



BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

HAROLD O. LEVY, Chancellor

DIVISION OF BUDGET OPERATIONS AND REVIEW

110 Livingston Street · Room 1214 · Brooklyn, NY 11201

Phone: 718-935-3637 Fax: 718-935-2698

MARJORIE BLUM
Executive Director

BOR ALLOCATION MEMORANDUM NO. 51, FY 2002

DATE: April 22, 2002
TO: ALL SUPERINTENDENTS
FROM: Marjorie Blum
SUBJ.: SUMMER 2002 PROGRAM

This past Saturday, Chancellor Levy e-mailed programmatic and financial information for the coming Summer Literacy Program (Attachment A). This year, as in the past, a portion of the summer funds will be advanced to facilitate early registration and OTPS ordering. Attachment B lists each district's summer school entitlement and its distribution between the FY'02 advance and FY'03 allocation.

Program accruals may be retained by districts. This is a change from prior years when unspent funds were removed from district budgets.

The summer school advance will be placed in district budgets as follows:

	<u>Quick-Object-Line</u>
Community School Districts	004577-053-5141
High Schools	000800-053-5141
Citywide Special Education	002100-053-5141

Allotments should be scheduled as soon as possible. Please note that the cutoff date for budget modifications is April 30th. FY'03 funds will be placed in FAMIS as soon as the FY'03 budget is brought on line.

Attachments: A Chancellor's e-mail of April 20, 2002 (no tables)
B Planning Allocation: Promotional Policy Summer 2002 (FY 2003)

MB:MG:pav

ATTACHMENT A

From: Levy Harold
Sent: Saturday, April 20, 2002 1:43 PM
To: All Superintendents
Cc: Morrow Brian; Rizzo Judith
Subject: Summer School 2002 Allocation

Colleagues-

As promised, your district's Summer School 2002 allocation will be posted to the NYCBOE Website on Monday, April 22, 2002. Consistent with many Fiscal Year 2003 allocations, there has been a reduction in the available funding for summer instruction.

The structure of the allocation formula this year is designed to ensure equitable implementation of the promotion policy adopted by the Board three years ago and the availability of summer school to the lowest performing students. For the last two years, roughly 40% of the children in grades 3-8 who attended the summer program moved up a full reading level by the end of the summer. I am strongly committed to any program that lifts so many of our weakest students. Our goal should be to ensure that we have no Level 1 children. Accordingly, I am strongly committed to providing summer instruction to MANDATED students who have not met promotion criteria and face retention in September 2003, as well as students who score in Level 1 in Reading or Mathematics this spring, English Language Learners and "at risk" students in Grades K - 2.

The allocation formula for community school districts is aimed at this year's target population and encompasses four factors:

- ▶ The current number (4/1/02) of your district's students who scored Level 1 in Spring 2001 on the New York City or New York State English Language Arts/ Reading assessments.
- ▶ The number of mandated students served by your district in Summer School 2001 who had not scored in Level 1 Reading (this includes all mandated students who scored in Levels 2, 3, or 4 in Reading and/or Levels 1, 2, 3, or 4 in Mathematics).
- ▶ Fifty percent (50%) of the number of newly arrived (September 2001 - March 2002) English Language Learners in your district.
- ▶ Twenty-five (25%) of the number of kindergarten, grade one and grade two students your district served in Summer School 2001.

The per capita cost was calculated based on the overall expenditure for community school districts divided by the total number of active Summer School 2001 pupils served by the community school districts, with a small increase for inflation. Therefore, this summer's target population for allocation purposes (sum of the four factors listed above) was multiplied by the per capita cost to arrive at an allocation.

In High School Districts, the allocation is based on the individual district's percentage of the total active high school population that was served in summer school 2001. The total allocation for high schools was decreased in the same proportion as the total decrease for community school districts.

Adjustments for high school grades in community school districts, citywide evening school and schools assigned to senior superintendents are reflected in the final allocation from BOR.

ATTACHMENT B

PLANNING ALLOCATION: PROMOTIONAL POLICY SUMMER 2002 (FY 2003)

District	Level 1 Spring 2001	Mandated non-Level 1 Sum 2001	New Admit ELLs 50%	K-2 25%	Target Population	Planning Amount (Summer 2001) \$720	Consolidated Amount	TOTAL AMOUNT	FY 2002 ADVANCE	FY 2003 ALLOCATION
01	789	505	127	167	1,588	\$1,143,360		\$1,143,360	\$78,387	\$1,064,973
02	579	522	299	276	1,676	1,206,720	42,985	1,249,705	85,678	1,164,027
03	1,108	918	131	61	2,218	1,596,960		1,596,960	109,485	1,487,475
04	1,777	1,301	142	0	3,220	2,318,400		2,318,400	158,945	2,159,455
05	1,754	1,498	77	150	3,479	2,504,880		2,504,880	171,730	2,333,150
06	2,717	1,873	907	339	5,836	4,201,920		4,201,920	288,076	3,913,844
07	2,126	835	147	219	3,327	2,395,440		2,395,440	164,227	2,231,213
08	3,110	2,086	156	87	5,439	3,916,080		3,916,080	268,480	3,647,600
09	4,138	1,840	489	531	6,998	5,038,560		5,038,560	345,435	4,693,125
10	5,103	2,410	693	678	8,884	6,396,480		6,396,480	438,531	5,957,949
11	2,861	1,278	222	445	4,806	3,460,320		3,460,320	237,234	3,223,086
12	1,854	835	150	255	3,094	2,227,680		2,227,680	152,726	2,074,954
13	1,630	2,025	57	191	3,903	2,810,160	24,992	2,835,152	194,373	2,640,779
14	1,876	664	202	375	3,117	2,244,240		2,244,240	153,861	2,090,379
15	1,373	571	306	234	2,484	1,788,480		1,788,480	122,615	1,665,865
16	1,101	379	15	103	1,598	1,150,560		1,150,560	78,880	1,071,680
17	2,594	1,988	149	321	5,052	3,637,440		3,637,440	249,377	3,388,063
18	1,727	1,071	51	224	3,073	2,212,560		2,212,560	151,689	2,060,871
19	3,391	1,647	222	351	5,611	4,039,920		4,039,920	276,970	3,762,950
20	1,726	1,040	690	599	4,055	2,919,600		2,919,600	200,163	2,719,437
21	1,428	1,101	381	285	3,195	2,300,400		2,300,400	157,711	2,142,689
22	1,695	988	305	487	3,475	2,502,000		2,502,000	171,533	2,330,467
23	1,956	452	29	276	2,713	1,953,360		1,953,360	133,919	1,819,441
24	2,912	1,200	1,086	532	5,730	4,125,600		4,125,600	282,844	3,842,756
25	1,030	731	459	366	2,586	1,861,920		1,861,920	127,650	1,734,270
26	364	282	179	63	888	639,360		639,360	43,833	595,527
27	3,751	1,234	272	438	5,695	4,100,400		4,100,400	281,116	3,819,284
28	1,522	1,456	310	277	3,565	2,566,800		2,566,800	175,975	2,390,825
29	2,197	1,619	217	248	4,281	3,082,320		3,082,320	211,318	2,871,002
30	1,675	984	650	606	3,915	2,818,800		2,818,800	193,252	2,625,548
31	2,394	1,059	213	405	4,071	2,931,120		2,931,120	200,952	2,730,168
32	1,912	695	273	332	3,212	2,312,640		2,312,640	158,551	2,154,089
81	24	0	0	0	24	17,280		17,280	1,185	16,095
85	3,928	2,089	286	349	6,652	4,789,440		4,789,440	328,356	4,461,084
91	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
CSD	70,122	39,176	9,892	10,270	129,460	\$93,211,200	\$67,977	\$93,279,177	\$6,395,057	\$86,884,120
Citywide Spec Ed: 97						\$254,000	\$0	\$254,000	\$17,414	\$236,586
2				172	0.144%	42,985	(42,985)	0	0	0
13				100	0.084%	24,992	(24,992)	0	0	0
71				15,753	13.212%	3,936,924	719,000	4,655,924	319,202	4,336,722
72				19,936	16.720%	4,982,322		4,982,322	341,579	4,640,743
73				22,408	18.794%	5,600,113		5,600,113	383,934	5,216,179
74				1,412	1.184%	352,881		352,881	24,193	328,688
76				16,034	13.448%	4,007,150		4,007,150	274,723	3,732,427
77				28,331	23.761%	7,080,365		7,080,365	485,417	6,594,948
79				14,306	11.998%	3,575,296		3,575,296	245,116	3,330,180
92	Law, Journal, Rese			365	0.306%	91,219		91,219	6,254	84,965
93	International @ LaG			202	0.169%	50,483		50,483	3,461	47,022
94	Middle Col @ LaG			213	0.179%	53,232		53,232	3,650	49,582
Evening Centers admin by Dist. 71						719,000	(719,000)	0	0	0
HS				119,232	100.000%	\$30,516,962	(\$67,977)	\$30,448,985	\$2,087,529	\$28,361,456
TOTAL						\$123,982,162	\$0	\$123,982,162	\$8,500,000	\$115,482,162