs) in your school. Answer the following:

1. Describe the steps followed for the initial identification of those students who may possibly be ELLs. These steps must include administering the Home Language Identification Survey (HLIS) which includes the informal oral interview in English and in the native language, and the formal initial assessment. Identify the person(s) responsible, including their qualifications, for conducting the initial screening, administering the HLIS, the LAB-R (if necessary), and the formal initial assessment. Also describe the steps taken to annually evaluate ELLs using the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT).
2. What structures are in place at your school to ensure that parents understand all three program choices (Transitional Bilingual, Dual Language, Freestanding ESL)? Please describe the process, outreach plan, and timelines.
3. Describe how your school ensures that entitlement letters are distributed and Parent Survey and Program Selection forms are returned? (If a form is not returned, the default program for ELLs is Transitional Bilingual Education as per CR Part 154 [\[see tool kit\]](#).)
4. Describe the criteria used and the procedures followed to place identified ELL students in bilingual or ESL instructional programs;

description must also include any consultation/communication activities with parents in their native language.

5. After reviewing the Parent Survey and Program Selection forms for the past few years, what is the trend in program choices that parents have requested? (Please provide numbers.)
6. Are the program models offered at your school aligned with parent requests? If no, why not? How will you build alignment between parent choice and program offerings? Describe specific steps underway.

- At registration ELL coordinator, who is fully trained in the ELL identification process, conducts an informal interview and assists the parent in filling out the Home Language Survey (HILS). If there is a need for a translator, the school will provide one. If there is no staff member who may translate, the student is placed in a class and the school makes arrangement for translator within 3 days. The initial class placement may change within the first 10 days. Parent is notified of such process at the time of registration.

- After the HILS is filled out, ELL coordinator will determine if the child needs to be given the LABR as per their HILS. The LABR is administered to the student within the first week of school (no later than ten days from the registration date).

- Coordinator will use the LABR results and parent(s)'s interview to determine if the student needs academic intervention.

- Once the LABR is hand-scored; if the LABR indicates the child is an ELL, a class change will be made if necessary.

- The "Entitlement Letter" is sent to parent, a copy is kept at the school.

- Student will begin to receive appropriate services,

- Parent is invited to an orientation meeting. The parent will be informed of their rights as parents of students who speak another language other than English. Translation will be provided for the parents.

- At the Parents' Orientation, the parent(s) will fill out a program selection form. If the parent selects as their first choice a program which is not offer at the school, parent is informed that the program is not offered at the school at such time. The parent is informed parent of their choices: request a transfer to a school that has the program they selected; to remain in this school and their request to be kept on record and apt for the second choice until their following school year.

Student is put on a list, which is kept by ELL coordinator, of students who must take the NYSESLAT. When it's time for students to take the NYSESLAT, the NYSESLAT eligible list is printed from ATS and compare to the ELL coordinator list and discrepancies are corrected. Any student who was entitled as per the HILS and LAB-R take the NYSESLAT test every year.

The school keeps a running record of the parents' program selection and will use that information in order to open new programs. The running record is reviewed every time a new student is register and a program selection form is received. Parents are notified that a new admit has registered and whether or not their selection matches theirs. There no names or selection disclose.

As soon as the school has enough requests to offer a particular program, parents are notified that will now be offered at the school which will result in a class change for their child. Before the beginning of the next school year if the program they selected as their first choice will not be offered the following school year due to lack of request, the parents are informed again of their rights to transfer their child to a school that offers their selected program. Programs models offered at our school are aligned with parents' request. We continue to monitor parents' request so we can align the programs offer at our school with parents' choices.

Part III: ELL Demographics

A. ELL Programs

This school serves the following grades (includes ELLs and EPs)

Check all that apply

K 1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Provide the number of classes for each ELL program model at your school. For all-day programs (e.g., Transitional Bilingual Education, Dual Language, and Self-Contained ESL), classes refer to a cohort of students served in a day. For push-in ESL classes refer to the separate periods in a day in which students are served.

ELL Program Breakdown														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Tot #
Transitional Bilingual Education (60%:40% → 50%:50% → 75%:25%)														0
Dual Language (50%:50%)														0
Freestanding ESL														
Self-Contained														0
Push-In	1	1												2
Total	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

B. ELL Years of Service and Programs

Number of ELLs by Subgroups					
All ELLs	3	Newcomers (ELLs receiving service 0-3 years)	3	Special Education	0
SIFE	0	ELLs receiving service 4-6 years	0	Long-Term (completed 6 years)	0

Enter the number of ELLs by years of identification and program model in each box. Enter the number of ELLs within a subgroup who are also SIFE or special education.

	ELLs by Subgroups									Total	
	ELLs (0-3 years)			ELLs (4-6 years)			Long-Term ELLs (completed 6 years)				
	All	SIFE	Special Education	All	SIFE	Special Education	All	SIFE	Special Education		
TBE											0
Dual Language											0
ESL	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

Number of ELLs in a TBE program who are in alternate placement:

C. Home Language Breakdown and ELL Programs

Transitional Bilingual Education														
Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Spanish														0
Chinese														0
Russian														0
Bengali														0
Urdu														0
Arabic														0
Haitian														0
French														0
Korean														0
Punjabi														0
Polish														0

Transitional Bilingual Education

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Albanian														0
Yiddish														0
Other														0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Dual Language (ELLs/EPs)
K-8**

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

	K		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		TOTAL	
	ELL	EP	ELL	EP																
Spanish																			0	0
Chinese																			0	0
Russian																			0	0
Korean																			0	0
Haitian																			0	0
French																			0	0
Other																			0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Dual Language (ELLs/EPs)
9-12**

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

	9		10		11		12		TOTAL	
	ELL	EP	ELL	EP	ELL	EP	ELL	EP	ELL	EP
Spanish									0	0
Chinese									0	0
Russian									0	0
Korean									0	0
Haitian									0	0
French									0	0
Other									0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

This Section for Dual Language Programs Only

Number of Bilingual students (students fluent in both languages):	Number of third language speakers:	
Ethnic breakdown of EPs (Number):		
African-American:	Asian:	Hispanic/Latino:
Native American:	White (Non-Hispanic/Latino):	Other:

Freestanding English as a Second Language

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Spanish	1													1

Freestanding English as a Second Language

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Chinese														0
Russian														0
Bengali														0
Urdu														0
Arabic														0
Haitian														0
French														0
Korean														0
Punjabi														0
Polish														0
Albanian														0
Other	2													2
TOTAL	3	0	3											

Part IV: ELL Programming

A. Programming and Scheduling Information

1. How is instruction delivered?
 - a. What are the organizational models (e.g., Departmentalized, Push-In [Co-Teaching], Pull-Out, Collaborative, Self-Contained)?
 - b. What are the program models (e.g., Block [Class travels together as a group]; Ungraded [all students regardless of grade are in one class]; Heterogeneous [mixed proficiency levels]; Homogeneous [proficiency level is the same in one class])?
2. How does the organization of your staff ensure that the mandated number of instructional minutes is provided according to proficiency levels in each program model (TBE, Dual Language, ESL)?
 - a. How are explicit ESL, ELA, and NLA instructional minutes delivered in each program model as per CR Part 154 (see table below)?
3. Describe how the content areas are delivered in each program model. Please specify language, and the instructional approaches and methods used to make content comprehensible to enrich language development.
4. How do you differentiate instruction for ELL subgroups?
 - a. Describe your instructional plan for SIFE.
 - b. Describe your plan for ELLs in US schools less than three years (newcomers). Additionally, because NCLB now requires ELA testing for ELLs after one year, specify your instructional plan for these ELLs.
 - c. Describe your plan for ELLs receiving service 4 to 6 years.
 - d. Describe your plan for Long-Term ELLs (completed 6 years).
 - e. Describe your plan for ELLs identified as having special needs.

Our plan focuses on reinforced content area instruction where students receive academic intervention/support in growing as an English Language Learner. In addition, these students are targeted for Academic Intervention in a reading/math pull out program during lunch learners. All teachers are trained in ESL methodologies to support ELL growth. With our focus on Balanced Literacy and Literacy in all content areas, cooperative based project learning via research is a strong emphasis.

The organization model consists of a push-in and pullout model.

Students are clustered by proficiency levels (LAB-R and NYSESLAT scores)

Various instructional methods are use to make content comprehensible to enrich language development. A balanced literacy approach is in place that follows Teacher's College Workshop Model for all ELA instruction. ESL instruction is simply a modified version of the same ELA curriculum, scaffolded for the individual student and their proficiency ability. All lessons provide students with social and academic language development that stimulates student's own knowledge and experiences connected to the lesson. Contextual support is also important and come through peer interaction, visual aids, maps, charts, graphic organizers, and manipulatives. Teachers also incorporate various

components of the SIOP model during instruction. Teachers provide students with English instruction that is just a little above their comprehension. Teachers are aware that for newcomers speaking a new language can cause anxiety which is why the affective filter is low in order for the input to be noticed and understood by the ELLs. Total Physical Response (TPR) is used as well as an emphasis on making input comprehensible.

Content areas are addressed by making teachers aware of the necessary modifications for ELLs as well as training teachers to use ESL approaches. Teachers use these strategies to differentiate instruction for ELLs. ELLs are also supported to learn content areas and academic language by providing native language materials whenever possible to use side-by-side in instruction. Literacy materials during balanced literacy is often utilizing content area books and texts.

PS 397 currently has no SIFE students. However, PS 397's plan for the SIFE population includes a strict, content-based approach to language acquisition to help compensate for their missed time in school in addition to the in-place ESL methodologies.

The plan for newcomers is to provide as much academic language and English instruction as possible. Newcomers are receiving the ELL mandated services. Instruction is scaffolded and differentiated by all teachers to meet their academic needs.

The plan for long term ELLs is to use various assessments from all teachers as well as the ESL teacher to identify areas of weakness and plan instruction based on academic area of need with increased academic rigor.

NYS CR Part 154 Mandated Number of Units of Support for ELLs, Grades K-8


	Beginning	Intermediate	Advanced
ESL instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154	360 minutes per week	360 minutes per week	180 minutes per week
ELA instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154			180 minutes per week
FOR TBE /DL PROGRAMS: Native Language Arts	60-90 minutes per day	45-60 minutes per day	45 minutes per day

NYS CR Part 154 Mandated Number of Units of Support for ELLs, Grades 9-12

	Beginning	Intermediate	Advanced
ESL instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154	540 minutes per week	360 minutes per week	180 minutes per week
ELA instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154			180 minutes per week
FOR TBE /DL PROGRAMS: Native Language Arts	45 minutes per day	45 minutes per day	45 minutes per day

Native Language Arts and Native Language Support

The chart below is a visual representation designed to show the variation of NLA usage/support across the program models. Please note that NLA support is never zero.



50%			
25%			
TIME	BEGINNERS	INTERMEDIATE	ADVANCED

B. Programming and Scheduling Information--Continued

5. Describe your targeted intervention programs for ELLs in ELA, math, and other content areas (specify ELL subgroups targeted). Please list the range of intervention services offered in your school for the above areas as well as the language(s) in which they are offered.
6. Describe your plan for continuing transitional support (2 years) for ELLs reaching proficiency on the NYSESLAT.
7. What new programs or improvements will be considered for the upcoming school year?
8. What programs/services for ELLs will be discontinued and why?
9. How are ELLs afforded equal access to all school programs? Describe after school and supplemental services offered to ELLs in your building.
10. What instructional materials, including technology, are used to support ELLs (include content area as well as language materials; list ELL subgroups if necessary)?
11. How is native language support delivered in each program model? (TBE, Dual Language, and ESL)
12. Do required services support, and resources correspond to ELLs' ages and grade levels?
13. Include a description of activities in your school to assist newly enrolled ELL students before the beginning of the school year.
14. What language electives are offered to ELLs?

All of our ELLs are in heterogeneously-grouped classrooms and receive push-in and pull-out support. Because teachers and leaders of the PS 397 community understand that students learn differently and at different paces, ESL instructional strategies utilized at PS 397 are flexible and guided by the needs of the language learners. Classroom teachers as well as teachers providing ESL instruction employ the following approaches to support the ELL students' language learning:

- The Natural Approach
- Total Physical Response
- Language Experience Approach
- Whole Language Instruction

The components of language – listening, speaking, reading, writing, and thinking – are interconnected when introducing any new material at PS 397. This balanced literacy atmosphere supports students in finding areas of strength and developing confidence.

Because our ELL population is minute, our plans are tailored to their individual needs. Newly admit students are partnered up with another student to help the student navigate the schools and its rules and regulations. The first grader has benefited from push-in support by a teacher. She also pulls her out to work with her in a small group. The intermediate level third grader works with the teacher in a small group and benefits from regular push in support from the math coach, who is fluent in Spanish and was herself a second language learner. The math coach does language experience work with her and supports her in comprehending content area instruction. The speech and language teacher, also fluent in Spanish, works with her on pragmatic language and written English expression. The advanced level second grader works with the teacher in small group, but is primarily served when she pushes into the room. His ESL support is scheduled so that he does not miss daily ELA instruction.

The components of language: listening, speaking, reading, writing, and thinking, are interconnected when introducing any teaching methodology at PS. 150; thus creating a balanced literacy atmosphere where students find areas of strength and develop confidence. The teachers who

provide ESL services to our students use the students' class readers and libraries to complement the classroom instruction and assignments. PS 397 implements the reading and writing workshop methodology. Investigations in Number, Data & Space, and trade book materials is used for ESL /Social Studies. As part of the balanced literacy approach, we implement such as:

- Reading aloud • Shared reading • Guided reading • Independent reading
- Vocabulary Development • phonemic awareness • Group discussion and interaction

Alongside the school's curriculum, picture dictionaries, manipulative, Smartboards, Lap tops, visual objects, and listening centers are among the many added sources at hand to aid the student's comprehension, develop vocabulary and incorporate cultural awareness. Our students are supported through technology with educational second language software, and educational sites that support students in reading (i.e. Starfall.com).

All resources and teaching approaches adopted are guided toward enabling ELLs to succeed. Our school culture and instruction is guided to assisting our students succeed academically and meet the State and City Standards. Our small population of ELLs, allow us to continue to support ELLs reaching NYSESLAT proficiency for two years. Our teachers have been studying differentiated instruction and high level ESL scaffolds to incorporate into their lessons for the instructional success of our former ELLs. As part of our plan for transitional support for ELLs reaching proficiency on the NYSESLAT, the math and language teacher works with these students and articulate with the content area teachers to set goals and plan instruction.

Arts play an extremely important role at PS 397. All our students, including our ELLs, participate in the arts program that is integrated into their academic day. our full-time music teacher gives instruction in choral singing, recorder and keyboards. our visual arts teacher devotes one of her four days at the school to helping teachers integrate the arts into their content studies. In addition, all our students engage in numerous supplementary arts enrichment programs, including dance, storytelling, puppetry, architecture, and theater. All our students also participate in field trips supporting the science curriculum for all grades.

Newly admit students are partnered up with another student to help the student navigate the schools and its rules and regulations. Also at Parents' Orientation Meeting other parents are invited to welcome new families to our community.

C. Schools with Dual Language Programs

1. How much time (%) is the target language used for EPs and ELLs in each grade?
2. How much of the instructional day are EPs and ELLs integrated? What content areas are taught separately?
3. How is language separated for instruction (time, subject, teacher, theme)?
4. What Dual Language model is used (side-by-side, self-contained, other)?
5. Is emergent literacy taught in child's native language first (sequential), or are both languages taught at the same time (simultaneous)?

Paste response to questions 1-5 here

D. Professional Development and Support for School Staff

1. Describe the professional development plan for all ELL personnel at the school. (Please include all teachers of ELLs.)
2. What support do you provide staff to assist ELLs as they transition from elementary to middle and/or middle to high school?
3. Describe the minimum 7.5 hours of ELL training for all staff (including non-ELL teachers) as per Jose P.

All teachers receive training on the implementation of periodic assessment systems, including TCRWP and spelling inventories. Teachers have common planning time to discuss students' individual needs and progress. Our Network liaison will conduct a 4-day two hours workshop for our teachers. This training will take place in the Spring. In addition, our teachers are supported in obtaining the mandated ESL training hours and special education strategies for the teaching of ELLs (Jose P.), through network professional development sessions and on-site support from our network special services manager and achievement coach.

E. Parental Involvement

1. Describe parent involvement in your school, including parents of ELLs.
2. Does the school partner with other agencies or Community Based Organizations to provide workshops or services to ELL parents?
3. How do you evaluate the needs of the parents?
4. How do your parental involvement activities address the needs of the parents?

Beginning of the year:

- Family information session in August
- Continue to establish Parents Association Executive Board and support elections and new members.
- Family curriculum night
- Social event to build community
- Disseminate school calendar, what to expect in Kindergarten, and Family Handbook to entire community

During the year:

- Monthly parent/guardian meeting with general PA and Executive Board as per bylaws.
 - Curriculum presentation at PTA Meeting
 - Workshop conducted by school Social Worker
 - School-wide activities and parent outreach/invitations to participate in student presentations and performances
- Semi-monthly family Friday events that focus on parents/guardians as learning partners.

Part V: Assessment Analysis

A. Assessment Breakdown

Enter the number of ELLs for each test, category, and modality.

OVERALL NYSESLAT* PROFICIENCY RESULTS (*LAB-R FOR NEW ADMITS)														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Beginner(B)	2													2
Intermediate(I)														0
Advanced (A)	1													1
Total	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

NYSESLAT Modality Analysis														
Modality Aggregate	Proficiency Level	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
LISTENING/SPEAKING	B													
	I													
	A													
	P													
READING/WRITING	B													
	I													
	A													
	P													

NYS ELA					
Grade	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total

NYS ELA							
Grade	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	Level 4	Total
3							0
4							0
5							0
6							0
7							0
8							0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed							0

NYS Math									
Grade	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		Total
	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	
3									0
4									0
5									0
6									0
7									0
8									0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed									0

NYS Science									
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		Total
	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	
4									0
8									0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed									0

NYS Social Studies									
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		Total
	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	English	NL	
5									0
8									0
NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed									0

New York State Regents Exam	
Number of ELLs Taking Test	Number of ELLs Passing Test

	English	Native Language	English	Native Language
Comprehensive English				
Math				
Math				
Biology				
Chemistry				
Earth Science				
Living Environment				
Physics				
Global History and Geography				
US History and Government				
Foreign Language				
Other				
Other				
NYSAA ELA				
NYSAA Mathematics				
NYSAA Social Studies				
NYSAA Science				

Native Language Tests								
	# of ELLs scoring at each quartile (based on percentiles)				# of EPs (dual lang only) scoring at each quartile (based on percentiles)			
	Q1 1-25 percentile	Q2 26-50 percentile	Q3 51-75 percentile	Q4 76-99 percentile	Q1 1-25 percentile	Q2 26-50 percentile	Q3 51-75 percentile	Q4 76-99 percentile
ELE (Spanish Reading Test)								
Chinese Reading Test								

B. After reviewing and analyzing the assessment data, answer the following

1. Describe what assessment tool your school uses to assess the early literacy skills of your ELLs (e.g., ECLAS-2, EL SOL, Fountas and Pinnell DRA, TCRWP). What insights do the data provide about your ELLs? How can this information help inform your school’s instructional plan? Please provide any quantitative data available to support your response.
2. What is revealed by the data patterns across proficiency levels (on the LAB-R and NYSESLAT) and grades?
3. How will patterns across NYSESLAT modalities—reading/writing and listening/speaking—affect instructional decisions?
4. For each program, answer the following:
 - a. Examine student results. What are the patterns across proficiencies and grades? How are ELLs faring in tests taken in English compared to the native language?
 - b. Describe how the school leadership and teachers are using the results of the ELL Periodic Assessments.
 - c. What is the school learning about ELLs from the Periodic Assessments? How is the Native Language used?
5. For dual language programs, answer the following:
 - a. How are the English Proficient students (EPs) assessed in the second (target) language?
 - b. What is the level of language proficiency in the second (target) language for EPs?
 - c. How are EPs performing on State and City Assessments?

Barriers at this point are that many of our students have come in with a wide range of educational backgrounds and experiences. We are just beginning reading level assessments and using the writing continuum to assess where our students are as readers and writers. For some students it is difficult to ascertain if their performance is based on ability to comprehend or an inability to express themselves in print.

Additional Information

Please include any additional information that would be relevant to your LAP and would further explain your program for ELLs. You may attach/submit charts. This form does not allow graphics and charts to be pasted.

Paste additional information here

Part VI: LAP Assurances

Signatures of LAP team members certify that the information provided is accurate.

Name (PRINT)	Title	Signature	Date (mm/dd/yy)
	Principal		
	Assistant Principal		
	Parent Coordinator		
	ESL Teacher		
	Parent		
	Teacher/Subject Area		
	Teacher/Subject Area		
	Coach		

	Coach		
	Guidance Counselor		
	Network Leader		
	Other		