



**Department of
Education**

Dennis M. Walcott, Chancellor



2012-2013 COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATIONAL PLAN (CEP)

SCHOOL NAME:

15K088

PRINCIPAL: AILENE ALTMAN MITCHELL

EMAIL: AMITCHE2@SCHOOLS.NYC.GOV

SUPERINTENDENT: ANITA SKOP

SCHOOL LEADERSHIP TEAM (SLT) SIGNATURE PAGE

Use this page to identify SLT members and confirm their participation in the development of this Comprehensive Educational Plan (CEP), which includes goals and action plans, a summary of Academic Intervention Services, and the Parent Involvement Policy. The signatures of SLT members indicate their participation in the development of the CEP and serve as confirmation that consultation has occurred to align funding in support of educational programs. The SLT must include an equal number of parents and staff and have a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 17 members, in accordance with the Chancellor's Regulation A-655, available on the [NYC DOE Web site](#).

Directions:

1. List each SLT member in the left-hand column on the chart below. Specify any position held by the team member, e.g., Chairperson, SLT Secretary and the constituent group represented, e.g., parent, staff, student, or CBO. Core mandatory SLT members are indicated by an asterisk*.
2. Ensure that SLT members review this document and sign in the right-hand column in blue ink. If an SLT member does not wish to sign this plan, he/she may attach a written explanation in lieu of his/her signature.
3. Add rows as needed to ensure that all SLT members are listed.
4. The original copy, along with any written communications pertaining to this page, is to remain on file in the principal's office and be made available upon written request.

| Name | Position and Constituent Group Represented | Signature |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Ailene Mitchell | *Principal or Designee | |
| Martin Marczika | *UFT Chapter Leader or Designee | |
| Bridgett Edwards | *PA/PTA President or Designated Co-President | |
| | DC 37 Representative, if applicable | |
| Rick Damon | Member/Parent | |
| Lourdes Garcia | Member/Parent | |
| Stephanie Douglas | Member/Teacher | |
| Jeffrey Bradshaw | Member/Teacher | |
| Meisha Holmes | Member/Teacher | |
| Vito DiLeo | Member/Parent | |
| Reg Jones | Member/Parent | |
| Lilian Hernandez | Member/Parent | |
| Mike Seymour | Member/Teacher | |

** Signature of constituent only indicates participation in the development of the CEP, not approval. Note: If for any reason a SLT member does not wish to sign this plan, he/she must attach an explanation in lieu of his/her signature.

DIRECTIONS AND GUIDANCE FOR COMPLETING THE ANNUAL GOALS AND ACTION PLAN SECTION

The CEP goal-setting is done in collaboration with the school community to support student achievement and the implementation of school-wide goals as well as to document how your school is meeting Federal, State, and City regulations.

Below you will find guidance on documenting annual goals and action plans.

WHICH SCHOOLS NEED TO COMPLETE THIS?

All Reward, Recognition and In Good Standing schools should identify and submit annual goals and action plans in consultation with their School Leadership Team (SLT).

HOW DO CEP GOALS RELATE TO GOALS SET FOR THE PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW (PPR)?

CEP goals are generally intended to guide school-wide planning and development. CEP goals may be coordinated with goals set by the principal for the Principal Performance Review (PPR) if they are appropriate for use as school-wide goals.

HOW SHOULD A SCHOOL DEVELOP ITS GOALS AND ACTION PLANS?

- Your school should identify a minimum of three and a maximum of five annual goals.
- Goals should be aligned with the current citywide instructional expectations.
- Goals should be “SMART” - specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time-bound.
- Goal development should be based on an assessment of your school’s needs.
- Your school should use quantitative and/or qualitative data in providing the rationale for each goal. Cite sources that contributed to the rationale, such as the Progress Report, Quality Review, School Survey, NYS Accountability report (SQR, SCRA, or JIT), state and school assessment results, attendance records, inquiry team work, etc.
- Each goal and action plan requires your school to cite the strategies and activities in your Parent Involvement Policy (PIP) that will be implemented to achieve the goal identified. The PIP template is provided on pages 11 through 15. Your school is encouraged to use the template as it is provided, or align it in accordance with your school’s goals, or replace it entirely with a Parent Involvement Policy created by your school that meets federal requirements. You may use or amend relevant sections of your PIP directly to respond to the parental involvement section of each goal and action plan.

ANNUAL GOAL #1 AND ACTION PLAN

Use this template to identify an annual goal. Respond to each section to indicate strategies and activities in support of accomplishing this goal.

Annual Goal #1

- By June 2013, students in grades 6, 7, and 8 will show an increase in the adjusted median growth percentile of one point on the 2013 New York State ELA Assessment when compared to the 2012 NYS ELA results.

Comprehensive needs assessment

- NY State English Language Arts Test score data has been analyzed for trends wherein significant variations occur with each cohort. For instance, by comparing the 2010 – 2011 state results to the 2011 – 2012 state results; the percent of Grade 6 students achieving proficiency (Level 3 and Level 4) decreased by 1% from 35% to 36 %. Grade 7 students showed an overall increase of 8% from 30% to 38% in students achieving proficiency, and Grade 8 students showed an overall decrease of 5 % from 32% to 27%.
- When comparing NY State English Language Arts Test data from 2010 – 2011 and 2011 – 2012 for students with special needs, the percent of students reaching proficiency decreased by 5% in 6th grade from 17% to 12%, student in Grade 7 showed an overall increase of 1% from 9% to 10% in students achieving proficiency, and Grade 8 students showed an overall decrease of 1% from 8% to 7%.

Instructional strategies/activities

- Assure effective use of assessments, specifically that literacy teachers use Teachers College Assessment Pro for ELL students, students with disabilities and students with proficiency (level 3/ level 4) in ELA and that content area teachers develop and effectively use universal assessments 3-4 times throughout the year for these departments, such as the DRP, TC reading assessment, and writing on demand. All assessments will incorporate at least two tasks aligned to the Common Core State Standards across all core subject areas.
- Establish lead teachers in each subject area to develop best teaching practices and support the school's instructional vision of raising teacher performance and in turn student progress and performance.
- Place coaches and consultants to support best teaching practices and literacy teaching methods aligned to CCLS, including execution of CCLS tasks.
- Assure that departments set teaching and learning goals for student achievement and track and monitor student progress through frequent formative assessment and periodic assessment.
- Conduct bi-monthly faculty conferences designed to reinforce school-wide literacy goals, objectives, initiatives and effective use of data to inform instruction.
- Conduct weekly common planning meetings (chosen by teachers under Circular 6) in literacy and subject areas co-facilitated by teachers, coaches and consultants to assess student work and plan reading and writing instruction incorporating the Common Core State Standards and College Career Readiness Standards.
- Effectively use Achieve 3000 and Rosetta Stone to specifically address the needs of our ELL and SWD subgroups based on analysis of item skills.

Strategies to increase parental involvement

- Provide training to parent coordinator and PTA president through Teachers College Reading Writing Project's parent calendar days. Parent coordinator and PTA president will report back to the PTA providing materials and training to help parents work with their children to improve their achievement level in literacy.
- Provide access of information to parents through: use of Engrade; use of ARIS; hosting "Parent Language / Curriculum Night"; providing school planners/folders

for regular written communication between teacher and the home in a structured format, and to the extent practicable in the languages that parents can understand and distribution of monthly school calendar highlighting important dates and activities.

Budget and resources alignment

- Indicate your school's Title I status: School Wide Program (SWP) Targeted Assistance Program (TAP) Non-Title I
- Select the fund source(s) that your school is using to support the instructional goal.
x Tax Levy _x_ Title I _____ Title IIA _x_ Title III _x_ Grants _____ Other

If other is selected describe here:

Service and program coordination

- Funds were allocated for the software program Achieve 3000 in an effort to improve reading comprehension for our subgroups as well as our high-level 2 student population.
- Fair Student Funding, Title I and LEP has been allocated for after school academic intervention programs before and after school. Title III funding has been allocated for parent curriculum nights.
- Title I funding has been allocated to a portion of our literacy coach who focuses on our ELL population.
- Combined FSF and Title I funding has been allocated to classroom library and after school AIS programs.
- Title III LEP funding has gone to document cameras and LCD projectors.
- Title I funding has been allocated for the services of Teachers College Reading and Writing Project

ANNUAL GOAL #2 AND ACTION PLAN

Use this template to identify an annual goal. Respond to each section to indicate strategies and activities in support of accomplishing this goal.

Annual Goal #2

- By June 2013, students in grades 6, 7, and 8 will show an increase in the adjusted median growth percentile of one point on the 2013 New York State Math Assessment when compared to 2012 NYS Math results.

Comprehensive needs assessment

- NY State Mathematics Test score data has been analyzed for trends wherein significant variations occur with each cohort. For instance, by comparing the 2010 – 2011 state results to the 2011 - 2012 state results; the percent of Grade 6 students achieving proficiency (Level 3 and Level 4) remained the same at 58%. Grade 7 students showed an overall decrease of 3% from 70% to 67% in students achieving proficiency, and Grade 8 students showed an overall decrease of 3 % from 49% to 46%.
- When comparing NY State Mathematics Test data from 2010 – 2011 and 2011 – 2012 of students with special needs, the percent of students reaching proficiency increased by 1% in 6th grade (31% to 32%), student in Grade 7 showed an overall decrease of 8% from 49% 41% in students achieving proficiency, and Grade 8 students showed an overall decrease of 13% from 28% to 15%.
- Further analysis reveals our English Language Learners displayed the largest drop in math scores when comparing NY State Mathematics Test data from 2010 - 2011 and 2011 - 2012. The percent of Grade 6 students achieving proficiency decreased 15% from 50% to 35%. Grade 7 students showed a decrease of 9% from 49% to 40%, and Grade 8 students showed a decrease of 36% from 61% to 25%.

Instructional strategies/activities

- Conduct weekly common planning meetings by department grade level, co-facilitated by the math coach, consultants and lead teachers, to plan instruction aligned to the Common Core State Standards and College Career Readiness Standards.
- Assess student work during weekly grade level meetings using a common rubric in order to identify student gaps and misconceptions with specific skills embedded in units of study aligned to CCLS.
- Analyze formative and summative assessment data which allow staff to clearly identify gaps with student performance related to CCLS. This will allow staff to create targeted intervention strategies when planning the next instructional steps.
- Implementation of the individualized, differentiated, state-of-the-art mathematic and technology program with built-in daily student programs based on assessment of skills. “School of One” provides innovative differentiated programs for students and provides daily informative assessments for teacher planning.
- Incorporate at least 3 mathematical practices into our math tasks in order to have students provide evidence of learned skills. All assessments will incorporate at least two tasks aligned to CCLS.
- Implement a new math curriculum which focuses on skill recognition, cognition, and applied learning.
- Establish lead teachers, coaches, and consultants in Mathematics to develop best teaching practices and to support the instructional school vision of raising teacher performance and in turn student performance.
- Conduct bi-monthly faculty conferences designed to reinforce school-wide Mathematics goals, objectives, initiatives and effective use of data to inform

instruction.

- We will further develop and implement the differentiated instruction model which was trialed with math staff during the prior year.

Strategies to increase parental involvement

- Use of Engrade
- Use of ARIS
- Hosting "Parent Language / Curriculum Night."
- Providing school planners/folders for regular written communication between /teacher and the home in a format, and to the extent practicable in the languages that parents can understand.
- Distribution of monthly school calendar highlighting important dates and activities.

Budget and resources alignment

- Indicate your school's Title I status: School Wide Program (SWP) Targeted Assistance Program (TAP) Non-Title I

- Select the fund source(s) that your school is using to support the instructional goal.

 x Tax Levy x Title I Title IIA x Title III x Grants Other

If other is selected describe here:

Service and program coordination

- Fair Student Funding, Title I and LEP has been allocated for after school academic intervention programs before and after school.
- Title III funding has been allocated for parent curriculum nights.
- Title I funds allocated to fund a lead math teacher.
- Title III LEP funding has gone to document cameras and LCD projectors.

ANNUAL GOAL #3 AND ACTION PLAN

Use this template to identify an annual goal. Respond to each section to indicate strategies and activities in support of accomplishing this goal.

Annual Goal #3

- Continue to develop School of One and the use of technology innovatively.
By June 2013, the school will have expanded the use of technology to improve communication and transfer of information throughout the building.

Comprehensive needs assessment

- In efforts to adopt Common Core State Standard Mathematical Practices, especially “CCSSMP5 – Use appropriate tools strategically” our plans are to implement programs including Google Sketch Up, Google Docs, Office 365, and Microsoft Excel (for mathematical modeling of quantities in blueprinting and floor planning, allowing for explorations of proportional relationships and scaled-drawing creation). Teachers will also use transparent online grading systems, including Engrade, so as to clarify communication of student performance between students and teachers. The school website is continuously being updated by dedicated staff members in order to share classroom progress and scheduling.
- Through School of One, approximately 330 students have access to an individualized learning program eight times a week that is tailored to the strengths and needs of each individual student. Students will have access to online personalized tutors, virtual instruction, dynamic animated programs embedding math skills, and a variety of online resources who are strategically partnered with School of One.

Instructional strategies/activities

- Integrated teacher teams will use Microsoft SharePoint, our file server, and Dropbox to share best practices in student work.
- Proposals for Integrated Project Week will be submitted and approved online and student performance for Integrated Project Work will be monitored online with a final grade attached to the school report card.
- Teachers can seamlessly integrate all types of media by utilizing our media server which is connected to every faculty computer in the school.
- All classrooms are equipped with a desktop or laptop computer with access to our intranet site, file server, and our media server.
- Three portable computer labs (two PC; one Mac) will be available to classrooms. Sixty percent of students in sub-groups will be scheduled and rotated for elective computer class.
- Teacher teams will develop integrated projects using online resources such as GoogleDocs, Kids Search, Grolier Online, and Discovery Education.
- Student use of technology will demonstrate mastery of skills and fluency and application of conceptual understanding.
- Purchase additional hardware, such as projectors, tablets, ELMOs and laptops, to increase the equipment available for student and teacher use.
- Pilot School of One’s innovative differentiated math programs for students with daily assessments for teacher planning. Teachers utilize daily computerized and personalized exit slips that give immediate feedback to students, which are used to differentiate instruction.
- Students have access to many learning modalities or stations utilizing technology that provide a multiple sensory approach to learning compatible for general education and students with special needs.
- Utilize our SMART equipment to collect real time student data in our Math and Literacy classrooms. Teachers utilize these results to differentiate their

instruction and to provide immediate feedback to students.

- Use TeachBoost, a web-based evaluation, to create NYC Instructional Expectation aligned instructional rounds, informal and formal observation forms. Record and maintain frequent observation and feedback to teachers on TeachBoost.

Strategies to increase parental involvement

- In addition to the parent involvement strategies listed in our PIP, parents are also invited to attend the Showcase Days which serve as the culminating events for our Integrated Project Weeks.
- School of One family night was organized to inform parents how to support students who are involved in a new math program.
- Parents received username and password codes which gave them access to student programs, exit slips, and instructional resources through the School of One website.

Budget and resources alignment

- Indicate your school's Title I status: School Wide Program (SWP) Targeted Assistance Program (TAP) Non-Title I
- Select the fund source(s) that your school is using to support the instructional goal.
_____ Tax Levy x Title I _____ Title IIA _____ Title III x Grants _____ Other

If other is selected describe here:

Service and program coordination

- To support after school technology programs, we have allocated Title III LEP funding, ARRA and FSF. This includes:
 - A full-time technology position.
 - Software to be used to target our subgroups
 - School server for the school for file sharing, communication and as a professional development resource
- As part of our Professional Development program, our Capacity Builder and Watching Others Work (WOW) sessions will support schoolwide instruction by scheduling Teacher-to-Teacher training in innovative use of technologies
- Monthly professional development sessions were implemented to support staff in implementing the School of One program.

ANNUAL GOAL #4 AND ACTION PLAN

Use this template to identify an annual goal. Respond to each section to indicate strategies and activities in support of accomplishing this goal.

Annual Goal #4

- By June 2013, we will expand and support our integrated curriculum with partnerships with universities and non-profit organizations to align teaching and learning to the Common Core Learning Standards.

These partnerships will support our teachers with developing grade-level integrated curriculum by June 2013 with at least three IPW teams.

- University partnerships include **Columbia University's** Center for Environmental Research and Conservation of the Earth Institute; The Department of Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology; and The Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory.
- MS 88 is the lead partner in two NSF grants with CERC: NSF GK-12 Program/ Learning through Ecological and Environmental Field Studies (LEEFS) and NSF I-TEST Strategies program/Technology Research and Ecology Exchange with Students (TREES).
- **NYU-Poly**/Mitsui USA's Biomimicry and Science of Smart Cities programs.
- The NY Academy of Medicine and the Lutheran Medical Center partnership supports MS 88 as a community school by providing medical, dental and mental health services
- Other partnerships include PENCIL, Junior Energy, Iron Will, Solar One, Power Play will integrated their program and provide instructional support in science and elective courses for students in the sub-groups.

Comprehensive needs assessment

- In an effort to align the Common Core Learning Standards to our existing curriculum and programs, we have strengthened our integrated curriculum and project based learning with additional partnerships. Our NSF grant is in its sixth year and our partnership with the Center for Environmental Research and Conservation has been expanded.

Instructional strategies/activities

- All departments will set teaching and learning goals for student achievement and track and monitor student progress aligned to both NYS and the Common Core State Standards.
- Continue to develop our instructional leadership team (entitled the United Subject Areas) which will facilitate instructional goals aligned to both NYS and the Common Core State Standards producing viable tasks.
- Weekly grade level common planning meetings to modify and use subject area assessments to plan and implement targeted instruction.
- Grade-level faculty teams will design, implement and monitor inquiry-based curriculum and authentic learning projects throughout the year.
- Continue to develop pure integrated curricular teams which will train extensively with Columbia University and design integrated curriculum and which will serve as a model for the Common Core State Standards.
- As part of our partnership with Columbia University, advanced Ph.D. students from the Division of the Sciences (inclusive of Physics and Applied

Mathematics, Chemistry, Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology, Astronomy and Sustainability Sciences) will work with the integrated curricular team to align to the Common Core State Standards and produce integrated projects also known as tasks. Teachers will participate in the NSF sponsored CERC Inquire Summer Institute at Columbia University with a focus on sustainability science in urban ecosystems, STEM and integration.

- As part of our partnerships with Junior Energy, CELF and NY-Polytech, staff will receive additional instructional support and resources to align curriculum to the Common Core State Standards.

Strategies to increase parental involvement

- In addition to the outreach strategies listed in our PIP, the role of partnerships within the school community will be discussed during SLT and PTA meetings. These partnerships are also highlighted on the school's website.

Budget and resources alignment

- Indicate your school's Title I status: School Wide Program (SWP) Targeted Assistance Program (TAP) Non-Title I

- Select the fund source(s) that your school is using to support the instructional goal.

_____ Tax Levy x Title I _____ Title IIA x Title III x Grants _____ Other

If other is selected describe here:

Service and program coordination

- Qualified educational agencies provide student and staff seminars on contemporary social health issues (cyber-bullying, internet safety, physical health Issues and disease awareness, etc.) coordinated with the School Guidance Department to support student social-emotional and environmental health.
- Lutheran Medical Center provides certified dietician consultations and nutritional services with students that address the same objective.
- Partnership with Iron Will Foundation provides food preparation, nutritional education, and culinary arts enrichment after-school programs.
- PhD candidates from LEEFS/NSF team teach with selected teachers throughout the school years.

ANNUAL GOAL #5 AND ACTION PLAN

Use this template to identify an annual goal. Respond to each section to indicate strategies and activities in support of accomplishing this goal.

Annual Goal #5

- Throughout the school year, we are utilizing our school-wide professional development system to support teacher practice aligned to the NYC Instructional Expectations. By June 2013, a majority of teachers will have participated in PDs by subject area and to learn about best instructional practices for student sub-groups and set up a lab site or provide mini-workshops to their colleagues in their integrated team.

Comprehensive needs assessment

- Staff survey results from SY'11-'12 again highlighted staff demand for shared professional development opportunity. Based in the work started the previous year, improved models of professional development have been implemented. These professional development models include entire staff reflection on professional goals aligned to contemporary academic research. All staff members are directed by this objective as well to formally self-evaluate, and formally observe collegial instruction. Professional development sessions, led by expert staff members, take place at bi-weekly meetings attended by all staff members, addressing development matters in each content area.

Instructional strategies/activities

- Restructure professional development model specifically to address student needs and meet benchmarks in the Common Core State Standards.
- Principal and assistant principals will have one-on-one conversations and set professional goals with each teacher aligned to the Framework for Teaching and the Common Core State Standards.
- School leaders will articulate clear expectations for teacher practice which will serve as the focus for teacher development
- School leaders will engage in short, frequent cycles of classroom observation, collaborative examination of student work, and timely, specific, evidence-based feedback that teachers can act on to provide targeted support as they increase the rigor of instruction for their students.
- Teacher teams will look at student work to assure that it is inquiry-based and make necessary alignments to produce academic rigor and differentiated instruction.
- Continue to develop systems and structures to build staff capacity in the professional development model for the school.
- Develop a matrix of staff support activities based on the school goals.

Strategies to increase parental involvement

- Teacher Professional Development topics during capacity building sessions will include sessions on ways in which teachers can present the ways in which parent can use data (e.g. Engrade) in order to assist in their child's education.

Budget and resources alignment

- Indicate your school's Title I status: School Wide Program (SWP) Targeted Assistance Program (TAP) Non-Title I

- Select the fund source(s) that your school is using to support the instructional goal.

_____ Tax Levy x Title I _____ Title IIA x Title III x Grants _____ Other

If other is selected describe here:

Service and program coordination

- Our Professional Development program has been developed and aligned in accordance with the Citywide Instructional Expectations.
- Funds for an AUSSIE consultant to assist in the support of our Professional Development goal and implementation of newly designed infrastructure.

ACADEMIC INTERVENTION SERVICES (AIS)

Schools need to maintain accurate records of students, who are receiving Academic Intervention Services. These records need to be made available upon request and indicate the total number of students receiving AIS in each subject area listed below and for each applicable grade in your school.

| Type of Academic Intervention Services (AIS) | Description | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Type of program or strategy (e.g. repeated readings, interactive writing, etc.) | Method for delivery of service (e.g., small group, one-to-one, tutoring, etc.) | When the service is provided (e.g., during the school day, before or after school, etc.). |
| ELA | Achieve 3000 Differentiation Web-based computer program during extended school day. Lecture Hall periods for transferrable skills development in a target group of English Language Learners and students with Individual Education Plans. | Small group; one-to-one; (ACHIEVE program used in ESL and S/C classes) | Small group instruction takes place during the Extended Day period in order to meet the mandate 150 minutes for all students eligible for AIS; ACHIEVE 3000 is utilized throughout the regular school day. |
| Mathematics | Dedicated SETTS staff engaging in small group instruction with target groups during elective and other scheduled meeting periods. Small group instruction as after-school programs for Mathematics reinforcement. Lecture Hall periods for transferrable skills development in a target group of English Language | Small group; one-to-one | Small group instruction takes place during the Extended Day period in order to meet the mandate 150 minutes for all students eligible for AIS |

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| | Learners and students with Individual Education Plans. | | |
| Science | Science teachers are working with the TC Content Area Curriculum in conjunction with the Citywide Expectations-identified CCS. Teachers working on close reading strategies using differentiated complex texts across the content area. | Small group; whole class | Small group instruction takes place during the Extended Day period in order to meet the mandate 150 minutes for all students eligible for AIS |
| Social Studies | Social Studies teachers are working with the TC Content Area Curriculum in conjunction with the Citywide Expectations-identified CCS. Teachers working on close reading strategies using differentiated complex texts across the content area. | Small group; whole class | Small group instruction takes place during the Extended Day period in order to meet the mandate 150 minutes for all students eligible for AIS |
| At-risk services (e.g. provided by the Guidance Counselor, School Psychologist, Social Worker, etc.) | -Good Shepherd Services offers counseling for the entire family including issues with childcare, housing, finances, health, immigration status, and education. Red Hook Community Center also offers counseling to | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | <p>families on issues relating to public benefits, health care, family court, education, and housing. When necessary, they refer students to Planned Parenthood and Community Counseling and Mediation, which offers young parents GED prep, teen parenting skills classes, and job readiness courses. Services also provide student references to the LYFE program (Living for the young family through education), which provides free childcare in selected high schools to allow parents to complete their education in the same facility as their child. In addition, Guidance Counselors make referrals to Sunset Terrace Mental Health Clinic (Lutheran), Heartshare, Jewish Board, Brooklyn Center for Psychotherapy, and Methodist Hospital. Students are encouraged to utilize the free drop-in tutoring offered through 826NYC in the Park Slope area.</p> | | |
|--|---|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>-School Psychologists provide AIS academic assistance as students are encouraged to schedule appointments to see Psychology staff during lunch periods for both ongoing help and specific project, assignment, or exam assistance. Counseling services are provided to handle both crisis situations and ongoing personal concerns. Translation services are provided for Spanish-speaking parents and students. Teacher consultations are supported as staff members highlight concerns about academic, social, or emotional functioning of particular students in need of intervention. Teachers taking graduate courses in Special Education are supported with process explanation, observations in EPC meetings, and assistance in understanding psycho-educational tests. Parent services include scheduled</p> | | |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>parent consultations for student academic achievement that is supported by specialized agencies.</p> <p>-Social workers plan, implement, and continuously supervise the two social work Interns who run the Socialization Groups in the school. The services include daily communication with guidance counselors, assistant principals, deans, teachers, and outside agency social workers in dealing with case management and coordination of services for students. Social groups are held on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Wednesdays. Additionally, Social Workers closely supervise the provision of individual counseling from the social work Interns. Additionally, Spanish translation for staff and parents, as necessary. Parents are counseled and provided referrals to neighborhood agencies. Social Work staff advises school faculty members in helping</p> | | |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>students deal with family issues; parents are contacted and invited to work with students at the school. The social work staff's Kinship program includes students and caretakers attending weekly meetings with both parties to provide advocacy, counseling, and basic needs assurance.</p> <p>- Partnership with Lutheran Medical Center to provide on-site medical attention by a fulltime Nurse Practitioner (vaccinations, physicals, first-aid services, etc.)</p> <p>Lutheran Medical Center also provides Mental Health Clinic Services full-time, and Dental Services each Thursday throughout the school year.</p> | | |
|--|--|--|--|

HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS (HQT)

Teaching candidates are hired centrally by the NYCDOE. As described in the NYCDOE Consolidated Application, this process ensures that all NYCDOE teachers meet State eligibility requirements. Schools, in turn, ensure that teachers are HQT, as defined by NCLB

Describe the strategies and activities including strategies for recruitment, retention, assignments and support including High Quality professional development that ensures staff is highly qualified.

In addition to those strategies listed under our Annual Goal #5 (Professional Development), we have enacted the following strategies:

- In order to sustain and expand effective partnerships to achieve teacher quality goals and close student achievement gaps, we continue to:
 - Develop partnerships with city universities in order to train teachers effectively
 - Work with Teaching Fellows and Teach for America in order to observe and hire teachers who fit the philosophy of our school.
- Maintain, develop, and schedule intense, sustained, and research-based professional development in pedagogy. (i.e. Differentiated Instruction, Integrated Planning, Best Practices, Classroom Instruction that Works, Aligning Curriculum to the CCSS)
- Develop and implement professional development for teachers and administrators that informs instruction and evaluates knowledge, skills, performance, and dispositions needed in the 21st century.
- Provide new and second-year teachers with experienced mentors
- Assign our coaches and consultants to work alongside new and second-year teachers in order to assist them in teaching; curriculum-planning and the designing of effective assessments

**DIRECTIONS AND GUIDANCE FOR DEVELOPING OR UPDATING
THE PARENT INVOLVEMENT POLICY (PIP) (A REQUIREMENT FOR TITLE I SCHOOLS ONLY)**

The template below meets the parental involvement requirements of Title I. Your school is encouraged to use the template below to update your school's current policy or replace it entirely with a Parent Involvement Policy created by your school that meets federal requirements. The activities and/or strategies included in your school's policy should align with current CEP goals for improving student achievement.

The PIP should describe how your school will plan and implement effective parent involvement activities and/or strategies to improve student academic achievement and school performance. The School-Parent Compact is a component of the PIP that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share this responsibility. Schools are encouraged to include feedback from the Parent Coordinator when updating the policy. In addition, if your school community will be engaged this year in central parent involvement initiatives, such as Parent Academy, which will provide training for school communities to help strengthen family-school partnerships, please be sure to include these activities in your policy.

PARENT INVOLVEMENT POLICY (PIP) TEMPLATE

Educational research shows a positive correlation between effective parental involvement and student achievement. The overall aim of this policy is to develop a parent involvement program that will ensure effective involvement of parents and community in our school. Therefore, our school, in compliance with the Section 1118 of Title I, Part A of the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act, is responsible for creating and implementing a parent involvement policy to strengthen the connection and support of student achievement between our school and the families. Our school's policy is designed to keep parents informed by actively involving them in planning and decision-making in support of the education of their children. Parents are encouraged to actively participate on the School Leadership Team, Parent Association, and Title I Parent Committee as trained volunteers and welcomed members of our school community. Our school will support parents and families of Title I students by:

- providing materials and training to help parents work with their children to improve their achievement level, e.g., literacy, math and use of technology;
- providing parents with the information and training needed to effectively become involved in planning and decision making in support of the education of their children;
- fostering a caring and effective home-school partnership to ensure that parents can effectively support and monitor their child's progress;
- providing assistance to parents in understanding City, State and Federal standards and assessments;
- sharing information about school and parent related programs, meetings and other activities in a format, and in languages that parents can understand;
- providing professional development opportunities for school staff with the assistance of parents to improve outreach, communication skills and cultural competency in order to build stronger ties between parents and other members of our school community;

Our school's Parent Involvement Policy was designed based upon a careful assessment of the needs of all parents/guardians, including parents/guardians of English Language Learners and students with disabilities. Our school community will conduct an annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of this parent involvement policy with Title I parents to improve the academic quality of our school. The findings of the evaluation through school surveys and feedback forms will be used to design strategies to more effectively meet the needs of parents, and enhance the school's Title I program. This information will be maintained by the school.

In developing the Title I Parent Involvement Policy, parents of Title I participating students, parent members of the school's Parent Association (or Parent-Teacher Association), as well as parent members of the School Leadership

Team, were consulted on the proposed Title I Parent Involvement Policy and asked to survey their members for additional input. To increase and improve parent involvement and school quality, our school will:

- actively involve and engage parents in the planning, review and evaluation of the effectiveness of the school's Title I program as outlined in the Comprehensive Educational Plan, including the implementation of the school's Title I Parent Involvement Policy and School-Parent Compact;
- engage parents in discussion and decisions regarding the required Title I set-aside funds, which are allocated directly to schools to promote parent involvement, including family literacy and parenting skills;
- ensure that the Title I funds allocated for parent involvement are utilized to implement activities and strategies as described in our Parent Involvement Policy and the School-Parent Compact;
- support school-level committees that include parents who are members of the School Leadership Team, the Parent Association (or Parent-Teacher Association) and Title I Parent Committee. This includes providing technical support and ongoing professional development, especially in developing leadership skills;
- maintain a Parent Coordinator (or a dedicated staff person) to serve as a liaison between the school and families. The Parent Coordinator or a dedicated staff person will provide parent workshops based on the assessed needs of the parents of children who attend our school and will work to ensure that our school environment is welcoming and inviting to all parents. The Parent Coordinator will also maintain a log of events and activities planned for parents each month and file a report with the central office.;
- conduct parent workshops with topics that may include: parenting skills, understanding educational accountability grade-level curriculum and assessment expectations; literacy, accessing community and support services; and technology training to build parents' capacity to help their children at home;
- provide opportunities for parents to help them understand the accountability system, e.g., NCLB/State accountability system, student proficiency levels, Annual School Report Card, Progress Report, Quality Review Report, Learning Environment Survey Report;
- host the required Annual Title I Parent Meeting on or before December 1st of each school year to advise parents of children participating in the Title I program about the school's Title I funded program(s), their right to be involved in the program and the parent involvement requirements under Title I, Part A, Section 1118 and other applicable sections under the No Child Left Behind Act;
- schedule additional parent meetings, e.g., quarterly meetings, with flexible times, such as meetings in the morning or evening, to share information about the school's educational program and other initiatives of the Chancellor and allow parents to provide suggestions;
- translate all critical school documents and provide interpretation during meetings and events as needed;
- conduct an Annual Title I Parent Fair/Event where all parents are invited to attend formal presentations and workshops that address their student academic skill needs and what parents can do to help;

Our school will further encourage school-level parental involvement by:

- holding an annual Title I Parent Curriculum Conference;
- hosting educational family events/activities during Parent-Teacher Conferences and throughout the school year;
- encouraging meaningful parent participation on School Leadership Teams, Parent Association (or Parent-Teacher Association) and Title I Parent Committee;
- supporting or hosting Family Day events;
- establishing a Parent Resource Center/Area or lending library; instructional materials for parents;

- hosting events to support, men asserting leadership in education for their children. parents/guardians, grandparents and foster parents;
- encouraging more parents to become trained school volunteers;
- providing written and verbal progress reports that are periodically given to keep parents informed of their children's progress;
- developing and distributing a school newsletter or web publication designed to keep parents informed about school activities and student progress;
- providing school planners/folders for regular written communication between /teacher and the home in a format, and to the extent practicable in the languages that parents can understand;

SCHOOL-PARENT COMPACT

Our school, in compliance with the Section 1118 of Title I, Part A of the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act, is implementing a School-Parent Compact to strengthen the connection and support of student achievement between the school and the families. Staff and parents of students participating in activities and programs funded by Title I, agree that this Compact outlines how parents, the entire school staff and students will share responsibility for improved academic achievement and the means by which a school-parent partnership will be developed to ensure that all children achieve State Standards and Assessments.

I. School Responsibilities

Provide high quality curriculum and instruction consistent with State Standards to enable participating children to meet the State's Standards and Assessments by:

- using academic learning time efficiently;
- respecting cultural, racial and ethnic differences;
- implementing a curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Learning Standards;
- offering high quality instruction in all content areas;
- providing instruction by highly qualified teachers and when this does not occur, notifying parents as required by the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act;

Support home-school relationships and improve communication by:

- conducting parent-teacher conferences each semester during which the individual child's achievement will be discussed as well as how this Compact is related;
- convening an Annual Title I Parent Meeting prior to December 1st of each school year for parents of students participating in the Title I program to inform them of the school's Title I status and funded programs and their right to be involved;
- arranging additional meetings at other flexible times, e.g., morning, evening and providing (if necessary and funds are available) transportation or child care for those parents who cannot attend a regular meeting;
- respecting the rights of limited English proficient families to receive translated documents and interpretation services in order to ensure participation in the child's education;
- providing information related to school and parent programs, meetings and other activities is sent to parents of participating children in a format and to the extent practicable in a language that parents can understand;
- involving parents in the planning process to review, evaluate and improve the existing Title I programs, Parent Involvement Policy and this Compact;
- providing parents with timely information regarding performance profiles and individual student assessment results for each child and other pertinent individual school information;
- ensuring that the Parent Involvement Policy and School-Parent Compact are distributed and discussed with parents each year;

Provide parents reasonable access to staff by:

- ensuring that staff will have access to interpretation services in order to effectively communicate with limited English speaking parents;
- notifying parents of the procedures to arrange an appointment with their child's teacher or other school staff member;
- arranging opportunities for parents to receive training to volunteer and participate in their child's class, and to observe classroom activities;
- planning activities for parents during the school year, e.g., Parent-Teacher Conferences;

Provide general support to parents by:

- creating a safe, supportive and effective learning community for students and a welcoming respectful environment for parents and guardians;
- assisting parents in understanding academic achievement standards and assessments and how to monitor their child's progress by providing professional development opportunities (times will be scheduled so that the majority of parents can attend);
- sharing and communicating best practices for effective communication, collaboration and partnering will all members of the school community;
- supporting parental involvement activities as requested by parents;
- ensuring that the Title I funds allocated for parent involvement are utilized to implement activities as described in this Compact and the Parent Involvement Policy;
- advising parents of their right to file a complaint under the Department's General Complaint Procedures and consistent with the No Child Left Behind Title I requirement for Elementary Secondary Education Act (ESEA) and Title I programs;

II. Parent/Guardian Responsibilities:

- monitor my child's attendance and ensure that my child arrives to school on time as well as follow the appropriate procedures to inform the school when my child is absent;
- ensure that my child comes to school rested by setting a schedule for bedtime based on the needs of my child and his/her age;
- check and assist my child in completing homework tasks, when necessary;
- read to my child and/or discuss what my child is reading each day (for a minimum of 15 minutes);
- set limits to the amount of time my child watches television or plays video games;
- promote positive use of extracurricular time such as, extended day learning opportunities, clubs, team sports and/or quality family time;
- encourage my child to follow school rules and regulations and discuss this Compact with my child;
- volunteer in my child's school or assist from my home as time permits;
- participate, as appropriate, in the decisions relating to my child's education;
- communicate with my child's teacher about educational needs and stay informed about their education by prompting reading and responding to all notices received from the school or district;
- respond to surveys, feedback forms and notices when requested;
- become involved in the development, implementation, evaluation and revision to the Parent Involvement Policy and this Compact;
- participate in or request training offered by the school, district, central and/or State Education Department learn more about teaching and learning strategies whenever possible;
- take part in the school's Parent Association or Parent-Teacher Association or serve to the extent possible on advisory groups, e.g., Title I Parent Committees, School or District Leadership Teams;
- share responsibility for the improved academic achievement of my child;

III. Student Responsibilities:

- attend school regularly and arrive on time;

- complete my homework and submit all assignments on time;
- follow the school rules and be responsible for my actions;
- show respect for myself, other people and property;
- try to resolve disagreements or conflicts peacefully;
- always try my best to learn.

As per an agreement with New York State Education Department, all schools will be required to develop and submit new Language Allocation Policies (LAP) and Translation and Interpretation Plans every other year.

For the 2012-13 school year, schools may continue to use, modify or revise the 2011-12 LAP and/or the 2011-12 Translation and Interpretation Plan.

**OFFICE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS
GRADES K-12 LANGUAGE ALLOCATION POLICY
SUBMISSION FORM
2011-12**

DIRECTIONS: This submission form assists schools with gathering and organizing the quantitative and qualitative information necessary for a well-conceived school-based language allocation policy (LAP) that describes quality ELL programs. This LAP form, an appendix of the CEP, also incorporates information required for CR Part 154 funding so that a separate submission is no longer required. Agendas and minutes of LAP meetings should be kept readily available on file in the school. Also, when preparing your school's submission, provide extended responses in the green spaces. Spell-check has been disabled in this file, so consider typing responses to these questions in a separate file before copying them into the submission form. For additional information, hold your cursor over the [?](#).

Part I: School ELL Profile

A. School Information [?](#)

| | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cluster Leader/Network Leader Corinne Rello-Anselmi | District 15 | Borough Brooklyn | School Number 088 |
| School Name MS 88 | | | |

B. Language Allocation Policy Team Composition [?](#)

| | |
|---|---|
| Principal Ailene Altman Mitchell | Assistant Principal Gary Nusser |
| Coach Nelia Wolosky | Coach |
| ESL Teacher Jack Wasylyk | Guidance Counselor Jessica Karp |
| Teacher/Subject Area Aaron Kaswell, Math | Parent Lisa Librera |
| Teacher/Subject Area Aaron Tulloch, Social Studies | Parent Coordinator Tracy Jordan |
| Related Service Provider Ryan Rumage, SETTS | Other Frederick Torres, Social Stud. |
| Network Leader Lucille Lewis | Other Andy Singh, Science |

C. Teacher Qualifications [?](#)

Please provide a report of all staff members' certifications referred to in this section. Press TAB after each number entered to calculate sums and percentages.

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|----------|--|----------|
| Number of certified ESL teachers | 5 | Number of certified bilingual teachers | 0 | Number of certified NLA/foreign language teachers | 1 |
| Number of content area teachers with bilingual extensions | 0 | Number of special education teachers with bilingual extensions | 0 | Number of teachers of ELLs without ESL/bilingual certification | 0 |
| Number of teachers who hold both a bilingual extension and ESL certification | 1 | Number of teachers currently teaching a self-contained ESL class who hold both a common branch license and ESL certification | 2 | | |

D. School Demographics

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|---|---------------|
| Total number of students in school | 984 | Total Number of ELLs | 120 | ELLs as share of total student population (%) | 12.20% |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|---|---------------|

Part II: ELL Identification Process

Describe how you identify English Language Learners (ELLs) in your school. Answer the following:

1. Describe the steps followed for the initial identification of those students who may possibly be ELLs. These steps must include administering the Home Language Identification Survey (HLIS) which includes the informal oral interview in English and in the native language, and the formal initial assessment. Identify the person(s) responsible, including their qualifications, for conducting the initial screening, administering the HLIS, the LAB-R (if necessary), and the formal initial assessment. Also describe the steps taken to annually evaluate ELLs using the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT).
2. What structures are in place at your school to ensure that parents understand all three program choices (Transitional Bilingual, Dual Language, Freestanding ESL)? Please describe the process, outreach plan, and timelines.
3. Describe how your school ensures that entitlement letters are distributed and Parent Survey and Program Selection forms are returned? (If a form is not returned, the default program for ELLs is Transitional Bilingual Education as per CR Part 154 [[see tool kit](#)].)
4. Describe the criteria used and the procedures followed to place identified ELL students in bilingual or ESL instructional programs; description must also include any consultation/communication activities with parents in their native language.
5. After reviewing the Parent Survey and Program Selection forms for the past few years, what is the trend in program choices that parents have requested? (Please provide numbers.)
6. Are the program models offered at your school aligned with parent requests? If no, why not? How will you build alignment between parent choice and program offerings? Describe specific steps underway. 

Students that are newly admitted to the school system through our school are given the Home Language Identification Survey (HLIS) and an informal interview by our ELL Liaison, Jack Wasylyk. The HLIS is administered in the student's home language. Mr. Wasylyk, who is fluent in Spanish, explains the HLIS form and the process to the parents. If the parents are speakers of another language and a translator is needed, it is arranged immediately. If the parents indicate on the HLIS form that the student speaks another language for any of the questions in the first section of the form and again for any of the questions on the second section of the form, then the student is eligible for LAB-R testing. This decision is noted immediately on the HLIS form and the student is administered the LAB-R within 10 school days of the original date of admission. If the student is a native speaker of Spanish, the Spanish LAB-R is administered to measure the student's proficiency level in that language. This exam is administered by Jack Wasylyk, the ESL teacher and ELL Liaison. Students who score below the cut score on the LAB-R are considered English Language Learners, and a meeting is scheduled with the parents to conduct the Parent Survey and Program Choice forms. At this meeting, parents are given a thorough explanation of the three program choices available to them: Freestanding ESL, Transitional Bilingual and Dual Language. If the parents select a transitional bilingual program and our school does not currently have a bilingual program in that language and grade group at that time, the parents are informed of a school where such a program exists. A running tally is kept of those families who indicated a preference for bilingual education but have decided not to pursue a transfer for that purpose. If, at any time, the parents of 15 or more students in contiguous grades that speak the same language have requested a bilingual program, the school is prepared to create such a program. The overwhelming trend has been the selection of our ESL program, and in the last three years no parents have decided to pursue a transfer for the sake of a bilingual program. For this reason, our current program model is aligned with parent choice as per state and federal regulations. Students are entered into the appropriate program within 10 days of enrollment.

For students already enrolled in the New York City school system, the most recent NYSESLAT (or LAB-R if necessary) results are used to determine ELL Eligibility. Parents of these ELLs are notified of their children's continued entitlement in writing at the start of the school year. Parents also receive prompt written notification when students test into ELL eligibility via the LAB-R or out of ELL eligibility via the NYSESLAT, as well as at the beginning of the year when it is determined that they continue to be entitled based on their most recent NYSESLAT score. Twice a year, first in October and again in the spring, our school holds "Language Night" for all parents of ELLs. These occasions serve as orientation meetings in which parents receive a detailed explanation of their rights as parents of ELLs and the three program choices available to them, as well as opportunities to participate in their child's education by bolstering native language support at home. During these events, professional translators are available for our school population's major language groups.

Part III: ELL Demographics

A. ELL Programs

This school serves the following grades (includes ELLs and EPs)
Check all that apply

K 1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10 11 12

This school offers (check all that apply):

| | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Transitional bilingual education program | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | If yes, indicate language(s): |
| Dual language program | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | If yes, indicate language(s): |

Provide the number of classes for each ELL program model at your school. For all-day programs (e.g., Transitional Bilingual Education, Dual Language, and Self-Contained ESL), classes refer to a cohort of students served in a day. For push-in ESL classes, refer to the separate periods in a day in which students are served. Departmentalized schools (e.g., high school) may use the self-contained row.

| ELL Program Breakdown | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total # |
| Transitional Bilingual Education (60%:40% → 50%:50% → 75%:25%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Dual Language (50%:50%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Freestanding ESL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | | | | | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | | | 4 |
| Push-In | | | | | | | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |

B. ELL Years of Service and Programs

| Number of ELLs by Subgroups | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|----|-------------------------------|----|
| All ELLs | 120 | Newcomers (ELLs receiving service 0-3 years) | 34 | Special Education | 35 |
| SIFE | 19 | ELLs receiving service 4-6 years | 58 | Long-Term (completed 6 years) | 28 |

Enter the number of ELLs by years of identification and program model in each box. Enter the number of ELLs within a subgroup who are also SIFE or special education. [?](#)

| | ELLs by Subgroups | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|------------|
| | ELLs (0-3 years) | | | ELLs (4-6 years) | | | Long-Term ELLs (completed 6 years) | | | |
| | All | SIFE | Special Education | All | SIFE | Special Education | All | SIFE | Special Education | |
| TBE | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Dual Language | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| ESL | 34 | 13 | 7 | 58 | 5 | 19 | 28 | 1 | 10 | 120 |
| Total | 34 | 13 | 7 | 58 | 5 | 19 | 28 | 1 | 10 | 120 |

Number of ELLs in a TBE program who are in alternate placement:

C. Home Language Breakdown and ELL Programs

Transitional Bilingual Education

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Spanish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Chinese | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Russian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Bengali | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Urdu | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Arabic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Haitian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| French | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Korean | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Punjabi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Polish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Albanian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Yiddish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 |

Dual Language (ELLs/EPs)

K-8

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

| | K | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | 6 | | 7 | | 8 | | TOTAL | |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | EL L | EP |
| Spanish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Chinese | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Russian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Korean | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Haitian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| French | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 |

Dual Language (ELLs/EPs)

9-12

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

| | 9 | | 10 | | 11 | | 12 | | TOTAL | |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | ELL | EP |
| Spanish | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Chinese | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Russian | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Korean | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Haitian | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| French | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Other | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 |

This Section for Dual Language Programs Only

| | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Number of Bilingual students (students fluent in both languages): | Number of third language speakers: | |
| Ethnic breakdown of EPs (Number): | | |
| African-American: ____ | Asian: ____ | Hispanic/Latino: ____ |
| Native American: ____ | White (Non-Hispanic/Latino): ____ | Other: ____ |

Freestanding English as a Second Language

Number of ELLs by Grade in Each Language Group

| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Spanish | | | | | | | 37 | 24 | 16 | | | | | 77 |
| Chinese | | | | | | | 5 | 4 | 6 | | | | | 15 |
| Russian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Bengali | | | | | | | 8 | 3 | 1 | | | | | 12 |
| Urdu | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Arabic | | | | | | | 9 | 0 | 3 | | | | | 12 |
| Haitian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| French | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Korean | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Punjabi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Polish | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 2 |
| Albanian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Other | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 2 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |

Part IV: ELL Programming

A. Programming and Scheduling Information

1. How is instruction delivered?
 - a. What are the organizational models (e.g., Departmentalized, Push-In [Co-Teaching], Pull-Out, Collaborative, Self-Contained)?
 - b. What are the program models (e.g., Block [Class travels together as a group]; Ungraded [all students regardless of grade are in one class]; Heterogeneous [mixed proficiency levels]; Homogeneous [proficiency level is the same in one class])?
2. How does the organization of your staff ensure that the mandated number of instructional minutes is provided according to proficiency levels in each program model (TBE, Dual Language, ESL)?
 - a. How are explicit ESL, ELA, and NLA instructional minutes delivered in each program model as per CR Part 154 (see table below)?
3. Describe how the content areas are delivered in each program model. Please specify language, and the instructional approaches and methods used to make content comprehensible to enrich language development.
4. How do you ensure that ELLs are appropriately evaluated in their native languages?
5. How do you differentiate instruction for ELL subgroups?
 - a. Describe your instructional plan for SIFE.
 - b. Describe your plan for ELLs in US schools less than three years (newcomers). Additionally, because NCLB now requires ELA testing for ELLs after one year, specify your instructional plan for these ELLs.
 - c. Describe your plan for ELLs receiving service 4 to 6 years.
 - d. Describe your plan for long-term ELLs (completed 6 years).

A. Programming and Scheduling Information

6. What instructional strategies and grade-level materials do teachers of ELL-SWDs use that both provide access to academic content areas and accelerate English language development?
7. How does your school use curricular, instructional, and scheduling flexibility to meet the diverse needs of ELL-SWDs within the least restrictive environment?

Middle School 88 currently has a total enrollment of 984 students and 120 English Language Learners (or 12.09 % of the total population), all of whom are served by a freestanding ESL program. Our program serves 84 General Education and 35 Special Education students in the 6th, 7th and 8th grades. All of our entitled students receive more than their mandated minutes of ESL instruction from a combination of a block ESL program for general education students in the 6th and 7th grades, a push in program for general education students in the 8th grade, and a pull-out program for special education students in all three grades. During the pull out programs, a mix of high-low texts and instructional programs to assist in meeting the recommended principles of a freestanding ELL program which include but are not limited to : utilizing Native Language support to make content comprehensible; incorporating ESL strategies and assisting students to achieve the State-designated level of English proficiency for their grade.

The general education population is divided among four classes. Two classes in the 6th grade and one class in the 7th grade are entirely comprised of ELLs. The 8th grade ELL class comprises mostly ELLs with a small number of former ELLs, who were determined to need additional ESL support. The program is taught exclusively in English but all three of our ESL teachers are fluent in Spanish. Since Spanish is the largest home language group among our ESL population and more than half of the beginner students are speakers of Spanish, this allows the ESL teachers to better support L1 in the classroom. Special Education students are served through a combination push-in/pull-out program. All students receive their mandated minutes of service according to their NYSESLAT levels. Instruction of ESL is differentiated based on data from the NYSESLAT or LAB-R, ELA Exam, Reading Level and IEP where appropriate. Item analysis trends are examined across the grade levels in order to determine specific teaching points and NYS and Common Core State Standards that must be addressed. Additionally, student reading levels are analyzed for patterns in order to make sure that classroom libraries can address specific needs in ESL classrooms. This differentiation is further tailored to student need based on an ongoing dialogue between ESL and content area teachers. To better understand student needs, students ability levels are evaluated in their own languages. This is done formally for Spanish speakers using the Spanish LAB-R and informally for speakers of other languages through informal interviews between students and teachers who are speakers of the same languages, including Mr. Ibrahim for Arabic and Ms. Tran for Mandarin, as well as DOE translation phone translation services as needed.

Since our ELLs are programmed into designated classes for ESL, they receive all of their mandated periods of ESL services during the normal literacy block from a certified ESL teacher. Advanced students receive their mandated periods of ELA instruction during periods in which a licensed literacy teacher pushes into the ESL classroom. Thus, ELLs receive the same quantity of mathematics and content area instruction as do all of our non ELL students. All teacher licenses are kept on file.

Academic Achievement of our ELL population is further targeted by our extended day AIS programs as well as our after-school programs. Additionally, in order to specifically address the needs of our ELL students in ELA), we have trained all of our ELL teachers in the web-based program, Achieve 3000. This program provides differentiated reading and writing instruction that reaches every student at his or her individual reading level. Each student's level of comprehension for informational text is determined. Then, by providing level-appropriate, standards-aligned nonfiction assignments the program provides teachers with real-time diagnostic data via ongoing

A. Programming and Scheduling Information

assessments

Our ELL populations represent diverse geographic and linguistic backgrounds, with many students born in Mexico, Ecuador, Dominican Republic, Poland, Ukraine, Bangladesh, China, and Yemen, among other countries. The native languages spoken by our ELLs include Spanish, Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, Polish, and Russian.

In the sixth grade, ELLs are divided into the two block ESL classes based on their English proficiency according to the last year's ELA and NYSESLAT exams. All seven of the 6th grade Beginner ELLs are in Class 603, whereas twenty of the twenty-three students in Class 604 are in the Advanced level according to the NYSESLAT, for example. The seventh grade has five beginners, all in special education and served through the pull-out program. The eighth grade has three beginner ELLs, all in special education. These beginners are targeted by our extended day program and AIS periods. Our school has 41 Long Term ELLs and 19 ELLs who are designated SIFE. 39 of our ELLs have received 4-6 years of service, and we have only 1 newcomer. All of our English Language Learners receive more than the mandated 180 or 360 instructional minutes per week, and teacher schedules allow for additional push-in periods to be arranged in content area classes as necessary.

It is important to differentiate instruction among subgroups of ELLs in order to maintain academic rigor. In order to differentiate, it's important to have a thorough understanding of the data at hand. The ESL teachers regularly reference student transcripts and records which are easily accessible on ARIS, as well as data on ATS reports, and IEPs as needed. All of this data, combined with our informal assessments help to inform teachers of the specific skills in which ELLs need support. Additionally, we have a range of ELL students who are a part of our Integrated Curriculum pilot program in which all teachers work together to create a differentiated learning plan that addresses student needs in terms of entry points of instruction, materials used, and product. Specifically:

a. SIFE students receive one-on-one tutoring and academic mentoring with their ESL teacher.

They receive intervention during the school day as well as in after-school programs. They are also supported through both the push-in and pull-out models in their content area classes. These students are placed in homogeneous groups to grasp basic concepts, and in heterogeneous groups to learn from their peers. Teachers across all content areas scaffold lessons by using instructional strategies: activating schema, using visual aids, and diagramming. SIFE students also develop higher order thinking skills through sorting, analyzing, and synthesizing reading passages that appropriate to their comprehension level. The students' progress will be assessed each marking period in order to evaluate and revise interventions as appropriate.

b. Our Newcomer ELLs also receive daily instruction in small groups, as well as one-on-one tutoring with their ESL teacher. Since English language proficiency is required for a student to master extensive reading and comprehension skills, all newcomers have a rigorous curriculum that focuses on vocabulary building, broadening their use of written English by way of explicit grammar instruction, reading strategies, and building listening and speaking skills. Our newcomers are also supported through the push-in model in their content area classes.

c. ELLs receiving 4-6 years of service still need to increase their vocabulary, but they have a variety of needs that can be addressed through differentiation. These students have shown potential to progress and are generally very motivated academically. They benefit from the integrated planning model used as part of our school's Circular 6 plan. This allows teachers to have an overall picture of these students across all content areas and allows for pairing of our ELLs with high achieving English speaking students.

d. Long-term ELLs need more intensive and personalized attention from teachers to address their particular learning styles. The ESL teachers gather information from various sources (see above) to learn what environment each student performs best in and works to create that both in the ESL class as well as in the content area. These students need consistent, frequent opportunities to learn and reinforce skills and content that they need to master in their core classes. Vocabulary building as well as skills such as note-taking and synthesizing ideas gleaned from the text is the focus of these long-term ELLs.

When looking at the work that our teachers do with our ELLs with IEPs, instructional planning plays a huge part in making sure that the teaching is tailored to the individual student needs. Our special education teachers meet with the ELL and Content Area teachers in order to break down the curricular maps and identify those strategies that these students need the most intensive support in mastering. This is done by examining data from ARIS but also by using Item Analyses on exams to discover patterns across these groups of students. Teachers assess students reading levels and this data is used in order to insure that classroom libraries match the students' needs. We supplement our libraries with High-Low (high interest for Middle School but written on a lower grade level) titles from the Stone Arch

A. Programming and Scheduling Information

imprint.

Our ELLs with IEPs are a special group because many of them were born in this country and speak English with native-like fluency. In order to provide a range of support for our ELLs with IEPs, the differentiation process starts with the class formation. In our ICT classes, we group our ELLs with IEPs in the same class in order to provide opportunities for both ESL and content area teachers to work with students on common strategies and skills. These students need vocabulary building as well as particular help with reading and writing skills. The ESL teacher communicates regularly with IEP teachers to understand the needs of each ESL student with an IEP. As is common practice with all of our SWD, IEP teachers share best practices with the ESL teacher.

All English Language Learners receive mandated testing accommodations for ELLs, including a separate location, time extension (time and a half unless an additional time extension is required by a student's IEP), a third reading of the listening section on the ELA exam, bilingual dictionaries and bilingual content area glossaries for all home languages on content area exams. For those content exams available in translated versions from the State of New York, ELL students may elect to do their exam either in English or in their home language, or to use a copy in their home language as a reference. Students are asked to consider this decision in advanced and are instructed to make sure they only record answers in one booklet, as well as given strategies to budget their time accordingly if using these additional materials. When the Department of Education does not provide a translated version for a student's home language, the student and parent is allowed to request a translator for the exam to provide a direct oral translation of the English version into the student's home language. Former ELLs receive the same accommodations as ELLs for two additional years after testing out on the NYSESLAT. Since the NYSESLAT is a test specifically designed for ELLs, no such accommodations are necessary for its administration.

Courses Taught in Languages Other than English ⓘ

NOTE: This section refers to classes/subject areas in which the language of instruction is English and another language which all students in the class speak. Do not include:

- classes that are taught in English using books in the native language
- heritage classes
- foreign language (LOTE) classes


| Class/Content Area | Language(s) of Instruction | | Class/Content Area | Language(s) of Instruction |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Native Language Arts | | | | |
| Social Studies: | | | | |
| Math: | | | | |
| Science: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

NYS CR Part 154 Mandated Number of Units of Support for ELLs, Grades K-8

| | Beginning | Intermediate | Advanced |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| ESL instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154 | 360 minutes per week | 360 minutes per week | 180 minutes per week |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ELA instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154 | | | 180 minutes per week |
| FOR TBE /DL PROGRAMS: Native Language Arts | 60-90 minutes per day | 45-60 minutes per day | 45 minutes per day |

| NYS CR Part 154 Mandated Number of Units of Support for ELLs, Grades 9-12 | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Beginning | Intermediate | Advanced |
| ESL instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154 | 540 minutes per week | 360 minutes per week | 180 minutes per week |
| ELA instruction for <i>all</i> ELLs as required under CR Part 154 | | | 180 minutes per week |
| FOR TBE /DL PROGRAMS: Native Language Arts | 45 minutes per day | 45 minutes per day | 45 minutes per day |



B. Programming and Scheduling Information--Continued

8. Describe your targeted intervention programs for ELLs in ELA, math, and other content areas (specify ELL subgroups targeted). Please list the range of intervention services offered in your school for the above areas as well as the language(s) in which they are offered.
9. Describe your plan for continuing transitional support (2 years) for ELLs reaching proficiency on the NYSESLAT.
10. What new programs or improvements will be considered for the upcoming school year?
11. What programs/services for ELLs will be discontinued and why?
12. How are ELLs afforded equal access to all school programs? Describe after school and supplemental services offered to ELLs in your building.
13. What instructional materials, including technology, are used to support ELLs (include content area as well as language materials; list ELL subgroups if necessary)?
14. How is native language support delivered in each program model? (TBE, Dual Language, and ESL)
15. Do required services support, and resources correspond to ELLs' ages and grade levels?
16. Include a description of activities in your school to assist newly enrolled ELL students before the beginning of the school year.
17. What language electives are offered to ELLs?

Because of a favorable student-to-staff ratio, a great amount of communication is possible between the ESL teacher and the general education/special education teachers. Conversations are held both informally and formally to determine students' individual needs and how they may best be met. This process will determine both how instruction is carried out in the pull-out classes as well as who will receive individualized instruction (either push-in or tutoring) and how often. Between two teachers, our department has 12 weekly push-in periods to target at-risk subgroups, such as SIFE students and Long-term ELLs. These push-in periods are considered supplementary since they are not counted in our school's completion of mandated service minutes. All IEPs are carefully adhered to for Special Education students and the ESL teacher takes part in the IEP review process.

ELLs who have scored proficient on the NYSESLAT test are still entitled to extended time on standardized tests. They are also entitled to bilingual glossaries and have the option of taking exams in their native language (when available). Parents and students, as well as all teachers and staff who are involved in test administration are informed of these provisions

Our ESL teachers work in close cooperation with the rest of our school's literacy faculty and attend weekly department meetings. In addition, the presence of a dedicated ESL Literacy Coach, with weekly ESL meetings, ensures even greater program coherence and collaboration than would be otherwise possible. The ESL department, under the direction of the Literacy coach, works to augment the normal literacy curriculum with additional scaffolding, language structures, visual representations, and content area materials. Our school makes a large investment in technology for ELLs, including extensive leveled classroom libraries including two significant Native Language libraries in Spanish, listening centers and ipods for ESL classrooms, up to date Rosetta Stone software, and classroom document cameras. ESL teachers and ELL students have priority over the school's computer lab, and each ESL class enjoys dedicated time in the lab as part of its weekly schedule. Because of our strengths as an ESL department and the priority we place on ELLs as a school community, we believe we could serve as a model for other programs for years to come.

Some of the programs and activities to assist newly enrolled LEP students are:

- Orientation for Incoming 6th Graders with special component for parents of ELLs
- Advisory services to support emotional and social needs

ELLs are offered equal opportunity to participate in all of our school's extra curricular activities, which include LEGO Robotics, Band, Yearbook, and Theater. Spanish is currently the only foreign language offered all students at Middle School 88.

C. Schools with Dual Language Programs

1. How much time (%) is the target language used for EPs and ELLs in each grade?
2. How much of the instructional day are EPs and ELLs integrated? What content areas are taught separately?
3. How is language separated for instruction (time, subject, teacher, theme)?
4. What Dual Language model is used (side-by-side, self-contained, other)?
5. Is emergent literacy taught in child's native language first (sequential), or are both languages taught at the same time (simultaneous)?

Not Applicable

D. Professional Development and Support for School Staff

1. Describe the professional development plan for all ELL personnel at the school. (Please include all teachers of ELLs.)
2. What support do you provide staff to assist ELLs as they transition from elementary to middle and/or middle to high school?
3. Describe the minimum 7.5 hours of ELL training for all staff (including non-ELL teachers) as per Jose P.

IV. In an effort to implement instructional initiatives to build language and social skills, teachers and coaches will be participate in a variety of workshops that will focus on:

- Scaffolds to support content- area knowledge
- Instructional modifications to support content learning
- SIFE Identification and Intervention strategies workshop with Rachel Hoff
- Alternative assessment to track language acquisition
- Scaffolding test preparation for ELLs taking the ELA exam.
- Aligning reading and writing curriculum to the NYSESLAT
- Test sophistication to prepare students for the NYSELAT
- Analyzing data to support curriculum goals
- TC Lab site: Reading and Writing Project
- Participation in the new Teacher's College ESL Calendar, with two of our ESL teachers attending all TC ESL Calendar Days.

To improve teaching practices for the achievement of our ELLs, we:

- Have a dedicated ESL coach, Ms. Wolosky. 15 periods of Ms. Wolosky's weekly program is devoted to the development of our ESL instructional program, including the creation of an explicit ESL curriculum, one weekly departmental meeting, one weekly meeting one-on-one with each of the other 4 ESL teachers, data analysis with the school's data team, and coordination with the school's regular literacy department. Led by Ms. Wolosky, the ESL department attends subject and inter-subject faculty meetings, conducting regular staff development on how our faculty can better address the needs of our ELLs.
- Have developed an extensive Professional Development program in concert with Teachers College. In addition to sending ELL teachers to TC calendar days that support the curriculum, we have programmed dedicated cycles to have our staff developer work with ELL teachers on strategies in reading and writing, content area skills and data analysis and its implications on planning. We also offer a series of afterschool professional development cycles centered around the following areas: word study, NYSESLAT prep and curriculum planning.

Professional development is ongoing for all ELL personnel, including APs, subject area teachers, secretaries, and the parent coordinator. The ESL teacher and content area teachers attend training offered through the NYCDOE, BETAC, and QTEL Institutes. As part of the Children First network, our school receives support from an ELL specialist, Evelyn Cruz. This specialist regularly communicates and consults the ESL Teacher and administrators. As part of our Professional Development plan developed this year, all teachers are offered the opportunity for inter-visitations in the classrooms of their colleagues. Additionally, we continue to work with Teachers College in two ways to support all personnel who come into contact with our ELLs: (1) ESL and Content Area teachers and ELL support staff attend calendar days to specifically address the needs of our ELL subgroup and (2) our staff developer works one-on-one and in small groups with our ESL and content area teachers in order to provide planning and instructional support in the classroom.

The ESL teachers, including our ELA coach regularly meet with other teachers to discuss ways of addressing the needs of incoming ELLs. Our coach also participates in various inquiry teams to find patterns unique to our incoming ELLs as well as to provide support for content area teachers' instruction of ELLs.

The ESL teacher and content area teachers will attend professional development sessions (calendar days) at Teachers College specifically tailored to ELLs. The school secretary maintains a log of assistance which serves as documentation for all Professional Development activities. All ESL and content area teachers have also been trained to use the Achieve 3000 program.

E. Parental Involvement

1. Describe parent involvement in your school, including parents of ELLs.
2. Does the school partner with other agencies or Community Based Organizations to provide workshops or services to ELL parents?
3. How do you evaluate the needs of the parents?
4. How do your parental involvement activities address the needs of the parents?

Parent involvement is a priority at MS 88 and we work to ensure that parents are informed and involved in every aspect of their children's education. Parents of ELLs are included in every event that we have for parents here at MS 88, including 6th grade orientation, Curriculum night, Community Day, International Barbecue, as well as monthly PTA and School Leadership Team meetings. Additionally, parents of ELLs are invited to Language Night, an event that we hold first in October and again in the spring, which serves as an orientation for parents of ELLs, as described. Professional translators are hired for these events, as well as for meetings with parents regarding changes to their child's IEPs. We distribute a Parent Survey at the beginning of each year to better understand parent concerns and individual family needs, and parents also provide feedback to the school through the Annual School Environment Survey. Our Parent Coordinator, Tracy Jordan, who is fluent in Spanish, runs health workshops for parents in conjunction with Health Plus and Lutheran Hospital. She meets regularly with Parents regarding the emotional and academic well being of all students. Ms. Jordan conducts ARIS training with groups of parents in September, October and November and on an ongoing individual basis throughout the school year. Translation services are arranged as necessary.

Parents of English Language Learners are informed in writing of every major decision that is made regarding their child, from placement in the ESL program based on the LAB-R to exit from the program based on the NYSESLAT. A monthly calendar is sent home to all parents listing all school events, including upcoming instructional initiatives, school-wide programs, enrichment programs, parent orientations and cultural events, testing schedules, curriculum expectations, and intervention services to ensure that English Language Learners meet and exceed state performance standards.

Part V: Assessment Analysis

A. Assessment Breakdown

Enter the number of ELLs for each test, category, and modality.

| OVERALL NYSESLAT* PROFICIENCY RESULTS (*LAB-R FOR NEW ADMITS) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| Beginner(B) | | | | | | | 8 | 5 | 5 | | | | | 18 |
| Intermediate(I) | | | | | | | 11 | 7 | 11 | | | | | 29 |
| Advanced (A) | | | | | | | 42 | 21 | 10 | | | | | 73 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |

| NYSESLAT Modality Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|
| Modality Aggregate | Proficiency Level | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| LISTENING /SPEAKING | B | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | I | | | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| | A | | | | | | | 30 | 8 | 8 | | | | |
| | P | | | | | | | 21 | 20 | 12 | | | | |
| READING/ WRITING | B | | | | | | | 3 | 5 | 3 | | | | |

NYSESLAT Modality Analysis

| Modality Aggregate | Proficiency Level | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|
| | I | | | | | | | 11 | 7 | 11 | | | | |
| | A | | | | | | | 37 | 20 | 10 | | | | |
| | P | | | | | | | 6 | 1 | 1 | | | | |

NYS ELA

| Grade | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Total |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| 3 | | | | | 0 |
| 4 | | | | | 0 |
| 5 | | | | | 0 |
| 6 | 15 | 28 | 9 | | 52 |
| 7 | 12 | 15 | 1 | | 28 |
| 8 | 13 | 9 | | | 22 |
| NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed | | | | | 0 |

NYS Math

| Grade | Level 1 | | Level 2 | | Level 3 | | Level 4 | | Total |
|------------------------|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-------|
| | English | NL | English | NL | English | NL | English | NL | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 6 | 5 | | 28 | | 16 | | 7 | | 56 |
| 7 | 4 | | 13 | | 10 | | 1 | | 28 |
| 8 | 4 | | 8 | | 6 | | 4 | | 22 |
| NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed | | | | | | | | | 0 |

NYS Science

| | Level 1 | | Level 2 | | Level 3 | | Level 4 | | Total |
|------------------------|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-------|
| | English | NL | English | NL | English | NL | English | NL | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 8 | 7 | | 36 | | 159 | | 142 | | 344 |
| NYSAA Bilingual Spe Ed | | | | | | | | | 0 |

New York State Regents Exam

| | Number of ELLs Taking Test | | Number of ELLs Passing Test | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | English | Native Language | English | Native Language |
| Comprehensive English | | | | |
| Integrated Algebra | | | | |

New York State Regents Exam

| | Number of ELLs Taking Test | | Number of ELLs Passing Test | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | English | Native Language | English | Native Language |
| Geometry | | | | |
| Algebra 2/Trigonometry | | | | |
| Math | | | | |
| Biology | | | | |
| Chemistry | | | | |
| Earth Science | | | | |
| Living Environment | 1 | | 1 | |
| Physics | | | | |
| Global History and Geography | | | | |
| US History and Government | | | | |
| Foreign Language | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| NYSAA ELA | | | | |
| NYSAA Mathematics | | | | |
| NYSAA Social Studies | | | | |
| NYSAA Science | | | | |

Native Language Tests

| | # of ELLs scoring at each quartile (based on percentiles) | | | | # of EPs (dual lang only) scoring at each quartile (based on percentiles) | | | |
|----------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Q1 1-25 percentile | Q2 26-50 percentile | Q3 51-75 percentile | Q4 76-99 percentile | Q1 1-25 percentile | Q2 26-50 percentile | Q3 51-75 percentile | Q4 76-99 percentile |
| ELE (Spanish Reading Test) | | | | | | | | |
| Chinese Reading Test | | | | | | | | |

B. After reviewing and analyzing the assessment data, answer the following

1. Describe what assessment tool your school uses to assess the early literacy skills of your ELLs (e.g., ECLAS-2, EL SOL, Fountas and Pinnell, DRA, TCRWP). What insights do the data provide about your ELLs? How can this information help inform your school's instructional plan? Please provide any quantitative data available to support your response.
2. What is revealed by the data patterns across proficiency levels (on the LAB-R and NYSESLAT) and grades?
3. How will patterns across NYSESLAT modalities—reading/writing and listening/speaking—affect instructional decisions?
4. For each program, answer the following:
 - a. Examine student results. What are the patterns across proficiencies and grades? How are ELLs faring in tests taken in English as compared to the native language?
 - b. Describe how the school leadership and teachers are using the results of the ELL Periodic Assessments.
 - c. What is the school learning about ELLs from the Periodic Assessments? How is the Native Language used?
5. For dual language programs, answer the following:
 - a. How are the English Proficient students (EPs) assessed in the second (target) language?
 - b. What is the level of language proficiency in the second (target) language for EPs?
 - c. How are EPs performing on State and City Assessments?
6. Describe how you evaluate the success of your programs for ELLs.

In looking at NYSESLAT data, we have observed a two distinct trends in regard to the relative strength and weaknesses of students in each modality of the exam. Analyzing which modality students scored lowest on, we found that 59% of our 6th and 52% of 7th graders struggled most with the writing modality, while 30% of the 8th graders scored lowest on the listening modality of the test. This has allowed our Literacy Coach and ESL Teacher, Nelia Wolosky, and our ELL Liaison and ESL Teacher, Jack Wasylyk, to work in conjunction with our staff developer for ELLs from Teachers College, Maggie Beattie, to react and plan instruction accordingly. 6th and 7th grade departmental meetings focus most on developing writing skills among ELLs, while for 8th graders, the incorporation of listening strategies and activities to strengthen listening skills receive the greatest attention. They are allotted additional instructional time using our listening centers and our computer lab to develop the skills they need to be successful on the exam. For newcomer ELLs who have not yet taken the NYSESLAT exam, LAB-R scores are used in lieu of NYSESLAT data to determine proficiency level. Three such students exist among our ELL population, all of whom are in the 6th grade and tested at the Beginner level based on their LAB-R results.

Our school uses Teachers College assessment pro levels as our school's ELL Periodic Assessment tool. Assessments are given quarterly and coaching and planning time is used to assess and respond to data trends and item analysis and plan instruction accordingly. These data allow us to group students into groups based on their book bands (TC reading level) and push students towards improved reading volume and comprehension, as part of their long term student goals.

Additional Information

Please include any additional information that would be relevant to your LAP and would further explain your program for ELLs. You may attach/submit charts. This form does not allow graphics and charts to be pasted.

We continue to work on making sure that all of the supports and/or scaffolds for ELLs operate in conjunction. This is to ensure that while there is overlap there is also clear communication between all personnel who work with the population. Additionally, we make sure that our ELL students while receiving their necessary services are also afforded every opportunity academically and socially (before school, during school and after school) that are available to every student at Middle School 88.

Part VI: LAP Assurances

| | | | |
|---|-------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| School Name: _____ | | School DBN: _____ | |
| Signatures of LAP team members certify that the information provided is accurate. | | | |
| Name (PRINT) | Title | Signature | Date (mm/dd/yy) |

School Name: _____ **School DBN:** _____

Signatures of LAP team members certify that the information provided is accurate.

| Name (PRINT) | Title | Signature | Date (mm/dd/yy) |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | Principal | | 1/1/01 |
| | Assistant Principal | | 1/1/01 |
| | Parent Coordinator | | 1/1/01 |
| | ESL Teacher | | 1/1/01 |
| | Parent | | 1/1/01 |
| | Teacher/Subject Area | | 1/1/01 |
| | Teacher/Subject Area | | 1/1/01 |
| | Coach | | 1/1/01 |
| | Coach | | 1/1/01 |
| | Guidance Counselor | | 1/1/01 |
| | Network Leader | | 1/1/01 |
| | Other | | 1/1/01 |

LANGUAGE TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION 2011-2012

CEP Appendix 7

Requirement under Chancellor's Regulations – for all schools

DBN: **15K088** School Name: **Middle School 88**

Cluster: **1** Network: **111**

Goal: To communicate whenever feasible with non-English speaking parents in their home language in order to support shared parent-school accountability, parent access to information about their children's educational options, and parents' capacity to improve their children's achievement.

Part A: Needs Assessment Findings

1. Describe the data and methodologies used to assess your school's written translation and oral interpretation needs to ensure that all parents are provided with appropriate and timely information in a language they can understand.

Students that are newly admitted to the school system through our school are given the Home Language Identification Survey (HLIS) and an informal interview by our ELL Liaison, Jack Wasylyk. The HLIS is administered in the student's home language. Mr. Wasylyk, who is fluent in Spanish, explains the HLIS form and the process to the parents. If the parents are speakers of another language and a translator is needed, it is arranged immediately. If the parents indicate on the HLIS form that the student speaks another language for any of the questions in the first section of the form and again for any of the questions on the second section of the form, then the student is eligible for LAB-R testing and the home language is indicated in the appropriate location on the HLIS form. Students admitted to our school from another school in the New York City system are identified as ELLs based on their NYSESLAT or LAB-R scores, and their home language is available through a number of reports in the ATS system, such as the RLER (NYSESLAT Eligibility Roster). Families of former ELLs are also afforded the same services since it is likely that the family continues to. As a result, we have assessed that there is a need for written and oral translation in these homes.

2. Summarize the major findings of your school's written translation and oral interpretation needs. Describe how the findings were reported to the school community.

Out of a total enrollment of 984 students, 120 of our students (or 12.20%) are English Language Learners. Our ELL populations represent diverse geographic and linguistic backgrounds, with many students born in Mexico, Ecuador, Dominican Republic, Poland, Ukraine, Bangladesh, China, Yemen and Turkey. The native languages spoken by our ELLs are Spanish, Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, Polish, Russian and Turkish. Based on observations, conversations with students, and parent requests, we have found a need for written translation and oral interpretation during face to face meetings, telephone conversations, parent-teacher conferences, and parent orientations. Twice a year, first in October and again in the spring, our school holds "Language Night" for all parents of ELLs. These occasions serve as orientation meetings in which parents receive a detailed explanation of their rights as parents of ELLs and the three program choices available to them, as well as opportunities to participate in their child's education by bolstering native language support at home. During these events, professional

translators are available for our school population's major language groups.

Part B: Strategies and Activities

1. Describe the written translation services the school will provide, and how they will meet identified needs indicated in Part A. Include procedures to ensure timely provision of translated documents to parents determined to be in need of language assistance services. Indicate whether written translation services will be provided by an outside vendor, or in-house by school staff or parent volunteers.

Our school staff has the ability to provide written translations for the following languages: Spanish (by Mr. Wasylyk and Mr. Diaz), Russian (by Ms. Abramova), Arabic (by Mr. Ibrahim), and Chinese (by Ms. Tran). Since these staff members are often pulled away from their duties for this purpose, we request funding for their per session compensation. For written translations in Ukrainian, Polish, Bengali and Turkish, we make use of the Department of Education Translation Unit. Since this service can take up to a week to translate a document, appropriate arrangements are made in advance so that these families receive translated versions in a timely manner.

2. Describe the oral interpretation services the school will provide, and how they will meet identified needs indicated in Part A. Indicate whether oral interpretation services will be provided by an outside contractor, or in-house by school staff or parent volunteers.

Our school staff has the ability to provide oral interpretation for the following languages: Spanish (by Mr. Wasylyk and Mr. Diaz), Russian (by Ms. Abramova), Arabic (by Mr. Ibrahim), and Chinese (by Ms. Tran). For oral translations in Ukrainian, Polish, Bengali and Turkish, we use the contracted interpreters at Legal Interpreting Service. Since we are required to compensate these professional translators at market value and adhere by their minimum service policies, we request funds for their continued utilization. Furthermore, teacher attendance is desired during parent orientations but is not a contractual requirement of teachers. We propose compensating our teachers for their time for attending parent orientations and language nights.

3. Describe how the school will fulfill Section VII of Chancellor's Regulations A-663 regarding parental notification requirements for translation and interpretation services. Note: The full text of Chancellor's Regulations A-663 (Translations) is available via the following link: <http://docs.nycenet.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-151/A-663%20Translation%203-27-06%20.pdf>.

It is our plan to employ the services of our staff as well as the ones provided by our District to facilitate with the Chancellor's Regulations regarding parental notification requirements for translation and interpretation services.

2012-13 Comprehensive Education Plan (CEP)

Title III Supplemental Program for ELLs

Directions: Title III supplemental services for ELLs must include all of the following three components:

- **Direct instruction:** activities must be used to support language development, English and native language instruction, high academic achievement in math, and/or other core academic areas.
 - The Title III supplemental instructional services must be based on student need
 - These supplemental services should complement core bilingual and ESL services required under CR Part 154.
 - Direct supplemental services should be provided for before school, after school, and Saturday programs as well as reduced class-size, and/or push-in services.
 - Teachers providing the services must be certified bilingual education and/or ESL teachers.
- **High quality professional development** that is “of sufficient intensity and duration to have a positive and lasting impact on the teachers’ performance in classrooms.”
 - Professional development activities should be well-planned, ongoing events rather than one-day or short-term workshops and conferences.
- **Parent engagement** and supports must ensure that there are appropriate translation and interpretation services to meet community needs.
 - These are in addition to mandated activities, such as parent orientation during ELL identification process.

For more information on Title III requirements, please see the [School Allocation Memo #66](#) or contact your [Senior ELL Compliance and Performance Specialist](#). Submit this form to your Senior ELL Compliance and Performance Specialist by October 26.

| Part A: School Information | |
|--|-------------|
| Name of School: Middle School 88 | DBN: 15K088 |
| This school is (check one): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> conceptually consolidated (skip part E below) <input type="checkbox"/> NOT conceptually consolidated (must complete part E below) | |

| Part B: Direct Instruction Supplemental Program Information |
|---|
| The direct instruction component of the program will consist of (check all that apply): |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Before school <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After school <input type="checkbox"/> Saturday academy <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| Total # of ELLs to be served: 80 |
| Grades to be served by this program (check all that apply): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> K <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 <input type="checkbox"/> 9 <input type="checkbox"/> 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 11 <input type="checkbox"/> 12 |
| Total # of teachers in this program: 5 |
| # of certified ESL/Bilingual teachers: 4 |
| # of content area teachers: 1 |

Part B: Direct Instruction Supplemental Program Information

Describe the direct instruction supplemental program here and include the

- rationale
- subgroups and grade levels of students to be served
- schedule and duration
- language of instruction
- # and types of certified teachers
- types of materials

Begin description here:

To supplement the achievement of ELLs in our school, the following support structures are in place.

A morning program for Newcomer and Long-term ELLs is conducted five days a week, from 7:30 to 8:30 AM. This program started during the first week of October and will run through the third week in June. Beginner ELL students are instructed in English communication skills using the latest version of Rosetta Stone language software. Long-term ELLs are instructed using the Achieve 3000 program for reading, along with differentiated classroom libraries with a wide variety of fiction and non-fiction texts. This program is designed to scaffold non-fiction reading passages for students in preparation for the ELA and NYSESLAT exams and in alignment with the Common Core Learning Standards. Two of the teachers that teach this program, Nelia Wolosky and Frederick Torres, are certified ESL teachers.

The third teacher, Cera-Jann is also certified in ESL. The three teachers use a team-teaching model, and in addition meet once a week after school to plan instruction. The after school program, which is open to all ELLs, will begin in February and will run until June, meeting Mondays and Wednesdays from 3:30 to 5:30 PM. Two fully licensed ESL teachers (Jack Wasyluk and Keith Christiansen) are working with two groups of 10-15 students differentiated into beginner, intermediate and advanced levels. Instruction is carried out in English. Depending on ability level, students will utilize listening centers, Rosetta Stone software, and the Achieve 3000 reading program. Students will gain familiarity with the format of the formal assessments and take practice tests with an increased emphasis on writing skills. The purpose of this after school program will be to prepare students for success as measured by the Common Core Learning Standards, as well as to bolster their readiness for the ELA, math and NYSESLAT exams.

Part C: Professional Development

Describe the school's professional development program for Title III Program teachers as well as other staff responsible for delivery of instruction and services to ELLs.

- rationale
- teachers to receive training
- schedule and duration
- topics to be covered
- name of provider

Begin description here:

In an effort to implement instructional initiatives to build language and social skills, teachers and coaches will be participate in a variety of workshops that will focus on:

- Scaffolds and differentiated instruction to support content-area knowledge
- SIFE identification and intervention strategies workshop with Rachel Hoff
- Alternative assessment to track language acquisition
- Aligning reading and writing curriculum to address skills needed for the NYSESLAT as well as the ELA exam, and scaffolded support for ELL students taking the ELA exam.
- Analyzing data to support curriculum goals, including combined modality data from NYSESLAT (according to the RNMR report)
- Teachers College Lab Site: TC Reading and Writing Project
- Participation in the new Teachers College ESL Calendar, with two of our ESL teachers attending all TC ESL Calendar Days.

To improve teaching practices for the achievement of our ELLs, we:

- Have developed an extensive Professional Development program in concert with Teachers College. In addition to sending ELL teachers to TC calendar days that support the curriculum, we have programmed dedicated cycles to have our staff developer work with ELL teachers on strategies in reading and writing, content area skills and data analysis and its implications on planning. We also offer a series of after school professional development cycles centered around the following areas: word study, NYSESLAT prep and curriculum planning. General education teachers will attend a six-series workshop on meeting the needs of ELLs facilitated by Alison Provencher, RSE-TASC.

Professional development is ongoing for all ELL personnel, including APs, subject area teachers, secretaries, and the parent coordinator. The ESL teacher and content area teachers attend training offered through the NYCDOE, BETAC, and QTEL Institutes. As part of the Children First Network, our

Part C: Professional Development

school receives support from an ELL specialist. This specialist regularly communicates and consults with the ESL Teacher and administrators. As part of our Professional Development plan developed this year, all teachers are offered the opportunity for inter-visitations to their colleagues classrooms.

Additionally, we continue to work with Teachers College in two ways to support all personnel who come into contact with our ELLs: (1) ESL and content area teachers and ELL support staff attend calendar days to specifically address the needs of our ELL subgroup and (2) our staff developer works one-on-one and in small groups with our ESL and content area teachers in order to provide planning and instructional support in the classroom.

The ESL teachers, including our CCLS coach regularly meet with other teachers to discuss ways of addressing the needs of incoming ELLs. Our coach also participates in various inquiry teams to find patterns unique to our incoming ELLs as well as to provide support for content area teachers' instruction of ELLs.

The ESL teacher and content area teachers will attend professional development sessions (calendar days) at Teachers College specifically tailored to ELLs. The school secretary maintains a log of assistance which serves as documentation for all Professional Development activities. All ESL and content area teachers have also been trained to use the Achieve 3000 program.

We have also targeted our ELLs with IEPs as part of an ongoing QIP Inquiry group in order to assist teachers in helping ELLs and SWDs set short-term and long-term goals. Participating members of this team include the ELA and ICT teachers, the literacy coach and the ELL and special education supervisors.

Additionally, but most importantly, we have reconfigured our professional development matrix for all teachers to receive support in the area that they have requested based on the identified focus areas of Danielson's Framework for Teaching. Specifically, all teaching staff that work with ELLs must visit ELL classrooms as part of our Watching Others Work initiative. This allows teachers to observe one another with a lens toward improving one area of their practice. Teachers then meet with subject area supervisors in order to debrief and provide follow-through in order to ensure that these observed best practices are implemented in their own classrooms.

Part C: Professional Development

The Date, Description and Attending Teachers of TC Calendar Days is as follows:

DATE ONE-DAY CONFERENCE ATTENDING

9/21/12 Aligning Danielson, Universal Design, DOK, Performance Assessments, Student-Facing Rubrics, and the CCLS With TCRWP Curriculum Maps to Harness the Logic and Power of Each. 1. Wolosky, 2. Torres

10/3/12 Using Learning Progressions, the Common Core, and Close Reading of Fiction Texts to Deepen Inference, Interpretation and Synthesis Work in Reading Literature and to Boost the Level of Complexity in Fiction Writing. 1. Guevara, 2. Cirone

10/19/12 Energize Reading Research and Information Writing in ELA, Science, and Social Studies Classrooms. Teach Students to Comprehend Complex Texts and Write About Informational Topics While Supporting Key Common Core State Standards. 1. Lastique-Farr, 2. Kealey, 3. McCurry

11/19/12 Using Nonfiction Text Sets and Evidence-Based Argument to Teach Students the Logic of Debate and Persuasive Speaking and Writing Across the Disciplines. 1. Medina, 2. Walling

11/30/12 Farts, Aliens, Talking Toilets, Dragons, Comics...Boys! A Day for Teachers and Parents with Favorite Kids' Author Jon Scieska on Engaging and Accelerating Kids Who Prefer Spiderman to the Little House on the Prairie. 1. Schildkraut, 2. Hoffner

12/5/12 Teaching about Scientific Practices Arguing from Evidence, Communicating

Science and Constructing Explanations. 1. Kerner, 2. Shon

Requesting additional ticket

12/6/12 Comprehension at the Core. Reading, Thinking, Understanding... 1. Al-Shanniek, 2. Archer

1/9/13 Keys to Success in Reading. The Intersection of Fluency, Vocabulary and Comprehension 1. Guevara, 2. Dooley

1/23/13 Modifying Reading and Writing Workshops in Self-Contained and ICT Settings

Using Data to Help All Our Kids Meet the Levels of the Common Core 1. Wynne, 2. Steines

2/1/13 Teaching Adolescents to Interpret Texts and Write Literary Essays with Independence 1. Kaufman, 2. Panag

2/4/13 Embracing Digital Literacy & Classroom Technology While Supporting Developing Readers and

Part C: Professional Development

Writers. 1. S. Douglas, 2. Wasylyk

2/6/13 Inclusive Literacy Instruction. 10 Ways to Meet the Needs of Diverse Learners in K-8 Classrooms
1. McCurry, 2. Steines

2/13/13 Meeting The Challenge Head-On. Preparing Struggling Students... 1. Lopez, 2. Dotson

2/14/13 ELA- Test Preparation – Honing Test Prep For Middle School. 1. Liles, 2. Paris

5/1/13 Reading, Writing, and Researching in the Content Areas. Reading and Writing like a Researcher to Investigate Questions in a Research Community. 1. Tulloch

Part D: Parental Engagement Activities

Describe the parent engagement activities targeted toward parents of ELLs that will impact higher achievement for ELLs. NOTE: These are in addition to mandated activities, such as parent orientation during ELL identification process.

- rationale
- schedule and duration
- topics to be covered
- name of provider
- how parents will be notified of these activities

Begin description here: Parent involvement is a priority at MS 88 and we work to ensure that parents are informed and involved in every aspect of their children's education. Parents of ELLs are included in every event that we have for parents here at MS 88, including 6th grade orientation, Curriculum night, Community Day, International Barbecue, as well as monthly PTA and School Leadership Team meetings. Additionally, parents of ELLs are invited to Language Night, an event that we hold first in November and again in the spring, which serves as an orientation for parents of ELLs, as described. Professional translators are hired for these events, as well as for meetings with parents regarding changes to their child's IEPs. We distribute a Parent Survey at the beginning of each year to better understand parent concerns and individual family needs, and parents also provide feedback to the school through the annual School Environment Survey. Our parent coordinator, Tracy Jordan, who is fluent in Spanish, runs health workshops for parents in conjunction with Health Plus and Lutheran Hospital. She meets regularly with parents regarding the emotional and academic well being of all students. Ms. Jordan conducts ARIS training with groups of parents in September, October and November and on an ongoing individual basis

Part D: Parental Engagement Activities

throughout the school year. Translation services are arranged as necessary.

Parents of English Language Learners are informed in writing of every major decision that is made regarding their child, from placement in the ESL program based on the LAB-R to exit from the program based on the NYSESLAT. A monthly calendar is sent home to all parents listing all school events, including upcoming instructional initiatives, school-wide programs, enrichment programs, parent orientations and cultural events, testing schedules, curriculum expectations, and intervention services to ensure that English Language Learners meet and exceed state performance standards.

We also send out our Parent Involvement Calendar which is distributed in our September parent startup package that details a comprehensive list of all parent information and academic awards evenings throughout the school year. As per Middle School 88 policy, translation services are available at all parent events. The following is a list of some of our Parent Meetings this academic year:

Tuesday, September 25, 2012

Curriculum Night: Understanding what your child will be learning throughout the school year
(6pm-7:30pm)

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs

Tuesday, October 9, 6pm-7pm

Senior Events & HS Information Night

8th grade expectations for a successful year.

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs

Tuesday, October 16

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Tuesday, November 13

Part D: Parental Engagement Activities

SLT 5pm– 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

ARIS Parent link and Engrade. Learn how to access your child's grades in the comfort of your home. With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs

Wednesday, November 14

Language Day for Parents - discuss school handbook, expectations of students and parents and school's role. Interpreters coming in. Specifically geared to parents of ELLs.

Thursday, November 20

Open School Day & Evening

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs.

Wednesday, November 21

International Food Festival/Pot Luck Lunch

11:30am -1:30pm (By House)

Parents are encouraged to join their child in this celebration.

Tuesday, December 11

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Learn how to protect your child from cyber bullying/ internet safety. With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs.

Friday, December 21

IPW Showcase

Students showcase their learning, based on the integrated curriculum unit, through sketches, visual art, and projects.

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs.

Part D: Parental Engagement Activities

Tuesday, January 15

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Understanding the Common Core Learning Standards

Café de Artistes: 7:00pm

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs and explanation of instructional support for ELLs.

Tuesday, February 12

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA Meeting 6pm – 7pm

Learn how you can help your child prepare for the upcoming math and ELA state exam. With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs and explanation of preparations needs of and accommodations for ELLs.

Tuesday, February 28

Open School Afternoon & Evening

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs about student's classroom performance and school services available to raise achievement or provide other enrichment.

Tuesday, March 12

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Bullying presentation 6pm

Senior Information Night 7pm

With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs.

Thursday, April 4

Language Day: Session Two

Part D: Parental Engagement Activities

Specifically geared towards parents of English Language Learners based on parent survey of what information they want such as about state test accommodations for ELLs, supports for ELLs, tutoring services, after-school programs, expectations of services after passing NYSESLAT.

Tuesday, April 16

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Spring Concert

Students from band and vocal performance perform for the school community.
With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs.

Tuesday, May 14

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Culture Day

Families are invited to participate in a potluck and barbeque and gather as diverse NYC community as well as representative of their culture.

Tuesday, June 11

SLT 5pm – 6pm

PTA 6pm – 7pm

Orientation Day and Teacher Idol

Orientation for incoming 6th grade students.
With appropriate translation and interpretation services for parents of ELLs.

Part E: Budget

FOR SCHOOLS NOT CONCEPTUALLY CONSOLIDATED ONLY. Ensure that your Title III budget matches your Title III Plan.

Allocation Amount: \$

| Budget Category | Budgeted Amount | Explanation of expenditures in this category as it relates to the program narrative for this title. |
|---|-----------------|---|
| Professional salaries (schools must account for fringe benefits) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per session | | |

Part E: Budget

FOR SCHOOLS NOT CONCEPTUALLY CONSOLIDATED ONLY. Ensure that your Title III budget matches your Title III Plan.

Allocation Amount: \$

| Budget Category | Budgeted Amount | Explanation of expenditures in this category as it relates to the program narrative for this title. |
|---|-----------------|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Per diem | | |
| Purchased services <ul style="list-style-type: none">• High quality staff and curriculum development contracts. | | |
| Supplies and materials <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Must be supplemental.• Additional curricula, instructional materials.• Must be clearly listed. | | |
| Educational Software (Object Code 199) | | |
| Travel | | |
| Other | | |
| TOTAL | | |